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Abstract

As a thermoplastic and bioinert polymer, polyether ether ketone (PEEK) serves as spine implants, femoral stems, cranial implants, and joint arthroplasty implants due to its mechanical properties resembling the cortical bone, chemical stability, and radiolucency. Although there are standards and antibiotic treatments for infection control during and after surgery, the infection risk is lowered but can not be eliminated. The antibacterial properties of PEEK implants should be improved to provide better infection control. This review includes the strategies for enhancing the antibacterial properties of PEEK in four categories: immobilization of functional materials and functional groups, forming nanocomposites, changing surface topography, and coating with antibacterial material. The measuring methods of antibacterial properties of the current studies of PEEK are explained in detail under quantitative, qualitative, and \textit{in vivo} methods. The mechanisms of bacterial inhibition by reactive oxygen species generation, contact killing, trap killing, and limited bacterial adhesion on hydrophobic surfaces are explained with corresponding antibacterial compounds or techniques. The prospective analysis of the current studies is done, and dual systems combining osteogenic and antibacterial agents immobilized on the surface of PEEK are found the promising solution for a better implant design.

1. Introduction

Improvements in establishing the standards to control infections in the operating rooms and antibiotic treatment during the surgical procedure result in low infection rates. Despite all the precautions, infection is the second reason for the revision of the orthopedic implant for total knee arthroplasty [1]. Besides the peri-surgical infection control procedures, implants with antibacterial properties gained importance. Implant design with an antibacterial effect decreases the infection risk and accelerates the osteoblast adhesion to the surface, directly impacting the operation's success.

The factors affecting bacterial adhesion and biofilm formation are defined as surface roughness, surface charge, surface free energy, and hydrophobicity. Surface roughness should be above 0.2 \( \mu \text{m} \) to promote bacterial adhesion with a positive correlation. On the other hand, there is no correlation between bacterial adhesion and surface roughness below \( R_s < 0.2 \ \mu \text{m} \) [2]. Hydrophobicity is another factor that affects bacterial adhesion. As hydrophobicity increases, bacterial adhesion decreases [3]. Besides the surface properties, releasing materials with antibacterial effects enhances bacterial inhibition.

Polyether ether ketone (PEEK) is a semicrystalline thermoplastic polymer that is used in industrial applications such as aircraft [4] and turbine blades [5, 6], missile connectors and radomes, cable insulation, acid pipelines, valve and pump parts, bearings [6], orthopedic and spine implants [7–9] and recently, fuel cell membranes when it is sulfonated [10, 11]. The distinctive properties that enable such applications can be listed as resistance to temperature, chemicals, radiation, and the environment [6]. Moreover, mechanical properties such as cut-through resistance, fatigue resistance, and abrasion resistance make PEEK a raw material candidate for challenging conditions [6].

PEEK polymer commercially emerged as a biomaterial for implants in 1998 [12]. As a high-performance polymer, it has become an alternative
for metal implant components in orthopedics [7] and trauma [13]. In terms of orthopedics, spine implants [8, 9], femoral stems, cranial implants [14, 15], and joint arthroplasty [16] are the products available on the market. The stability, biocompatibility, radiolucentcy, and mechanical properties similar to cortical bone make PEEK a good candidate for biomedical applications [17]. It can be formed easily in different shapes by using 3D printing techniques and can be customized [18]. Because of its anti-wear performance, it is used in knee and hip joint replacements [18]. It is a radiolucent polymer; therefore, the defects can be observed easily by x-ray compared to metal implants [19].

Clinical studies that use PEEK as an implant material include the cervical area in cervical degenerative disc disease treatment, cranioplasty, and face reconstruction [20]. The comparison between pure PEEK cages and iliac crest autografts showed that PEEK cages serve as the substitutes for fusion with an effective restoration of physiological curvature and the intervertebral height and a facilitated radiological follow-up [21]. Another clinical application was cranioplasty. Compared to titanium implants, the failure rate decreased from 25% to 12.5% after using pure PEEK cranial implants, according to the retrospective records of patients [22]. Face reconstruction with pure PEEK was applied to four patients, and ease of working and high durability were the main advantages [23]. Another clinical case included 3D printed PEEK grafts for mandibular defects. It provided primary security and decreased the stress shielding effect compared to the metallic implants [24, 25]. In the field of dentistry, PEEK-based dentures, crowns, and bridges were produced by additive manufacturing [26]. Artificial teeth and double crown retained dental prostheses were implemented in the patients, and satisfactory results were reported in the clinical cases [26].

As a synthetic polymer, PEEK is suitable for extrusion/drawing-based techniques or additive manufacturing techniques based on powder bed fusion [24, 27]. 3D printing makes PEEK a good candidate for the complex geometries of bone implants. Moreover, the properties essential for bone implants can be tailored by processing parameters and functional additives. In terms of processing parameters of fused filament functioning of PEEK, nozzle temperature and layer height significantly affected surface roughness, elastic modulus, and ultimate tensile strength [28]. The printing techniques such as selective laser sintering and fused deposition modelling enabled the addition of functional materials such as graphene nanoparticles, carbon nanotubes, graphene oxide, titanium dioxide, aluminum dioxide, zirconium dioxide, or hydroxyapatite (HA) into the PEEK structure [24, 29]. The composite materials showed good mechanical properties such as tensile strength, compressive strength, and elastic modulus and increased osteogenic differentiation [24, 29]. Composites of PEEK and Hydroxyapatite (HA) with percentages of 20 and 40 were produced by fused filament fabrication for better osteogenic properties [30]. The composite increased the cell density and expressions of RunX2, OCN, ALP, and Collagen Type 1 genes as cell differentiation indicators. In vivo experiments showed that bone formation volume increased and the gap between the host bone and the scaffold decreased with HA addition [30].

Pure PEEK as a heart valve was simulated, showing high durability and smooth operation. Pure PEEK became an alternative biomaterial to produce pumps for intracardiac left and right ventricular assistance [20]. The equivalent modulus (0.5–17.3 MPa) and tensile strength (0.7–8.3 MPa) of PEEK costal cartilage produced with the 3D printing method gave similar results with natural costal cartilage (Elastic modulus: 8.7–12.6 MPa, tensile strength: 4–7 MPa) [31]. PEEK polymer has –OH groups at chain endings, resulting in a negative surface charge at pH 7 and an isoelectric point of about 4.5 [32].

Infection control is one factor that defines the success of the intervention. It dramatically impacts the revision, stability, or rejection of the implant by increasing the rates of morbidity, mortality, and medical costs [1]. Therefore, antibacterial properties are essential to prevent implant rejection. PEEK polymer biofilm formation showed an exponential increase for bacterial colonies such as S. epidermidis, S. aureus, P. aeruginosa, and E. coli. On the other hand, it showed a linear increase for Enterococcus. PEEK showed the highest biofilm affinity compared to Ti (up to 6.7 times higher) and Si₃N₄ surfaces (up to 16 times higher for as-fired samples). Similarly, the number of live bacteria is the highest, up to 30 fold for PEEK compared to Si₃N₄ as-fired surface [32]. The effects of production techniques on bacterial adhesion in dental applications were analyzed. Among commercial PEEK dental products, there were no significant differences between injected molded samples and printed samples of PEEK in adhesion of S. sanguinis. In contrast, pressed PEEK samples showed significantly higher adhesion [33]. On the other hand, another bacteria, S. mutans, had no differences in adhesion based on the manufacturing technique [33].

Since 1985, studies on PEEK polymer have shown an exponentially increasing trend. When the list of the records of search from Web of Science, Scopus, and PubMed indexes based on keywords ‘PEEK’, ‘Polyether ether ketone’, ‘Poly-ether-ether-ketone’, ‘Polyetheretherketone’, ‘Poly ether ether ketone’ is refined to those records related to antibacterial properties of PEEK by searching the keyword ‘bacteria’ and ‘microbial’ only 3 records are found for antibacterial properties of PEEK between years 1996 and 2009. The number of studies started to increase in
2010 and there were 16 articles published between 2010 and 2014. In the next five years (between 2015 and 2019), ~4.1-fold increase in articles is seen. There are 169 papers published between the years 2020 and September 2023. It is obviously seen that as the share of PEEK as a raw material in the medical device industry grows, the studies related to its antibacterial properties will continue to increase.

The antibacterial properties of orthopedic implants are as essential as mechanical and osteogenic properties. PEEK usage has a high potential in orthopedic implants. The review articles published in the last four years (between 2020 and September 2023) included most of the modification methods, especially surface modifications, with detailed techniques grouped into physical, chemical, and biological modifications [34–39]. A few reviews included composite production as a method to increase the antibacterial properties of PEEK [34, 39]. Moreover, most of the reviews presented the antibacterial properties under the topic of the improvements of osseointegration [18, 38]. In terms of clinical perspectives, the antibacterial properties of PEEK have been mostly studied in the scope of dental applications since the biofilm formation has been the main problem for implant integration [34, 37, 38, 40–42]. The development of PEEK in bone tissue engineering for orthopedic surgery has also been covered in terms of clinical perspectives [43]. This review presents a comprehensive up-to-date overview of the studies focused mainly on the improvements of the antibacterial properties of PEEK for biomedical applications. The improvements were discussed in terms of immobilization of antibacterial materials on the PEEK surface, coating antibacterial material on PEEK, production of composites, and changing the surface texture to obtain an antibacterial property. Testing methods and promising results are summarized to support the future studies in the field to carry the improvements to one step further. This review is distinctive in presenting a broad perspective of measurement methods for testing the antibacterial properties of PEEK, and mechanisms of bacterial inhibition achieved after modification of PEEK are discussed in depth. It covers all modification methods specific to antibacterial properties without limiting the application area in the biomedical field. The design requirements for the best antibacterial properties are discussed as a future perspective.

2. Measuring strategies of antibacterial activity of PEEK

There are different strategies to observe the antibacterial property of PEEK. Qualitative methods with different imaging techniques, quantitative methods, and in vivo studies are applied. Table 1 summarizes the definitions of the methods applied to measure the antibacterial properties of PEEK. Although the quantitative methods are found adequate to discover the antibacterial rate of a sample in most of the studies, the support of qualitative methods should be considered. For the studies in which quantitative analysis is applied in the short term, observing the bacterial cell morphology gives the researcher insight into the later stages of bacterial growth. Therefore, it would be better to support quantitative analysis with a qualitative one for short-term analysis to obtain valuable information about the time frame, which enables making comments about the race-for-the-surface concept. In this context, antibacterial longevity and kinetic tests deserve considerable attention due to the importance of timing among those methods. After the implantation, osteoblasts and bacteria compete for the attachment on the surface. If the antibacterial property lasts an adequate time for the osteoblast attachment, implant rejection is prevented. S. aureus is the most tested bacteria type to observe antibacterial properties. It has been a good choice since S. aureus and S. epidermidis form 66% of the pathogenic species among orthopedic clinical isolates of implant-related infections [1]. However, the antibacterial effect with a broad spectrum should be targeted to obtain an effective biomaterial. In terms of measuring methods, colony-forming unit calculation and calorimetric assays have given accurate and quantitative results. Moreover, measuring antibacterial longevity provides information about the loading amount of the antibacterial agent for release to support osteoblasts for race for the surface.

The most common methods used are plate counting and measuring zone of inhibition due to their ease of application. The plate counting method enables researchers to discriminate between dead or live bacteria and adherent or planktonic bacteria. Therefore, a more detailed analysis is obtained in colony forming unit (CFU)/ml, a parameter used in the medical device industry to calculate the bioburden. Therefore, making comparisons for the real cases is possible. Intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) and glutathione depletion assays are specific to antibacterial mechanisms, and their application area is limited. Phagocytic activation of macrophages is another technique to measure the antibacterial efficiency of the PEEK samples. It is helpful regarding the body’s reaction to the bacteria and is like an in vivo simulation. Pathogenic gene detection is another method that gives more specific detection of the pathogens and gives more accurate results in terms of the implant’s safety compared to colorimetric assays and plate counting methods.

In vivo studies provide valuable information related to the rate of inflammation after implant replacement; they are essential to comment on the success of the implant. However, due to ethical considerations, high costs, and time limitations, in vivo
Table 1. Measurement methods of antibacterial property of PEEK.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Name of the method</th>
<th>Description of the method</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Quantitative                | Plate counting method               | The samples are incubated in a bacterial suspension, and the rinse medium, including bacteria, is added to an agar plate. The number of colonies formed on the agar plate is counted after incubation of a specified time.  
- Colony forming unit (CFU)/ml is used to quantify the number of bacteria.  
- Some studies use bacterial viability kits with fluorescent dyes to discriminate live bacteria (SYTO 9) and dead bacteria (PI) by image analysis.  
- Some studies separate bacteria into free-swimming (planktonic) and biofilm (adherent) modes. The adherent bacteria are separated from the samples by sonication and vortex.  
- The antibacterial rate is calculated by the formula below;  
  \[
  \text{Antibacterial rate (\%)} = \left( \frac{\text{OD}_{\text{PEEK}} - \text{OD}_{\text{modified PEEK sample}}}{\text{OD}_{\text{PEEK}}} \right) \times 100\%,
  \]
  where OD is the optical density. | [32, 44–50] |
| Measuring zone of inhibition (Kirby Bauer Test) | Each sample's zone of inhibition in mm is measured after the samples are incubated with bacterial inoculums with semi-confluent growth for a specified time. | [48, 51] |
| Bacterial attachment        |                                     | The sterile samples are co-cultured with a specified amount of bacterial suspension for a specified time interval. After removing the non-adherent bacteria, ultrasonication is applied to detach the adherent bacteria. The bacterial colonies are counted after spreading on the agar plate. | [3]       |
| Membrane permeability       |                                     | The medium is refreshed with and an addition of sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) (0.1% concentration) after incubation of samples with bacterial cells for a specified time. Optical density was measured at 570 nm. Lower optical density showed a strong ability to rupture the bacterial membrane and higher antibacterial properties. | [52]      |
| Colorimetric assay          |                                     | The assay is based on staining the attached cells to the sample after culturing with a specified concentration of bacteria. Crystal violet, formazan dyes, and Alamar Blue reagent were used in studies of PEEK. | [44, 53, 54] |
| Antibacterial kinetic test  |                                     | The absorbance of bacterial suspensions at 600 nm is recorded after incubating the samples with a specified number of bacterial solutions at defined time intervals. | [55]      |
| Phagocytic activity evaluation of macrophages | Macrophage cells are cultured with the bacterial solution, including fluorescently dyed bacterial cells. Bacterium-infected cells are plated in a different well plate after flow cytometry. Extracellular bacteria are killed by incubation with gentamicin. The intracellular bacteria are released by using 1% Triton. The spread plate method counts all collected bacteria. | [56]      |

(Continued.)
Table 1. (Continued.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intracellular ROS assay</td>
<td>The fluorescence intensity of 2′,7′-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate is measured after one day of incubation by ROS assay kit. The detection of glutathione depletion in the infectious environment indicates oxidative stress. Ellman’s assay is used to detect the capability of glutathione breakage. The loss of glutathione percentage is calculated by the formula below with the absorbances collected at 420. Loss of Glutathione (%) = (A_{negative\ control}–A_{sample})/A_{negative\ control} × 100% A: absorbance of the corresponding samples.</td>
<td>[56, 57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutathione depletion assay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibacterial longevity</td>
<td>The incubation period extends up to 28 d. The samples are collected at a specified time point. Colony formation unit calculation is applied after one day of incubation.</td>
<td>[58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathogenic gene detection by real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR)</td>
<td>Real-time PCR was applied to detect pathogenic gene expression. mRNA levels of the Fim gene for P. gingivalis and the Gif gene for S. mutans were analyzed as pathogenic genes.</td>
<td>[59]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualitative</td>
<td>Biofilm formation and bacterial attachment observed by SEM (Scanning Electron Microscopy) The bacteria are seeded on the samples at a specific density. The samples are incubated in trypsin soy broth for a specified time. The bacterial cells are fixed using 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate and 0.1 M sucrose buffer for 30 min. The samples are dehydrated with ethanol (concentrations from 30% to 100%). Critical point drying in CO₂ is applied before sputter coating with Au/Pt. The biofilm formation and the adhesion of bacteria are observed by SEM.</td>
<td>[48, 60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacterium infected macrophages observed by fluorescence microscope</td>
<td>Macrophage cells, including fluorescently dyed bacterial cells, are cultured with the bacterial solution. Bacterium-infected cells are plated in a different well plate after flow cytometry. A fluorescence microscope generates the images after staining the cytoskeleton by phalloidin.</td>
<td>[56]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In vivo experiments</td>
<td>- MRI and Micro CT were used to observe the tissue around the implant with a specified bacterial concentration. - Staining with hematoxylin, eosin, or Giemsa investigates inflammatory tissue proliferation and colony distribution. - Periosteum reaction against bacterial infection or osteomyelitis model system is preferred to observe the infection. - Tibia and femoral condyle have been the regions studied before</td>
<td>[50, 58, 61, 62]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

studies have been applied in studies less than quantitative and qualitative methods.

3. The mechanisms of the antibacterial effect of modified PEEK

There are mechanisms proposed for the antibacterial ability of the modification techniques. These are ROS generation, contact reactions between the bacterial cell membrane and the antibacterial agent, the hydrophobicity of the surface, trap killing, and the nanoblade effect (figure 1). Among those mechanisms, ROS generation and contact-killing mechanisms have been widely observed in the studies. On the other hand, relatively few studies have studied the mechanism of surface hydrophobicity or trap killing and
nano-blade effect. In each method, an optimization is required. The amount of the metal ion, compound, or drug should be adjusted to find a perfect composition for the bacterial detachment concurrently with cell attachment since all antibacterial mechanisms (contact killing, generation of ROS, and increase the hydrophobicity of the surface mechanisms) have similar effects on cells. In this sense, the modification should serve the race-for-the-surface concept, and the duration of the effectiveness of the modification should be adjusted. For the nano-blade effect or trap killing, the dimensions of the topographical changes should be optimized. Controlling the dimensions on the surface topography at the micron level is more complex than controlling the composition of the modification. Therefore, the modifications for contact killing and ROS generation are widely studied compared to modifications for nano-blade effect or trap killing.

3.1. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation and contact killing

ROS, one of the 'reactive species' with molecular oxygen, has gained importance in biology and medicine. They are formed by reduction-oxidation reactions and by electronic excitation. They are separated into non-radical and free-radical ROS [69]. Non-radical species include hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$), organic hydroperoxides (ROOH), singlet molecular oxygen (¹O$_2$), electronically excited carbonyl (R–C = O), ozone (O$_3$), hypochlorous acid (HOCl) and hypobromous acid (HOBr) [69]. The examples of the free radical ROS are superoxide anion radical (O$_2^•^−$), hydroxyl radical (·OH), peroxyl radical (ROO•), and alkoxyl radical (RO•) [69]. ROS causes oxidative distress, which is a term used for molecular damage. Metal ions, drugs, and ionizing radiation are counted as exogenous sources of ROS [69–73]. ROS have considerable roles in homeostasis and cell signaling.
However, if the balance deteriorates, it causes irreversible DNA damage. In the other mechanism, contact killing, electrostatic interaction destroys cell membrane integrity. Most of the metal ions with positive charges deteriorate the negatively charged cell membrane and result in increase in permeability of rupture of the membrane. Those ions pass through the cell membrane and trigger the oxidative distress by creating ROS.

PEEK powder and nano-ZnO were melt blended to obtain a composite for a filler material of artificial joint. The composite showed an antibacterial effect on *E. coli* (42%) and *S. aureus* (39%) when added at 7.5 wt. % [63]. The mechanism was explained by two methods: contact reaction and photocatalytic reaction. In contact reaction mechanism, electrostatic interaction occurs between the positively charged Zinc ions and the negatively charged bacterial membrane [63]. Another mechanism targets the proteases. After adding 7.5 wt. % to the PEEK composite, the zinc ion inactivates the protease, an enzyme that breaks down the protein into peptides, and the physiological activity of bacterial cells deteriorates [63]. The same antibacterial mechanism was explained for PEEK implants coated with dexamethasone-loaded Zn and Mg-containing organic frameworks for bone graft applications [74]. Likewise, in a composite system, the zinc ions become integrated into the bacterial membrane by binding hydrophobic imidazole amino and carboxyl groups and destroy the cell membrane, which results in the leakage of the cell content in the coating system [63, 74]. According to the results, an inhibition rate of 100% against *S. aureus* and *E. coli* was obtained for the samples coated with Zn-Mg-metal organic frameworks [74].

Photocatalytic activity includes the activation of ROS with the interaction between ZnO and UV irradiation. The strong chemical activity generated by ROS kills the bacteria [63].

Another material for both contact reaction and ROS generation mechanisms proposed was Ag nanoparticles [64]. When Ag nanoparticles were decorated onto PEEK/Gelatin blend hydrogel, the antibacterial rates increased from 57.1% to 88.4% against *S. aureus*. Similarly, the antibacterial rate reached 95.7% from 61.8% against *E. coli* [64].

There are dual or ternary systems that combine antibiotics and ceramics or nanoparticles to enhance antibacterial properties or obtain antibacterial and osteogenic properties simultaneously for biomedical applications [75, 76]. For example, in a gentamicin-loaded brushite system, only gentamicin possessed antibacterial properties [75]. On the other hand, a synergistic effect formed when Ag nanoparticles and gentamicin sulfate (GS) were coated together on sulfonated PEEK [52]. Two mechanisms were proposed to explain the antibacterial property. The first one is the binding ability of these molecules to biological elements like DNA, protein, or cofactors due to their high affinity for amines, phosphates, and thiol groups and disturbing cell metabolism. GS binds to the 30s subunit of the ribosome and deteriorates protein synthesis. The synergistic effect of localized GS and Ag nanoparticles causes an increase in ROS production. They scavenge the intracellular reductase enzymes, and the catalytic process of ROS production is boosted. Ag nanoparticles and GS stimulate nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide oxidation. Hyperactivation of the electron transport chain leads to superoxide formation. ROS formation by the Fenton reaction is triggered by the ferrous ions formed after the damage of iron-sulfur clusters by superoxide formation [52]. Direct interaction of Ag nanoparticles with bacteria results in cytoplasm leakage and bacteriolysis [77].

Cu$^{2+}$ ion immobilization on PEEK with polydopamine (PDA) or magnetron sputtering was used to obtain antibacterial implants for biomedical applications [62, 65]. In a study, magnetron sputtering was used for immobilization, and sessile *Methicillin resistant S.aureus* (MRSA) bacteria amount decreased from $8.77 \times 10^5$ CFU (PEEK) to $4.93 \times 10^5$ CFU (PEEK with immobilized Cu$^{2+}$ (49 µg/L)) [62]. Cu$^{2+}$ was also used for ROS generation and contact-killing mechanisms against MRSA. The contact-killing occurred with the destruction of the cell membrane by Cu$^{2+}$ ions by their electrostatic interaction with the bacterial membrane. Cu$^{2+}$ ions in the bacterial cell cause the generation of ROS by Fenton reactions, inhibition of RNA/DNA replication due to toxicity, protein denaturation, and DNA cleavage after binding proteins and DNA [62, 65].

It was reported that Au nanoparticles coated on carbon fiber reinforced PEEK composites by metal-organic chemical vapor deposition and physical vapor deposition techniques to obtain a functionalized implant surface resulted in inhibition against *S. aureus*, *S. epidermidis*, *Str. pyogenes*, *P. aeruginosa*, *Ent. faecium* [78]. Bacterial colonies grew larger than 700 for carbon fiber-reinforced PEEK, whereas the value decreased to 300–500 colonies after coating with Au [78]. Like in Cu$^{2+}$ and Ag$^+$, the mechanisms for Au were explained by the destruction of cell membrane and ROS production after the change in the membrane charge by interaction of Au nanoparticles with the phospholipids in the bacterial cell wall [78]. Another nanomaterial that causes bacterial inhibition with the same two mechanisms was *n*-TiO$_2$. The antibacterial effect of *n*-TiO$_2$ was explained by creating mechanical stress and generating ROS. PEEK and polyglycolic acid blend with *n*-TiO$_2$ powders to obtain a scaffold for bone tissue engineering applications. By adding 5 wt. % of *n*-TiO$_2$, an antibacterial rate, higher than 85% was obtained against *S. aureus* and *E. coli* [79]. The mechanical stress
generated by the contact action deformed the bacterial cell membrane. Moreover, ROS production was triggered by the reaction between water, oxygen, and \( n\)-TiO\(_2\). ROS production resulted in oxidative stress that collapsed the bacterial antioxidant defense system \[79\].

Three mechanisms for their effect were proposed for antibiotics (vancomycin, gentamicin, ampicillin, amoxicillin, etc.). These are an increase in cell membrane permeability followed by loss of its function, prevention of replication, and inhibition of cell wall synthesis \[80, 81\]. Resveratrol, an antioxidant, has been used for its antibacterial properties. The mechanism proposed was similar to antibiotics and defined as the increase in cell permeability and inhibition of cell wall synthesis \[82\].

A single mechanism based on electrostatic interactions to penetrate the bacterial cell wall was proposed for chitosan and peptide-based compounds to explain the antibacterial effect. A solution including chitosan, hydroxyapatite (HA), and PEEK solution was applied on stainless steel (316L) by electrophoretic deposition to obtain a composite coating for biomedical applications \[83\]. Chitosan increased the bacteriostatic percentage to above 80% against S. aureus and E. coli \[83\]. When chitosan was coated directly onto PEEK by UV-induced graft polymerization and wet chemical methods, the number of E. coli was reduced by about 70%. In those systems, NH\(^{3+}\) groups in the structure of chitosan form osmotic imbalances when chitosan was in contact with the negatively charged bacterial cell wall. When cationic groups such as NH\(_2\) interact with the negative bacterial cell surface, surface zeta potential difference is induced, causing damage to the bacterial cell membrane \[84\]. Another mechanism related to the electrostatic interactions is the deformation of peptidoglycans in the cell wall. Such damage causes an increase in the penetration/penetration of vital intracellular molecules such as potassium, proteins with low molecular weight, and their eventual loss \[83\].

On the other hand, the mechanism of the antibacterial effect of the K-12 protein is related to its amino acid sequence. In its sequence, five positively charged amine groups attract negatively charged bacterial cells and disrupt bacterial cell wall via the charge effect \[85–87\]. Antibacterial peptide GL13K uses the same mechanism against S. aureus \[88\].

Other choices that can be used for cell wall destruction of bacteria are lactam and lysozyme. Lysozyme is a protein that can break the \( \beta\)-1,4-glycosidic bond between N-acetylcystidyl acid and N-acetylglicosaminogluco to convert insoluble mucopolysaccharide into soluble glycopeptides in bacteria. Then, the destruction of the cell wall occurs \[89\]. PEEK surface coated with PDA-modified nano-hydroxyapatite and lysozyme to obtain orthopedic implants with a functionalized surface. The antibacterial ratio of 98.7% and 96.1% against S. aureus and E. coli were obtained with the aforementioned mechanism \[89\].

On the other hand, the bromine and chlorine content of the lactam inhibits the biofilm of S. mutans \[90\]. Lactam was used as a coating constituent combined with PEEK and dip-coated on a glass-based substrate to obtain an oral implantology biomaterial resistant to biofilm formation. The absorbance of spectrophotometry at 630 nm for biofilm formation was decreased from 0.09 to 0.01 when lactam was added to the coating \[90\].

Different mechanisms were proposed for the considerable antibacterial effect of PEEK and nano-fluorohydroxyapatite composites \[91\]. The antibacterial effect of fluoride was explained by the inhibition of the glycolytic enzyme enolase, the proton-extruding ATPase, and bacterial colonization and competition. Moreover, some enzymes such as acid phosphatase, pyrophosphatase, peroxidase, and catalase were affected by fluoride ions, and the disintegration of bacteria occurs. Another factor was the positive effect of nano-fluorohydroxyapatite on cell adhesion. If the cell adhesion on the implant’s surface is higher than bacterial adhesion, bacterial colonization is prevented \[91\].

Black phosphorus inhibited S. aureus by only the generation of ROS \[92\]. Similarly, the bacterial reduction with ZrO\(_2\) nanoparticles on the surface stemmed from the formation of ROS. An alkaline effect by forming hydroxyl groups around ZrO\(_2\) increased local pH \[93\]. The change in the pH of the environment affected the bacteria. For example, bioglass 45S5 particles in the PEEK matrix increased the pH to a level that bacteria could not live \[67\]. Adding GO into PEEK with 0.02 wt. % increased the antibacterial ratio from 82.10% to 99.56% against S. aureus, which has a single cell wall. The antibacterial mechanism of GO was explained by ROS generation and the nanoblade effect. GO induced the generation of hydroxyl radicals, singlet molecular oxygen, and superoxide anions which damaged DNA, proteins, and intracellular components in terms of ROS generation \[94\].

### 3.2. Hydrophobicity of the surface

Bacteria are more likely to attach to hydrophilic surfaces. However, this property changes according to the bacteria type with different surface tensions \[95, 96\]. The hydrophobic surface is counted as one reason for the antibacterial property. PEEK is a hydrophobic polymer. Coating with more hydrophobic materials increases the water contact angle of PEEK. Ion doping can alter hydrophobicity. For example, although the Ag ion is hydrophilic, it increased the water contact angle of PEEK coated with TiO\(_2\)/poly dimethylsiloxane (PDMS) hybrid structure depending on the amount of doping \[48\]. As the Ag content in the structure was increased, the surface roughness was altered, which improved hydrophobicity. Similarly,
coatings formed by the addition of bioglass 45S5 particles into the PEEK matrix showed antibacterial properties against E. coli due to the formation of needle-like structures on the surface that increased hydrophobicity [67].

3.3. Trap killing and nano-blade effect

Trap killing and nano-blade effects are related to the physical interaction between bacteria and material surface. For example, trap killing was observed when the bacteria (pore size: ~0.5 µm) were trapped in the porous surface (pore size: ~1 µm) of Cu²⁺ ion immobilized surfaces, and proliferation was restricted [62]. ZnO/GO coatings on PEEK have shown trap killing mechanism against F. nucleatum. GO layers trapped the bacteria and prevented biofilm formation [68]. Another mechanism proposed for GO was the nano-blade effect which was explained by bacterial membrane destruction after contact with the sharp edges of GO nanosheets [94].

4. The methods to improve the antibacterial properties of PEEK

As mentioned in the introduction, improving the antibacterial properties of PEEK affects the success of orthopedic operations. The methods to improve the antibacterial properties of PEEK were analyzed and discussed under four different categories based on the production methods: (1) immobilization of functional materials and functional groups, (2) coating with antibacterial material, (3) forming composites and nanocomposites, (4) changing the surface topography.

4.1. Immobilization of functional materials and functional groups

The most widely used method to improve the antibacterial properties of PEEK is the immobilization of functional materials and functional groups on the surface of the material. The compounds immobilized onto the surface consist of antibacterial drugs (ampicillin and vancomycin), ions (Zn²⁺, Ag⁺, Cu²⁺, F⁻), peptides, functional groups such as SO₃H, NO₃,–NH₂, oxides (GO, ZrO₂).

The most common method for immobilization is dripping the solution of the antibacterial agent onto the functionalized or neat PEEK surface (figure 2). The dripping method is preferred to obtain more precise control over the material. It is an easy method, and a small amount of material can be used to observe the antibacterial properties. Similar methods, such as immersion and soaking, provide more surface area for the interaction of bacteria and antibacterial agents. Wet chemical methods are applied by immersion to immobilize functional materials onto PEEK [45]. For example, the carboxyl groups grafted PEEK was immersed in 0.1 wt.% EDC (1-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) –3-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride) solution and the pH of the solution were adjusted to 4.7 with acetic acid before the immersion into the solution with chitosan dissolved in acetic acid [45]. Immersion and soaking are easy to apply; however, they require given volume of the solution that the substrate can sink in. Table 2 summarizes the immobilization techniques of antibacterial materials onto a PEEK substrate. Since PEEK is a chemically inert material, the study uses PDA to immobilize the functional compounds. First, PEEK samples were coated with PDA as an adhesive layer. Then, the molecule with an antibacterial effect was added [47,65,74,97,98]. Some studies first produced a composite of functional material and PDA, and then coating was applied [61]. Sulfonation is another technique used to attach molecules onto chemically inert PEEK. It is the first modification applied in plenty of studies. After the sulfonation, SO₃H ions were attached to the surface of PEEK, which had an inhibitory effect on S. aureus and E. coli. Depending on the process parameters and the amount of sulfur attached, the antibacterial rate changed [50,99].

The concentration of the immobilized compound is important to provide antibacterial longevity. For example, 10 µg ml⁻¹ recombinant mouse beta-defensin-14 onto PEEK showed 80%–100% antibacterial effect against E. coli and P. aeruginosa after 28 d of incubation [58]. When smaller concentrations, such as 2 µg ml⁻¹, were applied, P. aeruginosa showed only 37.02% inhibition [58]. According to table 2, Ag⁺ is a powerful antibacterial agent, and it was shown to increase antibacterial rates in 90% of all studies. Therefore, it is a widely used ion to add antibacterial properties to the biomaterials. However, the amount of the ion should be adjusted to provide biocompatibility. The antibacterial properties of the same compound can be adjusted by combining PDA and applying phototherapy. For example, GO had a moderate antibacterial rate if coated on a PEEK substrate O₂ and OH−, generating much ROS [109].

In some studies, there were differences in responses of different types of bacteria. Most of the studies tested both Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria. In a study, GO showed moderate antibacterial properties on hydrophobic E. coli, but it did not affect hydrophilic S. aureus [106]. ZrO₂ was another compound that gave different results for different types of bacteria. A moderate effect was detected for S. aureus, whereas no antibacterial effect was seen for E. coli due to its stronger resistance to ZrO₂ nanoparticles. E. coli is a Gram-negative bacteria with an effective barrier (a complex cell membrane including lipopolysaccharide molecules) [93]. When the antibacterial compound was quaternary ammonium salt, the length of the alkyl chain of quaternary ammonium salt caused more effective inhibition of S. aureus than E. coli since S. aureus
Figure 2. Immobilization of functional groups onto PEEK with the two most common methods, dripping and immersion. Antimicrobial effect and osteogenic properties obtained by immobilization of two different materials offer solutions for a better implant design [30, 61].

was a Gram-positive bacteria [103]. Vancomycin was more effective on S. aureus than E. coli [76].

The difference between the antibacterial rate of planktonic and adherent bacteria may be observed in some studies. A sulfonation process followed by the immobilization of Cu nanoparticles on the PEEK surface gave a higher antibacterial rate on adherent MRSA than planktonic ones. It was explained by the synergistic effect of trap-killing and contact-killing mechanisms. Since adherent bacteria were trapped, they had more direct contact with the surface and they were more affected by Cu nanoparticles [62].

Besides antibacterial properties, osteogenic properties of the materials after the immobilization of molecules were widely studied. For example, osthole nanoparticles and berberine immobilized on sulfonated PEEK promoted osteogenesis [61]. Another dual-functional PEEK-based biomaterial was produced by mussle-inspired PDA. The coating containing osteogenic growth peptide and moxifloxacin hydrochloride loaded on sulfonated PEEK and sustained release was provided to prevent biofilm formation [50]. Similarly, dexamethasone and minocycline-loaded liposomes attached to a mussle-inspired PDA coating provided a dual effect, improved osseointegration, and antibacterial properties [47]. Besides antibacterial properties, the effects of the molecules below on osteogenic properties were studied: dexamethasone-loaded dual-metal–organic frameworks on PEEK promoted angiogenesis [74]. Recombinant mouse beta-defensin-14 [58], Ag-loaded PDA [97], Zn-loaded acrylic acid [105], Ag-immobilized hydroxyapatite [110], hinokitiol [102], GO [106], ethylenediamine [84], carboxymethyl chitosan and bone forming peptide [101], Mn$^{2+}$ and Cu$^{2+}$ immobilized on PDA [65], grafted modified poly ethylene glycol [104], resveratrol [82], GO nanosheets-PDA nanofilm-oligopeptite system [109], genistein [106], antimicrobial peptide KR-12 loaded on PDA [85].

4.1.1. Methods of immobilization of functional groups and changing surface texture concurrently
The cold plasma method changed the surface textures by increasing the surface roughness and adding nitrogen-containing groups to the structure [60]. Nitrogen-containing groups increased the positive charges on the PEEK surface, whereas the bacterial membrane was negatively charged. Therefore, it was expected to increase bacterial cell attachment on the PEEK surface due to electrostatic interactions. However, since the surface texture was changed and bacterial attachment mechanisms were dependent on many factors, such as topography, chemical composition, and hydrophilicity, an increase (∼26%) in the antibacterial efficiency after the cold plasma treatment with N$_2$ was observed [60]. The inhibition of bacterial growth in the presence of nitrogen-containing groups was the reason for the increase in the antibacterial rate [60, 111, 112].

Plasma immersion ion implantation is another technique to change the surface texture and composition of PEEK. In a study in which ZrO$_2$ ions were implemented by plasma immersion ion implantation, the antibacterial reduction of S. aureus was detected as 62.7% [93]. ROS formation explained the reduction due to ZrO$_2$ nanoparticles on the surface and the alkaline effect that was explained by the formation of hydroxyl groups around ZrO$_2$ and increased local pH [93].

Sulfonation is a standard method to obtain a porous structure on PEEK. Besides, this method attaches SO$_3$H groups to the surface. SO$_3$H groups decreased the bacterial viability on the surface depending on the sulfur content [50]. A composite of nano magnesium silicate and PEEK showed no antibacterial property against E. coli and S. aureus. On the other hand, the antibacterial rate increased to 98.29% and 99.76%, respectively, in 24 h after sulfonation [113].
Table 2. The antibacterial effect of the modification via immobilization of functional materials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modification method</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>High (Bacterial effect &gt; 80%)</th>
<th>Moderate (Bacterial effect between 80%-50%)</th>
<th>Low (Bacterial effect &lt; 50%)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dripping and immersion</td>
<td>PDA-protected osthole nanoparticles loaded PEEK</td>
<td>Silk-fibroin and berberine</td>
<td>S. aureus, S. epidermidis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[61]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immersion</td>
<td>Muscle inspired PDA coated sulfonated PEEK</td>
<td>Moxifloxacin hydrochloride (1 mg ml(^{-1})) and osteogenic growth peptide (100 (\mu)g ml(^{-1}))</td>
<td>S. aureus, E. coli</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immersion</td>
<td>Muscle inspired PDA coated sulfonated PEEK</td>
<td>Dexamethasone</td>
<td>S. mutans and minocycline loaded liposomes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dripping</td>
<td>Zn-Mg-organic framework coated on muscle inspired PDA coated PEEK</td>
<td>Dexamethasone</td>
<td>S. aureus, E. coli</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[74]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dripping</td>
<td>Sulphonated and lyophilized PEEK</td>
<td>Recombinant mouse beta-defensin-14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dripping</td>
<td>Sulphonated Ta (40 vol %)/PEEK composite</td>
<td>Genistein (1000 (\mu)g ml(^{-1}))</td>
<td>S. aureus, E. coli</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[100]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immersion</td>
<td>PEEK/magne silicium calcium silicate</td>
<td>Resveratrol</td>
<td>S. aureus, E. coli</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[82]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covalent grafting</td>
<td>Carbon fiber reinforced PEEK/nano hydroxyapatite composite</td>
<td>CMC(^{b})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[101]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dripping</td>
<td>Nano bioglass (30 wt.%)/PEEK composite</td>
<td>Hinokitiol</td>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[102]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covalent immobilization with PDA</td>
<td>PEEK</td>
<td>K-12 (antimicrobial peptide)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[85]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combination of UV-graft polymerization and wet chemical method</td>
<td>Acrylic acid graft polymerization on PEEK</td>
<td>Chitosan</td>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dripping</td>
<td>CMC(^{a}) grafted Carbon fiber reinforced PEEK/nano hydroxyapatite composite</td>
<td>Bone forming peptide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[101]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption</td>
<td>(\text{TiO}_2/\text{ZnO}) coated PEEK</td>
<td>Vancomycin salt</td>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td></td>
<td>[76]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modification method</td>
<td>Substrate</td>
<td>Material</td>
<td>High (Bacterial effect &gt; 80%)</td>
<td>Moderate (Bacterial effect between 80%–50%)</td>
<td>Low (Bacterial effect &lt; 50%)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption</td>
<td>TiO$_2$/ZnO coated PEEK</td>
<td>Ampicillin salt</td>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td></td>
<td>[76]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption</td>
<td>TiO$_2$/ZnO coated PEEK</td>
<td>Ampicillin (50% w/v) and vancomycin (50% w/v) salt mixture</td>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td></td>
<td>[76]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reaction with mixing</td>
<td>PEEK</td>
<td>Quaternary ammonium salts</td>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td></td>
<td>[103]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV photoininsertion grafting</td>
<td>PEEK</td>
<td>Modified PEG$^b$ and quaternized poly(dimethy laminoethyl acrylate)</td>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td></td>
<td>[104]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soaking in Tollens’ reagent and reduction of [Ag(NH$_3$)$_2$]$^+$</td>
<td>PDA$^+$ coated PEEK</td>
<td>Ag nanoparticles</td>
<td>E. coli, S. aureus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[97]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immersion in MnCl$_2$ and CuCl$_2$ solutions</td>
<td>PDA$^+$ coated PEEK</td>
<td>Mn$^{2+}$ (4.52 µg ml$^{-1}$; release concentration in 24 h) and Cu$^{2+}$ (6.58 µg ml$^{-1}$; release concentration in 24 h) ions</td>
<td>S. aureus, E. coli</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[65]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfonation reaction</td>
<td>Sulfonated PEEK (13.47 wt. %)</td>
<td>SO$_3$H</td>
<td>S. aureus, E. coli</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[99]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schiff base reaction between the keto carbonyl group and EDA$^c$ in PEEK</td>
<td>Sulphuric and nitric acid mixture (1:1) treated PEEK</td>
<td>Amino groups (−NH$_2$) and NO$_2$ groups (13.69 wt.% N)</td>
<td>S. aureus, E. coli</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[84]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immersion</td>
<td>Acrylic acid graft polymerization on PEEK</td>
<td>Zn$^{2+}$ ions</td>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[105]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold plasma treatment with N$_2$</td>
<td>PEEK</td>
<td>N containing functional groups</td>
<td>S. mutans, S. aureus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immersion and sonication</td>
<td>PEEK</td>
<td>SO$_3$H</td>
<td>S. aureus, E. coli</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetron sputtering</td>
<td>Sulfonated PEEK</td>
<td>Cu nanoparticles (1.40 at. %)</td>
<td>MRSA (Adherent)</td>
<td>MRSA (Planktonic)</td>
<td></td>
<td>[62]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immersion in 0.05% w/v GO solution</td>
<td>Sulfonated PEEK</td>
<td>GO</td>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td></td>
<td>[106]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued.)
According to the study of Ouyang et al, the hydrothermal treatment of sulfonated PEEK samples decreased the sulfur content and antibacterial efficiency of E. coli [99]. For example, when the sulfur content was decreased from 13.47 wt.% to 0.74 wt.%, the antibacterial efficiency was decreased from 100% to 24% [99].

On the other hand, no change in antibacterial rate was observed for S. aureus; it stayed at 100% [99]. The difference between the antibacterial rates of E. coli and S. aureus stemmed from the different pH endurance of the two bacteria. Since E. coli produced gaseous ammonia during the transfer of Gln to Glu, neutralization of protons occurred in the acidic environment, and intracellular pH was increased [99]. On the contrary, S. aureus can endure a pH between 4.0 and 7.0 [99]. Another reason for the different antibacterial efficiency was the morphology of the two bacteria. E. coli is rod-shaped with 1 μm of diameter and can not be trapped on the porous surface of sulfonated PEEK [99]. Conversely, S. aureus has a spherical shape with a diameter of 0.5 μm and can be trapped easily in the pores of the sulfonated samples [99].

### 4.1.2. Ion immobilization

Some elements such as Ag, Cu, and Zn show antibacterial effects when coated, mixed to form a PEEK nanocomposite, or immobilized on the surface of the PEEK. Zn-doped samples increased the antibacterial efficiency by destroying bacterial nucleic acids, DNA, and RNA synthesis. It penetrates the cell wall and reacts with $-\text{SH}$, $-\text{OH}$, and $-\text{NH}_2$ groups [105]. The antibacterial effect of Cu$^{2+}$ ions was studied on the sulfonated PEEK samples. Trap killing and contact killing were the mechanisms of bacterial inhibition [62]. In vivo studies showed 97% improvement in antibacterial effectiveness by incorporating Cu$^{2+}$ ions with 1.40 at. % [62]. Fluoride (F) is another element immobilized on the PEEK surface. Argon plasma immersion ion implantation technique was applied to increase its immobilization efficiency. The mechanism of F on the antibacterial property of PEEK was explained by the inhibition of proton-translocating F$^{-}$ATPases [107].

A study on the antibacterial ability of ZIF-8 showed that it had an excellent loading capacity of Ag$^{+}$ ions with a steady release behavior.
Moreover, the gradual degradation of ZIF-8 occurred in an aqueous environment due to the hydration-deprotonation released Zn$^{2+}$ ions, which improved the antibacterial property [108].

4.1.3. Graphene oxide immobilization

GO is a carbon-based compound with functional groups such as hydroxyl, epoxy, carboxyl, carbonyl, phenol, lactone, and quinone [114]. GO immobilization on sulfonated PEEK increased the antibacterial effect against E. coli [106]. The mechanisms proposed for affecting E. coli were explained by acid endurance, shape, membrane structure, and oxidative stress caused by ROS [106]. First, GO neutralizes the surface of PEEK, which decreases the number of E. coli since E. coli has mechanisms for acid resistance. Secondly, E. coli has a rod-like shape with a diameter of about 1 μm, which the sharp edges of GO can easily deform. A thin peptidoglycan membrane of E. coli results in a decrease in its survival rate. The other mechanism was related to ROS. GO generates ROS production that causes oxidative stress. Oxidative stress results in rupture, mutation, and change in the thermal stability of DNA [106].

4.1.4. Drug immobilization

Immobilizing the drugs onto the coatings of PEEK or sulfonated PEEK samples is another technique to gain antibacterial properties. Moxifloxacin hydrochloride is a drug that inhibits DNA gyrase and topoisomerase IV and blocks DNA replication [50]. Although PEEK had no antibacterial effect, the release of moxifloxacin hydrochloride coated on PEEK increased the antibacterial effect by about 100% [50]. Vancomycin and Amphicilin are the antibiotics used to improve the antibacterial properties of PEEK. Vancomycin improved antibacterial results for S. aureus, whereas Amphicilin was a good inhibitor of E. coli [76]. An antimicrobial peptide recombinant mouse beta-defensin-14 was used to improve the antibacterial property of PEEK. It has a broad spectrum of antibiotic activity, encompassing gram-positive, gram-negative, fungi, viruses, and multi-drug resistant bacteria. It avoids immune system responses since it has a biological origin [58]. Hinokitiol is another compound with a natural origin and has antiviral, antibacterial, antifungal, antitumor, and insecticidal properties without cytotoxic effects [102]. Hinokitiol loaded on PEEK showed excellent antibacterial properties due to its slow release. The antibacterial mechanism of hinokitiol was explained by the degeneration of proteins in the bacterial membrane [102].

Mino is another antibacterial drug that breaks the association of aminoacyl-tRNA and bacterial ribosome and disintegrates bacterial cells [47]. Minocycline-loaded liposomes were immobilized on the PEEK surface to increase the antibacterial effect against S. mutans and P. gingivalis [47].

The effect of berberine as an antibacterial agent was investigated in vivo [61]. The berberine was adsorbed on sulfonated PEEK functionalized with ostehole nanoparticles (an extract of cnidium fruit that supports osteogenesis). The results showed that severe edema around PEEK implants was observed without berberine at the end of the second week [61]. Additionally, severe osteomyelitis was detected at the end of the fifth week [61]. A high degree of inflammatory hyperplastic tissue was formed around the femoral condyle, and displacement of the implants occurred [61]. On the other hand, no inflammatory response was detected for berberine-containing samples that supported collagen formation and were tightly wrapped with bone collagen [61].

Genistein is a phytoestrogen molecule extracted from soy products. It is a good antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial, and anti-carcinogenic compound, showing good biocompatibility. In a study, 40 vol. % Ta and PEEK sulfonated composites loaded with genistein showed a bacteriostatic rate above 97%. Unloaded samples showed a bacteriostatic rate of 68.37% for S. aureus and 61.02% for E. coli [100]. Similarly, genistein loading on tantalum pentoxide and PEEK composites increased the antibacterial rate from 90.27% to 100% for E. coli and 88.27% to 100% for S. aureus after sulfonation [115]. Salts of vancomycin and amphicilin combination was loaded on PEEK to obtain antibacterial property [76].

4.1.5. Immobilization with graft polymerization

UV-induced graft polymerization technique was used to introduce carboxylic groups on the surface of PEEK [45]. Acrylic acid was used as a source of the functional groups [45]. The amino groups of chitosan were attached by wet chemical methods after the carboxyl groups were formed. Presenting carboxyl groups onto the surface of PEEK increased the chitosan grafting degree by 1.4% [45]. Polystyrene sulfonate was another compound that was immobilized onto PEEK by UV. After one day of incubation, a significant decrease was observed against E. coli, S. aureus, and P. gingivalis for grafted samples [116].

Another study grafted PEG as an antifouling agent and quaternized poly(dimethylaminoethyl acrylate) as a bactericidal on the PEEK surface. As the molecular weight of PEG was increased, the hydrophilicity increased, whereas protein adsorption decreased. These changes resulted in the inhibition of cell attachment [104]. The synergistic effect of the bactericidal and antifouling parts was only achieved after PEG grafting with Mn2000 g mol$^{-1}$ as short quaternized poly(dimethylaminoethyl acrylate) chains exposed to the bacterial suspension [104]. PEG with higher molecular weight caused steric hindrance and the interaction between the bacterial wall and quaternized poly(dimethylaminoethyl acrylate) was inhibited by larger-sized PEG chains [104].
4.2. Coating with an antibacterial material

Coating PEEK with an antibacterial material is the second mainly studied method to improve the antibacterial property of PEEK. Coating techniques are separated into two: self-assembly and classical methods. The details of the coating methods applied to enhance the antibacterial properties of PEEK are summarized in table 3, with their advantages and disadvantages. The layer-by-layer self-assembly coating examples were Zn/chitosan, Ag/alginate, and brushite/gentamicin surface (figure 3). In the layer-by-layer self-assembly technique, the generation of charges between the solution and coated layer resulted in a coating of another layer [75, 117].

Surface modifications such as sulfonation or application of an adhesive coating with polyethyleneimine [118] and polystyrene sulfonate were used to overcome the chemical inactivity of the PEEK surface. Polydopamine is another compound that is widely used to form an adhesive interlayer with its large amount of free catechol groups [89]. Classical methods for coating PEEK are immersion, dip coating, precipitation, vapor deposition, magnetron sputtering, and radio-frequency co-sputtering [44, 53, 55, 56, 119–126]. There are examples of coating with one element, such as Ag\(^{+}\), Cu\(^{2+}\), Mg\(^{2+}\), red selenium, and gray selenium, and dual systems, such as hydroxyapatite with the combination of drugs, GelMA, sodium butyrate and hydrogels combined with bone-forming peptides and chlorogenic acid (figure 3). Since immersion and dip coating methods are easy and cost-effective, they are frequently preferred for coating.

The antibacterial studies of coated PEEK with different techniques and materials are listed in table 4. According to table 4, the coating systems composed of two or more agents are more pronounced. The materials in those systems have been chosen to simultaneously increase osteogenic and antibacterial abilities.

In most of the studies using the coating process to increase the antibacterial response of PEEK, osteogenic properties and biocompatibility were investigated [52, 55, 56, 59, 68, 80, 119, 128, 133, 135]. The coating of ZnO/Ag nanoparticles on PEEK showed elongated and overlapped lamellipodia in MG-63 cells, which was the indicator of healthy cells. Compared to Ag-decorated samples, enhanced cell spreading, proliferation, alkaline phosphatase activity, and osteogenesis-related genetic expression results were obtained [117]. Layer-by-layer coated brushite/gentamicin sulfate on PEEK gave acceptable biocompatibility results in vitro on MG-63 cells. Osseointegration ability in bone healing was detected In vivo experiments for the samples with 6 layers [75]. In another study, a coating system that incorporated Cu into a PDA adhesive layer was produced. The osteogenic activity of rBMSCs was measured, and angiogenesis was measured using a Matri-gel tube-forming assay using HUVEC cells. Both parameters gave superior results [126]. Moreover, illumination-sensitive and pH-sensitive systems target antibacterial and osteogenic abilities at the same time. The systems with PDA-wrapped zeolitic imidazolate framework-8, (CuFe\(_2\)O\(_4\))/GO and black tantalic oxide resulted in hypothermia and ROS generation at 808 nm NIR illumination [57, 120, 135]. The pH-responsive system included lysozyme on PEEK, showed apatite-like crystals formation due to bioglass incorporation [125]. In another study, Ag nanoparticles were trapped in PDA layers. As pH decreased, Ag\(^{+}\) ion release started, and bacterial infection occurred [138].

Bioactivity is another parameter that shows the success of the implant. Formation of the apatite structure on the surface of bone implants increases the sites for the cells to attach, proliferate, differentiate, and adsorption of proteins. The multi-layer coatings with bioglass 45S5/PEEK composite at the lower layer and silver nanoclusters/silica composite at the upper layer showed apatite-like crystals formation due to bioglass incorporation [125]. In another study, nanoporou magnesium calcium silicate was coated on PEEK with melting method. Compared with uncoated PEEK, better apatite mineralization in simulated body fluid was observed in coated samples [134]. The coating system, including PDA, nanohydroxyapatite, and lysozyme on PEEK, showed apatite-like deposits in simulated body fluid. The phenol groups in PDA impacted the biomineralization [89].

Wear properties gain importance, especially in artificial joint implants. Coating of the implant is a solution to add a wear resistance property to the material. In a study in which hard TaN-(Ag, Cu) nanocomposite films were applied on PEEK, frictional forces and wear rate decreased after annealing since Ag and Cu particles acted as solid lubricants [122].

Adhesion properties of the coating material should be investigated for coated biomaterials. The formation of the cracks on the coating material forms potential sites for bacterial growth. In a study, a multi-layer coating composed of Ag nanoparticles, silica, bioglass 45S5, and PEEK was produced by a radio-frequency co-sputtering method with a sputtering time of 15 min. Good adhesion properties were obtained with second critical load values between 17.60 and 12.82 N [125].

In recent studies, two or more constituents have been added to the coating material to enhance various properties of substrate PEEK. Adding an antibacterial ion such as Ag\(^{+}\) was a common technique used for this purpose, whereas, in some studies, more than one antibacterial constituent was used. For example, the study aimed at coating PEEK with Ag-doped tricalcium phosphate hydrate showed antibacterial properties depending on Ag concentration. Without Ag, no antibacterial property was mentioned [139].
### Table 3. Coating techniques used to improve anti-bacterial properties of PEEK.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coating technique</th>
<th>Working principle</th>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Immersion and dip coating</td>
<td>Immersing the material into the coating solution in a specified time and concentration.</td>
<td>- Easy to apply</td>
<td>Coating thickness is less controllable</td>
<td>[52, 55, 56, 68, 80, 123, 127]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Variety of materials can be coated with this method.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Not limited to line of site.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical Vapor Deposition</td>
<td>Solid precursor is vaporised and deposited on the substrate.</td>
<td>- low temperature (~75 °C in ICPECVP)</td>
<td>High temperature (~230 °C) results in degradation due to stress formation.</td>
<td>[121, 128]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Fast deposition rate (ICPECVP)</td>
<td>Vacuum is required.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Good coverage (ICPECVP)</td>
<td>Coating thickness ~500 nm can be obtained.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Not limited to line of site.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetron Sputtering</td>
<td>Ar ions accelerated and the target surface is bombarded to eject target atoms. The ejected target atoms are condensed on the substrate.</td>
<td>- Very high controllable coating thickness (~3 nm) can be obtained.</td>
<td>High cost</td>
<td>[3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- High-speed</td>
<td>Low metal ionization rate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Low-temperature deposition</td>
<td>Non-uniform etching between the targets causes inhomogeneous film thickness and low reproducibility of films.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Uniform and strong adhesion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Low damage rates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation</td>
<td>The conversion of solutions into insoluble solid particles on a substrate occurs after a reaction between salt solutions in a controlled pH.</td>
<td>- Low cost</td>
<td>Large amount of solutions are required.</td>
<td>[53]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Easy to apply</td>
<td>- The coating thickness and particle size are less controllable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- The methods of elimination of substrate from remaining solutions are time consuming.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrophoretic deposition</td>
<td>Charged colloidal particles in a liquid medium are collected on an electrically conductive substrate by applying an electrical field.</td>
<td>- Low cost and simple apparatus</td>
<td>High electrical conductivity is required for the substrate material.</td>
<td>[129]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Not limited to line of site.</td>
<td>- Poor adhesion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Low temperature process</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- High-speed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued.)
Radio frequency co-sputtering | High voltage alternating current power force sends radio waves through a vacuum chamber and creates a positively charged sputtered gas (Ar⁺) to hit the target coating material. The ejected atoms are condensed on the substrate. | - Any solid material can be coated. | - Expensive and requires high power to supply radio frequency | [-44] |

Layer by layer self assembly | - Provide a controlled and sustained release | - Applied only for charged solutions | [-117] |

Table 3. (Continued.)

Similarly, in the study which combined gelatin and vancomycin as a composite coating on PEEK, the gelatin increased the number of colonies without vancomycin [-80].

Among the studies, Ag-included coatings gave highly effective results in terms of antibacterial properties [-3, 52, 117]. Tantallic oxide was used in coating and composite forms in the studies. The
Table 4. The antibacterial effect of the modification with coating with an antibacterial material.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Coating method</th>
<th>High (Bacterial effect &gt; 80%)</th>
<th>Moderate (Bacterial effect between 80%–50%)</th>
<th>Low (Bacterial effect &lt; 50%)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PDA Chlorogenic acid (4 mg ml(^{-1})) loaded/bone forming peptide grafted sodium alginate hydrogel</td>
<td>Dip coating Immersion</td>
<td>S. aureus, E. coli</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[97]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vancomycin gelatin nanoparticles</td>
<td>Immersion and using PDA as an adhesion agent</td>
<td>S. aureus, S. mutans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[80]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS</td>
<td>Immersion and using PDA as an adhesion agent</td>
<td>S. aureus, E. coli</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[127]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TaN-(Ag, Cu (7 at. %))</td>
<td>Reactive co-sputtering and rapid thermal annealing</td>
<td>S. aureus, E. coli</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[122]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu/C:F(^{a})</td>
<td>GAS(^{b}) deposition and Radio frequency magnetron sputtering</td>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[44]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg (high purity) Ag nanoparticles (12 nm coating thickness)</td>
<td>Vapor deposition Magnetron sputtering</td>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[121]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red selenium nanoparticles</td>
<td>Precipitation method</td>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gray selenium nanorods</td>
<td>Precipitation method with 6 d of heat treatment (at 100 °C)</td>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black tantalic oxide submicron particles</td>
<td>Immersion and using PDA as an adhesion agent</td>
<td>S. aureus, E. coli (under NIR(^{c}) irradiation)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[120]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White tantalic oxide</td>
<td>Immersion and using PDA as an adhesion agent</td>
<td>S. aureus, E. coli (under NIR(^{c}) irradiation)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[120]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu II (concentration: 10 µg ml(^{-1}))</td>
<td>Immersion and using PDA as an adhesion agent</td>
<td>MRSA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[126]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO/GO</td>
<td>Dip coating</td>
<td>S. sanguinis, P. gingivalis</td>
<td></td>
<td>F. nucleatum</td>
<td>[68]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued.)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material/Materials</th>
<th>Deposition Method</th>
<th>Bacteria</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Silicon nitride</td>
<td>Inductively coupled plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition</td>
<td>S. aureus, E. coli</td>
<td>[128]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO</td>
<td>Immersion after sulfonation of PEEK</td>
<td>P. gingivalis, S. mutans</td>
<td>[59]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>Immersion after coated with PDA</td>
<td>S. aureus, S. mutans</td>
<td>[133]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nano magnesium silicate</td>
<td>Immersion</td>
<td>E. coli, S. aureus</td>
<td>[134]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(CuFe₂O₄)/GO</td>
<td>Immersion after coated with PDA</td>
<td>S. aureus, E. coli</td>
<td>[57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag alginate (1.36 at. %) /Zn chitosan (0.69 at. %) dual layer</td>
<td>Layer by layer self assembly</td>
<td>S. aureus, E. coli</td>
<td>[117]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brushite containing GS (&gt;10 µg)</td>
<td>Layer by layer deposition by immersion</td>
<td>S. aureus, E. coli</td>
<td>[75]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag nanoparticles (AgNPs) incorporated silk fibroin (SF)/GS</td>
<td>Immersion</td>
<td>S. aureus, E. coli</td>
<td>[52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nano magnesium silicate loaded with Genistein and Curcumin</td>
<td>Immersion</td>
<td>S. aureus, E. coli (under NIR² irradiation)</td>
<td>[135]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDA-wrapped Zeolitic imidazolate framework-8 (ZIF-8)</td>
<td>Electrophoretic deposition</td>
<td>S. aureus, E. coli</td>
<td>[129]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stearyltrimethyl ammonium chloride-modified HA</td>
<td>Dip-coating</td>
<td>S. marcescens</td>
<td>[136]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% TiO₂ (doped with 10 x silver carboxylate)-5% PDMS</td>
<td>Immersion</td>
<td>MRSA</td>
<td>[81]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vancomycin loaded PLGA²-PEG-PLGA² hydrogel</td>
<td>Immersion</td>
<td>S. aureus, E. coli</td>
<td>[89]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysozyme on PDA-nano HA composite</td>
<td>Immersion</td>
<td>S. aureus, E. coli</td>
<td>[89]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Cu deposition was applied for 2 min and C:F thickness was 10 nm.
²GAS deposition: Haberland-type gas aggregation source was used to deposit Cu nanoparticles.
²Near-infrared.
²Poly(l-lactic acid-co-glycolic acid).
antibacterial properties were less pronounced in the composite form and without NIR. In the coating form, black tantalic oxide showed an antibacterial ratio higher than 90% under NIR illumination [120]. On the other hand, white tantalic oxide results stayed at a ratio lower than 50% [120]. Black tantalic oxide was the processed version of white tantalic oxide. Structural defects and oxygen vacancies were produced on white tantalic oxide with the magnesium thermal reduction method. Unlike white tantalic oxide, black tantalic oxide had high photothermal effects, increasing the local temperature under NIR and killing the bacteria [120]. Compared to other metallic nanoparticles, selenium gave less effective results [53]. The biofilm formation could not be prevented entirely and increased in three days, however, the density of *P. aeruginosa* decreased in grey and red selenium-coated samples compared to uncoated PEEK [53]. Loading antibacterial drugs or compounds in the coating has been an effective solution to increase the antibacterial properties of PEEK. For example, the slow release of curcumin (65.17% of curcumin was released in 336 h) reduced the amount of *E. coli* from 54.87% to 98.59% and *S. aureus* from 48.71% to 99.62% [134].

The responses to the coating material changed according to the type of bacteria. It was shown that ZnO/GO coatings on PEEK gave higher antibacterial rates against initial colonizer *S. sanguinis* (∼97%) and late colonizer *P. gingivalis* (∼89%) [68]. On the other hand, middle-stage colonizer *F. nucleatum* was affected less compared to the initial and late stage colonizer examples. Therefore, it was important to study the effects on *S. sanguinis* and *P. gingivalis* in the biomaterials studies for dental applications [68].

4.2.1. Coating with ions

Silver, copper, and zinc are antibacterial ions directly coated or incorporated into the coating material. Silver-containing coatings possessed cytotoxicity when the percentage of silver in the compound was 0.21 wt. % [140]. The thickness of the coating of Ag nanoparticles is another important parameter for the antibacterial property. For example, 3 nm of coating showed 99.4% and 99.7% antibacterial rates against *S. mutans* and *S. aureus*, respectively [3]. When the thickness was increased to 9 nm, a 100% antibacterial effect against the two bacteria species was observed [3]. The number of adhered colonies was consistent with the antibacterial rate results [3]. Ag+ doping is another technique to provide Ag+ ion release. An Ag+ doped TiO2/PDMS hybrid coating was produced for antibacterial purposes [48]. As the Ag+ doping amount was increased in the coating, the optical density, the indicator of *S. aureus* bacterial concentration, decreased [48]. The release of Ag+ ions was dependent on the TiO2/PDMS content. The total inhibition was seen at 38.4 µl of Ag [48]. *S. epidemidis* was more sensitive than *S. aureus*. Total inhibition was seen at the same Ag concentration (38.4 µl). However, the optical density values dramatically decreased even at a doping volume of 2 µl [48]. A sustained release was obtained for the study with between 58% and 65% release of Ag at the end of the 1000th hour. When the amount was reduced by 10 fold, the release amount decreased to between 7% and 10%. The initial burst was seen in the first 150 h [48]. In another study, PDA was used as a carrier material of Ag and coated on PEEK [97]. A release profile with an Ag amount between 5% and 10% was seen in 20 d. Additionally, this study showed that the initial burst of Ag inhibited MC3T3-E1 cells in first 3 d [97]. Therefore, the addition amount should be adjusted to so that it should not cause cytotoxicity. The carrier material and antibacterial agent also define the release profile. In a study, cefuroxime sodium salt antibiotic loaded on hydroxyapatite and a burst release resulted in a release amount between 86.1% and 96% in 24 h [141]. The high porosity of the carrier and weak bonds between the antibacterial agent and carrier resulted in a high initial burst. Similarly, the coating of gentamycin sulphate-loaded brushite on PEEK lost all gentamycin sulphate before 72 h [75]. Moreover, the antibacterial agent form is important. The salt form of cefuroxime was not chemically stable and suggested to be used by local systems [141].

In another study [44], the antibacterial effect of Cu nanoparticles was screened by C:F thin film, a thin layer used to stabilize Cu nanoparticles on the surface of the PEEK. The Cu layer was washed away without the C:F thin film. On the other hand, 40 nm of thickness resulted in a bacteriostatic surface without reduction of bacterial growth [44]. The optimum C:F film thickness was 10 nm, which enabled the stabilization of Cu nanoparticles and water penetration into the Cu layer [44]. The water penetration led to the dissolution of Cu2+ ions and the initiation of the antibacterial effect.

Mg2+ is another ion that is used for obtaining antibacterial PEEK. Highly pure Mg was coated on PEEK with the vapor deposition technique. In 21 d, Mg coating degraded with an effect of 99% antibacterial rate on *S. aureus*. The mechanism of bacterial inhibition was explained by strong alkali environment formation by releasing Mg2+ ions [121].

The processing parameters affected the antibacterial property. In a study investigating the dual ion incorporation as a coating material, Ag and Cu particles were nucleated and grown on the TaN matrix using rapid thermal annealing at 200 °C [122]. As the annealing time increased to 8 min, the antibacterial efficiency increased from ∼55% to 70% and ∼60%∼80% for *S. aureus* and *E. coli*, respectively (the deposition was 2 at. %) [122]. The augmented number of Ag and Cu particles on the surface with annealing time explained the improved antibacterial property. Ag+ and Cu2+ ions destroyed the bacterial membrane. It was also inferred that *E. coli* was
affected more than \textit{S. aureus} due to their high sensitivity to Ag$^+$ ions appearing on the surface more quickly than Cu$^+$ ions [122]. In another system, dual-metal-organic frameworks were used as an antibacterial coating for PEEK [74]. Besides its drug carrier ability, it released metal ions (Zn$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$) and 2,5-dihydroxystilbene-4,4′-dione, increasing the pH and forming an alkali environment on the surface. The increase in pH reached 8.4 in 24 h, which inhibited bacteria with about 100% efficiency [74].

4.2.2. Coating with a drug carrier material

The antibacterial effect can be provided by coating with a carrier of an antibacterial drug. For example, antibiotics can be loaded on coating materials such as HA. In a study [141], HA showed no antibacterial properties compared to the control unless ceftoxime sodium salt antibiotic was loaded. Another antibiotic coated on PEEK was GS. It was a broad-spectrum antibiotic with low toxicity for the human body. GS was coated on PEEK by mixing with a ceramic material, brushite [75]. According to the fluorescence spectrophotometer measurement at 340 nm, the release amounts of GS from the coating system were detected as >10 µg, >60 µg, and >100 µg in simulated body fluid. These release amounts resulted in 100% inhibition of \textit{S. aureus} up to day 5. The antibacterial coating started to lose its activity after the fourth day [75]. Silk was another alternative to obtain a sustained release of gentamicin. When the combination was coated on SrCO$_3$-PDA-modified PEEK, it significantly improved the antibacterial effect of PEEK and sulfonated PEEK [142]. Nano magnesium silicate was an alternative bioactive ceramic material to load a natural antibacterial compound, curcumin [134]. Similarly, tobramycin, an antibacterial drug, was used to gain antibacterial properties in PEEK samples. The antibacterial mechanism of tobramycin was explained as preventing the translation from mRNA into protein. Modification of the PEEK samples by combining tobramycin with GelMA increased osteogenicity. Tobramycin screened the bacterial viability effect of GelMA [55].

Butyrate, a fermentation product of gut microbiota, possessed anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial, and immunomodulatory properties. Sodium butyrate increases the phagocytic activity of macrophages by improving the release of ROS [56]. Chlorogenic acid is another compound that has antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effects. It has been used in a sodium alginate hydrogel system with a combination of bone formin peptides [123]. Coating PEEK with crosslinked benzophenone-substituted hydrogel showed up to log5 fold effective against MRSA and \textit{E.coli}. Therefore, biofilm formation was significantly reduced. Benzophenone is used to design anti-adhesive and antimicrobial surfaces with improved cell viability [143]. In another study, a traditional Chinese medicine-inspired compound, total alkaloids from \textit{Semen Strychnine} (TASS), was used to enhance antibacterial properties. The bacterial inhibition rate against \textit{S. aureus} and \textit{E. coli} increased with TASS content. TASS had healing effects on antiinflammation and analgesia, according to the \textit{in vivo} studies [144]. A mouse ear swelling test was applied to detect the antiinflammation by weighing the control group and samples after the treatment [145]. The analgesic effect was observed by the formalin test. Formalin solution was injected into drug-treated mice, and their reactions were graded after 10, 30, 60, and 90 min [145].

Polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) and PLGA were two polymers used as drug carriers coated on sulfonated PEEK by cyclic freezing and thawing. The initial burst of vancomycin hydrochloride-loaded PVA increased antibacterial activity, whereas the sustained release of Dexamethasone-loaded PLGA enhanced osseointegration [146]. In another study, PLGA was used to trap vancomycin and ampicillin salts to obtain \textit{S. aureus} bacterial inhibition by over 40% in 30 d [147].

4.2.3. Coating with oxides

Coating with oxides gained importance in photothermal therapy. They showed antibacterial properties with near-infrared irradiation. Black bioactive materials became popular due to photothermal therapy. They can transform the energy of near-infrared light into heat energy. Therefore, photothermal therapy has proposed a solution for bacterial destruction. Black tantalic oxide coating on PEEK showed considerable antibacterial properties with NIR irradiation [120]. A bone channel with a 1.5 mm diameter was formed at the femur of rat samples. The specified amount of \textit{S. aureus} suspension was injected, and sterilized samples were implanted into the bone channel. NIR irradiation was prolonged for 5 min to three days. A thermal imager was used to detect the temperature changes. The implanted samples were removed after 14 d, and the bacteria on the surface of the implanted samples were collected by ultrasonic vibration. The specified amount of diluted bacterial suspension was spread onto the agar plate and incubated at 37 °C for one day. \textit{In vivo} studies showed that the temperature increased to 51.8 °C in black tantalic oxide-coated PEEK with NIR irradiation. The corresponding antibacterial rate was 93.1% [120]. Copper ferrite (CuFe$_2$O$_4$)/GO is another coating material showing strong antibacterial properties after photothermal activation. The antibacterial property was provided by localized hyperthermia and ROS generation at 808 nm NIR illumination. GO addition increased the antibacterial rate from 83.85% to 99.94% against \textit{S.aureus} and 76.43% to 99.57% against \textit{E.coli} [57]. In another study, CuS/GO system was used to obtain antibacterial efficiency under NIR at 808 nm. The local temperature increased to 58.4 °C around the material subcutaneously implanted in mice. \textit{In vivo
antibacterial efficiency against S. aureus was detected as 99.9% after applying NIR at 808 nm for 10 min in samples with glucose oxidase immobilization after being coated with PDA/CuS/GO [148]. GO and bone-forming protein immobilized surface of PEEK showed an improved antibacterial effect after treating with NIR at 808 nm for 10 min. The antibacterial rate reached ∼97.55% and ∼90.57% against S. aureus and E. coli, respectively [109].

4.3. Composites with antibacterial properties

Forming composites is another method to improve the antibacterial properties of PEEK. Ceramics are the most common reinforcement materials used in the studies. In addition to ceramics, organic compounds such as gelatin, drugs such as lactam, and total alkaloids have been studied (figure 4). Table 5 lists the composite materials with their antibacterial effects on PEEK.

In some of the studies, it was reported that the reaction of bacteria changed according to their types. For example, a study that investigated the antibacterial properties of (GO), carbon fibers, and PEEK composite on Ti-6Al-4 V showed that the cell membrane structure defined the antibacterial properties. Graphene oxide showed a nano-blade effect and concurrently resulted in the chemical extraction of cell membrane lipids concurrently. Compared to Gram-negative E. coli (with a membrane consisting of a thin peptidoglycan layer and an outer lipid membrane) and Gram-positive S. mutans with a thick capsule, S. aureus (with a membrane consisting of thick peptidoglycan) is more vulnerable to the effects of GO and showed better antibacterial rate [94]. In the case of Total alkaloids from Semen Strychnine (TASS), an antibacterial drug, loaded PEEK/ polyglycolide acid composite, E. coli gave superior results compared to S. aureus due to its thinner cytoderm that enabled TASS infiltration. The cell membrane thickness of E. coli was about 10 nm, whereas this value varied between 20 and 80 nm for S. aureus [144]. Tantallum-included systems gave moderate to low antibacterial rates in the studies reviewed [115, 149]. Therefore, a combination with genistein improved the antibacterial rate [115]. The mechanism was explained by the electrostatic interaction between negative charges of the bacterial cell membrane and positive charges of the tantallum ions on the surface. The interaction caused cell wall leakage and bacterial cell death [115].
Table 5. The antibacterial effect of the modification with reinforcements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reinforcement</th>
<th>Production method</th>
<th>High (Bacterial effect &gt; 80%)</th>
<th>Moderate (Bacterial effect between 80%–50%)</th>
<th>Low (Bacterial effect &lt; 50%)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drugs, Peptides, Proteins and Polymers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TASS (7.5 wt. %) and PGA&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; Lactam (0.931 mg g&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>Selective Laser Sintering Solution mixing with sulfonated PEEK</td>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td>S. mutans (In biofilm form)</td>
<td></td>
<td>[144]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; (16 wt. %)</td>
<td>Injection molding</td>
<td>E. coli, B. subtilis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; (12 wt. %)</td>
<td>Injection molding</td>
<td>E. coli, B. subtilis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; (8 wt. %), SiO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; (8 wt. %) Nano-ZnO (7.5 wt. %)</td>
<td>Injection molding</td>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td>B. subtilis</td>
<td></td>
<td>[51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO (7.5 wt. %) modified with silane coupling agent (APTM&lt;sub&gt;b&lt;/sub&gt;)</td>
<td>Cryogenic ball-milling and compression moulding</td>
<td>E. coli, S. aureus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[154]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanofluorohydroxyapatite (5 wt. %)</td>
<td>Powder blending and compression molding</td>
<td>S. mutans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[91]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-S&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;N&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt; (15 vol. %)</td>
<td>Twin-screw extrusion</td>
<td>S. epidermidis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[54]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-S&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;N&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt; (15 vol. %)</td>
<td>Twin-screw extrusion</td>
<td>S. epidermidis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[54]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-SiYAlON&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; (15 vol. %) Black Phosphorus (0.5 wt. %)</td>
<td>Twin-screw extrusion and compression molding</td>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[92]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nano-Tantalum (50% v/v)</td>
<td>Cold pressing sintering</td>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td></td>
<td>[149]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nano-Silicon nitride (50% v/v)</td>
<td>Cold pressing sintering</td>
<td>E. coli, S. aureus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[149]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nano-Ag-TiO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; (4 wt. %)</td>
<td>Powder mixing and compression molding</td>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[155]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tantalum pentoxide (50% v/v)</td>
<td>Cold pressing and sintering</td>
<td>E. coli, S. aureus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[115]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO (0.02 wt. %)/Carbon fibers (25 wt. %)</td>
<td>Twin-screw extrusion and grinding</td>
<td>S. aureus, S. mutans</td>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td></td>
<td>[94]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued.)
The mechanical properties are not altered by changing the surface properties. The composite production method enables tailoring the mechanical properties of PEEK. PEEK polymer has an elastic modulus (3–4 GPa), which can be tailored to have close values with cortical bone (18 GPa) [8]. The implant’s elastic modulus that matches the bone results in reduction in stress shielding. A study analyzed stress distribution at the implant and bone interface using 3D-FEM. This property is important in terms of the initial stability of the implant and directly affects the success of the implant. The composition with 8 wt.% TiO$_2$, 8 wt.% SiO$_2$ and 84 wt.% PEEK gave the minimum stress distribution with trapezium profile thread [51].

Wear is another problem that causes implant failure by loosening or debris formation. Wear resistance and friction coefficient are essential parameters for artificial joint composites. ZnO nanoparticles were incorporated to obtain a wear-resistant PEEK implant material. When the addition amount was 5 wt.%, the wear rate decreased by 68% compared to PEEK polymer [63]. Black phosphorus is another reinforcement used in PEEK composite to improve the wear properties. The wear rate decreased 95% when 10 wt.% PTFE and 0.5 wt.% black phosphorus were included in the PEEK structure [92]. Black phosphorus formed a transfer film with Van der Waals force, providing a good adhesion with tribopairs [92]. PEEK composite coatings with carbon fiber (25 wt.%) and GO (0.02 wt.) improved the wear resistance of Ti–6Al–4V alloy [94]. The composites of PEEK/ nano-ZnO (7.5 wt.%) and short carbon fiber (15 wt%) showed better wear performance and lower friction coefficient compared to the pristine PEEK [150].

Antibacterial and osteogenic properties were also investigated concurrently in most of the studies. The observations based on fluorescence staining showed that the morphology of mouse chondroblasts ADTC5 cells had a flat strip shape on day seven, which was the indicator of proliferation and differentiation [63]. Similarly, nanoTiO$_2$ (addition amounts: 1, 3, 5, and 7 wt.%) enhanced the proliferation and attachment of MG-63 cells when it is used as a reinforcement in PEEK/PGA blend [79]. 40 wt.% addition of nano-fluorohydroxyapatite into PEEK improved alkaline phosphatase activity and biomineralization activity of MG-63 cells (human osteoblast-like cells) [91]. Silicon nitride/PEEK (v/v 50%) and Tantalum/PEEK (v/v 50%) enhanced MC3T3-E1 cell proliferation and differentiation in vitro and new bone formation and osseointegration in vivo [149].

The systems with antibiotics are effective in planktonic bacteria. However, when the bacteria become colonized and form biofilms, the concentration of antibiotics used in the treatments should be increased a thousand times [90]. Therefore, the antibiotic efficacy is an important property for an implant material. In a PEEK/lactam composite coating study, the prevention of biofilm formation is provided by lactam addition after surface functionalization with sulfonation [90]. Similarly, the biofilm formation deteriorated by 40 wt.% nano-fluorohydroxyapatite addition into PEEK. There were lots of dead S. mutans bacteria detected on the samples compared to pristine PEEK after adding 40 wt.% nano-fluorohydroxyapatite. Fluoride ions inhibit bacterial metabolism and dental plaque acidogenicity [91].

In the case of nano-ZnO, a gradual increase in antibacterial properties with nano-ZnO addition was seen [63, 154]. The maximum antibacterial property was reached at 7.5 wt. % [154]. Modifying ZnO nanoparticles with a silane coupling agent, APTMS, prevented the release of ZnO nanoparticles. The increasing trend of the antibacterial property with ZnO content was explained by ROS (H$_2$O$_2$) generation [154]. The different responses of E. coli and S. aureus against H$_2$O$_2$ supported the experimental results since E. coli
is affected more by ZnO content [154]. The effect of short carbon fiber in the ZnO/PEEK composite structure was investigated. The 15 wt.% addition of short carbon fiber increased the zone of inhibition from 11.95 mm to 28.9 mm for E. coli and 11.43–22.2 mm for S. aureus [150]. The gradual increase of antibacterial effect for n-TiO$_2$ was up to 5 wt.% [79]. Adding n-TiO$_2$ higher than 5 wt.% reduced the effective surface-to-volume ratio due to the formation of clusters. Therefore, the interaction between the material and bacteria decreased [79]. Another reinforcement, S$_3$N$_4$, affected the antibacterial property of PEEK. The reaction between the aqueous environment and S$_3$N$_4$ released ammonia and silicic acid from the surface of S$_3$N$_4$. Ammonia increased the extracellular pH and triggered the formation of free radicals, resulting in death in some bacteria [54]. Similarly, another silicon-based compound, silicic acid included –NH$_3$+ ions to the environment. The negatively charged bacterial cell wall interacted with positively charged ions and destructed. Moreover, the alkaline environment formed by –NH$_3$+ ions affected biofilm formation negatively [149].

Besides ceramics, an organic compound, gelatin was used as a reinforcement. Gelatin killing counts were 32.6% and 39.2% against S. aureus and E. coli, respectively. It was shown that PEEK/gelatin nanocomposites studied in hydrogel form increased bacterial killing counts from 23.3% (for pure PEEK) to 57.1% against S. aureus and 34.7% (for pure PEEK) to 61.8% against E. coli. The PEEK/gelatin weight ratio was 1.73 to obtain the hydrogel [64].

### 4.4. Changing the surface topography

Surface topography is a property that defines surface adhesion. The surface features smaller than the bacterial size inhibit the bacterial attachment [156–160]. The methods for the surface texture of PEEK for better antibacterial efficiency are cold plasma treatment, sulfonation, plasma immersion ion implantation, and micro/nano array formation by colloidal lithography, plasma etching, forming laser-induced periodic surface structures (figure 3). Table 6 summarizes the treatments to change surface topography to improve the antibacterial property of PEEK. Changing the surface topography is the least studied, and relatively less effective results were obtained regarding antibacterial properties. The plasma immersion ion implantation method is widely used to change the surface topography. This method gave relatively high antibacterial results for the Zn and O ions combination and TiO$_2$ ions [161, 162]. On the other hand, cold plasma technique with Ar improved the antibacterial property below 20%, and the reduction was improved with the contribution of N$_2$ [60].

Colloidal lithography and plasma etching have produced cones and pillars in nano and micro dimensions. The antibacterial tests on E. coli showed that the dimensions and density of microstructures gave more effective results than nanostructures. Moreover, the cone shape increased the antibacterial rate compared to the pillar shape due to its sharp edges [168]. In another study, the KrF excimer laser was used to obtain ∼100 nm wide stripes on the PEEK surface. Moreover, the structure was decorated with Ag nanoparticles. The surface structured samples showed better antibacterial properties, although they had lower Ag concentration than the untreated samples [169].

As seen in table 6, zinc and oxygen plasma immersion ion implantation on carbon fiber reinforced PEEK gave the highest antibacterial results on MRSA, S. aureus and S. epidermidis in 24 h compared to plasma immersion implantation of N$_2$ [161, 167]. The trap-killing mechanism of the surface topography by forming micro pits with dimensions (∼800 nm) that fit the bacteria showed a reduction higher than 90% in MRSA, S. aureus and S. epidermidis. In contrast, no antibacterial effect was seen on E. coli and P. aeruginosa [161]. In the case of TiO$_2$ plasma immersion ion implantation, nanoparticles with the dimension of about 20 nm prevent bacterial adhesion of S. mutans, F. nucleatum and P. gingivalis by providing less attachment area [162]. Moreover, antibacterial longevity was tested for 28 d and above 80% of antibacterial rates were obtained. Similarly, the significant difference between the antibacterial rates of S. aureus (71.4%) and E. coli (5.3%) stemmed from their different shapes. E. coli has an elongated shape that reduces the direct contact with the surface of PEEK treated with TiO$_2$ plasma immersion ion implantation and decreases the effect of ROS [166].

PEEK polymer is classified as bioinert; therefore, in most of the applications, osseointegration is limited. Since its high chemical resistance, the modification techniques gain importance in studies of PEEK as an implanted biomaterial. Similar techniques to increase antibacterial properties are applied to increase biocompatibility of PEEK. Cold plasma technique with argon and N$_2$, was shown in vitro to increase the biocompatibility [60]. The best results for osteogenic activity were obtained for the N$_2$ treated samples. Therefore, those samples showed an efficient race-for-the-surface property. Similarly, the nitric and sulphuric acid mixture increased bioactivity and cell biocompatibility [84]. When the surface was treated with argon plasma immersion ion implantation and hydrofluoric acid, rat bone mesenchymal stem cell adhesion, spreading, proliferation and ALP activity enhanced on the fluorinated surface of PEEK [107].

#### 4.4.1. Cold plasma technique

Cold plasma is a nonthermal technique that uses partially ionized positive and negative ions, free radicals, gas-containing molecules, and charged particles
Figure 5. The methods for changing PEEK’s surface and addition of functional groups. The methods widely used are sulfonation, cold plasma treatment, and plasma immersion ion implantation. Sulfonation, other acid treatmets, and plasma immersion ion implantation methods change the surface topography and incorporate ions such as SO$_3$$^-$$\cdot$H, NO$_2$$^-$$\cdot$, Zn$^{2+}$, and O$^{2-}$ to the surface [64, 99, 161]. The cold plasma technique changed the surface roughness and dendritic or scaly nanoprotrusions were obtained on the surface [60]. The figures that represent cold plasma treatment [163] and plasma immersion ion implantation [164] figures are adapted with permission. Colloidal lithography figure is reprinted (adapted) with permission from [165]. Copyright 2017 American Chemical Society [163], [05/07/2022], adapted with permission of the publisher (Taylor & Francis Ltd, www.tandfonline.com.) Adapted from [164]. CC BY 4.0. Reprinted with permission from [165]. Copyright (2017) American Chemical Society.

Table 6. The antibacterial effect of the modification with changing the surface topography.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modification method</th>
<th>High (Bacterial effect &gt; 80%)</th>
<th>Moderate (Bacterial effect between 80-50%)</th>
<th>Low (Bacterial effect &lt;50%)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cold plasma with Ar</td>
<td>S. mutans, S. aureus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold plasma with Ar (90%) and N$_2$ (10%)</td>
<td>S. mutans, S. aureus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma immersion ion implantation (Nanostructured TiO$_2$)</td>
<td>F. Nucleatum</td>
<td>S. mutans, P. gingivalis, S. aureus</td>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td>[162, 166]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixing with HNO$_3$ (conc:65%) and H$_2$SO$_4$ (conc:98%) (1:1) and refluxing with ethylenediamine</td>
<td>S. aureus, E. coli</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[84]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc and oxygen plasma immersion ion implantation on carbon fiber reinforced PEEK</td>
<td>S. aureus, S. epidemidis, MRSA</td>
<td></td>
<td>E. coli, P. aeruginosa</td>
<td>[161]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen plasma immersion ion implantation</td>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[167]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argon plasma immersion ion implantation</td>
<td>P. gingivalis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[107]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colloidal lithography and plasma etching of polystyrene spheres</td>
<td>E. coli (Nanocones)</td>
<td>E. coli (Nanopillars)</td>
<td></td>
<td>[168]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
in the form of electrons and protons. The method is used for bonding, curing polymers, and increasing antibacterial effectiveness [170].

Changing the gas composition in the cold plasma technique resulted in different topographic structures. For example, 25 min of treatment with Ar showed regularly arranged scaly nanoprotrusions, whereas N₂ formed dendritic nanoprotrusions. When the gas composition was arranged as 90% Ar/10% N₂, an unorganized and scaly texture with the densest and finest nanoprotrusions was observed according to the SEM results [60]. Therefore, the highest antibacterial rate was seen for the samples treated with a gas content of 90% Ar/10% N₂. The area for bacterial adhesion and the interaction between bacteria and the surface decreased. The morphology of S. aureus was observed with SEM on cold plasma-treated PEEK samples [60]. Bacteria have a spherical shape on untreated samples with a smooth and continuous cell membrane.

On the other hand, in the samples treated with 90% Ar/10% N₂, the bacteria chain length was shorter, and destructed cell membranes were observed. Pre-annealing (10 °C min⁻¹–180 °C) changed the types of nanolamellae formed by argon plasma treatment for 45 min [171]. Verticle and tilted nano lamellae increased antibacterial efficiency against S. aureus and E. coli, significantly compared to PEEK samples treated with argon plasma treatment for 5 min [171]. Without annealing, verticle nano lamellae were obtained. Verticle nano lamellae were more destructive against S. aureus and E. coli than tilted nano lamellae that were produced with pre-annealing [171].

Moreover, roughness and breakage in the cell membrane were observed, indicating better antibacterial properties than untreated samples [60]. Similarly, SEM and live/dead staining images showed that E. coli and S. aureus had irregular shapes due to bacterial lysis and distortion of the membranes after Ar-plasma treatment of sulfonated PEEK for 5 and 10 min [46]. Since plasma treatment formed nanoprotrusions on the surface, E. coli (1 μm) and S. aureus (0.5 μm) contact area decreased. Therefore, the adhesion of bacteria was inhibited [46]. An electrostatic repulsion between the surface and the bacteria was formed by Ar-plasma treatment, adding carboxyl, hydroxyl, and sulfonic acid groups on the surface, increasing electronegativity [46].

4.4.2. Plasma immersion ion implantation

Plasma immersion ion implantation is a technique for changing the surface topography of semiconductors, metals and dielectrics [172]. In this technique, the target is surrounded by the plasma; therefore, the method can be used for 3D geometries [173]. The flux of energetic plasma ions is implanted on the surface using high negative voltages [172]. TiO₂, Zn²⁺, and O²⁻ ions and N₂ are molecules used to obtain a surface texture on PEEK with antibacterial properties by using this technique.

TiO₂ nanopores with 150–200 nm diameters were obtained by plasma immersion ion implantation on carbon fibers and PEEK composites [162]. Since there was no release of Ti ions from the structure, the antibacterial improvement was attributed to the surface structure containing 20 nm nanoparticles [162, 166]. The small dimension of the nanoparticles decreased the contact area between the substrate and bacteria and inhibited bacterial adhesion. Moreover, according to x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy results, possible vacancies of oxygens in the nanostructure increased with the depth of TiO₂ [162]. Oxygen vacancies in TiO₂ were highly reactive and produced ROS, damaging bacteria [162].

Zinc and oxygen ions were implemented on carbon fiber-reinforced PEEK using the plasma immersion ion implantation method [161]. According to the antibacterial studies, there was no significant change in antibacterial efficiency with a low amount of Zn ion release. The results showed that the topography, which formed micro-pits with a diameter of about 800 nm, impacted the antibacterial properties of S. aureus, MRSA, and S. epidemidis [161]. The bacteria with the similar size micro-pits (800 nm) were trapped in the pits and could not provide interconnectivity with the bacterium. Therefore, biofilm formation was inhibited [161]. N₂-treated samples with the same method showed a 19% reduction in bacterial growth [167]. The surface roughness of the treated samples was lower than 900 nm which was within the limit for bacterial attachment (between 10 nm and 900 nm) [167]. Therefore, the effect of N₂-containing functional groups on bacterial activity was hypothesized [167].

5. Conclusion and prospect

According to the studies aimed at designing new biomaterials with antibacterial properties for infection control in dental and orthopedic surgery applications, immobilization of an antibacterial agent provides a more controllable environment in which the release profile of the immobilized compounds can be adjusted easily without changing the dimensions of the implant. For example, 6 months should be covered for better attachment to avoid early-stage dental implant failure. Moreover, immobilization eliminates the cracks and bulky release of the antibacterial material compared to the coatings. Another critical issue is the timing of the osteoblast attachment and bacterial attachment. The race-for-the-surface between the osteoblasts and bacteria defines the success of the implant. Therefore, antibacterial agents possessing longevity in release and functional compounds enhancing osteoblast attachment.
are required. Dual systems formed by immobilizing an antibacterial agent and compounds with osteogenic origin promote osteoblast attachment and inhibit bacteria simultaneously. Therefore, those systems are superior to PEEK nanocomposites and coated PEEK in the scope of antibacterial effects. Forming nanocomposites, coating, and changing surface topography can enhance the immobilization of functional materials and support them in antibacterial ability.

The limitations of PEEK used in dental applications as endo-crowns and abutments are loosening and infection [18]. Modifications to prevent loosening and infection are listed as sustained release of antibacterial material, a composition showing high osseointegration, and elastic modulus values close to bone located in reconstruction areas. Regarding orthopedic implants, PEEK is used as cranioplasty and maxillofacial reconstruction implants, fixation devices, spinal implants and joint replacements (knee and hip) [18]. In spinal implants, poor osseointegration is detected as a main problem. Loosening and infection are common for the cranioplasty and maxillofacial reconstruction implants, fixation devices, and joint replacements [18].

Mechanical properties can be altered by only producing composites, whereas osseointegration and antibacterial properties can be enhanced by coating, immobilizing molecules on the surface, and changing the surface texture. The elastic modulus can be increased using reinforcements such as TiO₂ and SiO₂ to provide better osseointegration [51]. Moreover, sustained release should be provided to support osteoblasts for race-for-the surface for the coating application with a start of a burst release. A sudden drug release between five to seven days should be provided to prevent biofilm formation. Since most of the studies were conducted at pH 7.4, the compounds that are effective in several pH values should be used according to the application area, especially for dental applications, because of sudden changes in pH of the oral environment. Therefore, a layer-by-layer approach would be an good solution to control the release amount and time [51, 117]. It is possible to construct a system that provides a burst release of an antibacterial agent at the first layer and a sustained release of an antibacterial agent combination with a compound that supports osseointegration. Ag⁺ ion gave inhibition rates of bacteria higher than 90% in all types of applications [3, 52, 117]. Therefore, a compound including Ag⁺ ion would be a good choice as a burst release layer. However, cytotoxicity should be considered because initial burst of Ag⁺ inhibited MC3T3-E1 cells in the first 3 d [97]. The high porosity of the carrier and weak bonds between the antibacterial agent and carrier resulted in a high initial burst [75].

Photothermal therapy is another approach to regulating antibacterial properties. A sudden increase in the temperature at the infection site can be provided using the photothermal ability of coated materials instead of the burst release of an antibacterial agent [120, 135].

The spectrum of the antibacterial agent is another parameter that should be considered. An antibacterial agent with a broad spectrum is required for dental applications since the oral environment contains several types of bacteria that result in oral diseases [174]. As a testing model, bacteria representing the initial (S. sanguini) and late (P.gingivalis) colonization periods should be chosen [68]. On the other hand S. marcescens becomes prominent for the spinal infections [136]. Therefore, drugs with broad spectrum should be chosen for more efficient antibacterial therapy. The amount of antibacterial agent is also a parameter to regulate the antibacterial properties. The reduction in concentration decreased the release amount [48].

The bioinertness and chemically inertness of PEEK are the challenges researchers face during their studies. Surface modification techniques such as sulfonation and coating with PDA were used in most of the studies to overcome chemical inertness of PEEK. The proposed systems should support antibacterial and osteogenic properties to overcome the bioinertness. The antibacterial agent's type and its concentration optimization are crucial parameters. For example, although Ag is a superior antibacterial agent, it causes cytotoxicity. Therefore, the amount of Ag should be optimized. The most used modification techniques to obtain the antibacterial property are immobilizing an antibacterial agent and coating PEEK with an antibacterial material. Those modifications are obtained by immersion and dip coating. Although those methods are easy to apply and cost-effective, non-homogenous surfaces can be obtained which resulted in failed results. Another problem is to find a broad spectrum antibacterial material. As seen in most of the studies, the response of bacteria changes based on the antibacterial agent.

Smart biomaterials are another area that emerged in the field [175]. Since there were some examples of photo-responsive [57, 120, 135] and pH-responsive [137, 138] systems for PEEK, other techniques such as enzyme-responsive, electrical stimuli-responsive, vibration-responsive and magnetic-responsive systems can be developed for PEEK implants to provide a solution-oriented approach and better control over drug release, biocompatibility and antibacterial efficiency [175]. Salivary and bacterial enzymes can be used as a stimulus for releasing antibacterial agents. The charges on the biofilms are the starting point of the electrical-stimuli responsive materials. The combination with Fe₂O₃ adds a magnetic responsiveness to the material. BaTiO₃ gives a piezoelectric property to the material affects biofilms when there is only mechanical stimulation [176].

A successful biomaterial for implants should provide the best osseointegration to avoid implant
rejection and revision. Although PEEK has an advantage in its mechanical properties, it is a bioinert material and requires modifications to render antibacterial and osseointegration properties. Being a chemically inert biomaterial, PEEK challenges the researchers in the field in modification techniques. The most widely used techniques to overcome PEEK’s chemical inertness are sulfonation and PDA coating. Bioactive molecules/compounds are attached to the surface of PEEK with the help of those techniques to bring antibacterial and biocompatibility properties to this material.

This review summarizes the strategies to improve PEEK’s antibacterial properties. Moreover, the measurement methods and the mechanisms of bacterial inhibition are explained. According to the studies analyzed, the immobilization of functional groups and compounds has been studied the most. It was reasonable since immobilizing the functional groups provided a more controllable release profile for antibacterial purposes. Moreover, the osteogenic properties of the PEEK can be tailored by adding different functional groups. Ag⁺ ions, Ag nanoparticles, and various antibiotics for immobilization are mainly used agents. Bone-forming and osteogenic growth peptides have been used to add osteogenic properties to the system. The coating materials included Ag, Cu, Zn, and Mg elements, ceramics (HA, brushite), drugs (cefuroxime sodium salt, tobramycin, and GS), red/gray selenium nanorods, and black/white tantalic oxides. Immersion and dripping are the two most used methods for coating and immobilizing functional groups. The blending method is used widely to form PEEK composites with TiO₂, SiO₂, ZnO, HA, lactam, black phosphorus, and Si₃N₄ to add an antibacterial effect. Cold plasma technique, plasma immersion ion implantation, and treatment with a strong acid are methods used to change the surface topography to enhance the antibacterial properties of PEEK. ROS generation is the main mechanism for the antibacterial effect, and colony-forming unit calculation is used widely as a quantitative measurement method to detect antibacterial properties.
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