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ABSTRACT<br>EVALUATION OF STEEL BUILDING DESIGN METHODOLOGIES: TS 648, LRFD, EUROCODE 3<br>Zervent, Altan<br>M.S., Department of Civil Engineering<br>Supervisor: Prof. Dr. Çetin Yılmaz<br>Co-Supervisor: Assist. Prof. Dr. Alp Caner

May 2009, 229 pages

The aim of this study is designing steel structures with the same geometry, material and soil conditions but in the different countries, and comparing these designs in terms of material savings. According to three steel building codes, namely TS 648, LRFD, Eurocode 3, same structures with various stories ( $2,4,6,8$, and 10) are analyzed and designed. To calculate the design loads, Turkish Earthquake Code 2007 and Turkish Standard 498 (Design Load for Buildings) are utilized when TS 648 is applied. When LRFD is concerned, ASCE Standard 7-05 (Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures) and AISC Standard 341-05 (Seismic Provisions for Structural Steel Buildings) are used for calculation of the design loads and earthquake loads. When Eurocode 3 is applied, Eurocode 8 (Earthquake Resistance Code), Eurocode 1 (Actions of Structures) and Eurocode-EN 1990 (Basis of Structural Design) are used in order to
determine the design and earthquake loads. Weight of steel used on $1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ is almost the same for procedures of LRFD and EC3.

It is important to note that those procedures consider $20 \%$ of material saving compared to TS648.
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## ÖZ

# ÇELİK YAPILARIN TASARIM METODLARININ DEĞERLENDİRİLMESİ: TS 648, LRFD, EUROCODE 3 

Zervent, Altan<br>Yüksek Lisans, İnşaat Mühendisliği Bölümü Tez Yöneticisi: Prof. Dr. Çetin Yılmaz<br>Ortak Tez Yöneticisi: Yrd. Doç. Dr. Alp Caner

Mayıs 2009, 229 sayfa

Bu çalışmanın amacı farklı ülkelerde inşa edilmiş fakat aynı geometriye, malzemeye ve zemin koşullarına sahip olan çelik yapıları malzeme sarfiyatları bakımından karşılaştırmaktır. Aynı çelik yapı farklı kat varyasyonları (2, 4, 6, 8, 10) için TS 648, AISC-LRFD ve Eurocode 3`e göre dizayn edilmiştir. TS 648` e göre tasarım yapılırken Türk Deprem Şartnamesi (TDY 2007) ve Türk Yük Şartnamesi (TS 498) kullanılmıştır. AISC-LRFD` ye göre tasarım yapılırken Amerikan İnşaat Mühendisleri Topluluğu`nun Binalar ve Diğer Yapılar için Minimum Dizayn Yükleri Şartnamesi (AISC Standard 705) ile Çelik Yapılar için Sismik Önlemler Şartnamesi (AISC Standard 341-05) kullanılmıştır. Eurocode 3` e göre tasarım yapılırken Avrupa Deprem Şartnamesi (Eurocode 8), Avrupa Yük Şartnamesi (Eurocode 1) ve Yapı Dizaynının Temeli Şartnamesi ( Eurocode - EN 1990) kullanılmıştrr. LRFD ve Eurocode 3 yönetmeliklerine göre dizayn edilen yapılarda, $1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ alana düşen çelik ağırlığı yaklaşık olarak aynıdır. Aynı zamanda bu iki yönetmelik TS 648 e kıyasla $\% 20$ daha hafif sonuç vermiştir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: TS 648, AISC-LRFD, Eurocode 3, Emniyet Gerilmesi Tasarımı, Taşıma Gücü Tasarımı
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| R | Response modification coefficient |
| T | Fundamental period of structure |
| T | Long-period transition period (s) from a map |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | Mapped maximum considered earthquake spectral response acceleration parameter at a period of 1 s |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{D} 1}$ | Design spectral response acceleration parameter at a period of $1,0 \mathrm{sec}$ |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{MS}}$ | The MCE (Maximum considered earthquake) spectral response acceleration for short period |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{M} 1}$ | The MCE (Maximum considered earthquake) spectral response acceleration at 1 s |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{S}}$ | The mapped MCE (Maximum considered earthquake) spectral response acceleration at short period |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\text {d }}$ | Deflection amplification factor |
| $\delta$ | Deflection |
| di | Displacement calculated at i'th storey of building under design seismic loads |


| $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | Fundamental period of the building |
| :--- | :--- |
| m | Total mass of the building |
| $\Psi_{E, i}$ | Combination coefficient |
| $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{g}}$ | Design ground acceleration |
| S | Soil factor |
| $\beta$ | Lower bound |
| q | Behavior factor |
| $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{gR}}$ | Peak ground acceleration derived from zonation maps |
| $\gamma_{\mathrm{I}}$ | Importance factor |
| $\mathrm{e}_{\text {ai }}$ | The accidental eccentricity of storey mass |
| $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | Floor dimension perpendicular to the direction of the |
|  | seismic action |
| $\theta$ | Interstorey drift coefficient |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\text {tot }}$ | Total gravity load at and above the storey |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\text {tot }}$ | Total seismic storey shear |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{r}}$ | Design storey drift |
| h | Interstorey height |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{e}}$ | Storey drift |

## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

In civil engineering discipline, two methods are utilized in designing steel structures, namely methods based on elastic theories and methods based on plastic theories. In literature, many studies have been conducted to estimate and compare the methods in terms of the economical perspective. It has been already proven that designs based on the plastic theories lead to more economical solutions. However, in literature, evaluations are realized designing either only a specific frame or a member. Besides, those studies have been conducted for the same design loads obtained from various codes and earthquake codes. Consequently, more economical solutions have been obtained by making use of the design codes based on plastic theories. However in practice, it has been restricted that each steel design codes must be utilized together with the load and earthquake codes specific to the country. Therefore structures in different countries but with the same material and soil conditions cannot be designed identically since they are subjected to different loading conditions.

The aim of this thesis is designing structures with the same geometry, material and soil conditions but in the different countries, and comparing these designs in terms of material savings.

This thesis deals with the evaluation of steel building design methodologies including TS 648 (Turkish Standard 648) [1], LRFD (Load and Resistance Factor Design) [2] and Eurocode 3 [3] (Design of steel structures - prEN 1993-1-1). Moreover, TEC 2007 [4] (Turkish Earthquake Code 2007) and TS 498 [5] (Design Loads for Buildings) are made
use of in calculating the design loads when TS 648 is applied. When LRFD is concerned, ASCE (American Society of Civil Engineers) Standard 7-05 [6] (Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures) and AISC Standard 341-05 [7] (Seismic Provisions for Structural Steel Buildings) are used for calculation of the design loads and earthquake loads. When Eurocode 3 (Design of steel structures - prEN 1993-1-1) is applied, Eurocode 8 [8] (Design of Structures for Earthquake Resistance-prEN 1998-1), Eurocode 1 [9] (Actions of Structures-prEN 1991-1-1) and Eurocode [10] (Basis of Structural Design-EN 1990) are utilized in order to determine the design and earthquake loads.

To achieve the complete evaluation, structures with various stories (2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 stories) are formed and analyses are conducted with the outstanding abilities of LARSA4D [11]. Afterwards, the structural members, namely beams, columns, and braces, are designed according to the formulae and restrictions of the previously mentioned codes by the help of MS Office Excel [12].

The thesis consists of eleven chapters. Aim and scope of the thesis are presented in the first chapter. Relevant studies and their evaluations are introduced in the second chapter.

In the third chapter, design fundamentals, loads which depend on the used code and load combinations are explained for TS 648, LRFD, and Eurocode 3. And this chapter also contains classification guide of the cross-sections.

In the fourth chapter, solution techniques for the members which are subjected to axial tension are presented. The fifth chapter introduces the solution techniques for the members which are exposed to pure compression. In the sixth and seventh chapters, flexural members (i.e. beams) and members which are subjected to either axial compression combined with flexure or tension combined with flexure are explained respectively.

In the eighth chapter, solutions techniques of the link beams which is required because of the usage of the eccentric braced frame is presented according to relevant codes which are TEC 2007, Seismic Provisions for Structural Steel Buildings and Eurocode 8.

The ninth chapter explains the basic principles of the earthquake codes particularly the parts of the codes where equivalent static forces are introduced since the earthquake forces are calculated according to the equivalent force methods. In the tenth chapter, dimensions, material properties, geometry, occupancy category and geotechnical properties of the structures are demonstrated. Besides, calculation procedure of the earthquake loads for each code is handled. Furthermore, design of the structural members is conducted such a way that the worst loading case is considered to find the stresses. Afterwards, the solution procedures are explained in a detailed way.

And finally, the last chapter includes conclusions of the thesis, emphasizing important findings and results of the study.

## CHAPTER 2

## LITERATURE REVIEW

The basic rule of thumb is that least weight meaning least cost for the steel building designer and the researchers has investigated which methodologies had been more economical and there have been a few academic dissertations and articles about this topic.

The thesis about comparison of the steel bending members according to TS 4561 (Turkish Design Standard for Plastic Design), LRFD and Eurocode 3 was published by Mehmet Ilgaz Büyüktaşkın [13] in 1998. In this master thesis, only one beam as a numerical example for same loading condition and same cross section is solved according to TS 4561, LRFD, Eurocode 3 and he has found out the section solved with LRFD was smaller than the sections solved with TS 4561 and Eurocode 3. Moreover, the sections solved with TS 4561 and Eurocode 3 has been same section.

The article about the economic design of low-rise building was published by D.Kirk Harman [14] in 1998. He has chosen three buildings, one of them had five stories and the rest had three stories. He has designed them using different steel yield strengths which are A36 (St37 in Turkish Standard) and Gr. 50 (St52 in Turkish Standard) according to LRFD and ASD (Allowable Stress Design). His study shows that if the steel yield strength remains constant, the average savings of $11,8 \%$ are realized with LRFD over ASD for A36 and the average savings of $3,61 \%$ are realized with LRFD over ASD for Gr.50. Furthermore, his study pointed out that if the design method remains constant, the average savings of $14,7 \%$ are realized with Gr .50 steel grade over

A36 steel grade for the ASD designs. Using LRFD, the average savings are realized as 7,23\%.

In 1999, Ali Onur Kuyucak [15] studied about comparison of TS 648, LRFD and Eurocode 3 for design fundamentals, members which are subjected to tension, compression and flexure under specific loads. In his thesis, the parameters are effective area reduction factor, the ratio of net area to total area and the ratio of dead load to total load for the numerical examples about members subjected tension. For numerical examples which are subjected to compression members, the parameters are the ratio of dead load to total load and slenderness ratio. Type of structural section, support condition, length of the structural member and the ratio of dead load to live load are used as the parameters for the numerical examples which are members subjected to flexure. His results have pointed out that LRFD and Eurocode 3 are more economical than TS 648.

Hakan Bayram [16] investigated that three steel structure codes, namely TS 648, LRFD and Eurocode 3 were compared with regard to structural steel connections in 2001.For the purpose of the comparison, four different types of connections, which are hinge type bolted connections, rigid type bolted connections, hinge type welded connections and rigid type welded connections, were used under the different ratio of dead loads and live loads. His results show that LRFD and Eurocode 3 give more economical solutions with respect to TS 648.

Erkal Albayrak [17] presented design principle and calculation of beam-columns, subjected to both axial load and moment, according to TS 4561, LRFD and Eurocode 3 in 2005. Three numerical examples were solved in this thesis. The results state that LRFD and Eurocode 3 are more complicated for design than TS 4561 but TS 4561 is simple and easier. TS 4561 and Eurocode 3 give same solutions, however LRFD leads to more economical solutions in his thesis.

A comparative study of LRFD and Eurocode 3 approaches to beam-column buckling resistance was published by Danny Yong, Aitziber Lopez and Miguel Serna [18] in 2006. For a rolled I-section, the paper is performed with different slenderness ratio. The results show that Eurocode 3 is more complicated than LRFD but LRFD gives more than enough to obtain reasonable solutions for most cases.

Eurocode 3 and NBE-EA-95 (Spanish Steel Building Code) were compared by M. A. Serna, E. Bayo, I. Castillo, L. Clemos and A. Loureiro [19] for standard steel construction. In this paper, a planar multistory frame and a pitched portal frame are analyzed and designed according to Eurocode 3 and NBE-EA-95. The results show that Eurocode 3 is more complicated than NBE-EA-95. In contrast, Eurocode 3 gives more economical solutions than NBE-EA-95.

Ioannides and Ruddy [20] presents rules and heuristics about several steel framing whose designs are based on LRFD. They come up with an approximation formula for the steel weight per square foot in a braced building using steel with St 52 which is as follows:
$W_{t}(\mathrm{psf})=N / 3+7$
where $N$ represents the number of stories.

## CHAPTER 3

## DESIGN FUNDAMENTALS

### 3.1 Design Fundamentals

### 3.1.1 Design Fundamentals of TS 648

TS 648 is a code based on elastic design methodology (also called working stress design) and the material used in the structure is assumed to be linearly elastic and perfectly plastic. In Cem Topkaya`s lecture notes [21], the basic equation of TS 648 is:
$f_{\text {calc }} \leq F_{\text {allow }}$

In the above equation, $f_{\text {calc }}$ is a calculated stress in a structural component under service load, and $F_{\text {allow }}$ calculated that the stress at failure is divided by factor of safety which is restricted from 1,67 to 2,5 by TS 648 [1] is allowable stress.

In TS 468 [1], some checks must be controlled for an acceptable design:

1) Stress Checks
2) Stability Checks
3) Overturning Checks
4) Deflection Checks

All of these checks must be carried out during the construction, transportation, assembly, and operation stages.

Stress checks must be controlled EY (Sum of the main loads) and EIY (Sum of the main loads and superimposed loads) loading conditions separately.

Stability checks must cover buckling, web crippling, and lateral buckling. Overturning checks must have safety factor of 2 against overturning for each element. In some special cases, this safety factor can be taken as 1,5 . The safety factor against of supports shall not be less than 1,3 . For the entire structure the safety factor shall not be less than 1,5.

The limits of deflection checks are tabulated as following table;

Table 3.1 Deflection Limits of TS 648

|  | Maximum Deflection |
| :---: | :---: |
| Beams and Purlings <br> with span lengths <br> more than 5 m | $\mathrm{~L} / 300$ |
| Cantilever Beams | $\mathrm{L} / 250$ |
| Grillage Beams <br> in foundations <br> and supports | $\mathrm{L} / 1000$ |

When the structure is designed according to TS 648 [1], the material properties are pointed out below table;
Table 3.2 Material Properties of TS 648

| Type of Steel | Tensile Strength, $\sigma_{d} \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield Limit, } \\ \sigma_{\mathrm{y}} \text { or } \sigma_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Modulus of Elasticity, E $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}\right)$ | Shear Modulus, G $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}\right)$ | Coefficient of Thermal Expansion, $\alpha_{t}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fe 33 | $\begin{gathered} 3,3-5,0 \\ (324-490) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,9 \\ (186) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2100 \\ (206182) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 810 \\ (79434) \end{gathered}$ | 0,000012 |
| Fe 34 | $\begin{gathered} 3,4-4,2 \\ (333-412) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2,1 \\ (206) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Fe 37 | $\begin{gathered} 3,7-4,5 \\ (363-491) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2,4 \\ (235) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Fe 42 | $\begin{gathered} 4,2-5,0 \\ (412-490) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 2,6 \\ (255) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| Fe 46 | $\begin{gathered} 4,4-5,4 \\ (431-530) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2,9 \\ (284) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Fe 50 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5,0-6,0 \\ (490-588) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3,0 \\ (294) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Fe 52 | $\begin{gathered} 5,2-6,2 \\ (510-608) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 3,6 \\ (353) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| Fe 60 | $\begin{gathered} 6,0-7,2 \\ (588-706) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3,4 \\ (333) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Fe 70 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7,0-8,5 \\ (686-834) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3,7 \\ (363) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |

### 3.1.2 Design Fundamentals of LRFD

The new method of AISC Specification has been Load and Resistance Factor Design [2] (or called limit states design). During the past years, structural steel design has been progressed toward a more and probability-based design procedure which is called limit states design. In the LRFD, both overload and understrength are taken into account for provisions. The LRFD is based on a probability-based model, calibration with the Allowable Stress Design, and evolution using judgment and past experience.

There are two category of the LRFD; strength and serviceability. Strength limit states consist of plastic strengths, fracture, buckling, lateral buckling, cross sectional local buckling, fatigue, overturning. Serviceability limit states based on occupancy of structures mean excessive deflections and the performance of the structures mean excessive vibrations.

In Cem Topkaya`s lecture notes [21], the basic design equation of LRFD is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum \gamma_{i}{ }^{*} Q_{i} \leq \phi^{*} R_{n} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the above equation, $Q_{i}$ is the calculated load effect under service load i, $\gamma_{i}$ is the load factor depends on load type and combination, $R_{n}$ is the nominal strength, and $\phi$ is the resistance factor depends on type of resistance. The right side of the equation 3.2 represents sum of the load effects and the left side of the equation 3.2 represents the strength of the members or systems.

AISC-LRFD Specification actually does not include the limit of deflection of drift ratio for member or structure. When the structure is designed according to AISC-LRFD, the drift ratios are taken from ASCE Standard 7-05 [6] (Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures).

### 3.1.3 Design Fundamentals of Eurocode 3

Eurocode 3 [3] is based on the concept of limit states. Limit states are defined as states beyond which the structure no longer satisfies the design performance requirements. Limit states include Ultimate Limit States (ULS) and Serviceability Limit States (SLS).

In Eurocode 3, the ultimate limit states consist of these requirements;
a) Equilibrium of the structure
b) Excessive deformations
c) Stability of the structure
d) Rupture
e) Fatigue
f) Time-depended effects

In Eurocode 3 [3], the serviceability limit states include of this requirements;
a) Deformations which affect the appearance or the comfort of occupants or mechanism of the structure
b) Vibrations which affect the comfort of users

The basic design equation of Eurocode 3 can be written as;

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{d}=\gamma_{f} * F_{k} \leq R_{d}=R_{k} / \gamma_{M} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the above equation, $F_{d}$ is the design action which means factored loads, $\gamma_{f}$ is a partial load factor depends on the load type and combination, $F_{k}$ is the value of
characteristic action, $R_{d}$ is the design resistance, $R_{k}$ is the characteristic resistance, $\gamma_{M}$ is a partial factor depends on material, geometric and modeling uncertainties.

The limits of vertical deflection and horizontal deflection are tabulated as following tables;

Table 3.3 Vertical Deflection Limits of Eurocode 3

|  | Vertical Deflection Limits |
| :---: | :---: |
| Beams carrying <br> plaster or other <br> brittle finish | Span/360 |
| Cantilever Beams | Length/180 |
| Purlins and <br> sheeting rails | To suit cladding |
| Other Beams | Span/200 |

Table 3.4 Horizontal Deflection Limits of Eurocode 3 for Vertical Loads

|  | Horizontal Deflection Limits |
| :---: | :---: |
| Tops of Columns in single <br> storey buildings, except portal <br> frames | Height/300 |
| In each storey <br> of a building with more than one <br> storey | Height of Storey/300 |
| Columns in portal frame <br> buildings, <br> not supporting crane runways | To suit cladding |

The below table show the material properties when the structure is designed according to Eurocode 3 [3];

Table 3.5 Material Properties of Eurocode 3

| Steel <br> Grade | Thickness Range, t (mm) | Yield Strength, $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{y}}$ ( $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ ) | Modulus of Elasticity, E ( $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ ) | Shear <br> Modulus, G <br> ( $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ ) | Coefficient of Thermal Expansion, $\alpha_{t}$ | Poisson`s Ratio, ע |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S235 | $\mathrm{t} \leq 40$ | 235 | 210000 | 81000 | 0,000012 | 0,3 |
|  | $40<\mathrm{t} \leq 80$ | 215 |  |  |  |  |
| S275 | $\mathrm{t} \leq 40$ | 275 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $40<\mathrm{t} \leq 80$ | 255 |  |  |  |  |
| S355 | $\mathrm{t} \leq 40$ | 355 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $40<\mathrm{t} \leq 80$ | 335 |  |  |  |  |

### 3.2 Loads and Load Factors

### 3.2.1 Loads and Load Factor for TS 648

When the structure is designed according to TS 648, TS 498 is applied because TS 498 shows the design load for buildings. In TS 498, the weights per unit volume of construction materials and live loads per unit area are given to be used in the estimation. The below table shows values of the live loads for TS 498 [5].

|  | Live Loads $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Attick Rooms | 150 |
| Rooms of Residendal Builldings <br> Rooms of Office Buildings <br> Corridor for Residental Buildings <br> Hospital Patient Rooms | 200 |
| Classrooms <br> Examinations Rooms of Hospitals <br> Amphitheatres <br> Landings for Residental Buildings <br> Dormitory Rooms | 350 |
| Mosques <br> Theatres and Cinema Theatres <br> Sport, Dancing and Exhibition Rooms <br> Stands with Fixed Chairs <br> Restaurants <br> Libraries | 500 |
| Tribunes with Moveable Chairs | 750 |
| Garages for less than 2,5 tons | 500 |

In TS 648, the loads are classified as main loads and superimposed loads. Main loads include self-weight, regular and additional live loads and snow loads. Superimposed loads consist of wind load, earthquake loads, break forces, temperature effects etc.

According the TS 648 [1], two loading cases are considered for the design. The first one is EY loading case. EY loading case includes all main loads and EIY loading case includes all main loads and superimposed loads acting on the structure.

The allowable stresses are different for the two loading cases. The allowable stresses for EIY loading are found by increasing the values given for EY by $15 \%$.

### 3.2.2 Loads and Load Factor for LRFD

LRFD refers to ASCE Standard 7-05 [6] (Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures) for design loads. In this standard, dead load, live load, soil load, wind load, snow load, rain load, flood load, and earthquake load are presented.

Live loads may change in position and magnitude but live loads are assumed to be uniformly distributed. When the structure is designed according to LRFD, the values of the uniformly distributed live loads are utilized in the below table.

Table 3.7 Live Loads for LRFD

|  | Live Loads ( $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Residental <br> Dwellings(one- and two- family): |  |
| Unhabitable attics without storage | 0,48 |
| Unhabitable attics with storage | 0,96 |
| Habitable attics and sleeping areas | 1,44 |
| All other areas except stairs and balconies | 1,92 |
| Hotels and multifamily houses: |  |
| Private rooms and corridors | 1,92 |
| Public rooms and corridors | 4,79 |
| Office Buildings: |  |
| Lobbies and first-floor corridors | 4,79 |
| Offices | 2,40 |
| Corridors above first floor | 3,83 |
| Hospitals: |  |
| Operating rooms, laboraties | 2,87 |
| Patient rooms | 1,92 |
| Corridors above first floor | 3,83 |
| Libraries: |  |
| Reading rooms | 2,87 |
| Stack rooms | 7,18 |
| Corridors above first floor | 3,83 |
| Roofs: |  |
| Ordinary flat,pitched and curved roofs | 0,96 |
| Roofs used promenade purposes | 2,87 |
| Schools: |  |
| Classrooms | 1,92 |
| Corridors above first floor | 3,83 |
| First - floor corridors | 4,79 |

The following list of load combinations from ASCE 7-05 [6] is used when the structures and their components are to be designed for LRFD design methodology.

1) $1,4 * \mathrm{D}$
2) $1,2 * \mathrm{D}+1,6 * \mathrm{~L}+0,5 *\left(\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{r}}\right.$ or S or R$)$
3) $1,2 * \mathrm{D}+1,6 *\left(\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{r}}\right.$ or S or R$)+(\mathrm{L}$ or $0,8 * \mathrm{~W})$
4) $1,2 * \mathrm{D}+1,6 * \mathrm{~W}+\mathrm{L}+0,5 *\left(\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{r}}\right.$ or S or R$)$
5) $1,2 * \mathrm{D}+1,0 * \mathrm{E}+\mathrm{L}+0,2 * \mathrm{~S}$
6) $0,9 * \mathrm{D}+1,0 * \mathrm{E}+1,6 * \mathrm{H}$
7) $0,9 * \mathrm{D}+1,6 * \mathrm{~W}+1,6 * \mathrm{H}$

The load factor on L in combinations $3,4,5$ is permitted to equal 0,5 for all occupancies in which L is less than or equal to $4,79 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$.

For the above load combinations, the below abbreviations are used;

- D : Dead load
- L : Live load
- $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}}$ : Roof live load
- S : Snow load
- R : Rain load
- W:Wind load
- E: Earthquake load
- H : Load due to lateral earth pressure, ground water pressure, or pressure of bulk materials


### 3.2.3 Loads and Load Factor for Eurocode 3

Eurocode 3 [3] refers to Eurocode 1 (prEN 1991-1-1) and Eurocode (Basis of Structural Design-EN 1990) for design action and their combinations. The actions are divided three
groups; permanent actions, (e.g. self-weight), variable actions, (e.g. imposed loads, snow loads), accidental actions, (e.g. explosions).

In Eurocode 1 [9], areas of buildings are classified as a lot of categories but only four categories; residential areas, social areas, commercial areas, administration areas for imposed loads are examined. Other categories are storage and industrial activities areas, garages and vehicle traffic areas, helicopter areas, roofs. The below table presents the four categories of use for imposed loads.

Table 3.8 Category of Use for Eurocode 1

| Category | Specific Use | Example |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| A | Areas for domestic <br> and residential <br> activities | Rooms in residential buildings and houses; <br> bedrooms in hotels |
| B | Office areas |  |
| C | Areas where people <br> may congregate | C1 : Areas with tables <br> eg. areas in schools, cafes, restaurants <br> C2 : Areas with fixed seats <br> eg. areas in churches, theatres or cinemas <br> C3: Areas without obstacles for moving <br> people <br> eg. areas in museums, exhibition rooms <br> C4 : Areas with possible physical activities <br> eg. dance halls, gymnastic rooms, stages |
|  |  | C5 : Areas susceptible to large crowds <br> eg. sport halls including stands, terraces |
| D | Shopping areas | D1: Areas in general retail shops <br> D2 : Areas in department stores |

The values of the imposed loads depend on Table 3.8 are tabulated as the below table.

Table 3.9 Imposed Loads for Eurocode 1

| Categories of Loaded Areas | $\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{k}}$ <br> (kN/m $\mathbf{~}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Category A |  |
| Floors | 1,5 to 2,0 |
| Stairs | 2,0 to 4,0 |
| Balconies | 2,5 to 4,0 |
| Category B | 2,0 to 3,0 |
| Category C |  |
| C1 | 2,0 to 3,0 |
| C2 | 3,0 to 4,0, |
| C3 | 3,0 to 5,0 |
| C4 | 4,5 to 5,0 |
| C5 | 5,0 to 7,5 |
| Category D | 4,0 to 5,0 |
| D1 | 4,0 to 5,0 |
| D2 |  |

The National annex may be different from the above table. Where a range is given in the table, the values of the imposed loads may be altered by the National annex.

There are three design situations for combinations of actions in the Eurocode (EN 1990) [10]; combinations of actions for persistent or transient design situations, combinations of actions for accidental design situations, and combinations of actions for seismic design situations.

In the Eurocode (EN 1990) [10], the basic format of the combinations of the actions for persistent or transient design situations can be written as;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j \geq 1} \gamma_{G, j} G_{k, j} "+" \gamma_{P} P^{\prime \prime}+" \gamma_{Q, 1} Q_{k, 1} "+" \sum_{i>1} \gamma_{Q, i} \Psi_{0, i} Q_{k, i} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the Eurocode (EN 1990), the basic format of the combinations of the actions for accidental design situations can be written as;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j \geq 1} G_{k, j} "+" P "+" A_{d} "+"\left(\Psi_{1,1} \text { or } \Psi_{2,1}\right) Q_{k, 1} "+" \sum_{i>1} \Psi_{2, i} Q_{k, i} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the Eurocode (EN 1990) [10], the basic format of the combinations of the actions for seismic design situations can be written as;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j \geq 1} G_{k, j} "+" P "+" A_{E d} "+" \sum_{i \geq 1} \Psi_{2, i} Q_{k, i} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the equations which are (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6), the below abbreviations are used;

- G : Permanent action
- Q : Variable action
- A : Accidental action
- $A_{d}$ : Design value of accidental action
- $A_{E d}$ : Design value of seismic action $\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{Ed}}=\gamma_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{Ek}}\right)$
- $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{Ek}}$ : Characteristic value of seismic action
- P : Prestressing action
- $\gamma_{G}$ : Partial factor for permanent actions
- $\gamma_{Q}$ : Partial factor for variable actions
- $\gamma_{\mathrm{P}}$ : Partial factor for prestressing actions
- $\Psi:$ Combination factor
- "+" : means ' to be combined with '
- $\sum$ : means ' the combined effect of ,

In order to establish combinations of actions for buildings, methods, rules and $\Psi$ factors are presented in Annex A1 of the Eurocode (EN 1990).

The below table presents design values of actions in persistent and transient design situations.

Table 3.10 Design Values of Actions for Use in Persistent and Transient Combinations of Actions

| Persistent <br> and <br> Transient <br> Design <br> Situations | Permanent Actions |  | Leading Varibale Action | Accompanying Variable Actions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unfavourable | Favourable |  | Main | Others |
| Equation | $\gamma_{\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{sup}} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{k}, \text {, sup }}$ | $\gamma_{\mathrm{Gj}, \mathrm{inf}} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{inf}}$ | $\gamma_{\mathrm{Q}, 1} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{k}, 1}$ |  | $\gamma_{\mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{i}} \Psi_{0, i} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{i}}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \gamma_{\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{jinf}}=1,15 \\ \left.\gamma_{\mathrm{Q}, 1}=1,50 \text { for unfavourable ( } 0 \text { for favourable) }\right) \\ \left.\gamma_{\mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{i}}=1,50 \text { for unfavourable ( } 0 \text { for favourable }\right) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

The below table presents design values of actions in accidental and seismic design situations.

Table 3.11 Design Values of Actions for Use in Accidental and Seismic Combinations of Actions

| Design Situation | Permanent Actions |  | Leading Accidental or Seismic Action | Accompanying Variable Actions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unfavourable | Favourable |  | Main | Others |
| Accidental | $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{k}, \text {, up }}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{j} \text { inf }}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{\text {d }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Psi_{1,1} \text { or } \\ & \Psi_{2,1} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{k}, 1} \end{aligned}$ | $\Psi_{2, i} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{i}}$ |
| Seismic | $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{k}, \text { sup }}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{jinf}}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{A}_{\text {Ek }}$ or $\mathrm{A}_{\text {Ed }}$ | $\Psi_{2, i} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{i}}$ |  |

The recommended values of $\Psi$ factors for used Table 3.10 and Table 3.11 are tabulated as following tables;

Table 3.12 The Values of $\Psi$ Factors in the Eurocode (EN 1990)

| Action | $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Imposed Loads |  |  |  |
| Category A: Domestic,residental area | 0,7 | 0,5 | 0,3 |
| Category B: Office areas | 0,7 | 0,5 | 0,3 |
| Category C: Congragation areas | 0,7 | 0,7 | 0,6 |
| Category D: Shopping areas | 0,7 | 0,7 | 0,6 |
| Category E: Storage areas | 1,0 | 0,9 | 0,8 |
| Category F: Traffic areas for vehicle weight $\leq 30 \mathrm{kN}$ | 0,7 | 0,7 | 0,6 |
| Category G: Traffic areas for 30kN $\leq$ vehicle weight $\leq 160 \mathrm{kN}$ | 0,7 | 0,5 | 0,3 |
| Category H: Roofs | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wind Loads on Buildings | 0,6 | 0,2 | 0 |
| Temperature in Buildings | 0,6 | 0,5 | 0 |

### 3.3 Classification of Cross-Sections

### 3.3.1 Classification of Cross-Sections for TS 648

In TS 648, there are no rules for classification of cross-sections. However, there are limitations for width-thickness ratios of cross-sections in TEC 2007. This subject will be discussed in the ninth chapter.

### 3.3.2 Classification of Cross-Sections for LRFD

In the LRFD, the classification of cross-sections depend on local buckling which are web local buckling (WLB) and flange local buckling (FLB) and these local buckling
depend on two variable; yield stress $\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)$ of the type of steel being used and widththickness ratio of web or flange.

There are three classes for the classification of cross-sections according to the LRFD. These are compact section, noncompact section and slender section. For this classification, " $\lambda$ " is slenderness parameter used as a symbol for width-thickness ratio. " $\lambda_{f}$ " is slenderness parameter for flange and " $\lambda_{w}$ " is slenderness parameter for web in a wide-flange shape. $\lambda_{f}$ and $\lambda_{w}$ are expressed as;
$\lambda_{f}=b_{f} / 2 t_{f}$
$\lambda_{w}=h / t_{w}$
where
$b_{f}$ : Flange width
$t_{f}$ : Thickness of flange
$h$ : Clear distance between flanges less the fillet or corner radius for rolled shapes
$t_{w}$ : Thickness of web
For I shape, $b_{f}, t_{f}, h, t_{w}$ are shown in the below figure.


Figure 3.1 I Shape

Compact section is defined as capable of developing a fully plastic stress distribution before local buckling occurs. Noncompact section can develop the yield stress in compression before the onset of local buckling; however will not resist inelastic local buckling at strain levels required for a fully plastic stress. Slender section which cannot be loaded to cause yield at extreme fibers due to local buckling occurred early.
Compact section's width-thickness ratios must not be greater than $\lambda_{\mathrm{p}}$ (Limiting slenderness parameter for compact element). Noncompact section's width-thickness ratios must not be greater than $\lambda_{r}$ (Limiting slenderness parameter for noncompact element) but must greater than $\lambda_{p}$. Slender section's width-thickness ratios must exceed $\lambda_{\mathrm{r}}$.

In the LRFD [2], the limiting width-thickness ratios for compressions elements are tabulated as following tables;

Table 3.13 The Limiting Width-Thickness Ratios for Compressions Elements in the LRFD

| Description of Element | Width Thickness Ratio | Limiting Width-Thickness Ratios |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\lambda_{\mathrm{p}}$ (Compact) | $\lambda_{\mathrm{r}}$ <br> (Noncompact) |
| Flexure in flanges of rolled I-shaped sections and channels | b/t | $0,38 *\left(E / \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)^{0,5}$ | $1,0 *\left(\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)^{0,5}$ |
| Flexure in webs <br> of doubly symmetric I-shaped sections and channels | $\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}$ | $3,76 *(\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Fy})^{0,5}$ | 5,70*(E/Fy) ${ }^{0,5}$ |
| Flexure in webs of rectengular hollow square sections | h/t | $2,42 *(\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Fy})^{0,5}$ | 5,70*(E/Fy) ${ }^{0,5}$ |

### 3.3.3 Classification of Cross-Sections for Eurocode 3

In Eurocode 3, there are four classes of cross-sections which depend on width-thickness ratios of the cross section.

According the Eurocode 3 [3], the four classes are defined as; Class 1 cross-sections can be named as plastic sections because they can develop a plastic hinge with the rotation capacity required from plastic analysis, Class 2 cross-sections can be named as compact sections for they have limited rotation capacity due to local buckling but can develop their plastic moment resistance, Class 3 cross-sections can be named as semi-compact sections because the elastically calculated stress in the extreme compression fiber of the steel component assuming an elastic distribution can reach the yield strength, however local buckling is apt to prevent development of the plastic moment resistance, Class 4 can be names as slender section because local buckling will occur before the extreme compression fiber of the steel component reach yielding stress.

For this classification, " $\varepsilon$ " is used as a factor for modified the limiting width-thickness ratio. $\varepsilon$ is defined as;
$\varepsilon=\sqrt{235 / f_{y}}$
where $f_{y}$ is yield strength.

The below table shows maximum width-thickness ratios for compression parts. The limitation ratios can be obtained from below table for Class 1, Class 2 and Class 3 compression parts.

Table 3.14 The Limiting Width-Thickness Ratios for Compressions Parts in the Eurocode 3

|  | Internal Compression Part |  | Outstand Flanges |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Class | Part Subject to Bending | Part Subject to Compression | Part Subject to Compression |
| 1 | $\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{t} \leq 72 * \varepsilon$ | $\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{t} \leq 33 * \varepsilon$ | $\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{t} \leq 9^{*}$ \% |
| 2 | $\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{t} \leq 83 * \varepsilon$ | $\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{t} \leq 38^{*} \varepsilon$ | $\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{t} \leq 10 * \varepsilon$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{t} \leq 124 * \varepsilon$ | $\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{t} \leq 42{ }^{*} \varepsilon$ | $\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{t} \leq 14 * \varepsilon$ |

If a part exceeds the limitation of Class 3, the part is taken as Class 4. The overall classification of the cross-section is classified that taken as the highest class of its component parts.

## CHAPTER 4

## AXIAL TENSION IN MEMBERS

Tension members are structural elements that are subjected to axial tensile forces. They are usually used in different types of structures. Tension members are usually found as braces in buildings and bridges; truss members, and cables in suspended roof systems. Tension members frequently appear as tie rods.

### 4.1 Design of Tension Members for TS 648

### 4.1.1 Net Cross-Sectional Area

The net cross-sectional area is obtained by substracing the cross sectional area of holes on the most critical path from the overall cross-sectional area whenever a tension member is to be fastened by means of rivets or bolts.
$\mathrm{A}_{\text {net }}$ can be described as below formula for a tension member with bolt or rivet holes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\text {net }}=A_{g}-n * A_{\text {hole }}+m * \frac{s^{2}}{4 * g} * t \leq 0,85 * A_{g} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{g}}=$ Gross area or total cross-sectional area
$\mathrm{n}=$ Number of holes
$s=$ Distance between two adjacent holes in the direction of force
$\mathrm{g}=$ Distance between two adjacent holes in the direction normal to the direction of force $\mathrm{t}=$ Thickness of element
$\mathrm{m}=$ number of diagonal or zigzag lines

The below figure related to the above formula:


Figure 4.1 Tension Member

The net area must be lesser than $85 \%$ of the gross area.

On the other hand, if there is a tension member without bolt or rivet holes, net section area equals to total cross sectional area.
$A_{\text {net }}=A_{g}$

### 4.1.2 Allowable Stresses

For the tension members, the allowable tensile stress ( $\sigma_{\text {cem }}$ ) on the net cross-sectional area:
$\sigma_{\text {sem }} \leq 0,6 * \sigma_{a}$
$\sigma_{a}\left(\sigma_{y}\right)$ is yield limit and allowable tensile stress $\left(\sigma_{c e m}\right)$ must not be greater than $0,5 * \sigma_{d}$ (Ultimate tensile strength).

Stability is not a criterion in the design of tension members according to Çetin Yılmaz` s Analysis and Design of Steel Structures [22].

However, it is necessary to limit the length of a tension member in order to prevent it from:

- Becoming too flexible
- Sagging excessively due to its own weight
- Vibrating excessively when subjected to wind forces

So, the slenderness ratio $(\lambda)$ of these members should not exceed 250 .

### 4.2 Design of Tension Members for LRFD

### 4.2.1 Tensile Strength

In LRFD [2], the below equations are used to calculate the design tensile strength $\left(\phi_{t} * P_{n}\right)$ of tension members:

For tensile yielding in the gross section;
$\phi_{t} * P_{n}=\phi_{t} * F_{y} * A_{g} \quad$ with $\phi_{t}=0,9$

For fracture in the net section;
$\phi_{t} * P_{n}=\phi_{t} * F_{u} * A_{e} \quad$ with $\phi_{t}=0,75$
where
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{g}}=$ Gross area of member
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{e}}=$ Effective net area of member
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}}=$ Specified minimum yield stress
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{u}}=$ Specified minimum tensile stress
$\phi_{t}=$ Resistance factor for tension

The design tensile strength is taken as the smaller value obtained from Eq. (4.4) and Eq. (4.5).

The slenderness ratio $(\lambda)$ of the tensile members must not be greater than 300 .

### 4.2.2 Area Determination

If there is a tension member without bolt or rivet holes for example welded connections, net section area equals to total cross sectional area.

If there is a tension member with bolt or rivet holes for example bolted connections, net section area can be described as reduced area of a section.

The net area formula is same for both LRFD and TS 648 as specified in Eq (4.1). Therefore Eq. (4.1) is valid for LRFD.

The effective area $\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)$ of tension members can be computed as ;

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{e}=U^{*} A_{n} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$A_{n}=$ Net area of member

## $\mathrm{U}=$ Shear lag factor

The shear lag factors are tabulated as following tables;

Table 4.1 Shear Lag Factor for LRFD

| Description of Element |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |$\quad$ Shear Lag Factor, U

### 4.3 Design of Tension Members for Eurocode 3

In Eurocode 3 [3], the below equations are utilized to calculate the design tensile strength of tension members:

For tensile yielding in the gross section;
$N_{p l, R d}=\frac{A^{*} f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 0}} \quad$ with $\quad \gamma_{M 0}=1,0$

For the ultimate resistance of the net cross-section;
$N_{u, R d}=\frac{0,9 * A_{\text {net }} * f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 2}} \quad$ with $\quad \gamma_{M 2}=1,25$
where
$A=$ Gross area of member
$\mathrm{A}_{\text {net }}=$ Effective net area of member
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{y}}=$ Specified minimum yield stress
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{u}}=$ Specified minimum tensile stress

The design tensile strength must be selected as the lower value obtained from Eq. (4.7) and Eq. (4.8).

The net cross section area formula is same for both Eurocode 3 and TS 648 as specified in Eq. (4.1). Therefore Eq. (4.1) is valid for Eurocode 3 as well.

## CHAPTER 5

## AXIAL COMPRESSION IN MEMBERS

### 5.1 Design of Compression Members for TS 648

When a compression member is designed according to TS 648 [1], the first thing a designer should know is slenderness ratio $(\lambda)$ which is given by the following formula:
$\lambda=\frac{k^{*} L}{i}$
where
$\mathrm{k}=$ Effective length factor
$\mathrm{L}=$ Length of member
$\mathrm{i}=$ Radius of gyration

Effective length factor (k) is given in the below Table 5.1 according to the support condition.

The effective length factor (k) for compression members in a frame system can be determined based on the Figure 5.1 and Figure 5.2 by deciding whether sidesway of the frame is prevented or permitted. The coefficient $G$ used in the Figure 5.1 and Figure 5.2 is determined for two ends (A and B) of the compression members.

Table 5.1 Effective length factor (k)

| Buckled shape of column is shown by dashed line. |  | (b) | (c) |  | (e) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Theoretical $K$ value | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Recommended design value when ideal conditions are approximated | 0.65 | 0.80 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 2.10 | 2.0 |
| End condition code | Rotation fixed and translation fixedRotation free and translation fixedRotation fixed and translation freeRotation free and translation free |  |  |  |  |  |

The coefficient G can be calculated as using the following formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\frac{\sum \frac{I_{c}}{L_{c}}}{\sum \frac{I_{g}}{L_{g}}} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ Moment of inertia of columns
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{g}}=$ Moment of inertia of beams
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ Length of columns
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{g}}=$ Length of beams

| $G_{A}$ | $K$ | $G_{B}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |

Figure 5.1 K Values For Sidesway Prevented


Figure 5.2 K Values For Sidesway Permitted

For the column ends supports, if the support is hinged, G theoretically becomes infinity however, it is taken as 10,0 . If the support is a fixed end, G is taken as 1,0 .

When conditions at the far end of any particular beam are known, refinements can be calculated for the beam stiffness $I_{g} / L_{g}$ according to Çetin Yılmaz's Analysis and Design of Steel Structures [22]. In this case, the beam stiffness is multiplied with the values in the below table:

Table 5.2 Values to Multiply the Beam Stiffness

| Condition at Far End of Beam | Sidesway Prevented | Sidesway Permitted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pinned | 1,5 | 0,5 |
| Fixed | 2 | 0,67 |

For a column, two $\lambda$ values which are $\lambda_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\lambda_{\mathrm{y}}$ (buckling perpendicular to the principle axes $x-x$ and $y-y$ respectively) are calculated and selected as the greater value of either $\lambda_{x}$ or $\lambda_{y}$. It can be explained as below formula:
$\lambda=\max \left(\lambda_{x} ; \lambda_{y}\right)$

When allowable compressive stress is calculated according to TS 648, the another thing a designer should know is critical slenderness ratio $\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$ which is expressed as;
$\lambda_{\mathrm{p}}=\sqrt{\frac{2 * \pi^{2} * E}{\sigma_{\mathrm{y}}}}$

If the slenderness ratio of axially loaded $(\lambda)$ member is less than critical slenderness ratio $\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$, the allowable compressive stress must be calculated as;
$\sigma_{\text {bem }}=\frac{\left[1-0,5 *\left(\lambda / \lambda_{p}\right)^{2}\right] * \sigma_{y}}{n} \quad$ for $\lambda \leq \lambda_{p}$

If the slenderness ratio of axially loaded $(\lambda)$ member is greater than critical slenderness ratio $\left(\lambda_{p}\right)$, the allowable compressive stress must be written as;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{bem}}=\frac{2 * \pi^{2} * E}{5 * \lambda^{2}} \quad \text { for } \lambda \geq \lambda_{\mathrm{p}} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\mathrm{E}=$ Modulus of elasticity
$\sigma_{y}=$ Yield stress of steel
$\lambda_{\mathrm{p}}=$ Critical slenderness ratio
$\lambda=$ Slenderness ratio of axially loaded member
$\mathrm{n}=$ Factor of safety $\geq 1,67$
$\mathrm{n}=1,67 \quad$ for $\lambda<20$
$\mathrm{n}=1,5+1,2 *\left(\lambda / \lambda_{p}\right)-0,2 *\left(\lambda / \lambda_{p}\right)^{3} \quad$ for $20 \leq \lambda \leq \lambda_{p}$
$\mathrm{n}=2,5$ for $\lambda \geq \lambda_{\mathrm{p}}$

The allowable compressive stress $\left(\sigma_{\text {bem }}\right)$ is equal to the allowable tensile stress $\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{cem}}\right)$ in case the slenderness ratio is less than 20.

### 5.2 Design of Compression Members for LRFD

When a compression member is designed according to LRFD [2], slenderness ratio ( $\lambda$ ), effective length factor (k), the coefficient $G$ are same for both LRFD and TS 648 as specified in $\operatorname{Eq}$ (5.1), Eq (5.2), Eq (5.3), Figure 5.1, Figure 5.2, Table 5.1 and Table 5.2. Therefore, these common concepts are skipped in this part.

When allowable compressive strength is calculated according to LRFD, the another thing a designer should know is the elastic critical buckling stress ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{E}}$ ) which is expressed as;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{E}}=\frac{\pi^{2} * E}{\lambda^{2}} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{E}}=$ Elastic buckling stress
$E=$ Modulus of elasticity of steel
$\lambda=$ Slenderness ratio

If the slenderness ratio of axially loaded $(\lambda)$ member is less than $4,71 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{F_{y}}}$, the flexural buckling stress can be written as;

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{c r}=\left[0,658^{\left(F_{y} / F_{z}\right)}\right] * F y \quad \text { for } \quad \lambda \leq 4,71 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{F_{y}}} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the slenderness ratio of axially loaded $(\lambda)$ member is greater than $4,71 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{F_{y}}}$, the flexural buckling stress is written as;

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{c r}=0,877 * F_{E} \quad \text { for } \quad \lambda>4,71 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{F_{y}}} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{cr}}=$ Elastic critical buckling stress
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{E}}=$ Elastic buckling stress
$E=$ Modulus of elasticity of steel
$\lambda=$ Slenderness ratio

The nominal compressive strength $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ can be computed as;

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}=F_{c r} * A_{g} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The design compressive strength $\left(\phi_{c} * P_{n}\right)$ can be computed as;
$\phi_{c} * P_{n}=0,9 * P_{n} \quad$ with $\phi_{c}=0,9$
where
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{g}}=$ Gross area
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{cr}}=$ Elastic critical buckling stress
$\phi_{c}=$ Resistance factor for compression

### 5.3 DESIGN OF COMPRESSION MEMBERS FOR EUROCODE 3

When a member in axial compression is to be designed according to Eurocode 3, two criteria are controlled: Compression Resistance and Buckling Resistance.

- Compression Resistance :

The design resistance to normal forces of the cross-section for uniform compression must be greater than design value of the compression force.

It can be written as;
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{Rd}} \geq \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{Ed}}$
where
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{Ed}}=$ Design value of the compression force
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{Rd}}=$ Design resistance of the cross-section for uniform compression

The design resistance to normal forces of the cross-section can be expressed as ;
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{Rd}}=\frac{A^{*} f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 0}} \quad$ with $\gamma_{M 0}=1,0 \quad$ for Class 1, 2 or 3
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{Rd}}=\frac{A_{e f f} * f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 0}}$ with $\gamma_{M 0}=1,0 \quad$ for Class 4
where
$A=$ Gross area of member
$\mathrm{A}_{\text {eff }}=$ Effective area of a cross section
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{y}}=$ Specified minimum yield stress
$\gamma_{M 0}=$ Partial factor for resistance of cross section

- Buckling Resistance :

The design buckling resistance of the cross-section for uniform compression must be greater than the design value of the compression force.

It can be written as
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{Rd}} \geq \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{Ed}}$
where
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{Ed}}=$ Design value of the compression force
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{Rd}}=$ Design buckling resistance of the cross-section

The design buckling resistance of the compression member should be taken as ;
$N_{b, R d}=\frac{X^{*} A^{*} f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 1}} \quad$ with $\gamma_{M 1}=1,0 \quad$ for Class 1, 2 and 3
$N_{b, R d}=\frac{X^{*} A_{e f f} * f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 1}}$ with $\gamma_{M 1}=1,0 \quad$ for Class 4
where
$\mathrm{X}=$ Reduction factor for the relevant buckling mode
$A=$ Gross area of member
$\mathrm{A}_{\text {eff }}=$ Effective area of a cross section
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{y}}=$ Specified minimum yield stress
$\gamma_{M 1}=$ Partial factor for resistance of members

The reduction factor for the relevant buckling mode $(\mathrm{X})$ is given as below;
$X=\frac{1}{\Phi+\sqrt{\Phi^{2}-\bar{\lambda}^{2}}} \leq 1,0$
where
$\Phi=$ Value to determine the reduction factor X
$\bar{\lambda}=$ Non dimensional slenderness

The value to determine the reduction factor $\mathrm{X}(\Phi)$ is given as below;
$\Phi=0,5 *\left\lfloor 1+\alpha^{*}(\bar{\lambda}-0,2)+\bar{\lambda}^{2}\right]$
where
$\bar{\lambda}=$ Non dimensional slenderness
$\alpha=$ Imperfection factor

Non dimensional slenderness ( $\bar{\lambda}$ ) can be expressed as;
$\bar{\lambda}=\sqrt{\frac{A^{*} f_{y}}{N_{c r}}} \quad$ for Class 1,2 and 3
$\bar{\lambda}=\sqrt{\frac{A_{e f f} * f_{y}}{N_{c r}}}$ for Class 4
where
$N_{c r}=$ Elastic critical force for the relevant buckling mode

The elastic critical force for the relevant buckling mode ( $N_{c r}$ ) can be expressed as;
$N_{c r}=\frac{\pi^{2} * E^{*} I}{L_{c r}{ }^{2}}$
where
$\mathrm{E}=$ Modulus of elasticity
$\mathrm{I}=$ Second moment of area
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{cr}}=$ Buckling length

The buckling length of the compression member ( $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{cr}}$ ) can be obtained from below figure;


Figure 5.3 Buckling Lengths ( $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{cr}}$ ) for compression members

The imperfection factor for buckling curves ( $\alpha$ ) can be obtained from below table;

Table 5.3 Imperfection factors for buckling curves

| Buckling Curve | $\mathrm{a}_{0}$ | a | b | c | d |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Imperfection Factor $(\alpha)$ | 0,13 | 0,21 | 0,34 | 0,49 | 0,76 |

The buckling curve for a cross section can be obtained from below table;

Table 5.4 Selection of buckling curve for a cross sections


## CHAPTER 6

## DESIGN OF MEMBERS SUBJECTED TO FLEXURE

### 6.1 Design of Members Subjected To Flexure for TS 648

If a beam is to be designed according to TS 648 [1], stress check, shear check, lateral buckling check must be controlled. Moreover, if the beam is subjected to biaxial bending, it must be controlled as well.

- Stress Check :

The bending stress of the beam must be less than the allowable compressive stress in bending. The bending stress can be expressed as follows;
$\sigma_{\max }=\frac{\mathrm{M}_{\text {max }}}{\mathrm{W}_{\text {el }}} \leq \sigma_{\text {all }}=0,6 * \sigma_{\mathrm{y}}$
where
$\sigma_{\max }=$ Maximum stress of the beam
$\mathrm{M}_{\max }=$ Maximum bending moment at the section about bending axis
$\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{el}}=$ Elastic section modulus of cross section
$\sigma_{\text {all }}=$ Allowable bending stress
$\sigma_{\mathrm{y}}=$ Yield stress of the material

- Shear Check :

The shear stress of the beam must be less than the allowable shear stress. For a beam having an open cross section (symmetrical section), the shear stress can be obtained from the shear formula as follows;
$\tau=\bar{\mp} \frac{V_{y} * Q_{x}}{I_{x} * t} \bar{\mp} \frac{V_{x} * Q_{y}}{I_{y} * t} \leq \tau_{\text {all }}=\frac{\sigma_{c e m}}{\sqrt{3}}$
where
$\mathrm{V}=$ External shear
$\mathrm{Q}=$ Statical moment of area
$\mathrm{I}=$ Moment of inertia
$\mathrm{t}=$ Lateral width of cross section
$\sigma_{\mathrm{cem}}=$ Allowable tensile stress
$\tau_{\text {all }}=$ Allowable shear stress

For a beam having a rectangular section, the shear stress can be obtained from the shear formula as follows;
$\tau=\frac{3}{2} * \frac{V}{h^{*} t} \leq \tau_{\text {all }}=\frac{\sigma_{\text {cem }}}{\sqrt{3}}$
where
$\mathrm{V}=$ External shear
$h=$ Height of cross section
$t=$ Lateral width of cross section

For a beam having an I section, the shear stress can be obtained from the shear formula as follows;
$\tau=\frac{V}{h^{*} t} \leq \tau_{\text {all }}$
where
$\mathrm{V}=$ External shear
$h=$ Height of cross section
$t=$ Lateral width of cross section

- Lateral Buckling Check :

In Çetin Yılmaz`s Analysis and Design of Steel Structures [22], beam buckling can be called a lateral-torsional buckling by reason of the fact that the buckling displacements are in the lateral direction and also twisting. If the compression flange of a beam is restrained against lateral buckling, lateral buckling does not occur. If the compression flange of a beam is not restrained against lateral buckling, the lateral stability of the beam must be checked.

According to Çetin Yılmaz`s Analysis and Design of Steel Structures [22], for lateral buckling the critical stress is affected by:

- Material properties
- Spacing
- Types of lateral support
- Types of end support
- Loading conditions

In TS 648, the allowable compressive stress considering lateral buckling can be obtained as follows;

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { If } \frac{s}{i_{y}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{30000 * C_{b}}{\sigma_{y}}} ; \\
& \sigma_{B 1}=\left[\frac{2}{3}-\frac{\sigma_{y} *\left(s / i_{y c}\right)^{2}}{90000 * C_{b}}\right] * \sigma_{y} \leq \sigma_{\text {all }}  \tag{6.5}\\
& \text { If } \frac{s}{i_{y}} \geq \sqrt{\frac{30000 * C_{b}}{\sigma_{y}}} ; \\
& \sigma_{B 1}=\frac{10000 * C_{b}}{\left(s / i_{y}\right)^{2}} \leq \sigma_{a l l} \tag{6.6}
\end{align*}
$$

If the compression flange is almost rectangular and not smaller than tension flange;
$\sigma_{B 2}=\frac{840 * C_{b}}{s * \frac{d}{F_{b}}}$
where
$\mathrm{s}=$ Distance between the supports of the beam
$\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{yc}}=$ Radius of gyration of the compression flange and $1 / 3$ of the compression web area about the symmetry axis
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{b}}=$ Cross-sectional area of the compression flange
$d=$ Beam depth
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}=$ Bending coefficient
$\sigma_{B}=$ The allowable compressive stress considering lateral buckling
$\sigma_{\mathrm{y}}=$ Yield stress of the compression flange material

The allowable compressive stress considering lateral buckling is the greater of Eq.(6.5) or of Eq.(6.6) and Eq.(6.7) however, this value must not be greater than $\sigma_{\text {all }}=0,6 * \sigma_{y}$. This can be expressed as follow;
$\sigma_{\mathrm{B}}=\max \left(\sigma_{\mathrm{B} 1} ; \sigma_{\mathrm{B} 2}\right)$
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}$ is a bending coefficient which is determined as follow;
$C_{b}=1,75+\left[1,05 *\left(\frac{M_{1}}{M_{2}}\right)\right]+0,3 *\left[\left(\frac{M_{1}}{M_{2}}\right)^{2}\right] \leq 2,3$
in which
$\mathrm{M}_{1}=$ The smaller of the two end moments at the lateral supports of the beam
$\mathrm{M}_{12}=$ The larger of the two end moments at the lateral supports of the beam

In the above equation, if $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ have the same sign which means the beam is double curvature, $\left(M_{1} / M_{2}\right)$ is positive and if $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ have the different sign which means the beam is single curvature, $\left(\mathrm{M}_{1} / \mathrm{M}_{2}\right)$ is negative.

If the internal moment at any point between the lateral supports of the beam is larger than the end moments, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}$ must be as 1,0 .

### 6.2 Design of Members Subjected To Flexure for LRFD

In Cem Topkaya`s lecture notes [21], the following equations must be satisfied at each point along the length of beam to design of beams subjected to flexure for LRFD:
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{u}} \leq \Phi_{\mathrm{b}} * \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad$ with $\Phi_{\mathrm{b}}=0,9$
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{u}} \leq \Phi_{\mathrm{v}} * \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad$ with $\Phi_{\mathrm{v}}=0,9$ or 1,0
in which
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{u}}=$ Moment under factored loads (from structural analysis)
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=$ Nominal flexural strength of beam
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{u}}=$ Shear under factored loads (from structural analysis)
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=$ Nominal shear strength of beam
$\Phi_{\mathrm{b}}=$ Resistance factor for flexure
$\Phi_{\mathrm{v}}=$ Resistance factor for shear

The nominal flexural strength $\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ is the lesser value of:

- Yielding
- Lateral torsional buckling
- Flange local buckling
- Web local buckling

In this thesis, only doubly symmetric compact I-shaped members subjected to flexure have been designed. Thus, only corresponding solution procedure has been explained.

The nominal flexural strength, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}$, must be lower value obtained according to the limit states of yielding (plastic moment) and lateral-torsional buckling for the doubly symmetric compact I-shaped members bent about their major axis.

- Yielding :
$\mathrm{Mn}=\mathrm{Mp}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}}{ }^{*} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{x}}$
where
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}}=$ Plastic bending moment
$F_{y}=$ Yield stress of the type of steel being used
$\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{x}}=$ Plastic section modulus about the x -axis
- Lateral Torsional Buckling :

If $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{b}} \leq \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{p}}$;

The limit state of lateral torsional buckling does not apply.
where
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{b}}=$ Length between points that are either braced against lateral displacement of compression flange
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{p}}=$ Limiting laterally unbraced length for the limit state of yielding

If $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{P}}<\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{b}} \leq \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}}$;
$M_{n}=C_{b} *\left[M_{p}-\left(M_{p}-0,7 * F_{y} * S_{x}\right) *\left(\frac{L_{b}-L_{p}}{L_{r}-L_{p}}\right)\right] \leq M_{p}$
where
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}=$ Lateral torsional buckling modification factor
$M_{p}=$ Plastic bending moment
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}}=$ Yield stress of the type of steel being used
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}}=$ Elastic section modulus taken about the x -axis
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{p}}=$ Limiting laterally unbraced length for the limit state of yielding
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}}=$ Limiting laterally unbraced length for the limit state of inelastic lateral torsional buckling

If $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{b}}>\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}}$;
$M_{n}=F_{c r} * S_{x} \leq M_{p}$
where
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}}=$ Elastic section modulus taken about the x -axis
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{cr}}=$ Critical stress

For above equations, lateral torsional buckling modification factor $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ is determined based on the below equation;
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{12,5 * M_{\text {max }}}{2,5 * M_{\text {max }}+3 * M_{A}+4 * M_{B}+3 * M_{C}} * R_{m} \leq 3,0$
where
$\mathrm{M}_{\text {max }}=$ Absolute value of maximum moment in the unbraced segment
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{A}}=$ Absolute value of moment at $1 / 4$ point of the unbraced segment
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}=$ Absolute value of moment at $1 / 2$ point of the unbraced segment
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{C}}=$ Absolute value of moment at $3 / 4$ point of the unbraced segment
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}=$ Cross-section monosymmetry parameter (1,0 for doubly symmetric members)
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}$ can be permitted to be conservatively taken as 1,0 for all cases. Moreover, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}$ is taken as 1,0 for cantilevers or overhangs.

For above equations, the limiting lengths $L_{p}$ and $L_{r}$ can be expressed as;
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{p}}=1,76 * r_{y} * \sqrt{\frac{E}{F_{y}}}$
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}}=1,95 * r_{t s} * \frac{E}{0,7 * F y} * \sqrt{\frac{J^{*} c}{S_{x} * h_{0}}} * \sqrt{1+\sqrt{1+6,76 *\left(\frac{0,7 * F_{y}}{E} * \frac{S_{x} * h_{0}}{J * c}\right)^{2}}}$
where
$r_{y}=$ Radius of gyration about $y$-axis
$\mathrm{E}=$ Modulus of elasticity
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}}=$ Yield stress of the type of steel being used
$r_{t s}=$ Effective radius of gyration used in the determination of $L_{r}$
$\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{ts}}=\sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{I_{y}{ }^{*} C_{w}}}{S_{x}}}$
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{y}}=$ Moment of inertia
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}}=$ Elastic section modulus taken about the x -axis
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{w}}=$ Warping constant
$\mathrm{J}=$ Torsional constant
$\mathrm{c}=1,0 \quad$ (for a doubly symmetric I-shape)
$\mathrm{h}_{0}=$ Distance between the flange centroids

For above equations, critical stress ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{cr}}$ ) can be expressed as;

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{c r}=\frac{C_{b} * \pi^{2} * E}{\left(\frac{L_{b}}{r_{t s}}\right)^{2}} * \sqrt{1+0,078 * \frac{J^{*} c}{S_{x} * h_{0}} *\left(\frac{L_{b}}{r_{t s}}\right)^{2}} \tag{6.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The below figure obtained from Cem Topkaya`s lecture notes [21] clearly shows the relationship between $L_{b}$ and $M_{n}$.


Figure 6.1 Variation of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}$ with $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{b}}$

The nominal flexural strength, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}$, must be lower value obtained according to the limit states of yielding (plastic moment) and flange local buckling for the doubly symmetric compact I-shaped members bent about their minor axis.

- Yielding :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Mn}=\mathrm{Mp}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}} * \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{y}} \leq 1,6 * \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{y}} * \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{y}} \tag{6.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}}=$ Yield stress of the type of steel being used
$Z_{y}=$ Plastic section modulus about the $y$-axis
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{y}}=$ Elastic section modulus about the y -axis

- Flange Local Buckling :

The limit state of flange local buckling does not apply for compact flange.
$\mathrm{Mn}=\mathrm{Mp}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}} * \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{y}}$

For doubly symmetric members subject to shear in the plane of web, the design shear strength, $\Phi \mathrm{v}$ * Vn, can be expressed as below formula;
$\Phi_{\mathrm{v}} * \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n}}=\Phi \mathrm{v} *\left(0,6 * \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{y}} * \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{w}} * \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}\right) \quad$ for $\frac{\mathrm{h}}{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}} \leq 2,24 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{F_{y}}}$

Where
$\Phi_{\mathrm{v}}=1,0$ (Resistance factor for shear)
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=$ Nominal shear strength
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{w}}=\mathrm{h} * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}(\mathrm{Web}$ area)
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}=1,0($ Web shear coefficient $)$

For doubly symmetric members subject to shear in the weak axis, the design shear strength, $\Phi_{\mathrm{v}} * \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n}}$, can be expressed as below formula;
$\Phi_{\mathrm{v}} * \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n}}=\Phi \mathrm{v} *\left(0,6 * \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{y}} * \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{w}} * \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}\right) \quad$ for $\frac{\mathrm{h}}{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}} \leq 2,24 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{F_{y}}}$
where
$\Phi_{\mathrm{v}}=0,9($ Resistance factor for shear)
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{w}}=\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{f}} * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}$ (Web area)
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}=1,0(\mathrm{Web}$ shear coefficient $)$

### 6.3 Design of Members Subjected To Flexure for Eurocode 3

If a beam is to be designed according to Eurocode 3, the following checks must be controlled:

- Bending
- Shear
- Bending and Shear
- Bending and Axial Force
- Biaxial Bending
- Buckling Resistance in Bending

In this thesis, only doubly symmetric compact I-shaped members subjected to flexure have been designed. Thus, only corresponding solution procedure has been explained.

- Bending Resistance :

The design value of the resistance to bending moment $\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{Rd}}\right)$ must be greater than the design value of the bending moment $\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Ed}}\right)$.

It can be written as;
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{Rd}} \geq \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Ed}}$

The design resistance expressions for bending of the cross-sections are given below formulae;
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{Rd}}=\frac{W_{p l} * f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 0}} \quad$ for Class 1 or 2
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{Rd}}=\frac{W_{e l, \text { min }} * f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 0}} \quad$ for Class 3
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{Rd}}=\frac{W_{e f f \text { min }} * f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 0}} \quad$ for Class 4
where
$\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{pl}}=$ Plastic section modulus
$\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{el}, \text { min }}=$ Minimum elastic section modulus
$\mathrm{W}_{\text {eff,min }}=$ Minimum effective section modulus

The subscript 'min' shows that the minimum value of $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{el}}$ or $\mathrm{W}_{\text {eff }}$ must be used.

- Shear Resistance :

The design plastic shear resistance of the cross-section $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{pl}, \mathrm{Rd}}\right)$ must be greater than design value of the shear force $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Ed}}\right)$.

It can be expressed as;
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{pl}, \mathrm{Rd}} \geq \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Ed}}$

The yield stress of steel in shear is approximately $1 / \sqrt{3}$ of its yield stress thus the design plastic shear resistance is given below;
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{pl}, \mathrm{Rd}}=\frac{A_{v} *\left(f_{y} / \sqrt{3}\right)}{\gamma_{M 0}}$
where
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{v}}=$ Shear area
$f_{y}=$ Specified minimum yield stress
$\gamma_{M 0}=$ Partial factor for resistance of cross section

The shear area can be expressed as below formulae;

1. Rolled I Sections, load parallel to web :
$A_{v}=A-2 * b * t_{f}+\left(t_{w}+2 * r\right) \geq \eta * h_{w} * t_{w}$
2. Rolled I Sections, load parallel to flanges :
$A_{w}=A-\sum\left(h_{w} * t_{w}\right)$
3. Rolled rectangular hollow sections of uniform thickness, load parallel to depth :
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{v}}=\mathrm{A} * \mathrm{~h} /(\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{h})$
4. Rolled rectangular hollow sections of uniform thickness, load parallel to depth :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{v}}=\mathrm{A} * \mathrm{~b} /(\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{h}) \tag{6.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\mathrm{A}=$ Cross-sectional area
$\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{w}}=$ Overall web depth
$\eta=$ Shear area factor (taken as 1,2 )

The resistance of the web to shear buckling must be checked. If the below criterion is satisfied for unstiffened webs, shear buckling need not be checked.
$\frac{h_{w}}{t_{w}} \leq 72 * \frac{\varepsilon}{\eta}$

- Bending and Shear :

If the design value of the shear force is less than half the plastic shear resistance of the cross-section, its effect on the moment resistance can be neglected. For cases where the applied shear force is greater than half the plastic shear resistance of the cross section, the reduced moment resistance should be calculated using a reduced design strength calculated by below equation [3];
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{yr}}=(1-\rho) * \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{y}}$
where
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{yr}}=$ Reduced yield stress
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{Y}$ ield stress
$\rho=$ Reduced factor to determine reduced design values of the resistance to bending moment

The reduced factor to determine reduced design values of the resistance to bending moment ( $\rho$ ) can be calculated as below equation;
$\rho=\left(\frac{2 * V_{E d}}{V_{p l, R d}}\right)^{2}$

- Bending and Axial Force :

The design plastic moment resistance reduced due to the axial force $\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Rd}}\right)$ should be greater than design bending moment $\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Ed}}\right)$. It can be expressed as;
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Ed}} \leq \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Rd}}$

For doubly symmetric I sections subjected to axial force and major axis bending moment, no reduction in the major axis plastic moment resistance is provided if both of the below criteria are met ;

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{E d} \leq 0,25 * N_{p l, R d}  \tag{6.38}\\
& N_{E d} \leq \frac{0,5 * h_{w} * t_{w} * f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 0}} \tag{6.39}
\end{align*}
$$

For doubly symmetric I sections subjected to axial force and minor axis bending moment, no reduction in the major axis plastic moment resistance is provided if both of the below criterion is met ;

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{e d} \leq \frac{h_{w}^{*} t_{w}^{*} f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 0}} \tag{6.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{Ed}}=$ Design normal force
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{pl}, \mathrm{Rd}}=$ Design plastic resistance to normal forces of the gross section
$h_{w}=$ Overall web depth
$\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}=$ Web thickness

If the above criteria are not met, a reduced plastic moment resistance shall be calculated using by following formulae;

Major Axis:
$M_{N, R d}=M_{p l, R d} * \frac{1-n}{1-0,5 * a} \leq M_{p l, R d}$

Minor Axis:

For $\mathrm{n} \leq \mathrm{a} \quad M_{N, R d}=M_{p l, R d}$

For $\mathrm{n}>\mathrm{a} \quad M_{N, R d}=M_{p l, R d} *\left[1-\left(\frac{n-a}{1-a}\right)^{2}\right]$
in which
$\mathrm{n}=$ Ratio of applied load to plastic compression resistance of section
$n=\frac{N_{E d}}{N_{p l, R d}}$
$\mathrm{a}=$ Ratio of the area of the web to the total area

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\frac{A-2 * b * t_{f}}{A} \tag{6.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Biaxial Bending :

For biaxial bending the below equation is used;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{M_{x, E d}}{M_{N, x, R d}}\right)^{\alpha}+\left(\frac{M_{y, E d}}{M_{N, y, R d}}\right)^{\beta} \leq 1,0 \tag{6.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are constants for I Sections, as defined below;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=2 \quad \text { and } \quad \beta=5 * \mathrm{n} \geq 1,0 \tag{6.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Buckling Resistance in Bending

The design buckling resistance moment $\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{Rd}}\right)$ must be greater than the design value of the moment ( $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Ed}}$ ). It can be explained as;
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{Rd}} \geq \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Ed}}$

The design buckling resistance moment of a laterally unrestrained beam is described through below equation;

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{b, R d}=X_{L T} * W_{x} * \frac{f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 1}} \tag{6.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{LT}}=$ Reduction factor for lateral torsional buckling
$\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{x}}=$ Appropriate section modulus
$-\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{pl.x}} \quad$ for Class 1 and 2
$-\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{W}_{\text {el.. }} \quad$ for Class 3
$-\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{W}_{\text {eff. } \mathrm{x}} \quad$ for Class 4
$f_{y}=$ Specified minimum yield stress
$\gamma_{M 1}=$ Partial factor for resistance of members

The reduction factor for lateral torsional buckling $\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{LT}}\right)$ is explained as below equation;

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{L T}=\frac{1}{\Phi_{L T}+\sqrt{\Phi_{L T}{ }^{2}-\bar{\lambda}_{L T}{ }^{2}}} \tag{6.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\Phi_{L T}=$ Value to determine the reduction factor $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{LT}}$
$\bar{\lambda}_{L T}=$ Non dimensional slenderness for lateral torsional buckling

The value to determine the reduction factor $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{LT}}\left(\Phi_{L T}\right)$ is given as below;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{L T}=0,5 *\left\lfloor 1+\alpha_{L T} *\left(\bar{\lambda}_{L T}-0,2\right)+\bar{\lambda}_{L T}{ }^{2}\right\rfloor \tag{6.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\bar{\lambda}_{L T}=$ Non dimensional slenderness for lateral torsional buckling
$\alpha_{\mathrm{LT}}=$ Imperfection factor

Non-dimensional slenderness for lateral torsional buckling $\left(\bar{\lambda}_{L T}\right)$ can be expressed as;
$\bar{\lambda}_{L T}=\sqrt{\frac{W_{x} * f_{y}}{M_{c r}}}$
where
$M_{c r}=$ Elastic critical moment for lateral torsional buckling

The elastic critical moment for lateral torsional buckling ( $M_{c r}$ ) can be expressed as;

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{c r}=C_{1} * \frac{\pi^{2} * E * I_{y}}{L_{c r}{ }^{2}} *\left(\frac{I_{w}}{I_{y}}+\frac{L_{c r}{ }^{2} * G^{*} I_{T}}{\pi^{2} * E * I_{y}}\right)^{0,5} \tag{6.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\mathrm{E}=$ Modulus of elasticity
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{y}}=$ Second moment of area about the minor axis
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{cr}}=$ Length of beam between points of lateral restraint
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{T}}=$ Torsion constant
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{w}}=$ Warping constant
$G=$ Shear modulus
$\mathrm{C}_{1}=$ Value to determine the elastic critical moment $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{cr}}$

The values of $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ for end moment loading can be approximated by below equation;
$\mathrm{C}_{1}=1,88-1,4 * \psi+0,52 * \psi^{2} \leq 2,7$
where
$\psi=$ Ratio of the end moments

The ratio of the end moments ( $\psi$ ) can be expressed as below;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=\frac{M_{1}}{M_{2}} \tag{6.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{M}_{1}=$ The smaller of the two end moments at the lateral supports of the beam
$\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ The larger of the two end moments at the lateral supports of the beam

In the above equation, if $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ have the same sign which means the beam is double curvature, $\left(\mathrm{M}_{1} / \mathrm{M}_{2}\right)$ is negative and if $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ have the different sign which means the beam is single curvature, $\left(\mathrm{M}_{1} / \mathrm{M}_{2}\right)$ is positive.

The values of $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ for transverse loading can be obtained from below table;

Table 6.1 $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ values for end moment loading

| Loading and Support Conditions | Bending Moment Diagram | Value of $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\square$ | 1,132 |
|  |  | 1,285 |
|  |  | 1,365 |
|  |  | 1,565 |
|  | $\searrow$ | 1,046 |

The imperfection factor ( $\alpha_{\mathrm{LT}}$ ) can be obtained from below table;

Table 6.2 Imperfection factors

| Buckling Curve | a | b | c | d |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Imperfection Factor $\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{LT}}\right)$ | 0,21 | 0,34 | 0,49 | 0,76 |

The lateral torsional buckling curve for a cross section can be obtained from below table;

Table 6.3 Selection of lateral torsional buckling curve for cross sections

| Cross-Section | Limits | Buckling Curves |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rolled I Sections | $\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{b} \leq 2$ | a |
|  | $\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{b}>2$ | b |
| Welded I Sections | $\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{b} \leq 2$ | c |
|  | $\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{b}>2$ | d |
| Other Cross-Sections | - | d |

## CHAPTER 7

## AXIAL COMPRESSION AND FLEXURE IN MEMBERS

### 7.1 Design of Beam-Column Members for TS 648

In Course 485 Class Notes by Yılmaz Çetin and Polat Uğur [23], treatment of beamcolumns is complex due to:

- Presence of secondary moments
- Interaction between the instability caused by axial compression and flexure

In order to be able to design beam-columns according to TS 648, interaction equations are used.

If the axial force is tensile, the interaction equation can be formulated as below:
$\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{eq}}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{cem}}}+\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{bx}}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{Bx}}}+\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{by}}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{By}}} \leq 1,0$
in which
$\sigma_{\text {eq }}=$ Computed tensile stress
$\sigma_{\text {cem }}=$ Allowable tensile stress
$\sigma_{b \mathrm{x}}$ and $\sigma_{b y}=$ Computed bending stresses about major and minor axes
$\sigma_{\mathbf{B} x}$ and $\sigma_{\mathbf{B} y}=$ Allowable bending stresses for bending about major and minor axes

If the axial force is compression, the interaction equations can be formulated as below;
$\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{eb}}}{0,6^{*} \sigma_{\mathrm{y}}}+\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{bx}}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{Bx}}}+\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{by}}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{By}}} \leq 1,0$
$\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{eb}}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{bem}}}+\frac{C_{m x}}{\left(1-\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{eb}}}{\sigma_{e^{\prime} x}}\right)} * \frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{bx}}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{Bx}}}+\frac{C_{m y}}{\left(1-\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{eb}}}{\sigma_{e^{\prime} y}}\right)} * \frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{by}}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{By}}} \leq 1,0$

If $\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{eb}}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{bem}}} \leq 0,15$, moment magnification factors can be neglected and the following formula is only used;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{eb}}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{bem}}}+\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{bx}}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{Bx}}}+\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{by}}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{By}}} \leq 1,0 \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which
$\sigma_{\mathrm{eb}}=$ Computed axial compression stress
$\sigma_{\text {bem }}=$ Allowable compression stress under axial load only
$\sigma_{e^{\prime} x}=$ Critical stresses about major axis
$\sigma_{e^{\prime} y}=$ Critical stresses about minor axis
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{mx}}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{my}}=$ Coefficients reflecting moment gradient and lateral support condition $\sigma_{y}=$ Yield stress of used steel

Critical stresses ( $\sigma_{e^{\prime} x}$ and $\sigma_{e^{\prime} y}$ ) can be computed as below equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{e^{\prime} x}=\frac{2 * \pi^{2} * E}{5 * \lambda_{x}^{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma_{e^{\prime} y}=\frac{2 * \pi^{2} * E}{5 * \lambda_{y}^{2}} \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Coefficients reflecting moment gradient and lateral support condition ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{mx}}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{my}}$ ) can be expressed as below;

- In frames with sidesway permitted $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}=0,85$
- In frames with sidesway prevented and no span loads:
$C_{m}=0,6-0,4 *\left(\frac{M_{1}}{M_{2}}\right) \geq 0,4$
$M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are the small end moment and the large end moment. The ratio of $M_{1} / M_{2}$ is taken as positive for double curvature, the ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{1} / \mathrm{M}_{2}$ is taken as negative for single curvature [1].
- In frames with no sidesway and with span loading ;
$C_{m}=1-\psi * \frac{\sigma_{e b}}{\sigma_{e}^{\prime}}$
$\psi$ values can be obtained from below Table 7.1.

Table 7.1 $\psi$ values and $C_{m}$

| CONDITION | $\psi$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1,0 |
|  | -0,3 | $1-0,3 * \frac{\sigma_{e b}}{\sigma_{e}^{\prime}}$ |
|  | -0,4 | $1-0,4 * \frac{\sigma_{e b}}{\sigma_{e}^{\prime}}$ |
|  | -0,2 | $1-0,2 * \frac{\sigma_{e b}}{\sigma_{e}^{\prime}}$ |
|  | -0,4 | $1-0,4 * \frac{\sigma_{e b}}{\sigma_{e}^{\prime}}$ |
|  | -0,6 | $1-0,6 * \frac{\sigma_{e b}}{\sigma_{e}^{\prime}}$ |

### 7.2 Design of Beam-Column Members for LRFD

For doubly and singly symmetric members subjected to flexure and compression, below equations are used;

If $\frac{P_{r}}{P_{c}} \geq 0,2$
$\frac{P_{r}}{P_{c}}+\frac{8}{9} *\left(\frac{M_{r x}}{M_{c x}}+\frac{M_{r y}}{M_{c y}}\right) \leq 1,0$

If $\frac{P_{r}}{P_{c}}<0,2$
$\frac{P_{r}}{2{ }^{*} P_{c}}+\left(\frac{M_{r x}}{M_{c x}}+\frac{M_{r y}}{M_{c y}}\right) \leq 1,0$
in which
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}}=$ Required axial compressive strength using LRFD load combinations
$P_{c}=\phi_{c} * P_{n}=$ Design axial compressive strength (obtain from Chapter 5.2)
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{r}}=$ Required flexural strength using LRFD load combinations
$M_{c}=\phi_{b} * M_{n}=$ Design flexural strength (obtain from Chapter 6.2)
$\phi_{b}=$ Resistance factor for compression $=0,9$
$\phi_{c}=$ Resistance factor for flexure $=0,9$

For doubly and singly symmetric members subjected to flexure and tension, Equation (7.8) and Equation (7.9) are used in the same way.

To calculate the required flexural strength using LRFD load combinations $\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ and the required axial compressive strength using LRFD load combinations $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$, there are two options.

1. General Second-Order Elastic Analysis :

Any second-order elastic analysis method may be used.
2. Second-Order Analysis by Amplified First-Order Elastic Analysis :

The required flexural strength using LRFD load combinations $\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ and the required axial compressive strength using LRFD load combinations ( $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}}$ ) can be expressed as follows;
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{r}}=\beta_{1} * \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{nt}}+\beta_{2} * \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{lt}}$
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{nt}}+\beta_{2} * \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{lt}}$
in which
$\beta_{1}=\frac{C_{m}}{1-\alpha^{*} P_{r} / P_{e l}} \geq 1$
$\beta_{2}=\frac{1}{1-\frac{\alpha^{*} \sum P_{n t}}{\sum P_{e 2}}} \geq 1$
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{nt}}=$ First-order moment using LRFD load combinations (assuming no lateral translation of the frame)
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{lt}}=$ First-order moment using LRFD load combinations caused by lateral translation of the frame only
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{nt}}=$ First-order axial force using LRFD load combinations (assuming no lateral translation of the frame)
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{lt}}=$ First-order axial force using LRFD load combinations caused by lateral translation of the frame only
$\sum P_{n t}=$ Total vertical load supported by the story using LRFD load combinations
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{A}$ coefficient assuming no lateral translation of the frame
$P_{e l}=$ Elastic critical buckling resistance of the member
$\sum P_{e 2}=$ Elastic critical buckling resistance for the story determined by sidesway buckling analysis
$\alpha=1,0$

The coefficient assuming no lateral translation of the frame $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ can be expressed as below;

- For beam-columns in frames with sidesway prevented and no span loads;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}=0,6-0,4 *\left(\frac{\mathrm{M}_{1}}{\mathrm{M}_{2}}\right) \tag{7.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are the small end moment and the large end moment. The ratio of $M_{1} / M_{2}$ is taken as positive for double curvature, the ratio of $\mathrm{M}_{1} / \mathrm{M}_{2}$ is taken as negative for single curvature.

- For beam-columns subjected to transverse loading between supports
$C_{m}=1,0$


### 7.3 Design of Beam-Column Members for Eurocode 3

When members subject to combined bending and axial compression, both following equations are satisfied (omitting for Class 4 sections) according to Eurocode 3 [3].
$\frac{N_{E d}}{X_{x} * N_{R k} / \gamma_{M 1}}+k_{x x} * \frac{M_{x, E d}}{X_{L T} * M_{x, R k} / \gamma_{M 1}}+k_{x y} * \frac{M_{y, E d}}{M_{y, R k} / \gamma_{M 1}} \leq 1,0$
$\frac{N_{E d}}{X_{y} * N_{R k} / \gamma_{M 1}}+k_{y x} * \frac{M_{x, E d}}{X_{L T} * M_{x, R k} / \gamma_{M 1}}+k_{y y} * \frac{M_{y, E d}}{M_{y, R k} / \gamma_{M 1}} \leq 1,0$
in which
$N_{E d}, M_{x, E d}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Ed}}=$ The design value of the compression force and the maximum moments
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{Rk}}, \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{Rk}}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Rk}}=$ The characteristic values of the compression resistance of the cross section and the bending moment resistance of the cross section
$\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{y}}=$ The reduction factors due to flexural buckling
$\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{LT}}=$ The reduction factors due to lateral torsional buckling
$\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{xx}}, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{yy}}, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{xy}}, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{yx}}=$ The interaction factors
The interaction factors $\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{xx}}, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{yy}}, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{xy}}, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{yx}}\right)$ are obtained from below tables;

Table 7.2 Interaction factors for members not susceptible to torsional deformations

| Interaction Factors | Type of Sections | Design Assumptions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Class 3 and Class 4 | Class 1 and Class 2 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{xx}}$ | I <br> Sections | $\begin{aligned} & C_{m x} *\left[1+\left(0,6 * \bar{\lambda}_{x} * \frac{N_{E d}}{X_{x} * N_{R k} / \gamma_{M 1}}\right]\right. \\ & \leq C_{m x} *\left[1+0,6 * \frac{N_{E d}}{X_{x} * N_{R k} / \gamma_{M 1}}\right] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & C_{m x} *\left[1+\left(\bar{\lambda}_{x}-0,2\right) * \frac{N_{E d}}{X_{x} * N_{R k} / \gamma_{M 1}}\right] \\ & \leq C_{m x} *\left[1+0,8 * \frac{N_{E d}}{X_{x} * N_{R k} / \gamma_{M 1}}\right] \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{xy}}$ | I <br> Sections | $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{yy}}$ | $0,6 * \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{yy}}$ |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{yx}}$ | I <br> Sections | $0,8 * \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{xx}}$ | $0,6 * \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{xx}}$ |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{yy}}$ | I <br> Sections | $\begin{aligned} & C_{m y} *\left[1+0,6 * \bar{\lambda}_{y} * \frac{N_{E d}}{X_{y} * N_{R k} / \gamma_{M 1}}\right] \\ & \leq C_{m y} *\left[1+0,6 * \frac{N_{E d}}{X_{y} * N_{R k} / \gamma_{M 1}}\right] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & C_{m y} *\left[1+\left(2 * \bar{\lambda}_{y}-0,6\right) * \frac{N_{E d}}{X_{y} * N_{R k} / \gamma_{M 1}}\right] \\ & \leq C_{m y} *\left[1+1,4 * \frac{N_{E d}}{X_{y} * N_{R k} / \gamma_{M 1}}\right] \end{aligned}$ |

Table 7.3 Interaction factors for member susceptible to torsional deformations

| Interaction Factors | Type of Sections | Design Assumptions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Class 3 and Class 4 | Class 1 and Class 2 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{xx}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { I } \\ \text { Sections } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & C_{m x} *\left[1+\left(0,6 * \bar{\lambda}_{x} * \frac{N_{E d}}{X_{x} * N_{R k} / \gamma_{M 1}}\right]\right. \\ & \leq C_{m x} *\left[1+0,6 * \frac{N_{E d}}{X_{x} * N_{R k} / \gamma_{M 1}}\right] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & C_{m x} *\left[1+\left(\bar{\lambda}_{x}-0,2\right) * \frac{N_{E d}}{X_{x} * N_{R k} / \gamma_{M 1}}\right] \\ & \leq C_{m x} *\left[1+0,8 * \frac{N_{E d}}{X_{x} * N_{R k} / \gamma_{M 1}}\right] \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{xy}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { I } \\ \text { Sections } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{yy}}$ | $0,6 * \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{yy}}$ |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{yx}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { I } \\ \text { Sections } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1-\frac{0,05 * \bar{\lambda}_{y}}{C_{M L T}-0,25} * \frac{N_{E d}}{X_{y} * N_{R k} / \gamma_{M 1}} \\ & \geq 1-\frac{0,05}{C_{M L T}-0,25} * \frac{N_{E d}}{X_{y} * N_{R k} / \gamma_{M 1}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { for } \bar{\lambda}_{y} \geq 0,4 ; \\ & 1-\frac{0,1 * \bar{\lambda}_{y}}{C_{M L T}-0,25} * \frac{N_{E d}}{X_{y} * N_{R k} / \gamma_{M 1}} \\ & \geq 1-\frac{0,1}{C_{M L T}-0,25} * \frac{N_{E d}}{X_{y} * N_{R k} / \gamma_{M 1}} \\ & \text { for } \bar{\lambda}_{y}<0,4 ; \\ & 0,6+\bar{\lambda}_{y} \leq 1-\frac{0,1 * \bar{\lambda}_{y}}{C_{M L T}-0,25} * \frac{N_{E d}}{X_{y} * N_{R k} / \gamma_{M 1}} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{yy}}$ | Sections | $\begin{aligned} & C_{m y} *\left[1+0,6 * \bar{\lambda}_{y} * \frac{N_{E d}}{X_{y} * N_{R k} / \gamma_{M 1}}\right] \\ & \leq C_{m y} *\left[1+0,6 * \frac{N_{E d}}{X_{y} * N_{R k} / \gamma_{M 1}}\right] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & C_{m y} *\left[1+\left(2 * \bar{\lambda}_{y}-0,6\right) * \frac{N_{E d}}{X_{y} * N_{R k} / \gamma_{M 1}}\right] \\ & \leq C_{m y} *\left[1+1,4 * \frac{N_{E d}}{X_{y} * N_{R k} / \gamma_{M 1}}\right] \end{aligned}$ |

Table 7.4 Equivalent uniform moment factors $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}$

| Moment Diagram | Range |  | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{mx}}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{my}}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{mLT}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Uniform Loading | Concentrated Load |
| M $\square$ $\psi \mathrm{M}$ | $-1 \leq \psi \leq 1$ |  | $0,6+0,4 * \psi \geq 0,4$ |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{h}} \underbrace{}_{\substack{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{s}}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{s}} / \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{h}}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{h}} \end{gathered}$ | $0 \leq \alpha_{s} \leq 1$ | $-1 \leq \psi \leq 1$ | $0,2+0,8 * \alpha_{s} \geq 0,4$ | $0,2+0,8 * \alpha_{\mathrm{s}} \geq 0,4$ |
|  | $-1 \leq \alpha_{s} \leq 0$ | $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ | $0,1-0,8^{*} \alpha_{s} \geq 0,4$ | $-0,8 * \alpha_{s} \geq 0,4$ |
|  |  | $-1 \leq \psi \leq 0$ | $\begin{gathered} 0,1(1-\psi)-0,8 * \alpha_{s} \geq \\ 0,4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0,2(-\psi)-0,8 * \alpha_{s} \geq \\ 0,4 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{h}} \underset{\mathrm{M}}{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{s}} \quad \psi \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{h}}$$\alpha_{\mathrm{h}}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{h}} / \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{s}}$ | $0 \leq \alpha_{h} \leq 1$ | $-1 \leq \psi \leq 1$ | $0,95+0,05 * \alpha_{h}$ | $0,90+0,10 * \alpha_{h}$ |
|  | $-1 \leq \alpha_{\mathrm{h}} \leq 0$ | $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ | $0,95+0,05 * \alpha_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $0,90+0,10 * \alpha_{h}$ |
|  |  | $-1 \leq \psi \leq 0$ | $\begin{gathered} 0,95+ \\ 0,05 * a_{h}^{*}{ }^{*}(1+2 * \psi) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0,90- \\ 0,10 * \alpha_{h} *(1+2 * \psi) \end{gathered}$ |

For members with sway buckling mode the equivalent uniform moment factor should be taken $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{mx}}=$ 0,9 or $C_{m y}=0,9$ respectively.

## CHAPTER 8

## LINK BEAMS

### 8.1 Design of Link Beams for TS 648

When a link beam is designed according to TS 648, TS 648 refers to TEC 2007. In TEC 2007 [4], the length of the link ( $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{link}}$ ) beam must be within the following limit.

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{l i n k, \min }=1,0 * \frac{M_{p}}{V_{p}} \leq e_{\text {link }} \leq e_{\text {link }, \text { max }}=5,0 * \frac{M_{p}}{V_{p}} \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}}=$ Bending moment capacity
$V_{p}=$ Shear force capacity

The bending moment capacity $\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$ and the shear force capacity $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$ can be calculated as below formulae;
$M_{p}=W_{p} * \sigma_{y}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{p}=0,6 * \sigma_{y} * A_{k} \tag{8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{p}}=$ Section modulus of cross-sections
$\sigma_{y}=$ Yield stress of the material
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{k}}=$ Shear area of cross-sections
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{k}}=\left(\mathrm{h}-2 * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}\right) * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}$

The design shear force of the link beam $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$ shall satisfy the both of below conditions;
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{d}} \leq \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}}$
$V_{d} \leq \frac{2 * M_{p}}{e_{\text {link }}}$

If $N_{d} /\left(\sigma_{a} * A\right)>0,15$, reduced shear force capacity $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{pn}}\right)$ and reduced bending moment capacity $\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{pn}}\right)$ are used in Equation (8.5) and (8.6) instead of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}}$. The reduced shear force capacity $\left(V_{p n}\right)$ and the reduced bending moment capacity $\left(M_{p n}\right)$ can be expressed as below;

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{p n}=1,18 * M_{p} *\left[1-\frac{N_{d}}{\sigma_{y} * A}\right] \tag{8.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$V_{p n}=V_{p} * \sqrt{1-\left(N_{d} / \sigma_{y} * A\right)^{2}}$
where
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{d}}=$ Design axial force of link beam
$A=$ Area of cross sections

### 8.2 Design of Link Beams for LRFD

When a link beam is designed according to LRFD, LRFD refers to AISC Standard 34105 [7] (Seismic Provisions for Structural Steel Buildings).

The link design shear strength $\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{v}} * \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ must be greater than the required shear strength based on LRFD load combinations ( $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{u}}$ or $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{r}}$ ). It can be expressed as;
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{u}} \leq \Phi_{\mathrm{v}} * \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n}}$
where
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=$ Nominal shear strength of the link beam
$\Phi_{\mathrm{v}}=$ Resistance factor for shear $\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{v}}=0,9\right)$

The nominal shear strength of the link beam $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ can be expressed as ;
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=\min \left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}} ; 2 * \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}} / \mathrm{e}\right)$
where
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}}=0,6 * \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{y}} * \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{w}}$
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}} * \mathrm{Z}$
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{w}}=\left(\mathrm{d}-2 * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}\right) * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}$
$\mathrm{e}=$ length of link beam

If $P_{u} \leq 0,15 * P_{y}$, the effect of axial force on the shear strength of the link beam can be neglected.
where
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{u}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}}=$ required axial strength based on LRFD load combinations
$P_{y}=P_{c}=$ Nominal axial yield strength

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{y}=A_{g} * F_{y} \tag{8.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $P_{u}>0,15^{*} P_{y}$, the following requirements must be satisfied;

- The available shear strength of the link beam $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ can be expressed as ;
$\Phi_{\mathrm{v}} * \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n}}=\min \left(\Phi_{\mathrm{v}} * \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{pa}} ; 2 * \Phi_{\mathrm{v}} * \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{pa}} / \mathrm{e}\right)$
where
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{pa}}=V_{p} * \sqrt{1-\left(P_{r} / P_{c}\right)^{2}}$
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{pa}}=1,18 * M_{p} *\left[1-\frac{P_{r}}{P_{c}}\right]$
- The length of the link beam should not exceed ;

If $\rho^{\prime *}\left(A_{w} / A_{g}\right) \geq 0,3$;
$\mathrm{e}_{\text {link, } \text { max }}=\left[1,15-0,5^{*} \rho^{\prime *}\left(A_{w} / A_{g}\right)\right]^{*} 1,6^{*} M_{p} / V_{p}$

If $\rho^{\prime *}\left(A_{w} / A_{g}\right)<0,3$;
$\mathrm{e}_{\text {link, } \text { max }}=1,6 * M_{p} / V_{p}$
where
$\rho^{\prime}=P_{r} / V_{r}$
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{g}}=$ Gross area of the link beam

### 8.3 Design of Link Beams for Eurocode 3

When a link beam is designed according to Eurocode 3, Eurocode 3 refers to Eurocode 8 [8]. The bending moment capacity $\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}, \text { link }}\right)$ and the shear force capacity $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}, \text { link }}\right)$ can be calculated as below formulae ;
$M_{p, \text { Link } k}=f_{y} * b * t_{f} *\left(h-t_{f}\right)$
$V_{p, \text { Link }}=\left(f_{y} / \sqrt{3}\right) * t_{w} *\left(h-t_{f}\right)$

If $\frac{N_{E d}}{N_{p l, R d}}<0,15$, the design resistance of the link beam shall satisfy the both of below conditions ;
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Ed}} \leq \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}, \text { Link }}$
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Ed}} \leq \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{Link}}$
in which
$N_{E d}, M_{E d}$ and $V_{E d}=$ Design axial force, design bending moment and design shear

If $\frac{N_{E d}}{N_{p l, R d}} \geq 0,15$, reduced shear force capacity $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{Link}, \mathrm{r}}\right)$ and reduced bending moment capacity ( $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}, \text { Link,r, }}$ ) are used in Equation (8.23) and (8.24) instead of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{Link}}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}, \text { Link. }}$. The reduced shear force capacity $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{Link}, \mathrm{r}}\right)$ and the reduced bending moment capacity ( $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{Link}, \mathrm{r}}$ ) can be expressed as below ;
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{Link}, \mathrm{r}}=V_{p, \text { Link }} * \sqrt{1-\left(N_{E d} / N_{p l, R d}\right)^{2}}$
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{Link}, \mathrm{r}}=M_{p, L \text { Link }} *\left[1-\frac{N_{E d}}{N_{p l, R d}}\right]$

If $\frac{N_{E d}}{N_{p l, R d}} \geq 0,15$, the length of the link beam ( $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{Link}}$ ) should not exceed ;

If $R<0,3$;
$\mathrm{e}_{\text {Link }} \leq \mathrm{e}_{\text {Link,max }}=1,6 * M_{p, \text { Link }} / V_{p, \text { Link }}$

If $R \geq 0,3$;
$\mathrm{e}_{\text {Link }} \leq \mathrm{e}_{\text {Link, max }}=[1,15-0,5 * R] * 1,6 * M_{p, \text { Link }} / V_{p, \text { Link }}$
in which
$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{Ed}} * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}} *\left(\mathrm{~d}-2 * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}\right) /\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Ed}} * \mathrm{~A}\right)$
$A=$ Gross area of the link beam

## CHAPTER 9

## CALCULATION OF EARTHQUAKE LOADS

### 9.1 Calculation of Earthquake Loads for TS 648

When the earthquake loads are calculated according to TS 648, TS 648 refers to TEC 2007 [4]. In this thesis, equivalent seismic load method is used to calculate the earthquake loads. The applicability conditions are given in the below table for the equivalent seismic load method;

Table 9.1 Buildings for which equivalent seismic load method is applicable

| Seismic Zone | Type of Building | Total Building Height Limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,2 | Buildings without extreme <br> torsional irregularity <br> depending on a criterion in <br> TEC 2007 | 25 m |
| 1,2 | Buildings without extreme <br> torsional irregularity <br> depending on two criteria in <br> TEC 2007 | 40 m |
| 3,4 | All buildings | 40 m |

Total Equivalent Seismic Load (base shear), $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}}$, can be expressed as below formula;
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}}=\frac{W_{T} * A(T)}{R_{a}(T)} \geq 0,1 * A_{0} * I * W_{T}$
where
$\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{T}}=$ Total building weight
$\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{T})=$ Spectral acceleration coefficient
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}=$ Seismic load reduction factor
$\mathrm{A}_{0}=$ Effective ground acceleration coefficient

I = Importance Factor

Total building weight $\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$ to be used in Eq.(9.1) can be expressed below formula ;
$W_{T}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i}$
$\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{n} * \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}}$
where
$\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ Total dead load at i'th storey of building
$q_{i}=$ Total live load at $i$ 'th storey of building
$\mathrm{n}=$ Live load participation factor

Live load participation factor $(\mathrm{n})$ is given in below table;

Table 9.2 Live load participation factor (n)

| Purpose of Occupancy of Building | n |
| :--- | :---: |
| Depot, warehouse, etc. | 0,8 |
| School, dormitory, sport facility, cinema, theatre, <br> concert hall, car park, restaurant, shop, etc. | 0,6 |
| Residence, office, hotel, hospital, etc. | 0,3 |

The spectral acceleration coefficient, $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{T})$, given in Eq.(9.1) can be written as ;
$\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{T})=\mathrm{A}_{0} * \mathrm{I} * \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{~T})$
where
$\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{T})=$ Spectrum coefficient

The spectrum coefficient, $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{T})$, to be used in Eq.(9.4) can be expressed as below formulae depending on local site conditions and the building natural period ;
$S(T)=1+1,5 * \frac{T}{T_{A}} \quad\left(0 \leq \mathrm{T} \leq \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$
$S(T)=2,5 \quad\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{A}}<\mathrm{T} \leq \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$
$S(T)=2,5 *\left(\frac{T_{B}}{T}\right)^{0,8} \quad\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{B}}<\mathrm{T}\right)$

The below figure clearly shows the relationship between T (building natural period) and S(T).


Figure 9.1 Shape of Design Spectrum

The effective ground acceleration coefficient ( $\mathrm{A}_{0}$ ) to be used in Eq. (9.1) and Eq. (9.4) which depending on seismic zone derived from zonation maps can be obtained from below table;

Table 9.3 Effective Ground Acceleration Coefficient $\left(\mathrm{A}_{0}\right)$

| Seismic Zone | $\mathrm{A}_{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0,40 |
| 2 | 0,30 |
| 3 | 0,20 |
| 4 | 0,10 |

The importance factor (I) to be used in Eq. (9.1) and Eq. (9.4) can be obtained from below table;

Table 9.4 Building Importance Factor (I)

| Purpose of Occupancy or Type of Building | Importance Factor ( I ) |
| :--- | :---: |
| a) Buildings required to be utilised immediately after the earthquake <br> (Hospitals, dispensaries, health wards, fire fighting buildings and facilities, <br> PTT and other telecommunication facilities, transportation stations <br> and terminals, power generation and distribution facilities; governorate, <br> county <br> and municipality administration buildings, firstaid and emergency planning <br> stations) <br> b) Buildings containing or storing toxic, explosive and flammable materials, <br> etc. |  |
| 2. Intensively and long-term occupied buildings and <br> buildings preserving valuable goods : <br> a) Schools, other educational buildings and facilities, <br> dormitories and hostels, military barracks, prisons, etc. <br> b) Museums | 1,50 |
| 3. Intensively but short-term occupied buildings : <br> Sport facilities, cinema, theatre and concert halls, etc. |  |
| 4. Other buildings : <br> Buildings other than above-defined buildings. <br> (Residential and office buildings, hotels, building-like industrial structures, <br> etc.) | 1,40 |

Spectrum Characteristic Periods, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{B}}$, shown in Eq. 9.5, Eq. 9.6 and Eq. 9.7 are specified in below table, depending on local site classes;

Table 9.5 Spectrum Characteristic Periods $\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$

| Local Site Class | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}$ (Second) | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{B}}$ (Second) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Z 1 | 0,10 | 0,30 |
| Z 2 | 0,15 | 0,40 |
| Z 3 | 0,15 | 0,60 |
| Z 4 | 0,20 | 0,90 |

The seismic load reduction factor, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{T})$, shall be determined by below formulae in terms of structural behavior factor, R, for various structural systems, and the natural vibration period T .

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
R_{a}(T)=1,5+(R-1,5)+\frac{T}{T_{A}} & \left(0 \leq \mathrm{T} \leq \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}\right) \\
R_{a}(T)=R & \left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{A}}<\mathrm{T}\right) \tag{9.9}
\end{array}
$$

The structural behavior factor, R , can be obtained from Table 9.6;

To determine the design seismic loads acting at storey levels, the below formulae are used;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}}=\Delta \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} F_{i} \tag{9.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Delta \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}=0,0075 * \mathrm{~N} * \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{t}}$
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{i}}=\left(V_{t}-\Delta F_{N}\right) * \frac{w_{i} * H_{i}}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} w_{j} * H_{j}}$
Table 9.6 Structural Behavior Factors (R)

| STRUCTURAL STEEL BUILDINGS | System of Nominal Ductility Level | System of High Ductility Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buildings in which seismic loads are fully resisted by frames | 5 | 8 |
| Buildings in which seismic loads are fully resisted by single-storey frames with columns hinged at top | -------- | 4 |
| Buildings in which seismic loads are fully resisted by braced frames or cast-in-situ reinforced concrete structural walls : <br> (a) Concentrically braced frames <br> (b) Eccentrically braced frames <br> (c) Reinforced concrete structural walls. $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | 4 ------1 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 7 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| Buildings in which seismic loads are jointly resisted by frames and braced frames or cast-in-situ reinforced concrete structural walls <br> (a) Concentrically braced frames. <br> (b) Eccentrically braced frames <br> (c) Reinforced concrete structural walls. $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ -------1 \end{gathered}$ | $6$ |

in which
$F i=$ Design seismic load acting at $i$ 'th storey in equivalent seismic load method
$\Delta \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}=$ Additional equivalent seismic load acting on the N 'th storey (top) of building
$\mathrm{N}=$ Total number of stories of building from the foundation level
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ Height of i 'th storey of building measured from the top foundation level
$\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ Weight of i'th storey of building by considering live load participation factor
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}}=$ Total equivalent seismic load (calculated by Eq. (9.1))

At each floor, equivalent seismic loads determined in accordance with Eq (9.12) shall be applied to the floor mass centre as well as to the points defined by shifting it $+5 \%$ and $-5 \%$ of the floor length in the perpendicular direction to the earthquake direction considered in order to account for the additional eccentricity effects [4].

To determine the first natural vibration period of the building to be used in Eq.(9.1), Eq.(9.4), Eq.(9.5), Eq.(9.6), Eq.(9.7), Eq.(9.8) and Eq.(9.9) the below formula is used;

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1}=2 * \pi *\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} m_{i} * d_{f i}{ }^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} F_{f i}{ }^{1 / 2} d_{f i}}\right)^{1 /} \tag{9.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{fi}}=$ Fictitious load acting at $\mathrm{i}^{\prime}$ th storey in the determination of fundamental natural vibration period
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{fi}}=$ Displacement calculated at i'th storey of building under fictitious loads $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{fi}}$

Under the combined effects of independently acting x and y direction earthquakes to the structural system, internal forces in element principal axis a and b shall be obtained by below equations such that the most unfavorable results yield and the below figure can explain the case [4].
$B_{a}=\mp B_{a x} \mp 0,3 * B_{a y} \quad$ or $\quad B_{a}=\mp 0,3 * B_{a x} \mp B_{a y}$
$B_{b}=\mp B_{b x} \mp 0,3 * B_{b y} \quad$ or $\quad B_{b}=\mp 0,3 * B_{b x} \mp B_{b y}$
in which
$\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{a}}=$ Design internal force component of a structural element in the direction of its principal axis a
$\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{ax}}=$ Internal force component of a structural element in the direction of its principal axis a due to earthquake in x direction
$\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{ay}}=$ Internal force component of a structural element in the direction of its principal axis a due to earthquake in y direction perpendicular to x direction
$\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{b}}=$ Design internal force quantity of a structural element in principal direction b
$\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{bx}}=$ Internal force component of a structural element in the direction of principal axis b due to earthquake in x direction
$B_{b y}=$ Internal force component of a structural element in the direction of principal axis a due to earthquake in y direction perpendicular to x direction


Figure 9.2 Acting x and y direction earthquakes to the structural system

To calculate effective storey drifts $\left(\delta_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ for buildings, the below formulae are used ;
$\Delta_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}-1}$
$\delta_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{R} * \Delta_{\mathrm{i}}$
in which
$\Delta_{\mathrm{i}}=$ Reduced storey drift
$d_{i}=$ Displacement calculated at $i$ 'th storey of building under design seismic loads $\mathrm{R}=$ Structural behavior factor

The maximum value of effective storey drifts, $\left(\delta_{\mathbf{i}}\right)_{\max }$, obtained for each earthquake direction by Eq.(9.17) at columns or structural walls of a given i'th storey of a building shall satisfy the condition given by below equation ;
$\frac{\left(\delta_{i}\right)_{\max }}{h_{i}} \leq 0,02$

In TEC 2007 [4], there are some additional requirements for steel structures as follows;

- In the earthquake zone 1 and zone 2 , columns shall satisfy an additional strength requirements for axial tension and compression forces (ignoring the bending moments) which are due to considering under the increased loading cases based on Eq.(9.21) and Eq.(9.22) while satisfying the conditions for axial forces and bending moments emerged by both earthquake effect and vertical load. Axial tension and compression capacities of the cross sections of the columns under the increased earthquake effect are determined according to the below Equations.
$N_{b p}=1,7 * \sigma_{b e m} * A$
$N_{c p}=\sigma_{y} * A_{n}$
where
$N_{b p}$ and $N_{\varphi p}=$ Axial compression capacity and axial tension capacity
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}}=$ Net cross sectional area
$\sigma_{\text {bem }}=$ Allowable compressive stress

Increased earthquake effect shall be the maximum one of below equations;
$\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Q} \pm \Omega_{0} * \mathrm{E}$
$0,9 * \mathrm{G} \pm \Omega_{0} * \mathrm{E}$

The earthquake magnification coefficients $\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$ can be obtained from below table for the Eq. (9.21) and Eq. (9.22);

Table 9.7 Magnification Coefficients $\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$

| Structral System Type | $\Omega_{0}$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| Steel Frame with High Ductility | 2,5 |
| Steel Frame with Nominal Ductility | 2,0 |
| Steel Concentrically Braced Frame <br> (with High or Nominal Ductility) | 2,0 |
| Steel Eccentrically Braced Frame | 2,5 |

- Slenderness ratio of the all braces in steel eccentrically braced frame with high ductility shall not exceed $4,0^{*} \sqrt{E / \sigma_{y}}$. It can be formulated as below ;
$\lambda_{\text {brace }}=\frac{k^{*} L}{i_{\min }}<4,0 * \sqrt{E / \sigma_{y}}$
- Limiting width-thickness ratios for cross-sections of beams and columns of the system with high ductility frame are tabulated in below Table 9.8.

Table 9.8 Limiting Width-Thickness Ratios (TEC 2007)

| Description of Element | Width Thickness Ratio | Limiting Width-Thickness Ratios |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | High Ductility System | Nominal Ductility System |
| Flexure and Uniform Compression in I-Shaped Sections | b/2t | $0,3 * \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{E}}{\sigma_{y}}}$ | $0,5 * \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{E}}{\sigma_{y}}}$ |
| Flexure in I-Shaped Sections | $\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}$ | $3,2 * \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{E}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{y}}}}$ | $5,0 * \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{E}}{\sigma_{y}}}$ |
| Flexure and Uniform Compression in I-Shaped Sections | $\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { For }\left\|\frac{N_{d}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{y}} * A}\right\| \leq 0,10 \\ 3,2 * \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{E}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{y}}}} *\left(1-1,7 *\left\|\frac{N_{d}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{y}} * A}\right\|\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { For }\left\|\frac{N_{d}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{y}} * A}\right\| \leq 0,10 \\ 5,0 * \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{E}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{y}}}} *\left(1-1,7 *\left\|\frac{N_{d}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{y}} * A}\right\|\right) \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { For }\left\|\frac{N_{d}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{y}} * A}\right\|>0,10 \\ 1,33 * \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{E}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{y}}}} *\left(2,1-\left\|\frac{N_{d}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{y}} * A}\right\|\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{c} \text { For }\left\|\frac{N_{d}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{y}} * A}\right\|>0,10 \\ 2,08 * \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{E}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{y}}}} *\left(2,1-\left\lvert\, \frac{N_{d}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{y}} * A}\right.\right. \end{array}\right)$ |
| Flexure or Uniform Compression in Rectangular HSS | b/t <br> or <br> $h / \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}$ | $0,7 * \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{E}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{y}}}}$ | $1,2 * \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{E}}{\sigma_{y}}}$ |

### 9.2 Calculation of Earthquake Loads for LRFD

When the earthquake loads are calculated according to LRFD, LRFD refers to ASCE 705 and AISC Standard 341-05. In this thesis, equivalent force analysis method is used to calculate the earthquake loads. The applicability conditions are given in the below table for the equivalent force analysis method;

Table 9.9 Permitted Analytical Procedures

| Seismic Design Category | Structural Characteristics |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B, C | Occupancy Category I or II buildings of light-framed construction not exceeding 3 stories in height | P | P | P |
|  | Other Occupancy Category I or II buildings not exceeding 2 stories in height | P | P | P |
|  | All other structures | P | P | P |
| D, E, F | Occupancy Category I or II buildings of light-framed construction not exceeding 3 stories in height | P | P | P |
|  | Other Occupancy Category I or II buildings not exceeding 2 stories in height | P | P | P |
|  | Regular structures with $\mathrm{T}<3,5^{*} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and all structures of light frame construction | P | P | P |
|  | Irregular structures with $\mathrm{T}<3,5 * \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and having only special horizontal or vertical irregularities type | P | P | P |
|  | All other structures | NP | P | P |
| $\mathrm{P}=$ Permitted; NP = Not Permitted |  |  |  |  |

The occupancy categories of buildings to be mentioned in Table 9.9 can be obtained from below table.

Table 9.10 Occupancy Category of Buildings

| Nature of Occupancy | Occupancy <br> Category |
| :---: | :---: |
| Buildings and other structures that represent a low hazard to human life in the event of failure, including but not limited to : <br> - Agricultural facilities <br> - Certain temporary facilities <br> - Minor storage facilities | I |
| All buildings and other structures except those listed in Occupancy Categories I,III,IV | II |
| Buildings and other structures that represent a substantial hazard to human life in the event of failure, including but not limited to : <br> - Buildings and other structures where more than 300 people congregate in one area <br> - Buildings and other structures with daycare facilities with a capacity greater than 150 <br> - Buildings and other structures with elementary or secondary school facilities with a capacity greater than 250 <br> - Buildings and other structures with elementary or secondary school facilities with a capacity greater than 250 <br> - Buildings and other structures with a capacity greater than 500 for collages or adult education facilities <br> - Health care facilities with a capacity of 50 or more patients | III |
| Buildings and other structures designated as essential facilities ,including but not limited to : <br> - Hospitals and other health care facilities <br> - Fire, rescue, ambulance and police stations <br> - Designated earthquake, hurricane or other emergency shelters <br> - Designated emergency preparedness, communication, and operation centers <br> - Aviation control towers, air traffic control centers | IV |

The seismic base shear, V , can be expressed as below formula for the equivalent force method;
$\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}} * \mathrm{~W}$
in which
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}=$ Seismic response coefficient
$\mathrm{W}=$ Effective seismic weight

The effective seismic weight of a structure (W) is equal to the total dead load and the seismic response coefficient $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ can be written as below formula;
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}=\frac{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{DS}}}{\left(\frac{\mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{I}}\right)}$
where
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{DS}}=$ Design spectral response acceleration parameter in the short period range
$\mathrm{R}=$ Response modification coefficient

I = Importance factors
The seismic response coefficient $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ computed in accordance with Eq. (9.25) need not exceed the following values:
$C s=\frac{S_{D 1}}{T\left(\frac{R}{I}\right)} \quad$ for $\quad T \leq T_{L}$
$\mathrm{Cs}=\frac{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{D} 1} * T_{L}}{\mathrm{~T}^{2}\left(\frac{\mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{I}}\right)} \quad$ for $\quad \mathrm{T}>\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{L}}$
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ shall not be less than;
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}=0,01$

Moreover, for structures where $S_{1}$ is equal to or greater than $0,6 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ must not less than ;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Cs}=\frac{0,5 * \mathrm{~S}_{1}}{\left(\frac{\mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{I}}\right)} \tag{9.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which
$\mathrm{T}=$ Fundamental period of structure
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{L}}=$ Long-period transition period (s) from a map
$\mathrm{S}_{1}=$ Mapped maximum considered earthquake spectral response acceleration parameter at a period of 1 s
$S_{D 1}=$ Design spectral response acceleration parameter at a period of $1,0 \mathrm{sec}$

The fundamental period of structure (T) must be established using the structural properties and deformational characteristics of the resisting element in a properly substantiated analysis. The fundamental period of structure (T) shall not exceed the product of the coefficient for upper limit on calculated period $\left(\mathrm{C}_{u}\right)$ and the approximate fundamental period $\left(T_{a}\right)$ [6]. It can be expressed as;

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \leq T_{a} * C_{u} \tag{9.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The approximate fundamental period of structure $\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ can be written as;
$T_{a}=C_{t} *\left(h_{n}\right)^{x} \quad\left(h_{n}\right.$ is the height of structure in metric)
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{t}}$ and x values for the approximate fundamental period of structure and the coefficient for upper limit on calculated period, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{u}}$, can be obtained from below Table 9.11 and Table 9.12.

Table 9.11 Values of approximate period parameters, $C_{t}$ and $x$

| Structure Type | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | x |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Steel moment-resisting frame | 0,0724 | 0,8 |
| Concrete moment-resisting frame | 0,0466 | 0,9 |
| Eccentrically braced steel frame | 0,0731 | 0,75 |
| All other structural systems | 0,0488 | 0,75 |

Table 9.12 Coefficient for upper limit on calculated period

| Design Spectral Response Acceleration <br> Parameter at $1 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{D} 1}$ | Coefficient $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{u}}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\geq 0,40$ | 1,4 |
| 0,30 | 1,4 |
| 0,20 | 1,5 |
| 0,15 | 1,6 |
| $\leq 0,1$ | 1,7 |

The importance factors (I) and the response modification coefficient (R) to be used in Eq. (9.25), Eq. (9.26), Eq. (9.27) and Eq. (9.29) can be obtained from below Table 9.13 and Table 9.14.

Table 9.13 Importance Factors

| Occupancy Category | I |
| :---: | :---: |
| I or II | 1,0 |
| III | 1,25 |
| IV | 1,50 |

Table 9.14 Design Coefficients and Factors for Seismic Force-Resisting Systems

| Seismic Force-Resisting System | Response <br> Modification <br> Coefficient, R | Deflection <br> Amplification <br> Factor, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Steel Eccentrically Braced Frames, <br> Moment Resisting, Connections at <br> Columns away from Links | 8 | 4 |
| Steel Eccentrically Braced Frames, <br> Non-Moment-Resisting, Connections <br> at Columns away from Links | 7 | 4 |
| Special Steel Concentrically Braced <br> Frames | 6 | 5 |
| Ordinary Steel Concentrically Braced <br> Frames | 3,25 | 3,25 |
| Special Steel Moment Resisting | 8 | 5,5 |

Design earthquake spectral response acceleration parameter at short period $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{DS}}\right)$ and at 1 s period ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{DI}}$ ) to be used in Eq. (9.25), Eq. (9.26) and Eq. (9.27) can be determined as;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{DS}}=\frac{2}{3} * \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{MS}} \tag{9.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{Dl}}=\frac{2}{3} * \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{M} 1} \tag{9.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{MS}}=$ The MCE (Maximum considered earthquake) spectral response acceleration for short period
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{M} 1}=$ The MCE (Maximum considered earthquake) spectral response acceleration at 1 s

The MCE spectral response acceleration for short period $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{MS}}\right)$ and at $1 \mathrm{~s}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{M} 1}\right)$, adjusted for site class effects, can be written as;
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{MS}}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{*} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{S}}$
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{M} 1}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{v}}{ }^{*} \mathrm{~S}_{1}$
in which
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{S}}=$ The mapped MCE (Maximum considered earthquake) spectral response acceleration at short period
$\mathrm{S}_{1}=$ The MCE spectral response acceleration at a period of 1 s
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{v}}=$ Site coefficient

The site coefficients ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{v}}$ ) are tabulated as below tables;

Table 9.15 Site Coefficient, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{a}}$

| Site <br> Class | Mapped Maximum Considered Earthquake Spectral <br> Response Acceleration Parameter at Short Period |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{S}} \leq 0,25$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{S}}=0,50$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{S}}=0,75$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{S}}=1,00$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{S}} \geq 1,25$ |
|  | 0,8 | 0,8 | 0,8 | 0,8 | 0,8 |
| B | 1,0 | 1,0 | 1,0 | 1,0 | 1,0 |
| C | 1,2 | 1,2 | 1,1 | 1,0 | 1,0 |
| D | 1,6 | 1,4 | 1,2 | 1,1 | 1,0 |
| E | 2,5 | 1,7 | 1,2 | 0,9 | 0,9 |

Table 9.16 Site Coefficient, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{v}}$

| Site <br> Class | Mapped Maximum Considered Earthquake Spectral <br> Response Acceleration Parameter at 1-s Period |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1} \leq 0,10$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}=0,20$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}=0,30$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}=0,40$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1} \geq 0,50$ |
| A | 0,8 | 0,8 | 0,8 | 0,8 | 0,8 |
| B | 1,0 | 1,0 | 1,0 | 1,0 | 1,0 |
| C | 1,7 | 1,6 | 1,5 | 1,4 | 1,3 |
| D | 2,4 | 2,0 | 1,8 | 1,6 | 1,5 |
| E | 3,5 | 3,2 | 2,8 | 2,4 | 2,4 |

$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}$ to be used in Table 9.9 shall be determined from the following equation;
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}=\frac{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{D} 1}}{\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{DS}}}$

The seismic design category to be mentioned above Table 9.9 can be determined as follows;

- Occupancy category I, II, III structures located where the mapped spectral response acceleration parameter at 1 s period $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right)$ is greater than or equal to 0,75 must be assigned to seismic design category E .
- Occupancy category IV structures located where the mapped spectral response acceleration parameter at 1 s period $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right)$ is greater than or equal to 0,75 must be assigned to seismic design category F .
- All other structures can be assigned to a seismic design category based on their occupancy category and the design spectral response acceleration parameters ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{DS}}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{DI}}$ ) in accordance with below Table 9.17 or Table 9.18.

Table 9.17 Seismic Design Category Based On Short Period Response Acceleration Parameter

| Value of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{DS}}$ | Occupancy Category |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I or II | III | IV |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{DS}}<0,167$ | A | A | A |
| $0,167 \leq \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{DS}}<0,33$ | B | B | C |
| $0,33 \leq \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{DS}}<0,50$ | C | C | D |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{DS}} \leq 0,50$ | D | D | D |

Table 9.18 Seismic Design Category Based On 1-S Period Response Acceleration Parameter

| Value of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{D} 1}$ | Occupancy Category |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I or II | III | IV |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{D} 1}<0,067$ | A | A | A |
| $0,067 \leq \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{D} 1}<0,133$ | B | B | C |
| $0,133 \leq \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{D} 1}<0,20$ | C | C | D |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{D} 1} \leq 0,20$ | D | D | D |

Moreover, the following seismic load combinations for structures not subject to flood or atmospheric ice loads shall be used instead of load combination 5 and 6 in the list of load combinations in Part 3.2.2.
5. $\left(1,2+0,2 * S_{D S}\right) * D+\rho * E+L+0,2 * S$
6. $\left(0,9-0,2 * S_{D S}\right) * D+\rho * E+1,6 * H$

The load factor on L in combinations 5 is permitted to equal 0,5 for all occupancies in which $L$ is less than or equal to $4,79 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$.
$\rho$ in the above load combinations is redundancy factor and shall be assigned to the seismic force-resisting system in each of two orthogonal directions. The value of $\rho$ is equal to 1,0 for the structures assigned to seismic design category B or C. The value of $\rho$
is equal to 1,3 for the structures assigned to seismic design category $\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}$ or F unless one of following two conditions is met, whereby $\rho$ is to be taken as 1,0 [6];

1. Each story resisting more than 35 percent of the base shear in the direction of interest shall comply with below Table 9.19.
2. Structures that are regular in plan at all levels provided that the seismic forceresisting systems consist of at least two bays of seismic force-resisting perimeter framing on each side of the structure in each orthogonal direction at each story resisting more than 35 percent of the base shear. The number of bays for a shear wall shall be calculated as the length of shear wall divided by the story height or two times the length of the shear wall divided by the story height for lightframed construction.

Table 9.19 Requirements for Each Story Resisting More Than $35 \%$ of the Base Shear

| Lateral Force-Resisting <br> Element | Requirement |
| :---: | :--- |
| Braced Frames | Removal of an individual brace, or connection thereto, <br> would not result in more than a 33 \% reduction in story <br> strength, nor does the resulting system have an extreme <br> torsional irregularity |
| Moment Frames | Loss of moment resistance at the beam-to-column <br> connections at both ends of a single beam would not result <br> in more than a 33 \% reduction in story strength, nor does <br> the resulting system have an extreme torsional irregularity |
| Shear Walls or Wall <br> Pier with a Height-to- <br> Length Ratio of Greater <br> than 1,0 | Removal of shear walls or wall pier with a height-to- <br> length ratio of greater than 1, within any story, or <br> collector connections thereto, would not result in more <br> than a 33 \% reduction in story strength, nor does the <br> resulting system have an extreme torsional irregularity |
| Cantilever Columns | Loss of moment resistance at the base connections of any <br> single cantilever column would not result in more than a <br> 33 \% reduction in story strength, nor does the resulting <br> system have an extreme torsional irregularity |
| Other | No requirements |

In ASCE 7-05 [6], the design must include the accidental torsional moments caused by assumed displacement of the center of mass each way from its actual location by a distance equal to 5 percent of the dimension of the structure perpendicular to the direction of the applied force and the following combination for the lateral loads: 100 percent of the forces for one direction plus 30 percent of the forces for the perpendicular direction.

To calculate storey drifts ( $\Delta_{i}$ ) for buildings, the below formula is used;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=\frac{C_{d} * \delta}{I} \tag{9.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$C_{d}=$ Deflection amplification factor obtained from Table 9.14
$\delta=$ Deflection (be obtained from below formula)
$\delta=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}-1}$
$d_{i}=$ Displacement calculated at i 'th storey of building under design seismic loads

The story drift must not exceed allowable story drift defined in below table;

Table 9.20 Allowable Story Drift $\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$

| Structure | Occupancy Category |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I or II | III | IV |
| Structures, other than masonry <br> shear wall structures, 4 stories <br> or less with interior walls, <br> partitions, ceilings and exterior <br> wall systems that have been <br> designed to accommodate the <br> story drift | $0,025 * \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{sx}}$ | $0,020 * \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{sx}}$ | $0,015 * \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{s}}$ <br> x |
| Masonry cantilever shear wall <br> structures | $0,010 * \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{sx}}$ | $0,010 * \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{sx}}$ | $0,010 * \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{s}}$ <br> x |
| Other masonry shear wall <br> structures | $0,007 * \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{sx}}$ | $0,007 * \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{sx}}$ | $0,007 * \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{s}}$ <br> x |
| All other structures | $0,020 * \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{sx}}$ | $0,015 * \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{sx}}$ | $0,010 * \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{s}}$ <br> x |
| $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{sx}}=$ Story height |  |  |  |

If the response modification coefficient $(\mathrm{R})$ is greater than 3 , regardless of the seismic design category, AISC Standard 341-05 (Seismic Provisions for Structural Steel Buildings) must be applied. According to this standard, width-thickness ratios for crosssections of members of the system must not exceed limiting width-thickness ratios ( $\lambda_{\mathrm{ps}}$ ) obtained from below Table 9.21.

Table 9.21 Limiting Width-Thickness Ratios (AISC 341-05)

| Description of <br> Element | Width <br> Thickness <br> Ratio | Limiting Width-Thickness Ratios |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{b} / \mathrm{t}$ | $\lambda_{\mathrm{ps}}$ <br> (seismically compact) |
| Uniform <br> Compression <br> in Flanges of I- <br> Shaped Sections | $\mathrm{b} / \mathrm{t}$ | $0,3 * \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{E}}{F_{\mathrm{y}}}}$ |
| Webs in <br> Flexural |  | $0,3 * \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{E}}{F_{\mathrm{y}}}}$ |
| Compression or <br> Combined | $\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}$ | For Ca <br> Flexure and <br> Axial <br> Compression |

Columns in EBF, it is permitted to use the following for $\lambda_{\text {ps }}$ :
For $\mathrm{Ca} \leq 0,125 ; 3,76 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{f_{y}}}\left(1-2,75 * \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$
For $\mathrm{Ca}>0,125 ; \quad 1,12 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{f_{y}}}\left(2,33-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}\right) \geq 1,49 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{f_{y}}}$
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{P_{u}}{\Phi_{b} * P_{y}}$ where $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{u}}=$ Required Compressive Strength , $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{y}}=$ Axial Yield Strength , $\Phi_{b}=0,9$

### 9.3 Calculation of Earthquake Loads for Eurocode 3

When the earthquake loads are calculated according to Eurocode 3, Eurocode 3 refers to Eurocode 8 [8]. In this thesis, lateral force method of analysis is used to calculate the earthquake loads. The applicability conditions are given in the below for the lateral force method of analysis;

1. The fundamental periods of buildings must be smaller than the following two values in the two main direction

$$
T_{1} \leq\left\{\begin{array}{c}
4 * T_{C}  \tag{9.39}\\
2,0 s
\end{array}\right.
$$

2. The criteria for regularity in elevation must be satisfied.

The seismic base shear force, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{b}}$, can be expressed as below formula;
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{d}}\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}\right) * \mathrm{~m} * \lambda$
in which
$\lambda=$ Correction factor
$\mathrm{T}_{1}=$ Fundamental period of the building
$\mathrm{m}=$ Total mass of the building
$S_{d}\left(T_{1}\right)=$ The ordinate of the design spectrum

The value of the correction factor $(\lambda)$ to be used in Eq. 9.40 can be taken as 0,85 if $\mathrm{T}_{1} \leq$ $2 * \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{C}}$ and the building has more than two stories. Otherwise, the value of the correction factor $(\lambda)$ can be taken as 1,00 .

The fundamental period of the building $\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}\right)$ to be used in Eq. 9.40 can be calculated with methods of structural dynamics (exp. Rayleigh method).

The total mass of the building (m) to be used in Eq. 9.40 can be expressed as;
$\mathrm{m}=\sum G_{k, j}+{ }^{\prime \prime} \sum \Psi_{E, i} * Q_{k, i}$
where
$\mathrm{G}=$ Permanent action
$\mathrm{Q}=$ Variable action
$\Psi_{E, i}=$ Combination coefficient

The combination coefficient ( $\Psi_{E, i}$ ) to be used in Eq. 9.41 can be determined in accordance with below equation;
$\Psi_{E, i}=\varphi^{*} \psi_{2, i}$
where
$\psi_{2, i}=$ The values of $\Psi$ factors obtained from Table 3.12

The values of $\varphi$ are defined in below table;

Table 9.22 Values of $\varphi$ for calculating $\psi_{\mathrm{Ei}}$

| Type of variable <br> action | Storey | $\varphi$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Categories A-C | Roof | 1,0 |
|  | Storey with correlated occupancies | 0,8 |
|  | Independently occupied storey | 0,5 |
| Categories D-F |  |  |
| Categories defined in Table 3.8 |  |  |

The design spectrum, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{d}}\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}\right)$, can be defined by following equations;
$0 \leq \mathrm{T} \leq \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{B}} \quad: \quad \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{T})=a_{g} * S *\left[\frac{2}{3}+\frac{T}{T_{B}} *\left(\frac{2,5}{q}-\frac{2}{3}\right)\right]$
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{B}} \leq \mathrm{T} \leq \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}: \quad \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{T})=a_{g} * S * \frac{2,5}{q}$
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}} \leq \mathrm{T} \leq \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{D}} \quad: \quad \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{T})\left\{\begin{array}{c}=a_{g} * S * \frac{2,5}{q} *\left(\frac{T_{C}}{T}\right) \\ \geq \beta * a_{g}\end{array}\right.$
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{D}} \leq \mathrm{T} \quad: \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{T})\left\{\begin{array}{c}=a_{g} * S * \frac{2,5}{q} *\left(\frac{T_{C} * T_{D}}{T^{2}}\right) \\ \geq \beta^{*} a_{g}\end{array}\right.$
where
$\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{g}}=$ Design ground acceleration
$T_{B}, T_{C}, T_{D}=$ Limit of the period of the spectrum
$S=$ Soil factor
$\beta=$ Lower bound factor (equal to 0,2 )
$\mathrm{q}=$ Behavior factor

The design ground acceleration $\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$ to be used the design spectrum equations can be expressed as below;
$\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{g}}=\gamma_{\mathrm{I}} * \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{gR}}$
where
$\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{gR}}=$ Peak ground acceleration derived from zonation maps found in its National Annex
$\gamma_{\mathrm{I}}=$ Importance factor

The importance factors $\left(\gamma_{\mathrm{I}}\right)$ are listed in below table;

Table 9.23 Importance classes and importance factors for buildings

| Importance <br> Class | Buildings | Importance Factor $\left(\gamma_{\mathrm{I}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | Buildings of minor importance for <br> public safety, e.g. Agricultural <br> buildings | 0,8 |
| II | Ordinary buildings, not belonging in <br> the other categories | 1,0 |
| III | Buildings whose seismic resistance <br> is of importance in view of the <br> consequences with a collapse, e.g. <br> schools, assembly halls | 1,2 |
| IV | Buildings whose integrity during <br> earthquakes is of vital importance <br> for civil protection, e.g. hospitals, <br> fire stations | 1,4 |

There are two types of the spectra to obtain the values of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{B}}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{D}}$ and S : Type 1 and Type 2. If the earthquakes that contribute most to the seismic hazard defined for the site for the purpose of probabilistic hazard assessment have a surface wave magnitude, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{s}}$, not greater than 5,5 , it is recommended that Type 2 spectrum is adopted. Otherwise, Type 1.

The values of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{B}}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{D}}$ and S introduced in below tables for Type 1 and Type 2 .

Table 9.24 Values of parameters the recommended Type 1

| Ground Type | S | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{s})$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{s})$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{D}}(\mathrm{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 1,0 | 0,15 | 0,4 | 2,0 |
| B | 1,2 | 0,15 | 0,5 | 2,0 |
| C | 1,15 | 0,20 | 0,6 | 2,0 |
| D | 1,35 | 0,20 | 0,8 | 2,0 |
| E | 1,4 | 0,15 | 0,5 | 2,0 |

Table 9.25 Values of parameters the recommended Type 2

| Ground Type | S | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{s})$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{s})$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{D}}(\mathrm{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 1,0 | 0,05 | 0,25 | 1,2 |
| B | 1,35 | 0,05 | 0,25 | 1,2 |
| C | 1,5 | 0,10 | 0,25 | 1,2 |
| D | 1,8 | 0,10 | 0,30 | 1,2 |
| E | 1,6 | 0,05 | 0,25 | 1,2 |

The behavior factor (q) to be used the design spectrum equations can be obtained from below table;

Table 9.26 Values of behavior factors for systems regular in elevation

| Structural Type For Steel Buildings | Ductility Class |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DCM | DCH |
| Moment resisting frames | 4 | $5 * \alpha_{u} / \alpha_{1}$ |
| Frame with concentric bracing |  |  |
| Diagonal bracings | 4 | 4 |
| V-bracing | 2 | 2,5 |
| Frame with eccentric bracing | 4 | $5 * \alpha_{u} / \alpha_{1}$ |
| DCM : Ductility Class Medium |  |  |
| DCH : Ductility Class High |  |  |

The values of $\alpha_{u} / \alpha_{1}$ to be used in Table 9.26 can be showed in the below figures;


Figure 9.3 Frames with eccentric bracing


Figure 9.4 Moment resisting frames

For effect of the seismic action, the accidental torsional effects to account for uncertainties in the location of masses shall be determined from the following equation [8];
$\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{ai}}= \pm 0,05 * \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{i}}$
where
$\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{ai}}=$ The accidental eccentricity of storey mass
$L_{i}=$ Floor dimension perpendicular to the direction of the seismic action

The effects due to the combination of the horizontal components of the seismic action can be computed below equations;
$\mathrm{E}_{\text {Edx }} "+" 0,30 * \mathrm{E}_{\text {Edy }}$
$\mathrm{E}_{\text {Edy }}$ "+" 0,30 * $\mathrm{E}_{\text {Edx }}$
in which
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Edx}}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\text {Edy }}=$ The effects due to the application of the same seismic action along the chosen horizontal axis x and y of the structure respectively.

Moreover, the requirements on cross-sectional class of members depending on ductility class and behavior factor are defined in the below table;

Table 9.27 Requirements on cross-sectional depending on ductility class and behavior factor

| Ductility Class | Reference value of <br> behavior factor q | Required cross- <br> sectional class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DCM | $1,5<\mathrm{q} \leq 2$ | Class 1,2 or 3 |
|  | $2<\mathrm{q} \leq 4$ | Class 1 or 2 |
| DCH | $\mathrm{q}>4$ | Class 1 |
| DCM $:$ <br> DCH $:$ Ductility Class Medium |  |  |

To calculate storey drifts $\left(\theta_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ for buildings, the below formula is used;
$\theta=\frac{P_{\text {tot }} * d_{r}}{V_{\text {tot }} * h}$
where
$\theta=$ Interstorey drift coefficient
$\mathrm{P}_{\text {tot }}=$ Total gravity load at and above the storey
$\mathrm{V}_{\text {tot }}=$ Total seismic storey shear
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{r}}=$ Design storey drift
$h=$ Interstorey height

Design storey $\operatorname{drift}\left(\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ to be used in the Equation 9.51 can be calculated as below formula;
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{r}}=\mathrm{q} * \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{e}}$
in which
$q=$ Behavior factor
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{e}}=$ Storey drift
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{ie}}=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}-1}$
$d_{i}=$ Displacement calculated at $i$ 'th storey of building under design seismic loads

The value of the coefficient $\theta$ shall not exceed 0,3 .

## CHAPTER 10

## CASE STUDIES

This chapter includes building properties and detailed calculations.

The building is located in Bakırköy-İstanbul, on a soil class of Z 2 as classified in TEC 2007. This soil profile is equivalent to Class C in LRFD procedure and Ground Type B in Eurocode 8. Moreover, the building is found to be in Earthquake Zone 1. Effective ground acceleration coefficient $\mathrm{A}_{0}=0,4$ in TEC 2007 and the equivalent term design ground acceleration $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{g}}=0,4$ in Eurocode 8. However, while calculating earthquake load using ASCE 7-05, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}$ (the mapped MCE spectral response acceleration at short period) and $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ (the mapped MCE spectral response acceleration at a period of 1 s ) are required. From Marmaray Project conducted in Istanbul, the values are found to be 0,775 for $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and 0,35 for $\mathrm{S}_{1}$.

The geometrical properties of the building is taken from İrtem et. al. [24], but some modifications are introduced. For the same plan, buildings having $2,4,6,8,10$ stories are generated and designed using three different procedures as mentioned above. In all buildings first story is $3,5 \mathrm{~m}$ in height, whereas the rest is 3 m . The structural system of the steel building in both x and y directions are considered as eccentrically braced frames with high ductility level. Considering this frame type, both "the structural behavior factor (R)" in TEC 2007 and "response modification coefficient (R)" in ASCE-7-05 correspond to 7, and "behavior factor (q)" in Eurocode 8 is calculated as 6.

All main beams connected to the columns are assumed to take no moment, that is they are all pin connected. Besides, secondary beams are also pin connected to the main beams and placed in every 2 m parallel to x -direction. Furthermore, all slabs are 10 cm thick, and there are only exterior walls with 20 cm .

All earthquake forces are evaluated and assigned using "Equivalent Seismic Force Method". Also, section tables can be found in appendix

The building plan and 3D views of LARSA model are given below.


Figure 10.1 Plan of the building


Figure 10.2 Building $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Z}$ view


Figure 10.3 Building Y-Z view


Figure 10.4 3D View of LARSA Model


Figure 10.5 3D View of LARSA Model


Figure 10.6 Deformed Shape of LARSA Model

To show the difference between the three procedures described above, a case study for 2 story building is performed and for the preliminary design all beams are taken as IPN 340, all columns are HE 140 B and all braces are TUBE $140 \times 140 \times 8$.

For each procedure, calculation steps including earthquake load calculation and design of all members (columns, beams, braces and link beams) are presented separately.

### 10.1 Earthquake Calculation

### 10.1.1 Earthquake Design According to TEC 2007

Member sections for 2 storey building:

| All Beams $\quad:$ IPN 340 |
| :--- | :--- |
| All Columns : HE 140 B |
| All Braces $:$ Tube $140 \times 140 \times 8$ |

The each storey weight of the building is calculated utilizing Eq. 9.3 and Table 9.2.
$\mathrm{w}_{1}=\mathrm{g}_{1}+0,3 * \mathrm{q}_{1}=3038,9+0,3 * 869=3298,1 \mathrm{kN}$
$\mathrm{w}_{2}=\mathrm{g}_{2}+0,3 * \mathrm{q}_{2}=2754,2+0,3 * 869=3013,9 \mathrm{kN}$

## Fictitious Loads

In order to calculate fundamental periods of the building, following loads are introduced to structural model

1. Storey: 37 kN
2. Storey: 63 kN

Fictitious Displacements

Fictitious displacements are obtained from outputs of LARSA.

X-direction

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{f 1 x}=0.257 * 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} \\
& d_{f 2 x}=0.414 * 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Y-direction

$d_{f 1 y}=0.5 * 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$
$d_{f 2 y}=0.821 * 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$

## Fundamental Periods of the Buildings

Making use of Rayleigh method in Eq. 9.13
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{x}}=0,29 \mathrm{sec}$
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{y}}=0,40 \mathrm{sec}$
$\mathrm{A}_{0}=0,4$ (obtained from Table 9.3)
$\mathrm{I}=1,0($ obtained from Table 9.4)

Soil class $=\mathrm{Z} 2$
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}=0,15$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{B}}=0,4$ (obtained from Table 9.5)
$\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)=2,5$ (Making use of Eq. 9.6)
$\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{T})=\mathrm{A}_{0}{ }^{*} \mathrm{I}^{*} \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)=0,4 * 1 * 2,5=1,0$ (Utilizing Eq. 9.4)
$\mathrm{T}>\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ax}}(\mathrm{T})=7$ (Making use of Eq. 9.9 and Table 9.6)
$\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{W}_{1}+\mathrm{W}_{2}=3298,1+3013,4=6311,5 \mathrm{kN}$ (Using Eq. 9.2)

Total Equivalent Seismic Load (base shear), $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}}$, is calculated utilizing Eq. 9.1.
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{tx}}=\frac{W_{T} * A(T)}{R_{a x}}=\frac{6311,5 * 1}{7}=901,6 \mathrm{kN}>0,1 * \mathrm{~A}_{0} * \mathrm{I} * \mathrm{~W}=0,1 * 0,4 * 1,0 * 6311,5=252,5 \mathrm{kN}$
$\Delta \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Nx}}=0,0075 * \mathrm{~N}^{*} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{tx}}=0,0075 * 2 * 901,6=13,52 \mathrm{kN}$ (Making use of Eq. 9.11)

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{tx}}=901,6 \mathrm{kN}
$$

$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ty}}=901,6 \mathrm{kN}$

### 10.1.2 Earthquake Design According to ASCE 7-05

Member sections for 2 storey building:

| All Beams $\quad:$ IPN 340 |
| :--- | :--- |
| All Columns $:$ HE 140B |
| All Braces $:$ Tube $140 \times 140 \times 8$ |

The effective seismic weight of a structure (W) is equal to the total dead load.
$\mathrm{w}_{1}=\mathrm{g}_{1}=3038,9 \mathrm{kN}$
$\mathrm{w}_{2}=\mathrm{g}_{2}=2754,2 \mathrm{kN}$

## Fictitious Loads

In order to calculate fundamental periods of the building, following loads are introduced to structural model

1. Storey: 37 kN
2. Storey: 63 kN

Fictitious Displacements

Fictitious displacements are obtained from outputs of LARSA

## X-direction

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{f 1 x}=0.257 * 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} \\
& d_{f 2 x}=0.414 * 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Y-direction

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{f 1 y}=0.5 * 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} \\
& d_{f 2 y}=0.821 * 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

The fundamental period of structure ( T ) shall not exceed the product of the coefficient for upper limit on calculated period $\left(C_{u}\right)$ and the approximate fundamental period $\left(T_{a}\right)$.
$T \leq T_{a} * C_{u}$ (Utilizing Eq. 9.30)
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{u}}=1,4 \quad$ (Obtained from Table 9.11)
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{t}} *\left(\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{\mathrm{x}}=0,0713 *(6,5)^{0,75}=0,29 \mathrm{sec}$
$\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{Cu} * \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{a}}=1,4 * 0,29=0,41 \mathrm{sec}$

Fundamental Periods of the Buildings

Making use of Rayleigh method in Eq. 9.13
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{x}}=0,27 \mathrm{sec} \leq \mathrm{T}=0,41 \mathrm{sec}$
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{y}}=0,38 \mathrm{sec} \leq \mathrm{T}=0,41 \mathrm{sec}$

Site Class: C
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}=0,775 \rightarrow \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{a}}=1,09($ Obtained from Table 9.15)
$\mathrm{S}_{1}=0,35 \rightarrow \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{v}}=1,45$ (Obtained from Table 9.16)
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{MS}}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{*} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{S}}=1,09 * 0,775=0,845$ (Utilizing Eq. 9.34)
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{M} 1}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{v}} * \mathrm{~S}_{1}=1,45 * 0,35=0,51$ (Utilizing Eq. 9.35)
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{DS}}=\frac{2}{3} * \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{MS}}=\frac{2}{3} * 0.805=0.564$ (Utilizing Eq. 9.32)
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{D} 1}=\frac{2}{3} * \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{M} 1}=\frac{2}{3} * 0.51=0.34$ (Utilizing Eq. 9.33)
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}=\frac{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{D} 1}}{\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{DS}}}=\frac{0,34}{0,564}=0.6$ (Utilizing Eq. 9.36)

Seismic Design Category $=\mathrm{D}($ Obtained from Table 9.17 or Table 9.18)

The seismic base shear, V , is calculated as;
$\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{Cs} * \mathrm{~W}$ (Utilizing Eq. 9.24)
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}=\frac{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{DS}}}{\left(\frac{\mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{I}}\right)}=\frac{0,564}{\left(\frac{7}{1}\right)}=0,0806$ (Utilizing Eq. 9.25)

Effective seismic weight;
$\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{w}_{1}+\mathrm{W}_{2}=3038,9+2754,2=5793,1 \mathrm{kN}$

Response Modification Coefficient: $\mathrm{R}=7$ (Obtained from Table 9.14)

Utilizing Eq. 9.25:
$\mathrm{T} \leq \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{L}}=4 \sec \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{\text {smax }}=\frac{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{D} 1}}{\mathrm{~T}\left(\frac{\mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{I}}\right)}=\frac{0,34}{0,27 *\left(\frac{7}{1}\right)}=0,18 \geq C s=0,0806 \quad \therefore$ OK
$\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}} * \mathrm{~W}=0,0806 * 5793,1=466,9 \mathrm{kN}$ (Utilizing Eq. 9.24)

The value of $0,2 * \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{DS}}$ is used for seismic load combinations in Part 9.2.
$0,2 * \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{DS}}=0,2 * 0,564=0,11$
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{tx}}=466,9 \mathrm{kN}$
$\mathrm{V}_{\text {ty }}=466,9 \mathrm{kN}$

### 10.1.3 Earthquake Design According to Eurocode 8

Member sections for 2 storey building:

| All Beams $:$ IPN 340 |
| :--- | :--- |
| All Columns $:$ HE 140 B |
| All Braces $:$ Tube $140 \times 140 \times 8$ |

The each storey weight of the building is calculated according to Eq. 9.41 , Eq. 9.42 and Table 9.22.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{w}_{1}=\mathrm{g}_{1}+0,24 * \mathrm{q}_{1}=3038,9+0,3 * 864=3246,3 \mathrm{kN} \\
& \mathrm{w}_{2}=\mathrm{g}_{2}+0,3 * \mathrm{q}_{2}=2754,2+0,3 * 869=3013,9 \mathrm{kN}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Fictitious Loads

In order to calculate fundamental periods of the building, following loads are introduced to structural model

1. Storey: 37 kN
2. Storey: 63 kN

## Fictitious Displacements

Fictitious displacements are obtained from outputs of LARSA.

## X-direction

$d_{f 1 x}=0.257 * 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$
$d_{f 2 x}=0.414 * 10^{-3} m$

## Y-direction

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{f 1 y}=0.5 * 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} \\
& d_{f 2 y}=0.821 * 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Fundamental Periods of the Buildings

Making use of Rayleigh method in Eq. 9.13
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{x}}=0,28 \mathrm{sec}$
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{y}}=0,40 \mathrm{sec}$

Ground Type: B
$\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{w}_{1}+\mathrm{w}_{2}=3246,3+3013,4=6259,7 \mathrm{kN}=\mathrm{m} * \mathrm{~g}$ (Utilizing Eq. 9.41)
$\lambda=1$ (Due to the building has two stories)
$\mathrm{q}=6$ (Obtained from Table 9.26 and Figure 9.3)
$\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{g}}=\gamma_{\mathrm{I}} * \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{gR}}=1,0 * 0,4=0,4$

Type 1 spectra is used for $a_{g}=0,4$ due to $M_{s}>5,5$ :

The below values are obtained from Table 9.24 for ground type B.
$\mathrm{S}=1,2 \mathrm{sec}$
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{B}}=0,15 \mathrm{sec}$
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}=0,50 \mathrm{sec}$
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{D}}=2,00 \mathrm{sec}$
$\underline{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{B}}}<\mathrm{T}<\mathrm{T}_{\underline{\mathrm{C}}}$
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{T})=\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{g}} * \mathrm{~S} * 2,5 / \mathrm{q}=0.4 * 1.2 * \frac{2.5}{6}=0.2$ (Using Eq. 9.44)
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{d}}\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}\right) * \mathrm{~m} * \lambda=0,2 * 6259,7 * 1=1251,9 \mathrm{kN}$ (Using Eq. 9.40)
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{bx}}=1251,9 \mathrm{kN}$
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{by}}=1251,9 \mathrm{kN}$

### 10.2 Beam Design

Following design steps are for beam between A 2 and A 3 axis in x -direction. This beam is designed for the unfavorable loading case for the three methodologies as defined and explained previously.

### 10.2.1 Beam Design According to TS 648



Moment and shear diagrams of the beam in the x direction which are subjected to the worst loading condition are determined, after that the beam will be designed based on these diagrams

Loading Type: EY

Material: St 37
$\sigma_{y}=2,4 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$
$\sigma_{\mathrm{all}}=0,6 * 2,4=1,44 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$
$\tau_{\text {all }}=\frac{\sigma_{\text {all }}}{\sqrt{3}}=\frac{1.44}{\sqrt{3}}=0.831 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$

Selected Section: IPN 280

| $\mathbf{h}$ | $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{w}}$ | $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{f}}$ | $\mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{r}$ | $\mathbf{W}_{\text {eIx }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 cm | $1,01 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $1,52 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $11,9 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $0,61 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $542 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ |

Sectional Properties of the selected section must satisfy the following conditions specified in TEC 2007.
$\frac{\mathrm{b}}{2 * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}} \leq 0.3 * \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{E}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{y}}}}$ (Obtained from Table 9.8)
$\frac{\mathrm{b}}{2 * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}}=\frac{11,9}{2 * 1,52}=3,91 \leq 0.3 * \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{E}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{y}}}}=0.3 * \sqrt{\frac{2100}{2,4}}=8,87 \quad \therefore \mathrm{OK}$
$\frac{\mathrm{h}}{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}} \leq 3.2 * \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{E}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{y}}}}$ (Obtained from Table 9.8)
$\frac{\mathrm{h}}{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}}=\frac{\mathrm{h}-2 * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}-2 * \mathrm{r}}{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}}=\frac{28-2 * 1,52-2 * 0,61}{1.01}=23,5 \leq 3.2 * \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{E}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{y}}}}=3.2 * \sqrt{\frac{2100}{2.4}}=94,7$
$\therefore \mathrm{OK}$

- Stress Check:
$\mathrm{M}_{\text {max }}=840,01 \mathrm{tcm}$
$\sigma_{\text {max }}=\frac{M_{\text {max }}}{W_{\text {elx }}} \leq \sigma_{\text {all }}$ (Using Eq. 6.1)
$\sigma_{\text {max }}=\frac{\mathrm{M}_{\text {max }}}{\mathrm{W}_{\text {elx }}}=\frac{840.01}{542}=1.55 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \geq \sigma_{\text {all }}=1.44 t / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \quad \therefore$ Not OK

Take Greater Section!

Selected Section: IPN 300

| $\mathbf{h}$ | $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{w}}$ | $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{f}}$ | $\mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{r}$ | $\mathbf{W}_{\text {eIx }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 cm | $1,08 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $1,62 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $12,5 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $0,65 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $653 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ |

Sectional Properties of the selected section must satisfy the following conditions specified in TEC 2007.
$\frac{\mathrm{b}}{2 * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}}=\frac{12.5}{2 * 1.62}=3.86 \leq 0.3 * \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{E}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{y}}}}=0.3 * \sqrt{\frac{2100}{2.4}}=8.87 \therefore$ OK (Obtained from Table 9.8)
$\frac{\mathrm{h}}{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}}=\frac{\mathrm{h}-2 * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}-2 * \mathrm{r}}{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}}=\frac{30-2 * 1.62-2 * 0.65}{1.08}=23.57 \leq 3.2 * \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{E}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{y}}}}=3.2 * \sqrt{\frac{2100}{2.4}}=94.7$
$\therefore \mathrm{OK}$
(Obtained from Table 9.8)

- Stress Check :
$M_{\max }=806,4 \mathrm{tcm}$
$\sigma_{\text {max }}=\frac{\mathrm{M}_{\text {max }}}{\mathrm{W}_{\text {elx }}} \leq \sigma_{\text {all }} \quad$ (Using Eq. 6.1)
$\sigma_{\text {max }}=\frac{\mathrm{M}_{\text {max }}}{\mathrm{W}_{\text {elx }}}=\frac{840.01}{653}=1.29 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \leq \sigma_{\text {all }}=1.44 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \therefore$ OK
- Shear Check :
$\mathrm{V}_{\text {max }}=5,6 \mathrm{t}$
$\tau_{\max }=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\max }}{\mathrm{h} * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}}=\frac{5.6}{30 * 1.08}=0.173 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \leq \tau_{\text {all }}=0.831 / \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \quad \therefore$ OK (Using Eq. 6.4)

Stability control of the beams will not be conducted since the compression flanges of the beams are restrained against lateral buckling due to the effect of the slab. Besides, the end conditions of the beams are free. At any point in the compression flanges only positive moments are present.

### 10.2.2 Beam Design According to LRFD



Selected Section: IPN 240

| $\mathbf{h}$ | 240 mm | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{x}}$ | $42,5 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}$ | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{y}}$ | $2,21 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{b}$ | 106 mm | $\mathbf{W}_{\text {eIx }}$ | $354 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ | $\mathbf{W}_{\text {ely }}$ | $41,7 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{w}}$ | $8,7 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $\mathbf{W}_{\text {pIx }}$ | $412 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ | $\mathbf{W}_{\text {pIy }}$ | $70 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{f}}$ | $13,1 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{x}}$ | $95,9 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{y}}$ | 22 mm |
| $\mathbf{r}$ | $5,2 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{t}}$ | $250 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | $4610 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{w}}$ | $28700 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{6}$ |  |  |

- Width-Thickness Ratio :
$\lambda_{\mathrm{f}}=\frac{\mathrm{b}}{2 * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}}=\frac{106}{2 * 13,1}=4,05$ (flange) (Using Eq. 3.7)
$\lambda_{\mathrm{w}}=\frac{\mathrm{h}-2 * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}-2 * \mathrm{r}}{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}}=\frac{200-2 * 13,1-2 * 5,2}{8,7}=23,38$ (web) (Using Eq. 3.8)
$\lambda_{\mathrm{f}}$ and $\lambda_{\mathrm{w}}$ values cannot exceed the limiting width-thickness ratio values obtained from
Table 9.21 in Seismic Provisions for Structural Steel Buildings.

For Flanges
$\lambda_{\mathrm{fps}}=0.30 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{f_{y}}}=0,30 * \sqrt{\frac{200000}{235}}=8,75$

For Web
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{P u}{\Phi^{*} P y}$ (Obtained from Table 9.21)

We assume that Pu is zero for beams so $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}$ can be taken as zero.

$$
\mathrm{Ca}=0 \leq 0,125
$$

$$
\lambda_{\mathrm{wps}}=3.14 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{f_{y}}}\left(1-1.54 * \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)=3.14 * \sqrt{\frac{200000}{235}} *(1-1,54 * 0)=91.6
$$

$$
\lambda_{\mathrm{f}}=4.05<\lambda_{\mathrm{fps}}=8.75 \therefore \mathrm{OK}
$$

$$
\lambda_{\mathrm{w}}=23,38<\lambda_{\text {wps }}=91.6 \therefore \mathrm{OK}
$$

- Cross Section Classification :

The below values are obtained from Table 3.13.

## For Flanges

$\lambda_{\mathrm{pf}}=0,38 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{f_{y}}}=0.38 * \sqrt{\frac{200000}{235}}=11,09 \quad$ (Compact)
$\lambda_{\mathrm{rf}}=1,0 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{f_{y}}}=1,0 * \sqrt{\frac{200000}{235}}=29,17 \quad$ (Noncompact)

## For Web

$\lambda_{\mathrm{pw}}=3,76 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{f_{y}}}=3,76 * \sqrt{\frac{200000}{235}}=109,69 \quad$ (Compact)
$\lambda_{\mathrm{rw}}=5,70 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{f_{y}}}=5,70 * \sqrt{\frac{200000}{235}}=166,29 \quad$ (Noncompact)
$\lambda_{\mathrm{f}}<\lambda_{\mathrm{pf}}$ and $\lambda_{\mathrm{w}}<\lambda_{\mathrm{pw}}$
$\therefore$ Section is compact flange and compact web.

So this beam has been solved according to Doubly Symmetric Compact I-Shaped Members in LRFD.

- Yielding :
$\mathrm{Mn}=\mathrm{Mp}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}} * \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{x}}=235 * 412000=96820000 \mathrm{Nmm}=96,82 \mathrm{kNm}$ (Using Eq. 6.12)
$\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{Wplx}=412000 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}\right)$
$\Phi * \mathrm{Mn}=0,9 * 96,82=87,318 \mathrm{kNm}<\operatorname{Mmax}=107,28 \mathrm{kNm} \quad \therefore$ Not OK (Using Eq. 6.10)

Take a greater section!

Selected Section: IPN 260

| $\mathbf{h}$ | 260 mm | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{x}}$ | $57,4 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}$ | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{y}}$ | $2,88 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{b}$ | 113 mm | $\mathbf{W}_{\text {eIx }}$ | $442 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ | $\mathbf{W}_{\text {ely }}$ | $51 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{w}}$ | $9,4 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $\mathbf{W}_{\text {pIx }}$ | $514 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ | $\mathbf{W}_{\text {pIy }}$ | $85,9 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{f}}$ | $14,1 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{x}}$ | 104 mm | $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{y}}$ | $23,2 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| $\mathbf{r}$ | $5,6 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{t}}$ | $335 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | $5330 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{w}}$ | $44100 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{6}$ |  |  |

- Width-Thickness Ratio :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{\mathrm{f}}=\frac{\mathrm{b}}{2 * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}}=\frac{113}{2 * 14,1}=4,01 \text { (flange) (Using Eq. 3.7) } \\
& \lambda_{\mathrm{w}}=\frac{\mathrm{h}-2 * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}-2 * \mathrm{r}}{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}}=\frac{260-2 * 14,1-2 * 5,6}{9,4}=23,48 \text { (web) (Using Eq. 3.8) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\lambda_{\mathrm{f}}$ and $\lambda_{\mathrm{w}}$ values cannot exceed the limiting width-thickness ratio values obtained from Table 9.21 in Seismic Provisions for Structural Steel Buildings.

## For Flanges

$$
\lambda_{\mathrm{fps}}=0.30 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{f_{y}}}=0,30 * \sqrt{\frac{200000}{235}}=8,75
$$

For Web

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{P u}{\Phi^{*} P y} \text { (Obtained from Table 9.21) }
$$

We assume that $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{u}}$ is zero for beams so $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}$ can be taken as zero.

$$
\mathrm{Ca}=0 \leq 0,125
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{\mathrm{wps}}=3,14 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{f_{y}}}\left(1-1,54 * \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)=3,14 * \sqrt{\frac{200000}{235}} *(1-1,54 * 0)=91,6 \\
& \lambda_{\mathrm{f}}=4,01<\lambda_{\mathrm{fps}}=8,75 \therefore \mathrm{OK} \\
& \lambda_{\mathrm{w}}=23,48<\lambda_{\mathrm{wps}}=91,6 \therefore \mathrm{OK}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Cross Section Classification :

The below values are obtained from Table 3.13.

## For Flanges

$\lambda_{\mathrm{pf}}=0,38 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{f_{y}}}=0.38 * \sqrt{\frac{200000}{235}}=11,09 \quad$ (Compact)
$\lambda_{\mathrm{rf}}=1,0 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{f_{y}}}=1,0 * \sqrt{\frac{200000}{235}}=29,17 \quad$ (Noncompact)

For Web
$\lambda_{\mathrm{pw}}=3,76 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{f_{y}}}=3,76 * \sqrt{\frac{200000}{235}}=109,69 \quad$ (Compact)
$\lambda_{\mathrm{rw}}=5,70 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{f_{y}}}=5,70 * \sqrt{\frac{200000}{235}}=166,29 \quad$ (Noncompact)
$\lambda_{\mathrm{f}}<\lambda_{\mathrm{pf}}$ and $\lambda_{\mathrm{w}}<\lambda_{\mathrm{pw}}$
$\therefore$ Section is compact flange and compact web.

So this beam has been solved according to Doubly Symmetric Compact I-Shaped Members in LRFD.

- Yielding :
$\mathrm{Mn}=\mathrm{Mp}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}} * \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{x}}=235 * 514000=120790000 \mathrm{Nmm}=120,79 \mathrm{kNm}$ (Using Eq. 6.12) $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{Wplx}=514000 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}\right)$
$\Phi_{\mathrm{b}} * \mathrm{Mn}=0,9 * 120,79=108,71 \mathrm{kNm}>\operatorname{Mmax}=107,28 \mathrm{kNm} \quad \therefore$ OK (Using Eq. 6.10)
- Lateral Torsional Buckling :

We have not checked the stability control of the beams because of effect of slab and the beams` end conditions which are free.

- Shear :
$\mathrm{Vn}=0,6 * \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{y}} * \mathrm{Aw} * \mathrm{Cv}$ (Utilizing Eq. 6.22)

For web of rolled I shaped members with

$$
\frac{\mathrm{h}}{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}}=23,48 \leq 2,24 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{F_{y}}}=2,24 * \sqrt{\frac{200000}{235}}=65,35 \quad \therefore \mathrm{OK}
$$

Take
$\mathrm{Cv}=1,0$ and $\Phi \mathrm{v}=1,0$
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{w}}=\mathrm{h} * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}=260 * 9,4=2444 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$
$\Phi \mathrm{V} * \mathrm{Vn}=\Phi \mathrm{V} *\left(0,6 * \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{y}} * \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{w}} * \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}\right)$
$\Phi \mathrm{v} * \mathrm{Vn}=1,0 *(0,6 * 235 * 2444 * 1,0)=344604 \mathrm{~N}=344,604 \mathrm{kN}>\mathrm{Vy}=71,52 \mathrm{kN}$
$\therefore \mathrm{OK}$

### 10.2.3 Beam Design According to Eurocode 3

$\underline{M}_{x}$

$114,75 \mathrm{kNm}$
$\underline{V}_{y}$
$76,5 \mathrm{kN}$

$-76,5 \mathrm{kN}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}=210000 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} \\
& \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{y}}=235 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Selected Section: IPN 240

| $\mathbf{h}$ | 240 mm | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{x}}$ | $42,5 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}$ | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{y}}$ | $2,21 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{b}$ | 106 mm | $\mathbf{W}_{\text {eIx }}$ | $354 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ | $\mathbf{W}_{\text {eIy }}$ | $41,7 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{w}}$ | $8,7 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{p I x}}$ | $412 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ | $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{p I y}}$ | $70 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{f}}$ | $13,1 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{x}}$ | $95,9 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{y}}$ | 22 mm |
| $\mathbf{r}$ | $5,2 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{t}}$ | $250 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | $4610 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{w}}$ | $28700 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{6}$ |  |  |

- Cross Section Classification :
$\varepsilon=\sqrt{\frac{235}{F_{y}}}=\sqrt{\frac{235}{235}}=1,0$ (Utilizing Eq. 3.9)

Outstand Flanges in Compression:
$\frac{c_{f}}{t_{f}}=\frac{\left(b-t_{w}-2 * r\right) / 2}{t_{f}}=\frac{(106-8,7-2 * 5,2) / 2}{13,1}=3,32$

Limit for Class 1 flange $=9 * \varepsilon=9 * 1=9,0($ Obtained from Table 3.14)
$9,0>3,32 \therefore$ Flanges are Class 1.

Web - internal part in bending:
$\frac{c_{w}}{t_{w}}=\frac{h-2 * t_{f}-2 * r}{t_{w}}=\frac{240-2 * 13,1-2 * 5,2}{8,7}=23,38$

Limit for Class 1 web $=72 * \varepsilon=72 * 1=72$ (Obtained from Table 3.14)
$72>23,38 \therefore$ Web is Class 1.

Web and flanges are Class 1 , hence the overall cross-section classification Class 1.

In Eurocode 8, if q (value of behavior factor) is greater than 4 with ductility high frame; the cross sectional Class 1 must be used for all elements in the steel structure. (Table 9.27)

This section is suitable for this requirement.

- Bending Resistance :
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Rd}}=\frac{W_{p l . x} * f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 0}}$ for Class 1 (Using Eq. 6.25)
$M_{c, y, R d}=\frac{412000 * 235}{1}=96820000 \mathrm{Nmm}=96,82 \mathrm{kNm}<\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{ed}}=114,75 \mathrm{kNm}$
$\therefore$ NOT OK

Take a greater section!

Selected Section: IPN 260

| $\mathbf{h}$ | 260 mm | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{x}}$ | $57,4 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}$ | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{y}}$ | $2,88 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{b}$ | 113 mm | $\mathbf{W}_{\text {eIx }}$ | $442 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ | $\mathbf{W}_{\text {ely }}$ | $51 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{w}}$ | $9,4 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{p I x}}$ | $514 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ | $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{p I y}}$ | $85,9 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{f}}$ | $14,1 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{x}}$ | 104 mm | $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{y}}$ | $23,2 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| $\mathbf{r}$ | $5,6 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{t}}$ | $335 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | $5330 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{w}}$ | $44100 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{6}$ |  |  |

- Cross Section Classification :
$\varepsilon=\sqrt{\frac{235}{F_{y}}}=\sqrt{\frac{235}{235}}=1,0$ (Utilizing Eq. 3.9)

Outstand Flanges in Compression:
$\frac{c_{f}}{t_{f}}=\frac{\left(b-t_{w}-2 * r\right) / 2}{t_{f}}=\frac{(113-9,4-2 * 5,6) / 2}{14,1}=3,28$

Limit for Class 1 flange $=9 * \varepsilon=9 * 1=9,0($ Obtained from Table 3.14)
$9,0>3,28 \therefore$ Flanges are Class 1.

Web - internal part in bending:
$\frac{c_{w}}{t_{w}}=\frac{h-2 * t_{f}-2 * r}{t_{w}}=\frac{260-2 * 14,1-2 * 5,6}{9,4}=23,5$

Limit for Class 1 web $=72 * \varepsilon=72 * 1=72$ (Obtained from Table 3.14)
$72>23,5 \therefore$ Web is Class 1 .

Web and flanges are Class 1, hence the overall cross-section classification Class 1.

In Eurocode 8, if $q$ (value of behavior factor) is greater than 4 with ductility high frame; the cross sectional Class 1 must be used for all elements in the steel structure.

This section is suitable for this requirement.

- Bending Resistance :
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{Rd}}=\frac{W_{p l . x} * f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 0}} \quad$ for Class 1 (Using Eq. 6.25)
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{Rd}}=\frac{514000 * 235}{1}=120790000 \mathrm{Nmm}=120,79 \mathrm{kNm}>\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{ed}}=114,75 \mathrm{kNm}$
$\therefore$ OK
- Shear Resistance :
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{pl}, \mathrm{Rd}}=\frac{A_{v} *\left(f_{y} / \sqrt{3}\right)}{\gamma_{M 0}}$ (Using Eq. 6.29)
$A_{v}=$ Shear Area; $\eta=$ Shear area factor $=1,2$
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{v}}=\mathrm{A}-2 * \mathrm{~b} * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}+\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}+2 * \mathrm{r}\right) \geq \eta^{*} \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{w}} * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}$ (Using Eq. 6.30)
$\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{w}}=\mathrm{h}-2 * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}=260-2 * 14,1=231,8 \mathrm{~mm}$
$A_{v}=5330-2 * 113 * 14,1+(9,4+2 * 5,6) * 14,1=2433,9 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}<1,2 * 231,8 * 9,4=$ $2614,7 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$
$A_{v}$ should not less than $2614,7 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$. Take $A_{v}=2614,7 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{pl}, \mathrm{Rd}}=\frac{2614,7 *(235 / \sqrt{3})}{1}=354755 \mathrm{~N}=354,755 \mathrm{kN}>\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Ed}}=76,5 \mathrm{kN} \therefore \mathrm{OK}$
- Shear Buckling :
$\frac{h_{w}}{t_{w}} \leq 72 * \frac{\varepsilon}{\eta}$ (Using Eq. 6.34)
$\frac{h_{w}}{t_{w}}=\frac{231,8}{9,4}=24,7 \leq 72 * \frac{\varepsilon}{\eta}=72 * \frac{1}{1,2}=60 \therefore$ NO Shear Buckling - OK
- Combined Bending and Shear :

If the shear force $\left(V_{e d}\right)$ isn't greater half the plastic shear resistance $\left(V_{p l, R d}\right), M_{y, v, R d}$ which is reduced moment resistance due to applied shear force can be neglected.
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ed}}=76,5 \mathrm{kN}<\frac{V_{p l, R d}}{2}=\frac{344,755}{2}=172,38 \mathrm{kN} \therefore \mathrm{OK}$

### 10.3 Column Design

For column design the column at axis B2 on ground story is chosen as the case study. This column is designed for the three different methodologies to evaluate the difference. Since these solution steps are for the demonstration of the general design philosophy, only gravity load combinations are taken into account for simplifying the calculations.

### 10.3.1 Column Design According to TS 648

$\underline{P}$
45 t

Mx
$-0,04 \mathrm{tcm}$

$0,07 \mathrm{tcm}$
My


Vxmax $=0,0002 \mathrm{t} \quad$ No Sidesway in X and Y Direction
Vymax $=0,0003 \mathrm{t} \quad$ No Span Loading

Loading Type: EY

Material: St 37
$\sigma_{y}=2,4 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$
$\sigma_{\text {all }}=0,6 * 2,4=1,44 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$
$\tau_{\text {all }}=\frac{\sigma_{\text {all }}}{\sqrt{3}}=\frac{1.44}{\sqrt{3}}=0.831 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$

Selected Section: HE 140 B

| $\mathbf{h}$ | 14 cm | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{x}}$ | $1509 \mathrm{~cm}^{4}$ | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{y}}$ | $594 \mathrm{~cm}^{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{b}$ | 14 cm | $\mathbf{W}_{\text {eIx }}$ | $215,6 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ | $\mathbf{W}_{\text {ely }}$ | $78,52 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{w}}$ | $0,7 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{x}}$ | $5,93 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{y}}$ | $3,58 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{f}}$ | $1,2 \mathrm{~cm}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{r}$ | $1,2 \mathrm{~cm}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | $42,96 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |

$\mathrm{L}=350 \mathrm{~cm}$ (Length of Column)
$\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}}=0,8$ (Obtained from Table 5.1)
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}} * \mathrm{~L}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}} * \mathrm{~L}=0,8 * 350=280 \mathrm{~cm}$

This section must not be greater than the section limitation in TEC 2007.
$\frac{\mathrm{b}}{2 * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}} \leq 0.3 * \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{E}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{y}}}}($ Obtained from Table 9.8)
$\frac{\mathrm{b}}{2 * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}}=\frac{14}{2 * 1,2}=5,83 \leq 0.3 * \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{E}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{y}}}}=0.3 * \sqrt{\frac{2100}{2,4}}=8,87 \quad \therefore$ OK

If $\left|\frac{N_{d}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{y}} * A}\right| \geq 0,10$, the below formula obtained from Table 9.8 is used.
$\left|\frac{N_{d}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{y}} * A}\right|=\left|\frac{45}{2,4 * 42,96}\right|=0,44 \geq 0,10 \quad \therefore$ OK
$\frac{\mathrm{h}}{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}}=\frac{\mathrm{h}-2 * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}-2 * \mathrm{r}}{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}} \leq 1,33 * \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{E}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{y}}}} *\left(2,1-\left|\frac{N_{d}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{y}} * A}\right|\right)$ (Obtained from Table 9.8)
$\frac{\mathrm{h}}{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}}=\frac{14-2 * 1,2-2 * 1,2}{0,7}=13,14 \leq 1,33 * \sqrt{\frac{2100}{2,4}} *\left(2,1-\left|\frac{45}{2,4 * 42,96}\right|\right)=65,44 \therefore \mathrm{OK}$
$\lambda_{\mathrm{x}}=\frac{k_{x} * L}{i_{x}}=\frac{280}{5,93}=47,22$ (Utilizing Eq. 5.1)
$\lambda_{y}=\frac{k_{y} * L}{i_{y}}=\frac{280}{3,58}=78,21$ (Utilizing Eq. 5.1)
$\lambda=\max \left(\lambda_{\mathrm{x}} ; \lambda_{\mathrm{y}}\right)=\max (47,22 ; 78,21)=78,21$ (Utilizing Eq. 5.3)
$\lambda_{\mathrm{p}}=\sqrt{\frac{2 * \pi^{2} * E}{\sigma_{\mathrm{y}}}}=\sqrt{\frac{2 * 3,14^{2} * 2100}{2,4}}=131,4$ (Utilizing Eq. 5.4)

If $\lambda_{\max } \leq \lambda_{\mathrm{p}}$, the below formula is used.
$\sigma_{\text {bem }}=\frac{\left[1-0,5 *\left(\lambda / \lambda_{p}\right)^{2}\right] * \sigma_{y}}{n}$ (Utilizing Eq. 5.5)
and

$$
n=1,5+1,2 *\left(\lambda / \lambda_{p}\right)-0,2 *\left(\lambda / \lambda_{p}\right)^{3} \geq 1,67
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n=1,5+1,2 *(78,21 / 131,4)-0,2 *(78,21 / 131,4)^{3}=2,17 \geq 1,67 \quad \therefore \text { OK } \\
& \sigma_{\text {bem }}=\frac{\left[1-0,5 *(78,21 / 131,4)^{2}\right] * 2,4}{2,17}=0,91 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \\
& \sigma_{\text {eb }}=\frac{P_{\max }}{A}=\frac{45}{42,96}=1,047 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}>\sigma_{\text {bem }}=0,91 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \quad \therefore \text { NOT OK }
\end{aligned}
$$

Take a greater section!

Selected Section: HE 160 B

| $\mathbf{h}$ | 16 cm | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{x}}$ | $2492 \mathrm{~cm}^{4}$ | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{y}}$ | $889,2 \mathrm{~cm}^{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{b}$ | 16 cm | $\mathbf{W}_{\text {elx }}$ | $311,5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ | $\mathbf{W}_{\text {ely }}$ | $111,2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{w}}$ | $0,8 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{x}}$ | $6,78 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{y}}$ | $4,05 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{f}}$ | $1,3 \mathrm{~cm}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{r}$ | $1,5 \mathrm{~cm}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | $54,25 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |

$\mathrm{L}=350 \mathrm{~cm}$ (Length of Column)
$\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}}=0,8$ (Obtained from Table 5.1)
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}} * \mathrm{~L}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}} * \mathrm{~L}=0,8 * 350=280 \mathrm{~cm}$

This section must not be greater than the section limitation in TEC 2007.
$\frac{\mathrm{b}}{2{ }^{*} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}} \leq 0.3 * \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{E}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{y}}}}($ Obtained from Table 9.8)
$\frac{\mathrm{b}}{2 * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}}=\frac{16}{2 * 1,3}=6,15 \leq 0.3 * \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{E}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{y}}}}=0.3 * \sqrt{\frac{2100}{2,4}}=8,87 \quad \therefore$ OK

If $\left|\frac{N_{d}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{y}}{ }^{*} A}\right|>0,10$, the below formula obtained from Table 9.8 is used.
$\left|\frac{N_{d}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{y}} * A}\right|=\left|\frac{45}{2,4 * 54,25}\right|=0,346>0,10 \therefore \mathrm{OK}$
$\frac{\mathrm{h}}{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}}=\frac{\mathrm{h}-2 * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}-2 * \mathrm{r}}{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}} \leq 1,33 * \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{E}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{y}}}} *\left(2,1-\left|\frac{N_{d}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{y}} * A}\right|\right)$ (Obtained from Table 9.8)
$\frac{\mathrm{h}}{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}}=\frac{16-2 * 1,3-2 * 1,5}{0,8}=13 \leq 1,33 * \sqrt{\frac{2100}{2,4}} *\left(2,1-\left|\frac{45}{2,4 * 54,25}\right|\right)=65,44 \therefore$ OK
$\lambda_{\mathrm{x}}=\frac{k_{x} * L}{i_{x}}=\frac{280}{6,78}=41,29$ (Utilizing Eq. 5.1)
$\lambda_{\mathrm{y}}=\frac{k_{y} * L}{i_{y}}=\frac{280}{4,05}=69,14$ (Utilizing Eq. 5.1)
$\lambda=\max \left(\lambda_{\mathrm{x}} ; \lambda_{\mathrm{y}}\right)=\max (41,29 ; 69,14)=69,14$ (Utilizing Eq. 5.3)
$\lambda_{\mathrm{p}}=\sqrt{\frac{2 * \pi^{2} * E}{\sigma_{\mathrm{y}}}}=\sqrt{\frac{2 * 3,14^{2} * 2100}{2,4}}=131,4$ (Utilizing Eq. 5.4)

If $\lambda_{\max } \leq \lambda_{p}$, the below formula is used.
$\sigma_{\text {bem }}=\frac{\left[1-0,5 *\left(\lambda / \lambda_{p}\right)^{2}\right] * \sigma_{\mathrm{y}}}{n}($ Utilizing Eq. 5.5)
and
$n=1,5+1,2 *\left(\lambda / \lambda_{p}\right)-0,2 *\left(\lambda / \lambda_{p}\right)^{3} \geq 1,67$
$n=1,5+1,2 *(69,14 / 131,4)-0,2 *(69,14 / 131,4)^{3}=2,1 \geq 1,67 \quad \therefore \mathrm{OK}$
$\sigma_{\text {bem }}=\frac{\left[1-0,5 *\left(\frac{69,14 / 131,4}{}\right)^{2}\right] * 2,4}{2,1}=0,98 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$
$\sigma_{\mathrm{eb}}=\frac{P_{\max }}{A}=\frac{45}{54,25}=0,829 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}>\sigma_{\text {bem }}=0,98 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \quad \therefore \mathrm{OK}$

- Stress Check :
$\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)_{\text {max }}=0,07 \mathrm{tcm}$
$\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{x}}\right)_{\max }=\frac{\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)_{\max }}{\mathrm{W}_{\text {elx }}} \leq \sigma_{\text {all }}$ (Using Eq. 6.1)
$\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{x}}\right)_{\max }=\frac{\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)_{\max }}{\mathrm{W}_{\text {elx }}}=\frac{0,07}{311,5}=0,00023 t / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \geq \sigma_{\text {all }}=1.44 t / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \quad \therefore$ OK
$\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)_{\text {max }}=0,049 \mathrm{tcm}$
$\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{y}}\right)_{\max }=\frac{\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)_{\max }}{\mathrm{W}_{\text {ely }}}=\frac{0,049}{111,2}=0,00044 t / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \geq \sigma_{\text {all }}=1.44 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \quad \therefore$ OK
- Shear Check :
$\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)_{\text {max }}=0,0002 \mathrm{t}$
$\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)_{\text {max }}=0,0003 \mathrm{t}$
$\tau_{x}=\frac{V_{y}}{h^{*} t_{w}} \leq \tau_{\text {all }} \quad$ (Using Eq. 6.4)
$\tau_{x}=\frac{\left(V_{y}\right)_{\max }}{h^{*} t_{w}}=\frac{0,0003}{16 * 0,8}=0,000023 t / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \leq \tau_{\text {all }}=0,831 t / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \quad \therefore \mathrm{OK}$
$\tau_{y}=\frac{V_{y} *\left[\frac{b}{2} * t_{f} *\left(\frac{h-t_{f}}{2}\right)\right]}{I_{x} * t_{f}}+\frac{V_{x} *\left[\frac{b}{2} * t_{f} *\left(\frac{b}{4}\right)\right]}{I_{y} * t_{f}} \leq \tau_{\text {all }}$ (Using Eq. 6.2)
$\tau_{y}=\frac{0,0003 *\left[\frac{16}{2} * 1,3 *\left(\frac{16-1,3}{2}\right)\right]}{2491 * 1,3}+\frac{0,0002 *\left[\frac{16}{2} * 1,3 *\left(\frac{16}{4}\right)\right]}{889,2 * 1,3}=0,0000142 t / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$
$\tau_{y}=0,0000142 t / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \leq \tau_{\text {all }}=0,831 t / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \quad \therefore \mathrm{OK}$
- Biaxial Bending Control :
$\frac{\mathrm{M}_{1 \mathrm{x}}}{\mathrm{W}_{\text {elx }}}+\frac{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{ly}}}{\mathrm{W}_{\text {ely }}} \leq \sigma_{\text {all }} \quad$ (Using Eq. 6.1)
$\frac{0,07}{311,5}+\frac{0,049}{111,2}=0,00067 t / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \leq \sigma_{\text {all }}=1,44 t / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \quad \therefore$ OK
$\frac{M_{2 x}}{W_{\text {elx }}}+\frac{M_{2 y}}{W_{\text {ely }}} \leq \sigma_{\text {all }}$ (Using Eq. 6.1)
$\frac{0,04}{311,5}+\frac{0,015}{111,2}=0,00026 t / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \leq \sigma_{\text {all }}=1,44 t / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \quad \therefore$ OK
- Axial Loading and Bending Check :
$\sigma_{\mathrm{bx}}=0,00023 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$
$\sigma_{\text {by }}=0,00044 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$
$\sigma_{\text {bem }}=0,98 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$
$\sigma_{\mathrm{eb}}=0,829 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$

If $\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{eb}}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{bem}}}>0,15$, below formulae are used.
$\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{eb}}}{0,6^{*} \sigma_{\mathrm{y}}}+\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{bx}}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{Bx}}}+\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{by}}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{By}}} \leq 1,0$ (Strength Requirement) (Using Eq. 7.2)
$\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{cb}}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{bem}}}+\frac{C_{m x}}{\left(1-\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{eb}}}{\sigma_{e^{\prime} x}}\right)} * \frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{bx}}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{Bx}}}+\frac{C_{m y}}{\left(1-\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{eb}}}{\sigma_{e^{\prime} y}}\right)} * \frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{by}}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{By}}} \leq 1,0$ (Stability Requirement) (Using Eq. 7.3)
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{b} * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}=16 * 1,3=20,8 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ (Cross-sectional area of the compression flange)
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}} * \mathrm{~b}+\left(\mathrm{h}-2 * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}\right) * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}} / 6=1,3 * 16+(16-2 * 1,3) * 0,8 / 6=22,59 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{yc}}=\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}} *(\mathrm{~b})^{3} / 12+\left(\mathrm{h}-2 * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}\right) / 6 *\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}\right)^{3 / 12}$
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{yc}}=1,3 *(16)^{3} / 12+(16-2 * 1,3) / 6 *(0,8)^{3} / 12=443,83 \mathrm{~cm}^{4}$
$\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{yc}}=\sqrt{\frac{I_{y c}}{F_{c}}}=\sqrt{\frac{443,8}{22,59}}=4,43 \mathrm{~cm}$ (Radius of gyration of the compression flange and $1 / 3$ of the compression web area about the symmetry axis)
$\mathrm{s}=350 \mathrm{~cm}$ (Distance between the supports of the column)
$C_{b x}=1,75+\left[1,05 *\left(\frac{M_{1 x}}{M_{2 x}}\right)\right]+0,3 *\left[\left(\frac{M_{1 x}}{M_{2 x}}\right)^{2}\right] \leq 2,3$ (Using Eq. 6.9)

$$
C_{b x}=1,75+\left[1,05 *\left(\frac{0,04}{0,07}\right)\right]+0,3 *\left[\left(\frac{0,04}{0,07}\right)^{2}\right]=2,45
$$

But this value must not greater than 2,3. $\therefore$ Take $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{bx}}=2,3$ !
$\frac{s}{i_{y c}}=\frac{350}{4,43}=79 \leq \sqrt{\frac{30000 * C_{b x}}{\sigma_{y}}}=\sqrt{\frac{30000 * 2,3}{2,4}}=169,6$
$\sigma_{B 1 x}=\left[\frac{2}{3}-\frac{\sigma_{y} *\left(s / i_{y c}\right)^{2}}{90000 * C_{b x}}\right] * \sigma_{y} \leq \sigma_{a l l}($ Using Eq. 6.5 $)$
$\sigma_{B 1 x}=\left[\frac{2}{3}-\frac{2,4 *(79)^{2}}{90000 * 2,3}\right] * 2,4=1,43 t / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \leq \sigma_{\text {all }}=1,44 t / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$
$\sigma_{B 2 x}=\frac{840 * C_{b x}}{s^{*} \frac{d}{F_{b}}}$ (Using Eq. 6.7)
$\sigma_{B 2 x}=\frac{840 * 2,3}{350 * \frac{16}{20,8}}=7,18 t / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$
$\sigma_{\mathrm{Bx}}=\max \left(\sigma_{\mathrm{B} 1 \mathrm{x}} ; \sigma_{\mathrm{B} 2 \mathrm{x}}\right)=\max (1,43 ; 7,18)=7,18 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ (Utilizing Eq. 6.8)

But this value must be smaller or equal to $\sigma_{\mathrm{all}}=1,44 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$. So Take $\sigma_{\mathrm{Bx}}=1,44 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$
$\sigma_{\mathrm{By}}=\sigma_{\mathrm{all}}=1,44 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ (for I Section)
$C_{m x}=0,6-0,4 *\left(\frac{M_{1 x}}{M_{2 x}}\right) \geq 0,4$ (Using Eq. 7.6)

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{mx}}=0,6-0,4 *\left(\frac{0,04}{0,07}\right)=0,37
$$

But this value must not be smaller than 0,4 . So Take $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{mx}}=0,4$
$C_{m y}=0,6-0,4 *\left(\frac{M_{1 y}}{M_{2 y}}\right) \geq 0,4$ (Using Eq. 7.6)
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{my}}=0,6-0,4 *\left(\frac{0,015}{0,049}\right)=0,477 \geq 0,4$
$\sigma_{e^{\prime} x}=\frac{2 * \pi^{2} * E}{5 * \lambda_{x}^{2}}$ (Using Eq. 7.5)
$\sigma_{e^{\prime} x}=\frac{2 * 3,14^{2} * 2100}{5 * 41,29^{2}}=4,86 t / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$
$\sigma_{e^{\prime} y}=\frac{2 * \pi^{2} * E}{5 * \lambda_{y}^{2}}$ (Using Eq. 7.5)
$\sigma_{e^{\prime} y}=\frac{2 * 3,14^{2} * 2100}{5 * 69,14^{2}}=1,733 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$
$\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{eb}}}{0,6^{*} \sigma_{\mathrm{y}}}+\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{bx}}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{Bx}}}+\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{by}}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{By}}}=\frac{0,829}{0,6^{*} 2,4}+\frac{0,00023}{1,44}+\frac{0,00044}{1,44}=0,58 \leq 1,0 \quad \therefore$ OK
$\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{eb}}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{bem}}}+\frac{C_{m x}}{\left(1-\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{eb}}}{\sigma_{e^{\prime} x}}\right)} * \frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{bx}}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{Bx}}}+\frac{C_{m y}}{\left(1-\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{eb}}}{\sigma_{e^{\prime} y}}\right)} * \frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{by}}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{By}}}=$
$=\frac{0,829}{0,98}+\frac{0,4}{\left(1-\frac{0,829}{4,86}\right)} * \frac{0,00023}{1,44}+\frac{0,477}{\left(1-\frac{0,829}{1,733}\right)} * \frac{0,00044}{1,44}=0,846 \leq 1,0$
$\therefore \mathrm{OK}$

- $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Q}+2,5$ * E (Utilizing Eq. 9.21 and Table 9.7)
$P_{\text {max }}=58,2 \mathrm{t}$ (Compression) and $\mathrm{P}_{\text {min }}=4,3 \mathrm{t}$ (Tension)
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{cp}}=1,7 * \sigma_{\mathrm{bem}} * \mathrm{~A}>\operatorname{Pmax}$ (Using Eq. 9.19)
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{tp}}=\sigma_{\mathrm{a}} * \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{n}}>\operatorname{Pmin}$ (Using Eq. 9.20)
$\sigma_{\text {bem }}$ is different from above value. Because EIY Loading the allowable stresses are found by increasing the values given for loading EY by $15 \%$.
$\sigma_{\text {bem }}=1,15 * 0,98=1,13 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{cp}}=1,7 * \sigma_{\mathrm{bem}} * \mathrm{~A}=1,7 * 1,13 * 54,25=104,21 \mathrm{t}>\mathrm{P}_{\max }=58,2 \mathrm{t} \quad \therefore \mathrm{OK}$
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{tp}}=\sigma_{\mathrm{a}} * \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{n}}=2,4 * 54,25=130,2 \mathrm{t}>\mathrm{P}_{\text {min }}=4,3 \mathrm{t} \quad \therefore \mathrm{OK}$


### 10.3.2 Column Design According to LRFD



Material: A36 = St 37
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}}=235 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$
$\mathrm{E}=200000 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$

Selected Section: HE 120 B

| $\mathbf{h}$ | 120 mm | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{x}}$ | $8,644 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}$ | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{y}}$ | $3,175 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{b}$ | 120 mm | $\mathbf{W}_{\text {elx }}$ | $144,1 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ | $\mathbf{W}_{\text {ely }}$ | $52,92 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{w}}$ | $6,5 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $\mathbf{W}_{\text {plx }}$ | $165,2 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ | $\mathbf{W}_{\text {ply }}$ | $80,97 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{f}}$ | 11 mm | $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{x}}$ | $50,4 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{y}}$ | $30,6 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| $\mathbf{r}$ | 12 mm | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{t}}$ | $138,4 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | $3401 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{w}}$ | $9,41 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~mm}^{6}$ |  |  |

$\mathrm{L}=3500 \mathrm{~mm}$ (Length of Column)
$\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}}=0,8$ (Obtained from Table 5.1)
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}} * \mathrm{~L}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}} * \mathrm{~L}=0,8 * 3500=2800 \mathrm{~mm}$

- Width-Thickness Ratio :
$\lambda_{\mathrm{f}}=\frac{\mathrm{b}}{2 * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}}=\frac{120}{2 * 11}=5,45$ (flange) (Using Eq. 3.7)
$\lambda_{\mathrm{w}}=\frac{\mathrm{h}-2 * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}-2 * \mathrm{r}}{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}}=\frac{120-2 * 11-2 * 12}{6,5}=11,38$ (web) (Using Eq. 3.8)
$\lambda_{\mathrm{f}}$ and $\lambda_{\mathrm{w}}$ values cannot exceed the limiting width-thickness ratio values obtained from
Table 9.21 in Seismic Provisions for Structural Steel Buildings.


## For Flanges

$\lambda_{\text {fps }}=0.30 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{f_{y}}}=0,30 * \sqrt{\frac{200000}{235}}=8,75$ (Obtained from Table 9.21)

For Web
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{P u}{\Phi^{*} P y}$ (Obtained from Table 9.21)
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{u}}=557280 \mathrm{~N}$
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}} * \mathrm{~A}=235 * 3401=799235 \mathrm{~N}$
$C_{a}=\frac{P_{u}}{\Phi * P y}=\frac{557280}{0,9 * 799235}=0,77>0,125$
$\lambda_{\mathrm{wps}}=1,12 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{f_{y}}}\left(2,33-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}\right) \geq 1,49 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{f_{y}}}$ (Obtained from Table 9.21)
$\lambda_{\mathrm{wps}}=1,12 * \sqrt{\frac{200000}{235}}(2,33-0,77)=50,97 \geq 1,49 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{f_{y}}}=1,49 * \sqrt{\frac{200000}{235}}=43,7$
$\lambda_{\mathrm{f}}=5,45<\lambda_{\mathrm{fps}}=8,75 \therefore$ OK
$\lambda_{\mathrm{w}}=11,38<\lambda_{\text {wps }}=50,97 \therefore \mathrm{OK}$

- Compressive Strength for Flexural Buckling :
$\lambda_{\mathrm{x}}=\frac{k_{x} * L}{i_{x}}=\frac{0,8 * 3500}{50,4}=55,56$ (Utilizing Eq. 5.1)
$\lambda_{\mathrm{y}}=\frac{k_{y} * L}{i_{y}}=\frac{0,8 * 350}{30,6}=91,5$ (Utilizing Eq. 5.1)
$\lambda=\max \left(\lambda_{\mathrm{x}} ; \lambda_{\mathrm{y}}\right)=\max (55,56 ; 91,5)=91,5$ (Utilizing Eq. 5.3)

If $\lambda \leq 4,71 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{F_{y}}}$ (Utilizing Eq. 5.8)
$\lambda=91,5<4,71 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{F_{y}}}=4,71 * \sqrt{\frac{200000}{235}}=137,4$
$F_{E}=\frac{\pi^{2} * E}{\lambda^{2}}$ (Utilizing Eq. 5.7)
$F_{E}=\frac{\pi^{2} * E}{\lambda^{2}}=\frac{3,14^{2} * 200000}{(91,5)^{2}}=235,8 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$
$F_{c r}=\left[0,658^{\left(F_{y} / F_{E}\right)}\right] * F y$ (Utilizing Eq. 5.8)
$F_{c r}=\left[0,658^{\left(F_{y} / F_{E}\right)}\right] * F y=\left[0,658^{(235 / 235,8)}\right] * 235=154,84 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$
$P_{n}=F_{c r} * A_{g}$ (Utilizing Eq. 5.10)
$P_{n}=F_{c r} * A_{g}=154,84 * 3401=526610,86 \mathrm{~N}$
$\phi_{c} * P_{n}=0,9 * P_{n}=0,9 * 526610,84=473949,8 N$ (Utilizing Eq. 5.11)
$\phi^{*} P_{n}=473949,8 N<P \max =557280 N \quad \therefore$ NOT OK
Take a greater section!
Selected Section: HE 140 B

| $\mathbf{h}$ | 140 mm | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{x}}$ | $15,09 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}$ | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{y}}$ | $5,497 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{b}$ | 140 mm | $\mathbf{W}_{\text {elx }}$ | $215,6 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ | $\mathbf{W}_{\text {ely }}$ | $78,52 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{w}}$ | 7 mm | $\mathbf{W}_{\text {pIx }}$ | $245,4 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ | $\mathbf{W}_{\text {ply }}$ | $119,8 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{f}}$ | 12 mm | $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{x}}$ | $59,3 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{y}}$ | $35,8 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| $\mathbf{r}$ | 12 mm | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{t}}$ | $200,6 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | $4296 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{w}}$ | $22,48 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~mm}^{6}$ |  |  |

- Width-Thickness Ratio :
$\lambda_{\mathrm{f}}=\frac{\mathrm{b}}{2 * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}}=\frac{140}{2 * 12}=5,83$ (flange) (Using Eq. 3.7)
$\lambda_{\mathrm{w}}=\frac{\mathrm{h}-2 * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}-2 * \mathrm{r}}{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}}=\frac{140-2 * 12-2 * 12}{7}=13,14$ (web) (Using Eq. 3.8)
$\lambda_{\mathrm{f}}$ and $\lambda_{\mathrm{w}}$ values cannot exceed the limiting width-thickness ratio values obtained from Table 9.21 in Seismic Provisions for Structural Steel Buildings.

For Flanges
$\lambda_{\mathrm{fps}}=0.30 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{f_{y}}}=0,30 * \sqrt{\frac{200000}{235}}=8,75$ (Obtained from Table 9.21)

## For Web

$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{P u}{\Phi * P y}($ Obtained from Table 9.21)
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{u}}=557280 \mathrm{~N}$
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}} * \mathrm{~A}=235 * 4296=1009560 \mathrm{~N}$
$C_{a}=\frac{P_{u}}{\Phi * P y}=\frac{557280}{0,9 * 1009560}=0,61>0,125$
$\lambda_{\text {wps }}=1,12 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{f_{y}}}\left(2,33-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}\right) \geq 1,49 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{f_{y}}}$ (Obtained from Table 9.21)
$\lambda_{\mathrm{wps}}=1,12 * \sqrt{\frac{200000}{235}}(2,33-0,61)=56,1 \geq 1,49 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{f_{y}}}=1,49 * \sqrt{\frac{200000}{235}}=43,7$
$\lambda_{\mathrm{f}}=5,83<\lambda_{\mathrm{fps}}=8,75 \therefore \mathrm{OK}$
$\lambda_{\mathrm{w}}=13,14<\lambda_{\text {wps }}=56,1 \therefore \mathrm{OK}$

- Compressive Strength for Flexural Buckling :
$\lambda_{\mathrm{x}}=\frac{k_{x} * L}{i_{x}}=\frac{0,8 * 3500}{59,3}=47,22$ (Utilizing Eq. 5.1)
$\lambda_{y}=\frac{k_{y} * L}{i_{y}}=\frac{0,8 * 350}{35,8}=78,21$ (Utilizing Eq. 5.1)
$\lambda=\max \left(\lambda_{\mathrm{x}} ; \lambda_{\mathrm{y}}\right)=\max (47,22 ; 78,21)=78,21$ (Utilizing Eq. 5.3)

If $\lambda<4,71 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{F_{y}}}$ (Utilizing Eq. 5.8)
$\lambda=78,21<4,71 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{F_{y}}}=4,71 * \sqrt{\frac{200000}{235}}=137,4$
$F_{E}=\frac{\pi^{2} * E}{\lambda^{2}}$ (Utilizing Eq. 5.7)
$F_{E}=\frac{\pi^{2} * E}{\lambda^{2}}=\frac{3,14^{2} * 200000}{(78,21)^{2}}=322,7 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$
$F_{c r}=\left[0,658^{\left(F_{y} / F_{E}\right)}\right] * F y$ (Utilizing Eq. 5.8)
$F_{c r}=\left[0,658^{\left(F_{y} / F_{E}\right)}\right] * F y=\left[0,658^{(235 / 322,7)}\right] * 235=173,26 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$
$P_{n}=F_{c r} * A_{g}$ (Utilizing Eq. 5.10)
$P_{n}=F_{c r} * A_{g}=173,26 * 4296=744324,96 N$
$\phi^{*} P_{n}=0,9 * P_{n}=0,9 * 744324,96=669892,5 N$ (Utilizing Eq. 5.11)
$\phi^{*} P_{n}=669892,5 N>P \max =557280 N \quad \therefore$ OK

- Cross Section Classification :

The below values are obtained from Table 3.13.

## For Flanges

$\lambda_{\mathrm{pf}}=0,38 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{f_{y}}}=0.38 * \sqrt{\frac{200000}{235}}=11,09 \quad$ (Compact)
$\lambda_{\mathrm{rf}}=1,0 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{f_{y}}}=1,0 * \sqrt{\frac{200000}{235}}=29,17 \quad$ (Noncompact)

For Web
$\lambda_{\mathrm{pw}}=3,76 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{f_{y}}}=3,76 * \sqrt{\frac{200000}{235}}=109,69 \quad$ (Compact)
$\lambda_{\mathrm{rw}}=5,70 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{f_{y}}}=5,70 * \sqrt{\frac{200000}{235}}=166,29 \quad$ (Noncompact)
$\lambda_{\mathrm{f}}<\lambda_{\mathrm{pf}}$ and $\lambda_{\mathrm{w}}<\lambda_{\mathrm{pw}}$
$\therefore$ Section is compact flange and compact web.

So this beam has been solved according to Doubly Symmetric Compact I-Shaped Members in LRFD.

- Yielding (Major Axis):
$\mathrm{Mn}=\mathrm{Mp}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}} * \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{x}}=235 * 245400=57669000$ Nmm (Using Eq. 6.12)
$\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{Wplx}=245400 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}\right)$
$\Phi * \mathrm{Mn}=0,9 * 57669000=51902100 \mathrm{Nmm}>(\mathrm{Mx}) \max =7700 \mathrm{Nmm} \quad \therefore \mathrm{OK}$
- Lateral Torsional Buckling (Major Axis) :
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}=$ Lateral Torsional Modification Factor
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{12,5 * M_{\text {max }}}{2,5 * M_{\text {max }}+3 * M_{A}+4 * M_{B}+3 * M_{C}} * R_{M} \leq 3,0$ (Using Eq. 6.15)
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}=1,0$ for Doubly Symmetric Members
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{12,5 * 7700}{2,5 * 7700+3 * 4600+4 * 800+3 * 1700} * 1,0=2,33 \leq 3,0$
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{b}}=3500 \mathrm{~mm}$
$\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{y}}=35,8 \mathrm{~mm}$
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{W}_{\text {el. } \mathrm{x}}=215600 \mathrm{~mm}^{3} \quad$ (Elastic Section Modulus )
$\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{t}}=200600 \mathrm{~mm}^{4} \quad$ (Torsional Constant)
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{w}}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{w}}=22,48 * 10^{9} \mathrm{~mm}^{6}($ Warping Constant $)$
$\mathrm{h}_{0}=\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}=140-12=128 \mathrm{~mm}$ (Distance between the flange centroids)
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{p}}=1,76 * r_{y} * \sqrt{\frac{E}{F_{y}}}$ (Using Eq. 6.16)
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{p}}=1,76 * r_{y} * \sqrt{\frac{E}{F_{y}}}=1,76 * 35,8 * \sqrt{\frac{200000}{235}}=1838,1 \mathrm{~mm}$
$\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{ts}}=\sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{I_{y}{ }^{*} C_{w}}}{S_{x}}} \quad$ and $\quad \mathrm{c}=1,0$ (for a doubly symmetric I-shape) (Using Eq. 6.18)
$\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{ts}}=\sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{I_{y} * C_{w}}}{S_{x}}}=\sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{5497000 * 22,48 * 10^{9}}}{215600}}=40,38 \mathrm{~mm}$
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}}=1,95 * r_{t s} * \frac{E}{0,7 * F y} * \sqrt{\frac{J^{*} c}{S_{x}^{*} h_{0}}} * \sqrt{\left.1+\sqrt{1+6,76 *\left(\frac{0,7 * F_{y}}{E} * \frac{S_{x}^{*} h_{0}}{\left.J^{*}\right)^{2}}\right.}\right)^{2}}$ (Using
Eq. 6
$=1,95 * 40,38 * \frac{200000}{0,7 * 235} * \sqrt{\frac{200600 * 1,0}{215600 * 128}} * \sqrt{1+\sqrt{1+6,76 *\left(\frac{0,7 * 235}{200000} * \frac{215600 * 128}{200600 * 1,0}\right)^{2}}}$
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}}=11644,3 \mathrm{~mm}$
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{p}}=1838,1 \mathrm{~mm}<\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{b}}=3500 \mathrm{~mm} \leq \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}}=11644,3 \mathrm{~mm}$
$M_{n}=C_{b} *\left[M_{p}-\left(M_{p}-0,7 * F_{y} * S_{x}\right) *\left(\frac{L_{b}-L_{p}}{L_{r}-L_{p}}\right)\right] \leq M_{p}$ (Using Eq. 6.13)
$M_{n}=2,33 *\left[57669000-(57669000-0,7 * 235 * 215600) *\left(\frac{3500-1838,1}{11664,3-1838,1}\right)\right]$
$M_{n}=125619275,6 \mathrm{Nmm}>M p=57669000 \mathrm{Nmm}$ (Not greater than $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}}$ )

Take $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=576690000 \mathrm{Nmm}$

The nominal flexural strength, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}$, shall be selected as the lowest value obtained from limit states of yielding and lateral torsional buckling.
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=\min \left[\mathrm{Mn}\right.$ (from yielding); $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}$ (from lateral torsional buckling)]
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=\min [57669000 ; 57669000]=57669000 \mathrm{Nmm}$
$\Phi * M_{n}=0,9 * M_{n}=0,9 * 57669000=51902100 \mathrm{Nmm}$ (Using Eq. 6.10)
$\Phi * \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=51902100 \mathrm{Nmm}>(\mathrm{Mx})_{\max }=7700 \mathrm{Nmm} \quad \therefore \mathrm{OK}$

- Yielding (Minor Axis) :
$M_{n}=M_{p}=F_{y} * Z_{y} \leq 1,6 * F_{y} * S_{y}$ (Using Eq. 6.20)
$M_{n}=M_{p}=235 * 119800=28153000 \mathrm{Nmm} \leq 1,6 * 235 * 78520=29523520 \mathrm{Nmm}$
$\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{ply}}=119800 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{W}_{\text {ely }}=78520 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}\right)$
- Flange Local Buckling (Minor Axis) :
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}}$ (for compact flange)
$\therefore \quad \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=28153000 \mathrm{Nmm}$ (Using Eq. 6.21)

The nominal flexural strength, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}$, shall be selected as the lowest value obtained from limit states of yielding and flange local buckling.
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=\min \left[\mathrm{Mn}\right.$ (from yielding); $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}$ (from flange local buckling)]
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=\min [28153000 ; 28153000]=28153000 \mathrm{Nmm}$
$\Phi * \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=0,9 * \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=0,9 * 28153000=25337700 \mathrm{Nmm}$ (Using Eq. 6.10)
$\Phi * \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=25337700 \mathrm{Nmm}>(\mathrm{My})_{\max }=6300 \mathrm{Nmm} \quad \therefore \mathrm{OK}$

- Shear (Major Axis) :
$\mathrm{Vn}=0,6 * \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{y}} * \mathrm{Aw} * \mathrm{Cv}$ (Using Eq. 6.22)

For web of rolled I shaped members with

$$
\frac{\mathrm{h}}{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}}=13,14 \leq 2,24 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{F_{y}}}=2,24 * \sqrt{\frac{200000}{235}}=65,35 \quad \therefore \mathrm{OK}
$$

Take
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}=1,0$ and $\Phi_{\mathrm{v}}=1,0$
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{w}}=\mathrm{h} * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}=140 * 7=980 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$
$\Phi \mathrm{v} * \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n}}=\Phi \mathrm{v} *\left(0,6 * \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{y}} * \mathrm{Aw}^{*} * \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}\right)$
$\Phi \mathrm{V} * \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n}}=1,0 *(0,6 * 235 * 980 * 1,0)=138180 \mathrm{~N}>\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{y}}=3,6 \mathrm{~N} \quad \therefore \mathrm{OK}$

- Shear (Minor Axis ):
$\mathrm{Vn}=0,6 * \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{y}} * \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{w}} * \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}$ (Using Eq. 6.23)

Take
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}=1,0$ and $\quad \Phi \mathrm{v}=0,9$
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{w}}=\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{f}} * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}=140 * 12=1680 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$
$\Phi \mathrm{v} * \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n}}=\Phi \mathrm{v} *\left(0,6 * \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{y}} * \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{w}} * \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}\right)$
$\Phi \mathrm{V} * \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n}}=0,9 *(0,6 * 235 * 1680 * 1,0)=213192 \mathrm{~N}>\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{x}}=2,9 \mathrm{~N} \quad \therefore \mathrm{OK}$

- Flexure and Axial Force :
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}}=557280 \mathrm{~N}$ (from second-order elastic analysis)
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{rx}}=7700 \mathrm{Nmm}$ (from second-order elastic analysis)
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{ry}}=6300 \mathrm{Nmm}$ (from second-order elastic analysis)
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{cx}}=51902100 \mathrm{Nmm}$
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{cy}}=25337700 \mathrm{Nmm}$
$P_{c}=\phi_{c} * P_{n}$
$P_{c}=\phi_{c} * P_{n}=669892,5 \mathrm{~N}$

If $\frac{P_{r}}{P_{c}} \geq 0,2$
$\frac{P_{r}}{P_{c}}+\frac{8}{9} *\left(\frac{M_{r x}}{M_{c x}}+\frac{M_{r y}}{M_{c y}}\right) \leq 1,0$ (Using Eq. 7.8)
$\frac{P_{r}}{P_{c}}=\frac{557280}{669892,5}=0,831 \geq 0,2$
$\frac{P_{r}}{P_{c}}+\frac{8}{9} *\left(\frac{M_{r x}}{M_{c x}}+\frac{M_{r y}}{M_{c y}}\right)=\frac{557280}{669892,5}+\frac{8}{9} *\left(\frac{7700}{51902100}+\frac{6300}{25337700}\right)=0,832 \leq 1,0 \therefore$ OK

### 10.3.3 Column Design According to Eurocode 3

$\underline{P}$


630000 N

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{E d, x}=2,4 \mathrm{~N} \\
& V_{F d v}=4 \mathrm{~N}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\underline{M x}$
$-5000 \mathrm{Nmm}$


9000 Nmm
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{Ed}}=630000 \mathrm{~N}$

Material: S235 = St 37
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}}=235 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} \quad \mathrm{E}=210000 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} \quad \mathrm{G}=81000 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{cr}}=3500 \mathrm{~mm}$ (Length of Column) $\quad \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}}=0,85$ (Obtained from Figure 5.3)
Selected Section: HE 140 B

| $\mathbf{h}$ | 140 mm | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{x}}$ | $15,09 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}$ | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{y}}$ | $5,497 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{b}$ | 140 mm | $\mathbf{W}_{\text {elx }}$ | $215,6 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ | $\mathbf{W}_{\text {ely }}$ | $78,52 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{w}}$ | 7 mm | $\mathbf{W}_{\text {pIx }}$ | $245,4 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ | $\mathbf{W}_{\text {ply }}$ | $119,8 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{f}}$ | 12 mm | $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{x}}$ | $59,3 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{y}}$ | $35,8 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| $\mathbf{r}$ | 12 mm | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{t}}$ | $200,6 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | $4296 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{w}}$ | $22,48 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~mm}^{6}$ |  |  |

- Cross Section Classification :
$\varepsilon=\sqrt{\frac{235}{F_{y}}}=\sqrt{\frac{235}{235}}=1,0$ (Using Eq. 3.9)

Outstand Flanges in Compression:
$\frac{c_{f}}{t_{f}}=\frac{\left(b-t_{w}-2 * r\right) / 2}{t_{f}}=\frac{(140-7-2 * 12) / 2}{12}=4,54$

Limit for Class 1 flange $=9 * \varepsilon=9 * 1=9,0($ Obtained from Table 3.14)
$9,0>4,54 \therefore$ Flanges are Class 1.

Web - internal part in bending:
$\frac{c_{w}}{t_{w}}=\frac{h-2 * t_{f}-2 * r}{t_{w}}=\frac{140-2 * 12-2 * 12}{7}=13,14$

Limit for Class $1 \mathrm{web}=33 * \varepsilon=33 * 1=33$ (Obtained from Table 3.14)
$33>13,14 \therefore$ Web is Class 1.

Web and flanges are Class 1, hence the overall cross-section classification Class 1.

In Eurocode 8, if q (value of behavior factor) is greater than 4 with ductility high frame; the cross sectional Class 1 must be used for all elements in the steel structure. (Table 9.27)

- Compression Resistance :
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}, \text { Rd }}=\frac{A^{*} f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 0}} \quad$ for Class 1 (Utilizing Eq. 5.13)
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{Rd}}=\frac{A^{*} f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 0}}=\frac{4296 * 235}{1}=1009560 N>\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{Ed}}=630000 \mathrm{~N} \quad \therefore \mathrm{OK}$
- Buckling Resistance :
$N_{b, R d}=\frac{X^{*} A^{*} f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 1}}$ for Class 1 (Using Eq. 5.16)
$X=\frac{1}{\Phi+\sqrt{\Phi^{2}-\bar{\lambda}^{2}}} \leq 1,0$ (Using Eq. 5.18)
$\Phi=0,5 *\left[1+\alpha^{*}(\bar{\lambda}-0,2)+\bar{\lambda}^{2}\right]$ (Using Eq. 5.19)
$\bar{\lambda}=\sqrt{\frac{A^{*} f_{y}}{N_{c r}}}$ for Class 1 (Using Eq. 5.20)
$N_{c r}=\frac{\pi^{2} * E^{*} I}{L_{c r}{ }^{2}}$ (Using Eq. 5.22)

Minor Axis (Buckling About Y-Y Axis):
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{cr} . \mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}} * \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{cr}}=0,85 * 3500=2975 \mathrm{~mm}$
$N_{c r, y}=\frac{\pi^{2} * E^{*} I_{y}}{L_{c r, y}{ }^{2}}=\frac{3,14^{2} * 2100000 * 5497000}{2975^{2}}=1285968 \mathrm{~N}$
$\bar{\lambda}_{y}=\sqrt{\frac{A^{*} f_{y}}{N_{c r, y}}}=\sqrt{\frac{4296^{*} 235}{1285968}}=0,89$

Buckling Curve:
$\frac{h}{b}=\frac{140}{140}=1,0<1,2$
$\therefore$ Buckling Curve $=\mathrm{c} \quad$ (Obtained from Table 5.4)

Imperfection Factor:
$\alpha_{y}=0,49 \quad$ (Obtained from Table 5.3)
$\Phi_{y}=0,5 *\left\lfloor 1+\alpha_{y} *\left(\bar{\lambda}_{y}-0,2\right)+\bar{\lambda}_{y}{ }^{2}\right]=0,5 *\left[1+0,49 *(0,89-0,2)+0,89^{2}\right]$
$\Phi_{y}=1,06$
$X_{y}=\frac{1}{\Phi_{y}+\sqrt{\Phi_{y}{ }^{2}-\bar{\lambda}_{y}{ }^{2}}}=\frac{1}{1,06+\sqrt{1,06^{2}-0,89^{2}}}=0,61 \leq 1,0$
$N_{b, y, R d}=\frac{X_{y} * A^{*} f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 1}}=\frac{0,61 * 4296 * 235}{1,0}=615831 \mathrm{~N}<N_{E d}=630000 \mathrm{~N} \quad \therefore$ NOT OK

Take a greater section!

Selected Section: HE 160 B

| $\mathbf{h}$ | 160 mm | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{x}}$ | $24,92 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}$ | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{y}}$ | $8,892 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{b}$ | 160 mm | $\mathbf{W}_{\text {elx }}$ | $311,5 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ | $\mathbf{W}_{\text {ely }}$ | $111,2 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm} 3$ |
| $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{w}}$ | 8 mm | $\mathbf{W}_{\text {pIx }}$ | $354 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ | $\mathbf{W}_{\text {ply }}$ | $170 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{f}}$ | 13 mm | $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{x}}$ | $67,8 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{y}}$ | $40,5 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| $\mathbf{r}$ | 15 m | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{t}}$ | $312,4 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | $5425 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{w}}$ | $47,9 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~mm}^{6}$ |  |  |

- Cross Section Classification :
$\varepsilon=\sqrt{\frac{235}{F_{y}}}=\sqrt{\frac{235}{235}}=1,0$ (Using Eq. 3.9)

Outstand Flanges in Compression:
$\frac{c_{f}}{t_{f}}=\frac{\left(b-t_{w}-2 * r\right) / 2}{t_{f}}=\frac{(160-8-2 * 15) / 2}{13}=4,69$

Limit for Class 1 flange $=9 * \varepsilon=9 * 1=9,0($ Obtained from Table 3.14)
$9,0>4,69 \therefore$ Flanges are Class 1.

Web - internal part in bending:
$\frac{c_{w}}{t_{w}}=\frac{h-2 * t_{f}-2 * r}{t_{w}}=\frac{160-2 * 13-2 * 15}{8}=13$

Limit for Class 1 web $=33 * \varepsilon=33 * 1=33$ (Obtained from Table 3.14)
$33>13 \therefore$ Web is Class 1 .

Web and flanges are Class 1 , hence the overall cross-section classification Class 1.

In Eurocode 8, if q (value of behavior factor) is greater than 4 with ductility high frame; the cross sectional Class 1 must be used for all elements in the steel structure. (Table 9.27)

- Compression Resistance :
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{Rd}}=\frac{A^{*} f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 0}} \quad$ for Class 1 (Utilizing Eq. 5.13)
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{Rd}}=\frac{A^{*} f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 0}}=\frac{5425 * 235}{1}=1274875 N>\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{Ed}}=630000 \mathrm{~N} \quad \therefore \mathrm{OK}$
- Buckling Resistance :
$N_{b, R d}=\frac{X^{*} A^{*} f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 1}}$ for Class 1 (Using Eq. 5.16)
$X=\frac{1}{\Phi+\sqrt{\Phi^{2}-\bar{\lambda}^{2}}} \leq 1,0$ (Using Eq. 5.18)
$\Phi=0,5 *\left\lfloor 1+\alpha^{*}(\bar{\lambda}-0,2)+\bar{\lambda}^{2}\right\rfloor \quad(U s i n g$ Eq. 5.19)
$\bar{\lambda}=\sqrt{\frac{A^{*} f_{y}}{N_{c r}}} \quad$ for Class 1 (Using Eq. 5.20)
$N_{c r}=\frac{\pi^{2} * E^{*} I}{L_{c r}{ }^{2}}($ Using Eq. 5.22 $)$

Minor Axis (Buckling About Y-Y Axis):
$\mathrm{L}_{\text {cr. } \mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}} * \mathrm{~L}_{\text {cr }}=0,85 * 3500=2975 \mathrm{~mm}$
$N_{c r, y}=\frac{\pi^{2} * E^{*} I_{y}}{L_{c r, y}{ }^{2}}=\frac{3,14^{2} * 2100000 * 8892000}{2975^{2}}=2082302 \mathrm{~N}$
$\bar{\lambda}_{y}=\sqrt{\frac{A^{*} f_{y}}{N_{c r, y}}}=\sqrt{\frac{5425^{*} 235}{2082302}}=0,78$

Buckling Curve:
$\frac{h}{b}=\frac{160}{160}=1,0<1,2$
$\therefore$ Buckling Curve $=\mathrm{c} \quad$ (Obtained from Table 5.4)

Imperfection Factor:
$\alpha_{y}=0,49$
(Obtained from Table 5.3)
$\Phi_{y}=0,5 *\left\lfloor 1+\alpha_{y} *\left(\bar{\lambda}_{y}-0,2\right)+\bar{\lambda}_{y}{ }^{2}\right\rfloor=0,5 *\left[1+0,49 *(0,78-0,2)+0,78^{2}\right]$
$\Phi_{y}=0,95$
$X_{y}=\frac{1}{\Phi_{y}+\sqrt{\Phi_{y}{ }^{2}-\bar{\lambda}_{y}{ }^{2}}}=\frac{1}{0,95+\sqrt{0,95^{2}-0,78^{2}}}=0,67 \leq 1,0$
$N_{b, y, R d}=\frac{X_{y} * A^{*} f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 1}}=\frac{0,67 * 5425 * 235}{1,0}=854166 \mathrm{~N}>N_{E d}=630000 \mathrm{~N} \quad \therefore \mathrm{OK}$

Major Axis (Buckling About X-X Axis) :
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{cr} . \mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}} * \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{cr}}=0,85 * 3500=2975 \mathrm{~mm}$
$N_{c r, x}=\frac{\pi^{2} * E^{*} I_{x}}{L_{c r, x}{ }^{2}}=\frac{3,14^{2} * 2100000 * 24920000}{2975^{2}}=5829787 \mathrm{~N}$
$\bar{\lambda}_{x}=\sqrt{\frac{A^{*} f_{y}}{N_{c r, x}}}=\sqrt{\frac{5425 * 235}{5829787}}=0,47$

Buckling Curve:
$\frac{h}{b}=\frac{160}{160}=1,0<1,2$
$\therefore$ Buckling Curve $=\mathrm{b} \quad$ (Obtained from Table 5.4)

Imperfection Factor:
$\alpha_{y}=0,34 \quad$ (Obtained from Table 5.3)
$\Phi_{x}=0,5 *\left[1+\alpha_{x} *\left(\bar{\lambda}_{x}-0,2\right)+\bar{\lambda}_{x}^{2}\right]=0,5 *\left[1+0,34 *(0,47-0,2)+0,47^{2}\right]$
$\Phi_{y}=0,65$
$X_{x}=\frac{1}{\Phi_{x}+\sqrt{\Phi_{x}{ }^{2}-\bar{\lambda}_{x}^{2}}}=\frac{1}{0,65+\sqrt{0,65^{2}-0,47^{2}}}=0,90 \leq 1,0$
$N_{b, x, R d}=\frac{X_{x}^{*} A^{*} f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 1}}=\frac{0,90 * 5425 * 235}{1,0}=1147387 N>N_{E d}=630000 N \quad \therefore \mathrm{OK}$

- Bending Resistance :

Major Axis (X-X):
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{Rd}}=\frac{W_{p l . x} * f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 0}} \quad$ for Class 1 (Using Eq. 6.25)
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{Rd}}=\frac{354000 * 235}{1}=83190000 \mathrm{Nmm}>\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Ed}, \mathrm{x}}=9000 \mathrm{Nmm} \therefore \mathrm{OK}$

Minor Axis (Y-Y):
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Rd}}=\frac{W_{p l . y} * f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 0}} \quad$ for Class 1 (Using Eq. 6.25)
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{Rd}}=\frac{170000 * 235}{1}=39950000 \mathrm{Nmm}>\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Ed}, \mathrm{y}}=7000 \mathrm{Nmm} \therefore \mathrm{OK}$

- Shear Resistance:
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{pl}, \mathrm{Rd}}=\frac{A_{v} *\left(f_{y} / \sqrt{3}\right)}{\gamma_{M 0}}$ (Using Eq. 6.29)
$A_{v}=$ Shear Area; $\eta=$ Shear area factor $=1,2$
$\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{w}}=\mathrm{h}-2 * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}=160-2 * 13=134 \mathrm{~mm}$ (Overall web depth)

Load Parallel to Web:
$V_{E d, y}=4 \mathrm{~N}$
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{v}}=\mathrm{A}-2 * \mathrm{~b} * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}+\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}+2 * \mathrm{r}\right) \geq \eta * \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{w}} * \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}$ (Using Eq. 6.30)
$A_{v}=5425-2 * 160 * 13+(8+2 * 15) * 13=1759 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}>1,2 * 134 * 8=1286,4 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{pl}, \mathrm{yR}, \mathrm{Rd}}=\frac{A_{v} *\left(f_{y} / \sqrt{3}\right)}{\gamma_{M 0}}=\frac{1759 *(235 / \sqrt{3})}{1}=238656,8 \mathrm{~N}>\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Ed}, \mathrm{y}}=4 \mathrm{~N} \quad \therefore \mathrm{OK}$

Load Parallel to Flanges:
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Ed}, \mathrm{x}}=2,4 \mathrm{~N}$
$A_{w}=A-\sum\left(h_{w} * t_{w}\right)=5425-134 * 8=4353 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ (Using Eq. 6.31)
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{pl}, \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{Rd}}=\frac{A_{w} *\left(f_{y} / \sqrt{3}\right)}{\gamma_{M 0}}=\frac{4353 *(235 / \sqrt{3})}{1}=590603,3 \mathrm{~N}>\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Ed}, \mathrm{x}}=2,4 \mathrm{~N} \quad \therefore \mathrm{OK}$

- Shear Buckling:
$\frac{h_{w}}{t_{w}} \leq 72 * \frac{\varepsilon}{\eta}$ (Using Eq. 6.34)
$\frac{h_{w}}{t_{w}}=\frac{134}{8}=16,75 \leq 72 * \frac{\varepsilon}{\eta}=72 * \frac{1}{1,2}=60 \therefore$ NO Shear Buckling - OK
- Cross-Section Resistance Under Bending, Shear and Axial Force:

Bending and Shear:

If the shear forces ( $\mathrm{V}_{\text {ed,y }}$ and $\left.\mathrm{V}_{\text {ed, }, \mathrm{x}}\right)$ aren ${ }^{\text {'t greater half the plastic shear resistances }}$ $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{Rd}}\right), \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Rd}}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{Rd}}$ which are reduced moment resistance due to applied shear force can be neglected.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{V}_{\text {ed, } \mathrm{x}}=2,4 \mathrm{~N}<\frac{V_{p l, x, R d}}{2}=\frac{590603}{2}=295301,5 \mathrm{~N} \quad \therefore \mathrm{OK} \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ed}, \mathrm{y}}=4 \mathrm{~N}<\frac{V_{p l, y, R d}}{2}=\frac{238656,4}{2}=119328,2 \mathrm{~N} \therefore \mathrm{OK}
\end{aligned}
$$

Bending and Axial Force:

If the following criteria are satisfied, there is no reduction plastic resistance moment for major and minor axis.

Major Axis:
$N_{E d} \leq 0,25 * N_{p l, R d}$ (Using Eq. 6.38)
$N_{E d} \leq \frac{0,5 * h_{w} * t_{w} * f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 0}}$ (Using Eq. 6.39)
$N_{E d}=630000 N>0,25 * N_{p l, R d}=0,25 * 1274875=318718,8 N \therefore$ Not Satisfied
$N_{E d}=630000 \mathrm{~N} \leq \frac{0,5 * h_{w} * t_{w} * f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 0}}=\frac{0,5 * 134 * 8 * 235}{1,0}=125960 \mathrm{~N} \quad \therefore$ Not Satisfied
$M_{N, x, R d}=M_{p l, x, R d} * \frac{1-n}{1-0,5 * a} \leq M_{p l, x, R d}$ (Utilizing 6.41)
$n=\frac{N_{E d}}{N_{p l, R d}}($ Utilizing 6.45)
$n=\frac{N_{E d}}{N_{p l, R d}}=\frac{630000}{1274875}=0,49$
$a=\frac{A-2 * b^{*} t_{f}}{A}$ (Utilizing 6.44)
$a=\frac{A-2 * b * t_{f}}{A}=\frac{5425-2 * 160 * 13}{5425}=0,23$
$M_{N, x, R d}=M_{p l, x, R d} * \frac{1-n}{1-0,5 * a}=83190000 * \frac{1-0,49}{1-0,5 * 0,23}=47940000 \mathrm{Nmm}$
$\leq M_{p l, x, R d}=83190000 \mathrm{Nmm}$
$M_{N, x, R d}=47940000 \mathrm{Nmm}>M_{x, E d}=9000 \mathrm{Nmm} \quad \therefore \mathrm{OK}$

Minor Axis:
$N_{e d} \leq \frac{h_{w}{ }^{*} t_{w} * f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 0}}$ (Utilizing 6.40)
$N_{e d}=630000 N>\frac{h_{w} * t_{w} * f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 0}}=\frac{134 * 8 * 235}{1,0}=259440 N \quad \therefore \quad$ Not Satisfied

For $\mathrm{n}>\mathrm{a} \quad M_{N, y, R d}=M_{p l, y, R d} *\left[1-\left(\frac{n-a}{1-a}\right)^{2}\right]$ (Utilizing 6.43)
$\mathrm{n}=0,49>\mathrm{a}=0,23$
$M_{N, y, R d}=M_{p l, y, R d} *\left[1-\left(\frac{n-a}{1-a}\right)^{2}\right]=39950000 *\left[1-\left(\frac{0,49-0,23}{1-0,23}\right)^{2}\right]=35395066 \mathrm{Nmm}$
$M_{N, y, R d}=35395066 \mathrm{Nmm}>M_{y, E d}=7000 \mathrm{Nmm} \quad \therefore \mathrm{OK}$

- Biaxial Bending :
$\alpha=2$ and $\beta=5 * n=5 * 0,49=2,45>1,0$
$\left(\frac{M_{x, E d}}{M_{N, x, R d}}\right)^{\alpha}+\left(\frac{M_{y, E d}}{M_{N, y, R d}}\right)^{\beta} \leq 1,0($ Utilizing 6.46)
$\left(\frac{9000}{34790000}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{7000}{35395066}\right)^{2,4} \cong 0 \leq 1,0 \quad \therefore$ OK
- Buckling Resistance in Bending :
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Ed}, \mathrm{x}}=9000 \mathrm{Nmm}$
$\mathrm{G}=81000 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{cr}}=3500 \mathrm{~mm}$ (Length of column between points of lateral restraint)
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{Rd}}=X_{L T} * W_{x} * \frac{f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 1}}$ (Utilizing 6.49)
$\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{pl}, \mathrm{x}} \quad$ for Class 1 and 2

Determine $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{cr}}$ :
$M_{c r}=C_{1} * \frac{\pi^{2} * E^{*} I_{y}}{L_{c r}{ }^{2}} *\left(\frac{I_{w}}{I_{y}}+\frac{L_{c r}{ }^{2} * G^{*} I_{T}}{\pi^{2} * E * I_{y}}\right)^{0,5}$ (Using Eq. 6.56)
$\psi=\frac{M_{1 x}}{M_{2 x}}=\frac{5000}{9000}=-0,56$ (Double Curvature) (Using Eq. 6.58)
$\mathrm{C}_{1}=1,88-1,4 * \psi+0,52 * \psi^{2} \leq 2,7$ (Using Eq. 6.57)
$\mathrm{C}_{1}=1,88-1,4 *(-0,56)+0,52 *(-0,56)^{2}=2,82>2,7$

This value must not be greater than 2,7. Take $\mathrm{C}_{1}=2,7$

$$
M_{c r}=2,7 * \frac{3,14^{2} * 2100000 * 8892000}{3500^{2}} *\left(\frac{47,9 * 10^{9}}{8892000}+\frac{3500^{2} * 81000 * 312400}{3,14^{2} * 2100000 * 8892000}\right)^{0,5}
$$

$M_{c r}=604938865 \mathrm{Nmm}$

Buckling Curve:
$\frac{h}{b}=\frac{160}{160}=1<2$
$\therefore$ Buckling Curve $=\mathrm{a} \quad$ (Obtained from Table 6.3)

Imperfection Factor:
$\alpha_{\mathrm{LT}}=0,21$
(Obtained from Table 6.2)
$\bar{\lambda}_{L T}=\sqrt{\frac{W_{p l, x} * f_{y}}{M_{c r}}}$ (Using Eq. 6.55)
$\bar{\lambda}_{L T}=\sqrt{\frac{W_{p l, x} * f_{y}}{M_{c r}}}=\sqrt{\frac{354000 * 235}{6049388865}}=0,37$
$\Phi_{L T}=0,5 *\left\lfloor 1+\alpha_{L T} *\left(\bar{\lambda}_{L T}-0,2\right)+\bar{\lambda}_{L T}{ }^{2}\right\rfloor$ (Utilizing Eq. 6.54)
$\Phi_{L T}=0,5 *\left\lfloor 1+0,21 *(0,37-0,2)+0,37^{2}\right\rfloor=0,59$
$X_{L T}=\frac{1}{\Phi_{L T}+\sqrt{\Phi_{L T}{ }^{2}-\bar{\lambda}_{L T}{ }^{2}}}=\frac{1}{0,59+\sqrt{0,59^{2}-0,37^{2}}}=0,95 \leq 1,0$ (Utilizing Eq. 6.53)
$M_{b, R d}=X_{L T} * W_{p l, x} * \frac{f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 1}}$
(Utilizing
Eq.
$M_{b, R d}=X_{L T} * W_{p l, x} * \frac{f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 1}}=0,95 * 354000 * \frac{235}{1}=79030500 \mathrm{Nmm}>\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Ed}, \mathrm{x}}=9000 \mathrm{Nmm}$
$\therefore \mathrm{OK}$

- Buckling Resistance in Combined Bending and Axial Compression :

The column is laterally and torsionally unrestrained so it is susceptible to torsional deformations. When members are subject to combined bending and axial compression, both following equations are satisfied.
$\frac{N_{E d}}{X_{x} * N_{R k} / \gamma_{M 1}}+k_{x x} * \frac{M_{x, E d}}{X_{L T} * M_{x, R k} / \gamma_{M 1}}+k_{x y} * \frac{M_{y, E d}}{M_{y, R k} / \gamma_{M 1}} \leq 1,0$ (Utilizing Eq. 7.16)
$\frac{N_{E d}}{X_{y} * N_{R k} / \gamma_{M 1}}+k_{y x} * \frac{M_{x, E d}}{X_{L T} * M_{x, R k} / \gamma_{M 1}}+k_{y y} * \frac{M_{y, E d}}{M_{y, R k} / \gamma_{M 1}} \leq 1,0$ (Utilizing Eq. 7.17)
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{Rk}}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{Rd}}=1274875 \mathrm{~N}$
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{Rk}}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Rd}}=83190000 \mathrm{Nmm}$
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Rk}}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Rd}}=39950000 \mathrm{Nmm}$

Equivalent Uniform Moment Factors:
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Mi}}=0,6+0,4 * \psi \geq 0,4$ (Obtained from Table 7.4)
$\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{X}$ Bending and in plane support:
$\psi_{x}=\frac{M_{1 x}}{M_{2 x}}=\frac{5000}{9000}=-0,56$ (Double Curvature) (Using Eq. 6.58)
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Mx}}=0,6+0,4 * \psi_{\mathrm{x}}=0,6+0,4 *(-0,56)=0,376<0,4$ (Obtained from Table 7.4)

Take $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Mx}}=0,4$

Y-Y Bending and in plane support:
$\psi_{x}=\frac{M_{1 x}}{M_{2 x}}=\frac{2000}{7000}=-0,286$ (Double Curvature) (Using Eq. 6.58)
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{My}}=0,6+0,4 * \psi_{\mathrm{y}}=0,6+0,4 *(-0,286)=0,49>0,4$ (Obtained from Table 7.4)
X-X Bending and out-of- plane support:
$\psi_{L T}=\frac{M_{1 x}}{M_{2 x}}=\frac{5000}{9000}=-0,56$ (Double Curvature) (Using Eq. 6.58)
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{mLT}}=0,6+0,4 * \psi_{\mathrm{LT}}=0,6+0,4 *(-0,56)=0,376<0,4($ Obtained from Table 7.4)

Take $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{mLT}}=0,4$

Interaction Factors ( $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ ) (Obtained from Table 7.13 due to member susceptible to torsional deformations):

For Class 1 and I Sections:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{x x}=C_{m x} *\left[1+\left(\bar{\lambda}_{x}-0,2\right) * \frac{N_{E d}}{X_{x} * N_{R k} / \gamma_{M 1}}\right] \leq C_{m x} *\left[1+0,8 * \frac{N_{E d}}{X_{x} * N_{R k} / \gamma_{M 1}}\right] \\
& k_{x x}=0,4 *\left[1+(0,47-0,2) * \frac{630000}{0,9 * 1274875 / 1,0}\right] \leq 0,4 *\left[1+0,8 * \frac{630000}{0,9 * 1274875 / 1,0}\right] \\
& k_{x x}=0,46<0,575
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
k_{y y}=C_{m y} *\left[1+\left(2 \bar{\lambda}_{y}-0,6\right) * \frac{N_{E d}}{X_{y} * N_{R k} / \gamma_{M 1}}\right] \leq C_{m y} *\left[1+1,4 * \frac{N_{E d}}{X_{y} * N_{R k} / \gamma_{M 1}}\right]
$$

$k_{y y}=0,49 *\left[1+(2 * 0,78-0,6) * \frac{630000}{0,67 * 1274875 / 1,0}\right] \leq 0,4 *\left[1+1,4 * \frac{630000}{0,67 * 1274875 / 1,0}\right]$
$k_{y y}=0,83<0,966$
$k_{x y}=0,6 * k_{y y}$
$k_{x y}=0,6 * 0,83=0,498$
For $\bar{\lambda}_{y} \geq 0,4$
$k_{y x}=1-\frac{0,1 * \bar{\lambda}_{y}}{C_{M L T}-0,25} * \frac{N_{E d}}{X_{y} * N_{R k} / \gamma_{M 1}} \geq 1-\frac{0,1}{C_{M L T}-0,25} * \frac{N_{E d}}{X_{y} * N_{R k} / \gamma_{M 1}}$
$k_{y x}=1-\frac{0,1 * 0,78}{0,4-0,25} * \frac{630000}{0,67 * 1274875 / 1,0} \geq 1-\frac{0,1}{0,4-0,25} * \frac{630000}{0,67 * 1274875 / 1,0}$
$k_{y x}=0,62>0,5$
$\frac{N_{E d}}{X_{x} * N_{R k} / \gamma_{M 1}}+k_{x x} * \frac{M_{x, E d}}{X_{L T} * M_{x, R k} / \gamma_{M 1}}+k_{x y} * \frac{M_{y, E d}}{M_{y, R k} / \gamma_{M 1}} \leq 1,0$ (Utilizing Eq. 7.16)
$\frac{630000}{0,9 * 1274875 / 1,0}+0,46 * \frac{9000}{0,95 * 83190000 / 1,0}+0,498 * \frac{7000}{39950000 / 1,0}=0,55 \leq 1,0$
$\therefore \mathrm{OK}$
$\frac{N_{E d}}{X_{y} * N_{R k} / \gamma_{M 1}}+k_{y x} * \frac{M_{x, E d}}{X_{L T} * M_{x, R k} / \gamma_{M 1}}+k_{y y} * \frac{M_{y, E d}}{M_{y, R k} / \gamma_{M 1}} \leq 1,0$ (Utilizing Eq. 7.17)
$\frac{630000}{0,67 * 1274875 / 1,0}+0,62 * \frac{9000}{0,95 * 83190000 / 1,0}+0,83 * \frac{7000}{39950000 / 1,0}=0,74 \leq 1,0$
$\therefore \mathrm{OK}$

### 10.4 Brace Design

Designing braces, the brace having the most unfavorable load is taken as the case study considering all load combinations. The brace in the x -direction on the ground story is designed for again three design methodologies.

### 10.4.1 Brace Design According to TS 648

Loading Type: EIY

Material: St 37
$\sigma_{y}=2,4 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$
$\sigma_{\mathrm{all}}=1,15 * 0,6 * 2,4=1,656 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$
$\tau_{\text {all }}=\frac{\sigma_{\text {all }}}{\sqrt{3}}=\frac{1,656}{\sqrt{3}}=0,956 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$

Compression $=23,7 \mathrm{t}$

Tension $=14 \mathrm{t}$
$\mathrm{L}=442 \mathrm{~cm}$ (Length of Brace)

Selected Section: Tube $100 \times 100 \times 10$

| $\mathbf{A}$ | $27,2 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ | $\mathbf{b}$ | 10 cm |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{i}_{\text {min }}$ | $3,67 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $\mathbf{t}$ | $0,8 \mathrm{~cm}$ |

This section must not be greater than the section limitation in TEC 2007.
$\frac{\mathrm{b}}{\mathrm{t}} \leq 0,7 * \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{E}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{y}}}}($ Obtained from Table 9.8)

$$
\frac{\mathrm{b}}{\mathrm{t}}=\frac{10}{0,8}=12,5 \leq 0,7 * \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{E}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{y}}}}=0,7 * \sqrt{\frac{2100}{2,4}}=20,7 \quad \therefore \mathrm{OK}
$$

For Brace: $\mathrm{k}=1$
$\frac{k^{*} L}{i_{\text {min }}}<4 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{\sigma_{y}}}$ (Using Eq. 9.23)
$\frac{k^{*} L}{i_{\text {min }}}=\frac{1 * 442}{3,67}=120,44>4 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{\sigma_{y}}}=4 * \sqrt{\frac{2100}{2,4}}=118,32 \therefore$ NOT OK

Take a greater section!

Selected Section: Tube $120 \times 120 \times 6$

| $\mathbf{A}$ | $27,3 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ | $\mathbf{b}$ | 12 cm |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{i}_{\text {min }}$ | $4,58 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $\mathbf{t}$ | $0,63 \mathrm{~cm}$ |

This section must not be greater than the section limitation in TEC 2007.
$\frac{\mathrm{b}}{\mathrm{t}} \leq 0,7 * \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{E}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{y}}}}($ Obtained from Table 9.8)
$\frac{\mathrm{b}}{\mathrm{t}}=\frac{12}{0,63}=19 \leq 0,7 * \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{E}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{y}}}}=0,7 * \sqrt{\frac{2100}{2,4}}=20,7 \quad \therefore \mathrm{OK}$

For Brace: $\mathrm{k}=1$
$\frac{k^{*} L}{i_{\min }}<4 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{\sigma_{y}}}$ (Using Eq. 9.23)
$\frac{k^{*} L}{i_{\min }}=\frac{1 * 442}{4,49}=96,51<4 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{\sigma_{y}}}=4 * \sqrt{\frac{2100}{2,4}}=118,32 \therefore$ OK

- Axial Tension :
$\mathrm{P}_{\text {all }}=\sigma_{\text {all }} * \mathrm{~A}$
$\mathrm{P}_{\text {all }}=1,656 * 27,3=45,2 \mathrm{t}>\mathrm{P}_{\text {Tension }}=14 \mathrm{t} \therefore \mathrm{OK}$
- Axial Compression :
$\mathrm{k}=1 \quad \mathrm{~L}=442 \mathrm{~cm}$ (Length of Brace) $\mathrm{i}_{\text {min }}=4,49 \mathrm{~cm}$
$\lambda_{\max }=\frac{k^{*} L}{i_{\text {min }}}=\frac{1 * 442}{4,49}=96,51$ (Utilizing Eq. 5.1)
$\lambda_{\mathrm{p}}=\sqrt{\frac{2 * \pi^{2} * E}{\sigma_{\mathrm{y}}}}=\sqrt{\frac{2 * 3,14^{2} * 2100}{2,4}}=131,4$ (Utilizing Eq. 5.4)

If $\lambda \max \leq \lambda_{\mathrm{p}}$, the below formulae is used.
$\sigma_{\text {bem }}=1,15 * \frac{\left[1-0,5 *\left(\lambda / \lambda_{p}\right)^{2}\right] * \sigma_{y}}{n}($ Utilizing Eq. 5.5 $)$
and
$n=1,5+1,2 *\left(\lambda / \lambda_{p}\right)-0,2 *\left(\lambda / \lambda_{p}\right)^{3} \geq 1,67$
$n=1,5+1,2 *(96,51 / 131,4)-0,2 *(96,51 / 131,4)^{3}=2,27 \geq 1,67 \quad \therefore$ OK
$\sigma_{\text {bem }}=1,15 * \frac{\left[1-0,5 *\left(\frac{96,51 / 131,4}{}\right)^{2}\right] * 2,4}{2,27}=0,89 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$
$\mathrm{P}_{\text {all }}=\mathrm{A} * \sigma_{\text {bem }}=27,3 * 0,89=24,3 \mathrm{t}>\mathrm{P}_{\text {Compression }}=23,7 \mathrm{t} \therefore \mathrm{OK}$

### 10.4.2 Brace Design According to LRFD

Material: A36 $=$ St 37
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}}=235 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$
$\mathrm{E}=200000 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$

Compression $=188600 \mathrm{~N}$

Tension $=93810 \mathrm{~N}$
$\mathrm{L}=4420 \mathrm{~mm}$

For Brace: $\mathrm{k}=1$

Selected Section: Tube $80 \times 80 \times 6$

| $\mathbf{A}$ | $1720 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ | $\mathbf{b}$ | 80 mm |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{i}_{\text {min }}$ | $29,4 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $\mathbf{t}$ | $6,3 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| $\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{0}}$ (external) | $15,75 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  |  |

For Rectangular HSS in axial and flexural compression:
$\frac{b}{t}$ or $\frac{h}{t_{w}} \leq \lambda_{p s}=0,64 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{F_{y}}}$ (Obtained from Table 9.21)
$\lambda_{w}=\lambda_{f}=\frac{b}{t}=\frac{b-2 * r}{t}=\frac{80-2 * 15,75}{6,3}=7,7 \leq \lambda_{p s}=0,64 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{F_{y}}}=0,64 * \sqrt{\frac{200000}{235}}=18,7$
$\therefore \mathrm{OK}$

- Axial Tension :
$\Phi_{\mathrm{t}}=0,9$ (Using Eq. 4.4)
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{g}} * \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{y}}$ (Using Eq. 4.4)
$\Phi_{\mathrm{t}} * \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}}=0,9 *(1720 * 235)=363780 \mathrm{~N}>\mathrm{P}_{\text {Tension }}=93810 \mathrm{~N} \therefore \mathrm{OK}$
- Axial Compression :
$\lambda=\frac{k^{*} L}{i_{\text {min }}}=\frac{1 * 4420}{29,4}=150,34$ (Utilizing Eq. 5.1)
$\lambda>4,71 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{F_{y}}}$ (Utilizing Eq. 5.9)
$\lambda=150,34>4,71 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{F_{y}}}=4,71 * \sqrt{\frac{200000}{235}}=137,4$
$F_{E}=\frac{\pi^{2} * E}{\lambda^{2}}$ (Utilizing Eq. 5.7)
$F_{E}=\frac{\pi^{2} * E}{\lambda^{2}}=\frac{3,14^{2} * 200000}{(150,34)^{2}}=87,3 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$
$F_{c r}=0,877 * F_{E}$ (Utilizing Eq. 5.9)
$F_{c r}=0,877 * F_{E}=0,877 * 87,3=76,59 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$
$P_{n}=F_{c r} * A_{g}$ (Utilizing Eq. 5.10)
$P_{n}=F_{c r} * A_{g}=76,59 * 1720=131734,8 N$
$\phi^{*} P_{n}=0,9 * P_{n}=0,9 * 131734,8=118561,32 N$ (Utilizing Eq. 5.11)
$\phi^{*} P_{n}=118561,32 N<P_{\text {Compression }}=188600 N \quad \therefore$ NOT OK

Take a greater section!

Selected Section: Tube $100 \times 100 \times 5$

| $\mathbf{A}$ | $1840 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ | $\mathbf{b}$ | 100 mm |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{i}_{\text {min }}$ | $38,4 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $\mathbf{t}$ | 5 mm |
| $\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{0}}$ (external) | 10 mm |  |  |

$\lambda_{w}=\lambda_{f}=\frac{b}{t}=\frac{b-2 * r}{t}=\frac{100-2 * 10}{5}=16 \leq \lambda_{p s}=0,64 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{F_{y}}}=0,64 * \sqrt{\frac{200000}{235}}=18,7$
$\therefore$ OK (Obtained from Table 9.21)

- Axial Tension :
$\Phi_{\mathrm{t}}=0,9$ (Using Eq. 4.4)
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{g}} * \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{y}}$ (Using Eq. 4.4)
$\Phi_{\mathrm{t}} * \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}}=0,9 *(1840 * 235)=389160 \mathrm{~N}>\mathrm{P}_{\text {Tension }}=93810 \mathrm{~N} \therefore \mathrm{OK}$
- Axial Compression :
$\lambda=\frac{k^{*} L}{i_{\text {min }}}=\frac{1 * 4420}{38,4}=115,1$ (Utilizing Eq. 5.1)
$\lambda<4,71 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{F_{y}}}$ (Utilizing Eq. 5.8)
$\lambda=115,1<4,71 * \sqrt{\frac{E}{F_{y}}}=4,71 * \sqrt{\frac{200000}{235}}=137,4$
$F_{E}=\frac{\pi^{2} * E}{\lambda^{2}}$ (Utilizing Eq. 5.7)
$F_{E}=\frac{\pi^{2} * E}{\lambda^{2}}=\frac{3,14^{2} * 200000}{(115,1)^{2}}=148,8 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$
$F_{c r}=\left[0,658^{\left(F_{y} / F_{E}\right)}\right] * F y$ (Utilizing Eq. 5.8)
$F_{c r}=\left[0,658^{\left({ }^{(F y} / F_{E}\right)}\right] * F y=\left[0,658^{(235 / 148,8)}\right] * 235=121,3 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$
$P_{n}=F_{c r} * A_{g}$ (Utilizing Eq. 5.10)
$P_{n}=F_{c r} * A_{g}=121,3 * 1840=223192 \mathrm{~N}$
$\phi^{*} P_{n}=0,9 * P_{n}=0,9 * 223192=200872,8 N$ (Utilizing Eq. 5.11)
$\phi^{*} P_{n}=200872,8 N<P_{\text {Compression }}=188600 N \quad \therefore \quad$ OK


### 10.4.3 Brace Design According to Eurocode 3

Material: S235 = St 37
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}}=235 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$
$\mathrm{E}=210000 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$
$\mathrm{G}=81000 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{cr}}=4420 \mathrm{~mm}$ (Length of Brace)

For Brace: $\mathrm{k}=1$

Compression $=310400 \mathrm{~N}$

Tension $=2140000 \mathrm{~N}$

Selected Section: Tube $100 \times 100 \times 5$

| $\mathbf{A}$ | $1840 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ | b | 100 mm |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{I}$ | $2710000 \mathrm{~mm}^{4}$ | $\mathbf{t}$ | 5 mm |

- Cross Section Classification :
$\varepsilon=\sqrt{\frac{235}{F_{y}}}=\sqrt{\frac{235}{235}}=1,0$ (Using Eq. 3.9)

For RHS:
$\frac{c}{t}=\frac{b-3^{*} t}{t}=\frac{100-3 * 5}{5}=17$

Limit for Class $1=33 * \varepsilon=33 * 1=33,0$ (Obtained from Table 3.14)
$33,0>17 \therefore$ Overall Classification of Cross Section $=$ Class 1

In Eurocode 8, if q (value of behavior factor) is greater than 4 with ductility high frame; the cross sectional Class 1 must be used for all elements in the steel structure. (Table 9.27)

- Tension Resistance :
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{Ed}, \mathrm{t}}=214000 \mathrm{~N}$
$N_{t, R d}=\frac{A^{*} f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 0}} \quad$ (Using Eq. 4.7)
$N_{t, R d}=\frac{A^{*} f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 0}}=\frac{1840 * 235}{1}=432400 \mathrm{~N}>N_{E d, t}=214000 \mathrm{~N} \quad \therefore \quad \mathrm{OK}$
- Compression Resistance :
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{Rd}}=\frac{A^{*} f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 0}} \quad$ for Class 1 (Using Eq. 5.13)
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{Rd}}=\frac{A^{*} f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 0}}=\frac{1840 * 235}{1}=432400 \mathrm{~N}>\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{Ed}, \mathrm{c}}=310400 \mathrm{~N} \quad \therefore \mathrm{OK}$
- Buckling Resistance :
$N_{b, R d}=\frac{X^{*} A^{*} f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 1}} \quad$ for Class 1 (Using Eq. 5.16)
$X=\frac{1}{\Phi+\sqrt{\Phi^{2}-\bar{\lambda}^{2}}} \leq 1,0$ (Using Eq. 5.18)
$\Phi=0,5 *\left\lfloor 1+\alpha *(\bar{\lambda}-0,2)+\bar{\lambda}^{2}\right\rfloor($ Using Eq. 5.19)
$\bar{\lambda}=\sqrt{\frac{A^{*} f_{y}}{N_{c r}}} \quad$ for Class 1 (Using Eq. 5.20)
$N_{c r}=\frac{\pi^{2} * E^{*} I}{L_{c r}{ }^{2}}($ Using Eq. 5.22 $)$
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{cr}}=\mathrm{k} * \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{cr}}=1 * 4420=4420 \mathrm{~mm}$
$N_{c r}=\frac{\pi^{2} * E * I}{L_{c r}{ }^{2}}=\frac{3,14^{2} * 2100000 * 2710000}{4420^{2}}=287212,5 \mathrm{~N}$
$\bar{\lambda}=\sqrt{\frac{A^{*} f_{y}}{N_{c r}}}=\sqrt{\frac{1840^{*} 235}{287212,5}}=1,23$

Buckling Curve:
Buckling Curve $=\mathrm{a} \quad($ Obtained from Table 5.4)

Imperfection Factor:
$\alpha_{y}=0,21 \quad$ (Obtained from Table 5.3)
$\Phi=0,5 *\left\lfloor 1+\alpha^{*}(\bar{\lambda}-0,2)+\bar{\lambda}^{2}\right\rfloor=0,5 *\left\lfloor 1+0,21 *(1,23-0,2)+1,23^{2}\right\rfloor$
$\Phi_{y}=1,36$
$X=\frac{1}{\Phi+\sqrt{\Phi^{2}-\bar{\lambda}^{2}}}=\frac{1}{1,36+\sqrt{1,36^{2}-1,23^{2}}}=0,51 \leq 1,0$
$N_{b, R d}=\frac{X^{*} A^{*} f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 1}}=\frac{0,51 * 1840 * 235}{1,0}=220524 N<N_{E d, c}=310400 N \quad \therefore$ NOT OK

Take a greater section!

Selected Section: Tube $120 \times 120 \times 5$

| $\mathbf{A}$ | $2240 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ | $\mathbf{b}$ | 120 mm |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{I}$ | $4850000 \mathrm{~mm}^{4}$ | $\mathbf{t}$ | 5 mm |

- Cross Section Classification :
$\varepsilon=\sqrt{\frac{235}{F_{y}}}=\sqrt{\frac{235}{235}}=1,0$ (Using Eq. 3.9)

For RHS:
$\frac{c}{t}=\frac{b-3^{*} t}{t}=\frac{120-3^{*} 5}{5}=21$

Limit for Class $1=33 * \varepsilon=33 * 1=33,0$ (Obtained from Table 3.14)
$33,0>21 \therefore$ Overall Classification of Cross Section $=$ Class 1

In Eurocode 8, if $q$ (value of behavior factor) is greater than 4 with ductility high frame; the cross sectional Class 1 must be used for all elements in the steel structure.

- Tension Resistance :
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{Ed}, \mathrm{t}}=214000 \mathrm{~N}$
$N_{t, R d}=\frac{A^{*} f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 0}}$ (Using Eq. 4.7)
$N_{t, R d}=\frac{A^{*} f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 0}}=\frac{2240 * 235}{1}=526400 \mathrm{~N}>N_{E d, t}=214000 \mathrm{~N} \quad \therefore \quad \mathrm{OK}$
- Compression Resistance :
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{Rd}}=\frac{A^{*} f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 0}} \quad$ for Class 1 (Using Eq. 5.13)
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{Rd}}=\frac{A^{*} f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 0}}=\frac{2240 * 235}{1}=526400 \mathrm{~N}>\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{Ed}, \mathrm{c}}=310400 \mathrm{~N} \quad \therefore \mathrm{OK}$
- Buckling Resistance :
$N_{b, R d}=\frac{X^{*} A^{*} f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 1}} \quad$ for Class 1 (Using Eq. 5.16)
$X=\frac{1}{\Phi+\sqrt{\Phi^{2}-\bar{\lambda}^{2}}} \leq 1,0$ (Using Eq. 5.18)
$\Phi=0,5 *\left[1+\alpha^{*}(\bar{\lambda}-0,2)+\bar{\lambda}^{2}\right]$ (Using Eq. 5.19)
$\bar{\lambda}=\sqrt{\frac{A^{*} f_{y}}{N_{c r}}} \quad$ for Class 1 (Using Eq. 5.20)
$N_{c r}=\frac{\pi^{2} * E^{*} I}{L_{c r}{ }^{2}}$ (Using Eq. 5.22)
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{cr}}=\mathrm{k} * \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{cr}}=1 * 4420=4420 \mathrm{~mm}$
$N_{c r}=\frac{\pi^{2} * E * I}{L_{c r}{ }^{2}}=\frac{3,14^{2} * 2100000 * 4850000}{4420^{2}}=514015 \mathrm{~N}$
$\bar{\lambda}=\sqrt{\frac{A^{*} f_{y}}{N_{c r}}}=\sqrt{\frac{2240 * 235}{514015}}=1,01$

Buckling Curve:
Buckling Curve $=\mathrm{a} \quad($ Obtained from Table 5.4 $)$

Imperfection Factor:
$\alpha_{y}=0,21 \quad$ (Obtained from Table 5.4)
$\Phi=0,5 *\left\lfloor 1+\alpha^{*}(\bar{\lambda}-0,2)+\bar{\lambda}^{2}\right\rfloor=0,5 *\left\lfloor 1+0,21 *(1,01-0,2)+1,01^{2}\right\rfloor$
$\Phi_{y}=1,1$
$X=\frac{1}{\Phi+\sqrt{\Phi^{2}-\bar{\lambda}^{2}}}=\frac{1}{1,1+\sqrt{1,1^{2}-1,01^{2}}}=0,65 \leq 1,0$
$N_{b, R d}=\frac{X^{*} A^{*} f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 1}}=\frac{0,65 * 2240 * 235}{1,0}=342160 N<N_{E d, c}=310400 \mathrm{~N} \quad \therefore \mathrm{OK}$

### 10.5 Link-Beam Design

Link beams are designed for the unfavorable loading case. The link beam between axis A1 and A2 is taken as the demonstration of the design methodologies.

### 10.5.1 Link-Beam Design According to TS 648

$\underline{P}$

$1,8 \mathrm{t}$
Mx
$-590 \mathrm{tcm}$

Vy
-14 t
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{d}}=1,8 \mathrm{t}$
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{d}}=590 \mathrm{tcm}$
$V_{d}=14 t$

Link Beam Section: IPN 300

| $\mathbf{h}$ | $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{w}}$ | $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{f}}$ | $\mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{r}$ | $\mathbf{W}_{\text {eIx }}$ | $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{p I x}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 30 cm | $1,08 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $1,62 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $12,5 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $0,65 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $653 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ | $762 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ |

$\mathrm{e}=60 \mathrm{~cm}$ (length of link beam)
$e_{\text {link }, \text { min }}=1,0 * \frac{M_{p}}{V_{p}} \leq e_{\text {link }} \leq e_{\text {link }, \text { max }}=5,0 * \frac{M_{p}}{V_{p}}$ (Using Eq. 8.1)

For $\frac{N_{d}}{\sigma_{y} * A}<0,15$
$M_{p}=W_{p} * \sigma_{y}$ and $V_{p}=0,6 * \sigma_{y} * A_{k} \quad$ (Using Eq. 8.2 and Eq. 8.3) $\left(W_{p l, x}=W_{p}\right)$
$A_{k}=\left(h-2 * t_{f}\right) * t_{w}($ Utilizing Eq. 8.4)
$\frac{N_{d}}{\sigma_{y} * A}=\frac{1,8}{2,4 * 69}=0,01<0,15$
$M_{p}=W_{p} * \sigma_{y}=762 * 2,4=1828,8 \mathrm{ccm}$
$A_{k}=\left(h-2 * t_{f}\right) * t_{w}=(30-2 * 1,62) * 1,08=26,76 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$
$V_{p}=0,6 * \sigma_{y} * A_{k}=0,6 * 2,4 * 26,76=38,53 t$
$e_{\text {link, min }}=1,0 * \frac{M_{p}}{V_{p}} \leq e_{\text {link }} \leq e_{\text {link }, \text { max }}=5,0 * \frac{M_{p}}{V_{p}}$ (Using Eq. 8.1)
$1,0 * \frac{M_{p}}{V_{p}}=1,0 * \frac{1828,8}{38,53}=47,46 \mathrm{~cm}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 5,0 * \frac{M_{p}}{V_{p}}=5,0 * \frac{1828,8}{38,53}=237,3 \mathrm{~cm} \\
& e_{\text {link }, \text { min }}=47,46 \mathrm{~cm} \leq e_{\text {link }}=60 \mathrm{~cm} \leq e_{\text {link, max }}=237,3 \mathrm{~cm} \quad \therefore \mathrm{OK} \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{d}} \leq \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}} \quad 14 \mathrm{t} \leq 38,53 \mathrm{t} \quad \therefore \mathrm{OK} \\
& V_{d} \leq \frac{2 * M_{p}}{e_{\text {link }}} \quad V_{d}=14 t \leq \frac{2 * M_{p}}{e_{\text {link }}}=\frac{2 * 1828,8}{60}=60,9 \mathrm{t} \\
& \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{d}} \leq \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}} \quad 590 \mathrm{tcm} \leq 1828,8 \mathrm{tcm} \quad \therefore \mathrm{OK}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 10.5.2 Link-Beam Design According to LRFD



Link Beam Section: IPN 260

| $\mathbf{h}$ | 260 mm | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{x}}$ | $57,4 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{b}$ | 113 mm | $\mathbf{W}_{\text {exx }}$ | $442 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{w}}$ | $9,4 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $\mathbf{W}_{\text {pIx }}$ | $514 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{f}}$ | $14,1 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{x}}$ | 104 mm |
| $\mathbf{r}$ | $5,6 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | $5330 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ |  |  |

Limiting Width-Thickness Ratios are same with beam (IPN 260). $\therefore$ OK

For $P_{u} \leq 0,15 * P_{y}$
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{u}}=25200 \mathrm{~N}$
$P_{y}=A_{g} * F_{y}=5330 * 235=1252550 N \geq P_{u}=25200 N$ (Using Eq. 8.14)
$\therefore$ Not be considered axial force effect.
$V_{n}$ (Nominal Shear Strength of the Link) must be lesser than $V_{p}$ or 2* $M_{p} / e$.
$\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{h}$ and $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{pl}, \mathrm{x}}$
$A_{w}=\left(d-2 * t_{f}\right) * t_{w}(U \operatorname{sing}$ Eq. 8.13)
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{w}}=(260-2 * 14,1) * 9,4=2178,9 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}} * \mathrm{Z}=235 * 514000=120790000 \mathrm{Nmm}$ (Using Eq. 8.12)
$2 * \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}} / \mathrm{e}=2 * 120790000 / 60=402633 \mathrm{~N}$
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}}=0,6 * \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{y}} * \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{w}}=0,6 * 235 * 2178,9=307224,9 \mathrm{~N}$ (Using Eq. 8.11)
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=\min \left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}} ; 2 * \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}} / \mathrm{e}\right)=\min (307224,9 ; 402633)=307224,9 \mathrm{~N}($ Using Eq. 8.10 $)$
$\phi_{v} * V_{n}=0,9 * 307224,9=276502,4 N>V_{r, \text { Link }}=98500 N \quad \therefore$ OK (Using Eq. 8.9)
$\Phi * \mathrm{Mn}=0,9 * 120790000=108711000 \mathrm{Nmm}>\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{link}}=49930000 \mathrm{Nmm} \therefore \mathrm{OK}$

### 10.5.3 Link-Beam Design According to Eurocode 3

$\underline{P}$

$17,2 \mathrm{kN}$

Mx
$-74,02 \mathrm{kNm}$

-181,2 kN

$$
38,14 \mathrm{kNm}
$$

$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{Ed}}=17,2 \mathrm{kN}=17200 \mathrm{~N}$
$\mathrm{M}_{\text {Ed }}=74,02 \mathrm{kNm}=74020000 \mathrm{Nmm}$
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Ed}}=192,5 \mathrm{kN}=192500 \mathrm{~N}$

Link Beam Section: IPN 260

| $\mathbf{h}$ | 260 mm | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{x}}$ | $57,4 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{b}$ | 113 mm | $\mathbf{W}_{\text {elx }}$ | $442 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{w}}$ | $9,4 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $\mathbf{W}_{\text {pIx }}$ | $514 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{f}}$ | $14,1 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{x}}$ | 104 mm |
| $\mathbf{r}$ | $5,6 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | $5330 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ |  |  |

$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{pl}, \mathrm{Rd}}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}, \text { Rd }}=\frac{A^{*} f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 0}} \quad$ for Class 1 (Using Eq. 5.13)
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{Rd}}=\frac{A^{*} f_{y}}{\gamma_{M 0}}=\frac{5330 * 235}{1}=1252550 \mathrm{~N}$
If $\frac{N_{E d}}{N_{p l, R d}}<0,15$
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Ed}} \leq \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{Link}} \quad$ and $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Ed}} \leq \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}, \text { Link }}$ (Using Eq. 8.23 and Eq. 8.24)
$V_{p, L \text { Link }}=\left(f_{y} / \sqrt{3}\right) * t_{w} *\left(h-t_{f}\right) \quad$ (Utilizing Eq. 8.22)
$M_{p, \text { Link }}=f_{y} * b * t_{f} *\left(h-t_{f}\right) \quad$ (Utilizing Eq. 8.21)
$\frac{N_{E d}}{N_{p l, R d}}=\frac{17200}{1252550}=0,013<0,15$
$V_{p, L \text { ink }}=(235 / \sqrt{3}) * 9,4 *(260-14,1)=313612,7 N$
$M_{p, \text { Link }}=235 * 113 * 14,1 *(260-14,1)=92071230,5 \mathrm{Nmm}$
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Ed}}=192500 \mathrm{~N} \leq \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{Link}}=313612,7 \mathrm{~N} \quad \therefore \mathrm{OK}$
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Ed}}=74020000 \mathrm{Nmm} \leq \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{Link}}=92071230,5 \mathrm{Nmm} \quad \therefore \mathrm{OK}$

### 10.6 Story Drift Control

Drift control calculations for x -direction are shown below.

### 10.6.1 Story Drift Control According to TS 648

$\mathrm{d}_{0 \mathrm{x}}=0 \mathrm{~mm}$
$\mathrm{d}_{1 \mathrm{x}}=2,33 \mathrm{~mm}$ (First storey drift)
$\mathrm{d}_{2 \mathrm{x}}=3,76 \mathrm{~mm}$ (Second storey drift)
$\Delta_{i}=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}-1} \quad$ (Using Eq. 9.16)
$\Delta_{1}=\mathrm{d}_{1}-\mathrm{d}_{0}=2,33-0=2,33 \mathrm{~mm}$
$\Delta_{2}=\mathrm{d}_{2}-\mathrm{d}_{1}=3,76-2,33=1,43 \mathrm{~mm}$
$\delta_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{R} * \Delta_{\mathrm{i}}$ (Utilizing Eq. 9.17)
$\mathrm{R}=7$ (Obtained from Table 9.6)
$\delta_{1}=\mathrm{R} * \Delta_{1}=7 * 2,33=16,31 \mathrm{~mm}$
$\delta_{2}=\mathrm{R} * \Delta_{2}=7 * 1,43=10,01 \mathrm{~mm}$
$\frac{\left(\delta_{i}\right)_{\max }}{h_{i}} \leq 0,02$ (Utilizing Eq. 9.18)
$\frac{\left(\delta_{i}\right)_{\max }}{h_{i}}=\frac{16,31}{3500}=0,0047 \leq 0,02 \therefore$ OK
$\frac{\left(\delta_{i}\right)_{\max }}{h_{i}}=\frac{10,01}{3000}=0,0034 \leq 0,02 \therefore \mathrm{OK}$

### 10.6.2 Story Drift Control According to LRFD

$\mathrm{d}_{0 \mathrm{x}}=0 \mathrm{~mm}$
$\mathrm{d}_{1 \mathrm{x}}=1,57 \mathrm{~mm}$ (First storey drift)
$\mathrm{d}_{2 \mathrm{x}}=2,52 \mathrm{~mm}$ (Second storey drift)
$\delta_{i}=d_{i}-d_{i-1} \quad$ (Using Eq. 9.38)
$\delta_{1}=\mathrm{d}_{1}-\mathrm{d}_{0}=1,57-0=1,57 \mathrm{~mm}$
$\delta_{2}=\mathrm{d}_{2}-\mathrm{d}_{1}=2,52-1,57=0,95 \mathrm{~mm}$
$\Delta=\frac{C_{d} * \delta}{I} \quad$ (Using Eq. 9.37)
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}=4$ (Obtained from Table 9.14)
$\mathrm{I}=1$ (Obtained from Table 9.13)
$\Delta_{\mathrm{a}}=0,020 * h_{\mathrm{sx}}$ (Obtained from Table 9.20)
$\Delta_{1}=\frac{C_{d} * \delta_{1}}{I}=\frac{4 * 1,57}{1}=6,28 \mathrm{~mm} \leq \Delta_{a}=0,020 * \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{sx}}=0,02 * 3500=70 \mathrm{~mm} \therefore \mathrm{OK}$
$\Delta_{2}=\frac{C_{d} * \delta_{2}}{I}=\frac{4 * 0,95}{1}=3,8 m m \leq \Delta_{a}=0,020 * \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{sx}}=0,02 * 3000=60 \mathrm{~mm} \quad \therefore \mathrm{OK}$

### 10.6.3 Story Drift Control According to Eurocode 3

$\mathrm{d}_{0 \mathrm{x}}=0 \mathrm{~mm}$
$\mathrm{d}_{1 \mathrm{x}}=3,22 \mathrm{~mm}$ (First storey drift)
$\mathrm{d}_{2 \mathrm{x}}=5,19 \mathrm{~mm}$ (Second storey drift)
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{ie}}=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}-1} \quad$ (Using Eq. 9.53)
$\mathrm{d}_{1 \mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{d}_{1}-\mathrm{d}_{0}=3,22-0=3,22 \mathrm{~mm}$
$\mathrm{d}_{2 \mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{d}_{2}-\mathrm{d}_{1}=5,19-3,22=1,97 \mathrm{~mm}$
$\mathrm{d}_{1 \mathrm{r}}=\mathrm{q} * \mathrm{~d}_{1 \mathrm{e}}=6 * 3,22=19,32 \mathrm{~mm}$ (Utilizing Eq. 9.52)
$\mathrm{d}_{2 \mathrm{r}}=\mathrm{q} * \mathrm{~d}_{2 \mathrm{e}}=6 * 1,97=11,82 \mathrm{~mm}$ (Utilizing Eq. 9.52)
$\theta=\frac{P_{\text {tot }} * d_{r}}{V_{\text {tot }} * h}$ (Using Eq. 9.51)
$\theta_{1}=\frac{P_{1 \text { tot }} * d_{1 r}}{V_{1 \text { tot }} * h_{1}}=\frac{6259,7 * 19,32}{1251,9 * 3500}=0,027 \leq 0,3 \quad \therefore$ OK
$\theta_{2}=\frac{P_{2 \text { tot }} * d_{2 r}}{V_{2 \text { tot }} * h_{2}}=\frac{792,3 * 11,82}{3013,4 * 3000}=0,00104 \leq 0,3 \therefore$ OK

## CHAPTER 11

## CONCLUSION

In this study design concept is as follows:

- All main beams in x direction are the same at all stories and replaced with the greatest section which carries the given load safely.
- All main beams in y direction are the same at all stories and replaced with the greatest section which carries the given load safely.
- All braces in x direction are the same and replaced with the greatest section which carries the given load safely.
- All braces in y direction are the same and replaced with the greatest section which carries the given load safely.
- Secondary beams are all the same in whole building.
- All beams are assumed to be buckling restrained beams.
- While performing analysis on 4 story building, columns connected to braces are found to take grater forces, so those members are assigned greater sections when compared to the rest. For this reason, columns with braces in x-direction are designed using the same section in whole building, same principle is applied for the columns with braces in y-direction also. Besides, columns without braces are also assigned same section other than the ones mentioned previously (Figure 11.1 and 11.2).
- As for buildings having 6, 8 and 10 stories, the building is divided into two in terms of number of stories and the each half is assigned different sections while
considering the principles mentioned above (for four stories) (Figure 11.1 and 11.2).
- Beams connected to the braces are designed according to the procedures applied for beam columns. (Figure 11.3 and 11.4).
- In design, all beams are of IPN section, braces are of Square Hollow Section (SHS) and columns are of HEB section for buildings having 2, 4 and 6 stories, HEM for 8 stories and as for 10 stories columns are of HEM section except that HD sections are assigned to columns with braces in y-direction for only first five stories.
- In this study, for three codes section checking based on the modified earthquake design forces (for capacity protection of columns and brace) emerged by application of the overstrength ratio is not considered.


Figure 11.1 Columns 1 X and 2 X view


Figure 11.2 Columns 1 Y and 2 Y view


Figure 11.3 Beams connected to the braces in X direction view


BEAMS ON THE BRACES IN Y DIRECTION

Figure 11.4 Beams connected to the braces in Y direction view

While performing analysis using ASCE-7-05 procedure, the $\rho$ values (redundancy factor) for the specified load combinations are given below for each building in both x and y directions.

Table $11.1 \rho$ Values For LRFD

| $\rho$ values for <br> LRFD | 2 Storey <br> Building | 4 Storey <br> Building | 6 Storey <br> Buildings | 8 Storey <br> Buildings | 10 Storey <br> Buildings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X direction | 1,30 | 1,30 | 1,00 | 1,00 | 1,00 |
| Y direction | 1,30 | 1,30 | 1,30 | 1,30 | 1,30 |

Results and conclusions drawn from this study are tabulated below.

Table 11.2 Fundamental Periods of the Buildings

| Fundamental <br> Periods of The <br> Buildings | 2 Storey <br> Building |  | 4 Storey <br> Building |  | 6 Storey <br> Buildings |  | 8 Storey <br> Buildings | 10 Storey <br> Buildings |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{x}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{sec})$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{y}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{sec})$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{x}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{sec})$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{y}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{sec})$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{x}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{sec})$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{y}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{sec})$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{x}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{sec})$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{y}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{sec})$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{x}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{sec})$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{y}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{sec})$ |
| TS 648 | 0,34 | 0,37 | 0,55 | 0,64 | 0,82 | 0,96 | 1,10 | 1,23 | 1,40 | 1,58 |
| LRFD | 0,40 | 0,43 | 0,64 | 0,70 | 0,97 | 1,04 | 1,31 | 1,38 | 1,60 | 1,71 |
| Eurocode 3 | 0,39 | 0,43 | 0,67 | 0,76 | 1,00 | 1,12 | 1,29 | 1,39 | 1,56 | 1,69 |

Table 11.3 Value of Base Shear

| Base <br> Shear | TS 648 |  | LRFD |  | Eurocode 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{tx}}(\mathrm{kN})$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ty}}(\mathrm{kN})$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{kN})$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{kN})$ | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{bx}}(\mathrm{kN})$ | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{by}}(\mathrm{kN})$ |
| 2 Storey <br> Building | 900,60 | 900,60 | 461,40 | 461,40 | 1239,40 | 1239,40 |
| 4 Storey <br> Building | 1418,51 | 1256,55 | 878,54 | 826,86 | 1585,29 | 1397,56 |
| 6 Storey <br> Buildings | 1550,84 | 1367,10 | 930,23 | 930,23 | 1595,98 | 1661,61 |
| 8 Storey <br> Buildings | 1651,01 | 1509,87 | 1005,03 | 1005,03 | 2019,73 | 2019,73 |
| 10 Storey <br> Buildings | 1711,47 | 1553,62 | 1076,90 | 1076,90 | 2540,78 | 2540,78 |

Table 11.4 Sections of Members for 2 Storey Buildings

| Sections of <br> Members | 2 Storey Buildings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TS 648 | LRFD | Eurocode 3 |
| Columns | HE 160 B | HE 140 B | HE 160 B |
| Beams X | IPN 300 | IPN 260 | IPN 260 |
| Beams Y | IPN 360 | IPN 340 | IPN 320 |
| Braces X | Tube $120 \times 120 \times 6$ | Tube $100 \times 100 \times 5$ | Tube $120 \times 120 \times 5$ |
| Braces Y | Tube $160 \times 160 \times 8$ | Tube $120 \times 120 \times 6$ | Tube $140 \times 140 \times 6$ |
| Secondary Beams | IPN 260 | IPN 240 | IPN 240 |

Table 11.5 Sections of Members for 4 Storey Buildings

| Sections of <br> Members | 4 Storey Buildings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TS 648 | LRFD | Eurocode 3 |
| Columns | HE 220 B | HE 200 B | HE 160 B |
| Columns X | HE 240 B | HE 180 B | HE 160 B |
| Columns Y | HE 260 B | HE 220 B | HE 160 B |
| Beams X | IPN 300 | IPN 260 | IPN 260 |
| Beams Y | IPN 360 | IPN 340 | IPN 320 |
| Braces X | Tube $140 \times 140 \times 8$ | Tube $120 \times 120 \times 6$ | Tube $140 \times 140 \times 5$ |
| Braces Y | Tube $160 \times 160 \times 10$ | Tube $140 \times 140 \times 8$ | Tube $160 \times 160 \times 6$ |
| Secondary Beams | IPN 260 | IPN 240 | IPN 240 |

Table 11.6 Sections of Members for 6 Storey Buildings

| Sections of <br> Members | 6 Storey Buildings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TS 648 | LRFD | Eurocode 3 |
| Columns 2 | HE 200 B | HE 240 B | HE 240 B |
| Columns 1X | HE 300 B | HE 180 B | HE 180 B |
| Columns 1Y | HE 360 B | HE 320 B B | HE 240 B |
| Columns 2X | HE 180 B | HE 140 B | HE 300 B |
| Columns 2Y | HE 180 B | HE 160 B | HE 160 B |
| Beams X | IPN 300 | IPN 260 | IPN 260 |
| Beams Y | IPN 360 | IPN 340 | IPN 320 |
| Braces X | Tube $140 \times 140 \times 8$ | Tube $120 \times 120 \times 6$ | Tube $140 \times 140 \times 5$ |
| Braces Y | Tube $160 \times 160 \times 10$ | Tube $140 \times 140 \times 8$ | Tube 160 x 160 x 6 |
| Secondary Beams | IPN 260 | IPN 240 | IPN 240 |


| Sections of Members | 8 Storey Buildings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TS 648 | LRFD | Eurocode 3 |
| Columns 1 | HE 240 M | HE 220 M | HE 220 M |
| Columns 2 | HE 160 M | HE 140 M | HE 160 M |
| Columns 1X | HE 260 M | HE 200 M | HE 240 M |
| Columns 1Y | HE 300 M | HE 260 M | HE 300 M |
| Columns 2X | HE 160 M | HE 120 M | HE 120 M |
| Columns 2Y | HE 180 M | HE 140 M | HE 140 M |
| Beams X | IPN 300 | IPN 260 | IPN 260 |
| Beams Y | IPN 360 | IPN 340 | IPN 320 |
| Braces X | Tube $140 \times 140 \times 8$ | Tube $120 \times 120 \times 6$ | Tube $140 \times 140 \times 5$ |
| Braces Y | Tube $180 \times 180 \times 10$ | Tube $160 \times 160 \times 8$ | Tube $180 \times 180 \times 6$ |
| Secondary Beams | IPN 260 | IPN 240 | IPN 240 |

Table 11.8 Sections of Members for 10 Storey Buildings

| Sections of Members | 10 Storey Buildings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TS 648 | LRFD | Eurocode 3 |
| Columns 1 | HE 240 M | HE 240 M | HE 240 M |
| Columns 2 | HE 200 M | HE 160 M | HE 180 M |
| Columns 1X | HE 300 M | HE 240 M | HE 260 M |
| Columns 1Y | HD $400 \times 314$ | HD $400 \times 216$ | HD $400 \times 262$ |
| Columns 2X | HE 180 M | HE 140 M | HE 140 M |
| Columns 2Y | HE 200 M | HE 160 M | HE 180 M |
| Beams X | IPN 300 | IPN 260 | IPN 260 |
| Beams Y | IPN 360 | IPN 340 | IPN 320 |
| Braces X | Tube $140 \times 140 \times 8$ | Tube $120 \times 120 \times 6$ | Tube $140 \times 140 \times 6$ |
| Braces Y | Tube $180 \times 180 \times 10$ | Tube $160 \times 160 \times 8$ | Tube $180 \times 180 \times 8$ |
| Secondary Beams | IPN 260 | IPN 240 | IPN 240 |

Since yielding occurs at beams connected to the braces in only some stories of 8 and 10 storey buildings whose designs are based on Eurocode 3, following tables are given ;

Table 11.9 Sections of Beams Connected to the Braces for 6 Storey Building (Eurocode 3)

| 8 Storey Building (Eurocode 3) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beams connected to the braces in X direction | 1. Storey | IPN 280 |
| Beams connected to the braces in Y direction | 1-2-3. Storey | IPN 340 |

Table 11.10 Sections of Beams Connected To the Braces for 10 Storey Building (Eurocode 3)

| 10 Storey Building (Eurocode 3) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beams connected to the braces in X direction | 1-2. Storey | IPN 300 |
|  | 3-4-5. Storey | IPN 280 |
|  | 1-2-3. Storey | IPN 380 |
|  | 4-5. Storey | IPN 360 |
|  | 6. Storey | IPN 340 |

Story drift controls are conducted and the results are given below tables;

Table 11.11 Story Drift Control for 2 Storey Buildings

| Story Drift Control | 2 Storey Buildings |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TS 648 ( $\delta i /$ hi, Ratios) | LRFD ( $\Delta \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{mm})$ | Eurocode 3 ( $\theta \mathrm{i}$, Ratios) $)$ |  |  |
|  | X Direction | Y Direction | X Direction | Y Direction | X Direction |
| Y Direction |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Storey | 0,0068 | 0,0078 | 6,27 | 12,22 | 0,054 |
| 2. Storey | 0,0045 | 0,0590 | 3,82 | 7,81 | 0,026 |

Table 11.12 Story Drift Control for 4 Storey Buildings

| Story Drift Control | 4 Storey Buildings |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TS 648 ( $\delta \mathrm{i} / \mathrm{hi}$, Ratios) |  |  |  |  | LRFD ( $\Delta \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{mm}$ ) |
|  | Eurocode 3 ( $\theta \mathrm{i}$, Ratios) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | X Direction | Y Direction | X Direction | Y Direction | X Direction | Y Direction |
| 1. Storey | 0,0079 | 0,0086 | 20,37 | 20,13 | 0,092 | 0,100 |
| 2. Storey | 0,0081 | 0,0100 | 17,27 | 19,97 | 0,077 | 0,100 |
| 3. Storey | 0,0070 | 0,0094 | 14,59 | 17,33 | 0,056 | 0,076 |
| 4. Storey | 0,0051 | 0,0074 | 10,13 | 13,05 | 0,034 | 0,050 |

Table 11.13 Story Drift Control for 6 Storey Buildings

| Story Drift Control | 6 Storey Buildings |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Storey | TS 648 ( $\delta \mathrm{i} / \mathrm{hi}$, Ratios) |  | LRFD ( i i, mm) |  | Eurocode 3 ( $\theta \mathrm{i}$, Ratios) |  |
|  | X Direction | Y Direction | X Direction | Y Direction | X Direction | Y Direction |
| 1. Storey | 0,0083 | 0,0083 | 15,58 | 21,12 | 0,129 | 0,124 |
| 2. Storey | 0,0097 | 0,0119 | 15,50 | 24,20 | 0,134 | 0,123 |
| 3. Storey | 0,0097 | 0,0123 | 15,56 | 24,20 | 0,135 | 0,107 |
| 4. Storey | 0,0092 | 0,0119 | 14,74 | 23,05 | 0,077 | 0,089 |
| 5. Storey | 0,0086 | 0,0115 | 13,40 | 21,54 | 0,041 | 0,073 |
| 6. Storey | 0,0070 | 0,0099 | 10,69 | 17,70 | 0,014 | 0,053 |

Table 11.14 Story Drift Control for 8 Storey Buildings

| Story Drift Control | 8 Storey Buildings |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TS 648 ( $\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{hi}$, Ratios) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | L | LRFD ( $4 \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{mm}$ ) |  | Eurocode 3 ( $\theta \mathrm{i}$, Ratios) |  |  |
|  | X Direction | Y Direction | X Direction | Y Direction | X Direction | Y Direction |
| 1. Storey | 0,0085 | 0,0079 | 21,66 | 20,28 | 0,154 | 0,150 |
| 2. Storey | 0,0105 | 0,0119 | 22,05 | 25,02 | 0,175 | 0,189 |
| 3. Storey | 0,0108 | 0,0127 | 22,62 | 26,28 | 0,159 | 0,186 |
| 4. Storey | 0,0112 | 0,0131 | 22,96 | 27,05 | 0,146 | 0,176 |
| 5. Storey | 0,0108 | 0,0130 | 21,93 | 26,37 | 0,126 | 0,156 |
| 6. Storey | 0,0106 | 0,0131 | 21,05 | 26,33 | 0,111 | 0,143 |
| 7. Storey | 0,0097 | 0,0124 | 18,79 | 24,39 | 0,089 | 0,121 |
| 8. Storey | 0,0083 | 0,0110 | 15,49 | 21,01 | 0,067 | 0,095 |

Table 11.15 Story Drift Control for 10 Storey Buildings

| Story Drift Control | 10 Storey Buildings |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TS 648 ( $\delta i / h i, ~ R a t i o s) ~$ | LRFD ( $\Delta \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{mm})$ | Eurocode 3 ( $\theta \mathrm{i}$, Ratios) |  |  |  |
|  | X Direction | Y Direction | X Direction | Y Direction | X Direction | Y Direction |
| 1. Storey | 0,0083 | 0,0073 | 21,76 | 19,59 | 0,151 | 0,145 |
| 2. Storey | 0,0109 | 0,0121 | 24,17 | 27,09 | 0,182 | 0,198 |
| 3. Storey | 0,0114 | 0,0134 | 25,11 | 29,74 | 0,187 | 0,194 |
| 4. Storey | 0,0121 | 0,0142 | 26,20 | 31,63 | 0,183 | 0,196 |
| 5. Storey | 0,0122 | 0,0147 | 26,36 | 32,42 | 0,174 | 0,199 |
| 6. Storey | 0,0121 | 0,0148 | 25,56 | 32,19 | 0,167 | 0,198 |
| 7. Storey | 0,0123 | 0,0154 | 25,39 | 33,13 | 0,158 | 0,197 |
| 8. Storey | 0,0119 | 0,0155 | 24,08 | 32,51 | 0,143 | 0,183 |
| 9. Storey | 0,0111 | 0,0147 | 21,77 | 30,34 | 0,123 | 0,161 |
| 10. Storey | 0,0099 | 0,0136 | 18,76 | 27,24 | 0,100 | 0,136 |

Weight of steel used on $1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ for all buildings is introduced in below table;

Table 11.16 Weight of Steel Used on $1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$

| Weight of Steel Used on $1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Stories | TS 648 | LRFD | EC3 |
| 2 | 51,38 | 42,58 | 42,86 |
| 4 | 57,61 | 46,96 | 45,96 |
| 6 | 58,01 | 47,56 | 46,32 |
| 8 | 64,59 | 51,48 | 52,84 |
| 10 | 68,59 | 55,58 | 56,77 |

The below figure clearly shows the relationship between number of stories and the steel weight for per square meter.


Figure 11.5 Weight of steel used on $1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$

The formulae of the linear lines in Figure 11.4 can be computed from the following equations;
$2,07 * \mathrm{~N}+47,62 \quad$ for TS $648\left(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$
$1,53 * \mathrm{~N}+39,68 \quad$ for $\operatorname{LRFD}\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$
$1,74 * \mathrm{~N}+38,54 \quad$ for Eurocode $3\left(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$
where
$\mathrm{N}=$ Number of stories

For 2-8-10 story-buildings, in LRFD procedure steel used on $1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ is found to be less when compared to EC3. The reason for 2 story-building is that the design principle that all columns should have the same section provides greater sections but not as great as the other procedures because of the load combinations (the combination including EQ loads are not critical because of height, and also the other combination including $1,2 \mathrm{D}+1,6 \mathrm{~L}+0,5 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{r}}$ means less axial load on columns in this building).

The main reason for having less steel in LRFD procedure for taller buildings (8-10 story buildings) is that earthquake loads become less critical when compared to the other two procedures.

Weight of steel used on $1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ is almost the same for procedures of LRFD and EC3. It is important to note that those procedures consider $20 \%$ of material saving compared to TS648.

Moreover, the result based on LRFD of this study is compared to the result of the rule of thumb which is introduced by Ioannides and Ruddy [20]. However units the approximation formula is not consistent with SI units, therefore Eq. 2.1 is converted as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{t}\left(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)=1.627 * N+34.18 \tag{11.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N$ is the number of stories.

Furthermore, this formula is derived for St 52. Therefore, it must be calibrated to St 37 by making use of Harman's study [14]. The below figure clearly shows the comparison.


Figure 11.6 Comparison of the results

It is obvious that results of this study perfectly overlap with the results obtained from Eq 11.1. In other words, findings of this study are consistent with the article which is conducted by Ioannides and Ruddy [20].
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## APPENDIX A

## SECTIONAL PROPERTIES

Table A1 Sectional Properties of Tube Section

| TUBE | kg/m | mm | mm | mm | mm | $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{cm}^{4}$ | $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | cm | $\mathrm{cm}^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Section | G | b | t | ro(ext) | ri(int) | A | 1 | Wel | Wpl | i | $I_{t}$ |
| TUBE 40x40x2 | 2,82 | 40 | 2,5 | 5 | 2,5 | 3,59 | 8,22 | 4,11 | 4,97 | 1,51 | 13,6 |
| TUBE 40x40x3 | 3,3 | 40 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 4,21 | 9,32 | 4,66 | 5,72 | 1,49 | 15,8 |
| TUBE 40x40x4 | 4,2 | 40 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 5,35 | 11,1 | 5,54 | 7,01 | 1,44 | 19,4 |
| TUBE 50x50x3 | 4,25 | 50 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 5,41 | 19,5 | 7,79 | 9,39 | 1,9 | 32,1 |
| TUBE 60x60x3 | 5,19 | 60 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 6,61 | 35,1 | 11,7 | 14 | 2,31 | 57,1 |
| TUBE 50x50x4 | 5,45 | 50 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 6,95 | 23,7 | 9,49 | 11,7 | 1,85 | 40,4 |
| TUBE 50x50x5 | 6,56 | 50 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 8,36 | 27 | 10,8 | 13,7 | 1,8 | 47,5 |
| TUBE 60x60x4 | 6,71 | 60 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 8,55 | 43,6 | 14,5 | 17,6 | 2,26 | 72,6 |
| TUBE 60x60x5 | 8,13 | 60 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 10,4 | 50,5 | 16,8 | 20,9 | 2,21 | 86,4 |
| TUBE $80 \times 80 \times 3$ | 8,37 | 80 | 3,6 | 7,2 | 3,6 | 10,7 | 102 | 25,5 | 30,2 | 3,09 | 165 |
| TUBE $80 \times 80 \times 4$ | 9,22 | 80 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 11,7 | 111 | 27,8 | 33,1 | 3,07 | 180 |
| TUBE 80×80x5 | 11,3 | 80 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 14,4 | 131 | 32,9 | 39,7 | 3,03 | 218 |
| TUBE 100x100x4 | 11,7 | 100 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 14,9 | 226 | 45,3 | 53,3 | 3,89 | 362 |
| TUBE 80x80x6 | 13,5 | 80 | 6,3 | 15,75 | 9,45 | 17,2 | 149 | 37,1 | 46,1 | 2,94 | 261 |
| TUBE 100x100x5 | 14,4 | 100 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 18,4 | 271 | 54,2 | 64,6 | 3,84 | 441 |
| TUBE 100x100x6 | 17,5 | 100 | 6,3 | 15,75 | 9,45 | 22,2 | 314 | 62,8 | 76,4 | 3,76 | 536 |
| TUBE 120x120x5 | 17,5 | 120 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 22,4 | 485 | 80,9 | 95,4 | 4,66 | 778 |
| TUBE 140x140x5 | 20,7 | 140 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 26,4 | 791 | 113 | 132 | 5,48 | 1256 |
| TUBE 100x100x8 | 21,4 | 100 | 8 | 20 | 12 | 27,2 | 366 | 73,2 | 91,1 | 3,67 | 645 |
| TUBE 120x120x6 | 21,4 | 120 | 6,3 | 15,75 | 9,45 | 27,3 | 572 | 95,3 | 114 | 4,58 | 955 |
| TUBE 140x140x6 | 25,4 | 140 | 6,3 | 15,75 | 9,45 | 32,3 | 941 | 134 | 160 | 5,39 | 1550 |
| TUBE 100x100×10 | 25,6 | 100 | 10 | 25 | 15 | 32,6 | 411 | 82,2 | 105 | 3,55 | 750 |
| TUBE 120x120x8 | 26,4 | 120 | 8 | 20 | 12 | 33,6 | 677 | 113 | 138 | 4,49 | 1163 |
| TUBE 160x160x6 | 29,3 | 160 | 6,3 | 15,75 | 9,45 | 37,4 | 1442 | 180 | 213 | 6,21 | 2349 |
| TUBE 140x140x8 | 31,4 | 140 | 8 | 20 | 12 | 40 | 1127 | 161 | 194 | 5,3 | 1901 |
| TUBE 120x120x10 | 31,8 | 120 | 10 | 25 | 15 | 40,6 | 777 | 129 | 162 | 4,38 | 1376 |
| TUBE 180x180x6 | 33,3 | 180 | 6,3 | 15,75 | 9,45 | 42,4 | 2096 | 233 | 273 | 7,03 | 3383 |
| TUBE 160x160x8 | 36,5 | 160 | 8 | 20 | 12 | 46,4 | 1741 | 218 | 260 | 6,12 | 2897 |
| TUBE 200x200x6 | 37,2 | 200 | 6,3 | 15,75 | 9,45 | 47,4 | 2922 | 292 | 341 | 7,85 | 4682 |
| TUBE 180x180x8 | 41,5 | 180 | 8 | 20 | 12 | 52,8 | 2546 | 283 | 336 | 6,94 | 4189 |
| TUBE 160x160×10 | 44,4 | 160 | 10 | 25 | 15 | 56,6 | 2048 | 256 | 311 | 6,02 | 3490 |
| TUBE 200x200x8 | 46,5 | 200 | 8 | 20 | 12 | 59,2 | 3566 | 357 | 421 | 7,76 | 5815 |
| TUBE 250x250x6 | 47,1 | 250 | 6,3 | 15,75 | 9,45 | 60 | 5873 | 470 | 544 | 9,89 | 9290 |
| TUBE 180×180×10 | 50,7 | 180 | 10 | 25 | 15 | 64,6 | 3017 | 335 | 404 | 6,84 | 5074 |
| TUBE 160x160×12 | 52,6 | 160 | 12,5 | 37,5 | 25 | 67 | 2275 | 284 | 356 | 5,83 | 4114 |
| TUBE 200x200x10 | 57 | 200 | 10 | 25 | 15 | 72,6 | 4251 | 425 | 508 | 7,65 | 7072 |
| TUBE 250x250x8 | 59,1 | 250 | 8 | 20 | 12 | 75,2 | 7229 | 578 | 676 | 9,8 | 11598 |
| TUBE 200x200x12 | 68,3 | 200 | 12,5 | 37,5 | 25 | 87 | 4859 | 486 | 594 | 7,47 | 8502 |
| TUBE 250x250x10 | 72,7 | 250 | 10 | 25 | 15 | 92,6 | 8707 | 697 | 822 | 9,7 | 14197 |
| TUBE 250x250x12 | 84,8 | 250 | 12 | 36 | 24 | 108 | 9859 | 789 | 944 | 9,55 | 16691 |
| TUBE 300x300x10 | 88,4 | 300 | 10 | 25 | 15 | 113 | 15519 | 1035 | 1211 | 11,7 | 24966 |
| TUBE $350 \times 350 \times 10$ | 104 | 350 | 10 | 25 | 15 | 133 | 25189 | 1439 | 1675 | 13,8 | 40127 |
| TUBE 300x300x12 | 108 | 300 | 12,5 | 37,5 | 25 | 137 | 18348 | 1223 | 1451 | 11,6 | 30601 |
| TUBE 250x250x16 | 109 | 250 | 16 | 48 | 32 | 139 | 12047 | 964 | 1180 | 9,32 | 21146 |
| TUBE 350x350x12 | 127 | 350 | 12,5 | 37,5 | 25 | 162 | 30045 | 1717 | 2020 | 13,6 | 49393 |
| TUBE 300x300x16 | 134 | 300 | 16 | 48 | 32 | 171 | 22076 | 1472 | 1774 | 11,4 | 37837 |
| TUBE 350x350x16 | 159 | 350 | 16 | 48 | 32 | 203 | 36511 | 2086 | 2488 | 13,4 | 61481 |

Table A2 Sectional Properties of HEB Section

Table A3 Sectional Properties of HD Section

Table A4 Sectional Properties of HEM Section

Table A5 Sectional Properties of IPN Section


