

"FLEXIBILITY" IN MULTI-RESIDENTIAL HOUSING PROJECTS:
THREE INNOVATIVE CASES FROM TURKEY

A THESIS SUBMITTED TO
THE GRADUATE SCHOOL OF NATURAL AND APPLIED SCIENCES
OF
MIDDLE EAST TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY

BY

DUYGU ALBOSTAN

IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS
FOR
THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF ARCHITECTURE
IN
ARCHITECTURE

JULY 2009

Approval of the thesis:

**“FLEXIBILITY” IN MULTI-RESIDENTIAL HOUSING PROJECTS::
THREE INNOVATIVE CASES FROM TURKEY**

submitted by **DUYGU ALBOSTAN** in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of **Master of Architecture in Architecture Department, Middle East Technical University** by,

Prof. Dr. Canan Özgen
Dean, Graduate School of **Natural and Applied Sciences** _____

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Güven Arif Sargin
Head of Department, **Architecture** _____

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Emel Aközer
Supervisor, **Architecture Dept., METU** _____

Assist. Prof. Dr. Lale Özgenel
Co-Supervisor, **Architecture Dept., METU** _____

Examining Committee Members

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Selahattin Önür
Architecture Dept., METU _____

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Emel Aközer
Architecture Dept., METU _____

Assist. Prof. Dr. Lale Özgenel
Architecture Dept., METU _____

Prof. Ayşe Müge Bozdayı
Dept. of Interior Architecture and Environmental Design, Hacettepe University _____

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Tansel Korkmaz
Gr. Prog. in Architectural Design, İstanbul Bilgi University _____

Date: 29.07.2009

I hereby declare that all information in this document has been obtained and presented in accordance with academic rules and ethical conduct. I also declare that, as required by these rules and conduct, I have fully cited and referenced all material and results that are not original to this work.

Name, Last Name: Duygu Albostan

Signature:

ABSTRACT

“FLEXIBILITY” IN MULTI-RESIDENTIAL HOUSING PROJECTS: THREE INNOVATIVE CASES FROM TURKEY

Albostan, Duygu

M. Arch., Department of Architecture

Supervisor: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Emel Aközer

Co-Supervisor: Assist Prof. Dr. Lale Özgenel

July 2009, 134 pages

This thesis aims to investigate and discuss the conceptual and operational contexts of innovative approaches in the design of multi residential housing in Turkey over three selected cases. Flexibility, as an inclusive concept that encompasses adaptability and typological variety, is used to structure the framework of the discussion. The inquiry is based on the idea that flexibility and the associated concepts can be used to develop, in N. John Habraken’s words, “a new and challenging kind of architecture” in the context of multi residential housing.

The housing blocks designed by Ahmet Gülgönen in Eryaman 3rd Stage, and those designed by Tuncay Çavdar in the same stage, and Levent Loft 1 project designed by Tabanlıoğlu Architects are selected as cases. These projects advocate flexibility and also exemplify an innovative approach to design. The thesis introduces a conceptual framework that allows dealing with flexibility in the housing context, and then evaluates the selected cases by means of this conceptual framework.

Keywords: Flexibility, Adaptability, Typological Variety, Eryaman 3rd Stage Housing, Ahmet Gülgönen, Tuncay Çavdar, Levent Loft 1, Tabanlıođlu

ÖZ

ÇOK HANELİ KONUT PROJELERİNDE “ESNEKLİK”: TÜRKİYE’DEN YENİLİKÇİ ÜÇ ÖRNEK PROJE

Albostan, Duygu

Yüksek Lisans, Mimarlık Bölümü

Tez Yöneticisi: Doç. Dr. Emel Aközer

Ortak Tez Yöneticisi: Yrd. Doç. Dr. Lale Özgenel

Temmuz 2009, 134 sayfa

Bu tez, Türkiye’deki çok haneli konut tasarımında “yenilikçi” olan yaklaşımların kavramsal ve operasyonel bağlamlarını, seçilen üç örnek üzerinden incelemeyi ve tartışmayı amaçlamıştır. Kapsayıcı bir kavram olarak, uyarlanabilir olma ve tipolojik çeşitlilik gibi iki ilgili kavramla da ilişkilendirilen “esneklik” ana çerçeveyi oluşturmak için kullanılmıştır. Araştırma, esneklik ve ilgili kavramların, çok haneli konut bağlamında, N, John Habraken’in ifadesiyle, “yeni ve dikkate değer bir mimarlık” geliştirmek için kullanılabileceği fikrine dayanmaktadır.

Eryaman 3. Etap’ta Ahmet Gülgönen tarafından tasarlanan konut blokları, aynı etapta Tuncay Çavdar tarafından tasarlanan bloklar, ve Tabanlıoğlu Mimarlık tarafından tasarlanan Levent Loft 1 projesi, esneklik arayışları ve yenilikçi bir tasarım anlayışını da sergilemeleri nedeniyle bu çalışma için seçilen örneklerdir. Bu tez esneklik kavramını konut bağlamında ele almaya olanak veren bir kavramsal çerçeve sunmakta, seçilen örnekleri bu çerçeve aracılığıyla değerlendirmektedir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Esneklik, Uyarlanabilir Olma, Tipolojik Çeşitlilik, Eryaman 3. Etap, Ahmet Gülgönen, Tuncay Çavdar, Levent Loft 1, Tabanlıoğlu

To My Parents Seval and Erol Albostan

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to send my straight appreciation to assistance provided to me by my thesis supervisor Assoc. Prof. Dr. Emel Aközer and my thesis co-supervisor Assist. Prof. Dr. Lale Özgenel. Thank you for your patience, great support and continuous help with the whole process.

I would also like to thank to the jury members Assoc. Prof. Dr. Selahattin Önür, Prof. Ayşe Müge Bozdayı and Assoc. Prof Dr. Tansel Korkmaz for their evaluations and inspiring comments about the study.

I would like to express sincere appreciation to Ahmet Gülgönen, Tuncay Çavdar and Tabanlıoğlu Architects for their various contributions and conversations. I would like to thank Ziya Tanalı and Ertan Meşçi for their help to reach these architects. For providing me with the materials of the case studies belonging to Eryaman 3rd stage housing projects, I am grateful to Housing Development Administration of Turkey (TOKI) and Etimesgut Municipality, and for Levent Loft project, I would like to thank to Tabanlıoğlu Architects.

For their encouragement, I owe special thanks to my colleagues, especially Prof. Ayşe Müge Bozdayı and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Meltem Yılmaz, in the Department of Interior Architecture and Environmental Design at Hacettepe University where I have been working as a research assistant since January 2007.

I am particularly grateful to all members of my family; to my mother Seval Albostan, to my father, Erol Albostan, and to my brother Utku Albostan who have supported me endlessly and encouraged me to be the person I am today. I would like to appreciate my friends, Gülçin Cankız Elibol, Duygu Koca, Özlem Edizel, Esin Kömez, Derya Yorgancıoğlu and Süphan Nakiboğlu as always standing next to me during this process. Finally, I would like to express my gratitude to

Cengizhan Yenerim for his continuous support and endless patience throughout this study and in all aspects of my life.

This study was supported by the National Scholarship Program for MS Students of the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TUBITAK) (September 2006 - September 2008).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	iv
ÖZ	vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	viii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	x
LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
CHAPTERS	
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Problem Definition	1
1.2 Structure of the Thesis	4
2. AN OVERVIEW OF THE TERMINOLOGY AND THE CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK	9
2.1 Flexibility, Adaptability, Typological Variety	9
2.2 "Flexible Housing"	19
2.2.1 Flexibility in Usage	19
2.2.2 "Soft" and "Hard" Analogy	24
2.2.3 The Areas of Innovation to Achieve "Flexible Housing"	27
2.2.3.1 Structural System	28
2.2.3.2 Service Spaces	31
2.2.3.3 Architectural Layout	34
2.2.3.4 Furnishing for Flexible Use	38
2.3 Conclusion	40
3. A HISTORICAL OVERVIEW OF "FLEXIBLE HOUSING" IN THE TWENTIETH CENTURY	41
3.1 1920s: "Modernity and The Minimal Dwelling"	41
3.2 1930s-1960s: "The Industrialisation of Housing"	50
3.3 1970s: "Participation and User Choice"	55
3.4 Conclusion	61

4. THREE HOUSING PROJECTS IN TURKEY FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF "FLEXIBILITY"	63
4.1 Eryaman 3 rd Stage Housing Blocks, Ahmet Gülgönen, 1993.....	66
4.1.1 The Structural System.....	68
4.1.2 The Service Spaces	70
4.1.3 The Architectural Layout	74
4.1.4 Furnishing for Flexible Use	82
4.2 Eryaman 3 rd Stage Housing Blocks, Tuncay Çavdar, 1993	83
4.2.1 The Structural System.....	86
4.2.2 The Service Spaces	89
4.2.3 The Architectural Layout	92
4.2.4 Furnishing for Flexible Use	99
4.3 Levent Loft 1, Tabanlıoğlu Architects, 2005-2006	100
4.3.1 The Structural System.....	103
4.3.2 The Service Spaces	107
4.3.3 The Architectural Layout	110
4.3.4 Furnishing for Flexible Use	122
4.4 Conclusion.....	124
5. CONCLUSION	126
BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	130

LIST OF TABLES

TABLES

2.1 Various Definitions of the Terms “Flexibility” and “Adaptability”	11
2.2 The Structural Systems for Flexible Housing: Base Structures and Polyvalent Organizations	29
2.3 Architectural Layouts that are Appropriate for Flexible Housing	36
2.4 Furnishing for Flexible Usage	39
3.1 1920s “Modernity and The Minimal Dwelling”	48
3.2 1930s-1960s “The Industrialization of Housing”	51
3.3 1970s “Participation and User Choice”	58
4.1 General Information about Project.	66
4.2 Analysis of the Structural System.	69
4.3 Analysis of the Position of Service Spaces	73
4.4 Analysis of Different Configurations of Units	76
4.5 Different Organizations and Consequential Variation on Unit Types	79
4.6 General Information about Project	83
4.7 Analysis of Structural System	88
4.8 Analysis of the Position of Service Spaces	91
4.9 Analysis of Different Configurations of Units	94
4.10 Different Organizations and Consequential Variation on Unit Type	98
4.11 General Information about Project	100
4.12 Analysis of Structural System	104
4.13 Analysis of The Service Spaces	109
4.14 Analysis of Different Configurations of the Units	112
4.15 Different Modular Organizations and Consequential Variation in Unit Types	118

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURES

2.1 Flexibility as Capability of Change in Physical Fabric of the Building: <i>Kallebäck</i> Experimental Housing (1960) by Erik Friberger in Sweden.....	16
2.2 Adaptability as Capability for Different Usage: Adaptable House (1962), by Development Group of the MHLG in Britain	17
2.3 Typological Variety as Freedom in Choice, <i>Überbauung Hellmutstrasse</i> by ADP, 1991	18
2.4 <i>Njiric+Njiric</i> Housing, Den Bosch, 1993: The Module (above) and Its Configurations for Diverse Users (below).....	21
2.5 The <i>Flexabilt</i> Home: A Diagrammatic Scheme of a Cycle of the Change in Architectural Layout in Accordance with the Change in Number of Family Household	22
2.6 <i>Fleksible Boliger</i> Housing Project Study by Tegnestuen Volden, 1986, Denmark: A Scenario of Changing Lifestyle of the Household	23
2.7 Figure 2.7: Upper Plan Belongs to the blocks in <i>Weissenhofsiedlung</i> Settlement designed by Mies van der Rohe, 1927, Germany as an example of the use of “Soft” techniques and Below to <i>Maisons Loucheur</i> by Le Corbusier, 1928/29, France, as an example of the use of “Hard” techniques	26
2.8 The Possible Configurations of the Service Spaces in Architectural Layout	32
2.9 Vertical Access Unit either as a Freestanding Unit or Integrated to the Building.....	32
2.10 Schematic Drawing of Horizontal Access Units in Multi Storey Buildings ...	33
2.11 <i>Siedlung Hegianwandweg</i> Multi Storey Apartment House Project by EM2N <i>Architekten</i> , 2003, Switzerland.....	35
3.1 The <i>Weissenhofsiedlung</i> housing project by Mies van der Rohe, the permanent and infill components, the exterior view and interior view	44
3.2 The <i>Hufeisensiedlung</i> multi-storey apartment block (1925-1931) by Bruno Taut: Plan and Exterior view	46

3.3	The Use Cycle Studies in <i>Montèreau</i> Project.....	47
3.4	<i>Maisons Loucheur</i> Housing project (1928-1929) by Le Corbusier: Plan and exterior view	49
3.5	<i>Maison Dom-ino</i> (1919) by Le Corbusier	52
3.6	<i>Kristalbouw</i> study project (1952) by Jan Trapman	53
3.7	<i>Kallebäck</i> experimental housing (1960) by Erik Friberger: plan and exterior view	54
3.8	<i>Wohnanlage Genter Strasse</i> by Otto Steidle: Plan and Exterior view	56
3.9	<i>Überbauung Hellmutstrasse</i> by ADP <i>Architektur und Planung</i> : plan and exterior view..	57
4.1	Site Plan of Eryaman 3 rd Stage.....	65
4.2	The Site Plan of Eryaman 3 rd Stage, Divided into Two Sub Regions by HDA	67
4.3	A View of Housing from Street to Courtyard, Left, Eryaman Third Stage Housing, Right, Low-Cost Housing Design in Tourcoing, France.....	71
4.4	Open Access Galleries and Entrance for the Closed Access Units within the Building.....	72
4.5	A view from one of the residential blocks' façade, showing the adding on the balconies	73
4.6	The Idea of "Joining" the Kitchen to Living Space or "Dividing" them up	78
4.7	To left out spaces for storage	82
4.8	The Site Plan of Eryaman 3 rd Stage.....	83
4.9	Structural System and the Configuration of Two Different Modular Types of Units.	87
4.10	The Connection of "Elevated Inner Street" to Units in Different Levels.....	90
4.11	Open Air Terrace, which can be, added-on the unit in future by users.....	93
4.12	Type 4, Type 11 as examples for "joining" rooms.	96
4.13	"Spare" Space in Type 10 and 11.	97
4.14	The Determined Storage Areas in Type 11 by Architect.	99
4.15	The location of the Levent Loft.....	101
4.16	Diagrammatic Section Drawing that shows the Spare Spaces for Residential Use and the Other Facilities.....	102

4.17 Le Corbusier's "Battle Rack Principle" on the left and Levent Loft Project on the right	106
4.18 The Construction Elements Creating a Raw Space.	107
4.19 Levent Loft Project Zoning in Plan	108
4.20 A View of Inner Access Corridor	110
4.21 Basic two modules, which are configured in each unit	111
4.22 Examples for Joining together and Diving up the units. Types 5,7,20,2,4	113
4.23 Sharing/Switching Room Possibilities in Type 12 and 6 and 8 and 10	114
4.24 <i>Wenswonen</i> by Williems van den Brink, 2002, The Netherlands, the "Adding-on" Strategy for Providing Flexibility in Multi Residential Housing Context	115
4.25 Type 10 and Type 6 with Open Air Balconies	116
4.26 Different Types of Modules that Compose Different Types of Units.	117
4.27 Furniture as a separator.	123