# MOTHER TONGUE TALK IN THREE LANGUAGES 

## A THESIS SUBMITTED TO

THE GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SOCIAL SCIENCES

OF

MIDDLE EAST TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY

BY

OLENA ROMANIUK

IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR

THE DEGREE OF

MASTER OF ARTS

IN
THE DEPARTMENT OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE TEACHING

AUGUST 2010

Prof. Dr. Meliha Altunışık Director

I certify that this thesis satisfies all the requirements as a thesis for the degree of Master of Arts.

Prof. Dr. Wolf König

Head of Department

This is to certify that we have read this thesis and that in our opinion it is fully adequate, in scope and quality, as a thesis of the degree of Master of Arts.

Prof. Dr. Jochen Rehbein

Supervisor

## Examining Committee Members

Prof. Dr. Jochen REHBEIN (METU, FLE)

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Çiler HATiPOĞLU (METU, FLE)
Assist. Prof. Dr. Annette HOHENBERGER (METU, II)

I hereby declare that all information in this document has been obtained and presented in accordance with academic rules and ethical conduct. I also declare that, as required by these rules and conduct, I have fully cited and referenced all material and results that are not original to this work.

Name, Last name: Olena Romaniuk

Signature:
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July 2010, 456 pages

Languages within one language family may be so closely related that their speakers often communicate, each using their own language. This phenomenon was investigated in African, Germanic and Romance languages and coined as semicommunication by Haugen (1966), and later became receptive multilingualism by Braunmüller (2002). This research attempts to find out if receptive multilingual communication is possible in Slavonic languages (Polish, Russian and Ukrainian). Besides, it was a great importance to define whether the success of communication is symmetric among the speakers of the mentioned languages. Finally, various cases of problematic understanding were analyzed with the main emphasis on the speakers' strategies when they try to overcome reception problems. Methodically, 4 Russian-Ukrainian, 4 Polish-Ukrainian and 4 Polish-Russian conversations were recorded with the use of digital camera. Cases of problematic understanding were defined and transcribed with Exmaralda program, Partitur editor. Number of problematic utterances in relation to total number of utterances in discourse was the measure of communication success in each language constellation. In order to see the overall picture of how receptive multilingualism works in the three languages and in each constellation separately, the statistic research was done with SPSS program. The findings of the research revealed that receptive multilingual communication among Polish,

Russian and Ukrainian speakers is generally successful, however, not symmetric with respect to understanding degree in different constellations.
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## ÖZET

# ÜÇ DILDE ANA DIL KONUŞMAK 

Romaniuk Olena<br>Yüksek Lisans, İngiliz Dili Eğitimi<br>Tez Danışmanı: Doç. Dr. Jochen Rehbein

## Temmuz 2010, 456 sayfa

Bir dil ailesi içindeki diller birbirleriyle o kadar yakından ilgililerdir ki, o dilleri konuşan kişilerin herbiri kendi ana dillerini konuştuğu halde birbirleriyle anlaşabilirler. Bu olgu Afrika, Alman ve Latin dilerinde incelendi ve Haugen (1966) tarafından "semicommunication" ve daha sonra Braunmüller (2002) tarafından "algılayıcı çok dillilik" olarak ifade edildi. Bu makale; araştırmanın konusu olarak ele alınan Lehçe, Rusça ve Ukraynaca ile algılayıcı çok dilli iletişimin Slav dillerinde mümkün olup olamayacağını ortaya çıkarmaya çalışıyor. Bunun yanısıra, iletişimdeki başarının sözü edilen dilleri konuşan kişilerle simetrik olup olmadığını belirlemek çok önemli. Son olarak da çeşitli sorunlu kavrayıs durumları, konuşmacıların, algılama problemlerinin üstesinden gelmeye çalıştıklarında uyguladıkları stratejiler esas alınarak analiz edildi. Bu çalışma için 4 Rusça-Ukraynaca, 4 Lehçe-Ukraynaca ve 4 Lehçe-Rusça diyaloglar dijital kameraya kayıt edildi. Sorunlu kavrayıs durumları belirlendi ve Exmaralda (Partitur editor) programı ile dökümü çıkarıldı. Diyalogtaki toplam ifade sayısına ait sorunlu ifadelerin sayısı herbir dil ikilisindeki iletişim başarısının ölçütüydü. Algılayıcı çok dilliliğin her üç dilde ve her dil ikilisinde nasıl çalışığına dair genel bir fikir edinmek için istatiksel araştırma SPSS programı ile yapıldı. Araştırmanın bulguları, Lehçe, Rusça ve Ukraynaca
konuşan bu kişiler arasındaki algılayıcı çok dilli iltişimin genel olarak başarılı olduğunu fakat bu başarının farklı dil ikililerindeki kavrayış derecesi ile simetrik olmadığını gösterdi. Ayrıca başarılı ve başarısız konuşmacıların ifade edebilme stratejileri belrlendi.

Anahtar Kelimeler: algılayıcı çok dillilik, kavrayış, sorunlu kavrayış, ifade edebilme, dil ikilisi, Rusça, Lehçe, Ukraynaca.
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## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.0 Presentation

This chapter introduces the object of the study, its background and purpose, the research questions, the significance, setting, and limitations of the study, and the definitions of terms.

### 1.1 Object

This study is aimed at discovering the possibility of receptive multilingualism among Slavonic languages, with Polish, Ukrainian and Russian taken as examples. The phenomenon of receptive multilingualism, i.e. the understanding between the speakers of different but genetically related languages talking in their respective native tongues, has been explored with regard to African, Germanic and Romance languages but never regarding Slavonic languages. Thus, it finds out if communication between speakers of the three languages in a receptive multilingual environment is possible and, if so, to what extent it is successful.

### 1.2 Background

Receptive multilingualism has been intensively investigated in the countries of the Scandinavian mainland. Danes, Swedes and Norwegians do not only realize that their languages are genetically closely related, but also actively communicate with each other using their respective mother tongues, expecting to be understood by each other. Haugen's (1966) research based on the questionnaire showed the degree of
understanding between speakers of the three languages. It varied from $54 \%$ to $94 \%$ depending on the language constellation. In fact, the easiest mutual understanding was claimed by Swedes and Norwegians, the intermediate one by Norwegians and Danes, and the most problematic understanding was stated by Danes and Swedes.

### 1.3 Purpose of the Study

Taking into consideration the successful experience of receptive multilingual communication among Scandinavian languages, it seems worthwile to test how this phenomenon works with regard to Slavonic languages.

The primary purpose of the study is to investigate the possibility of mutual understanding between speakers of different Slavonic languages in a receptive multilingual environment, Polish, Russian, and Ukrainian taken as the subjects for examination.

Secondly, it is important to indicate the degree of mutual understanding between the three languages.

Finally, it is necessary to examine the ways the speakers overcome problematic points.

### 1.4 Research Questions

(1) Is mutual comprehension between speakers of Polish, Russian and Ukrainian possible?
(2) Is mutual comprehension between the three languages symmetrical?
(3) In which part of the discourse does problematic understanding occur most often?
(4) How are problematic points overcome by the speakers?

### 1.5 Research Hypotheses

(1) Understanding between Russian, Ukrainian and Polish speakers is possible.
(2) Understanding between speakers of these languages is not symmetrical.

- In Ukrainian-Polish constellations, Ukrainian speakers are expected to be more successful in understanding due to their bilingual background and due to the historical influence of Polish on Ukrainian, and not vice versa.
- In Ukrainian-Russian constellations, Ukrainian speakers are obviously more successful on account of the fact that Russian is their second native language.
- In Polish-Russian constellations, the Poles are hypothesized to have more chances to understand Russian, rather than the Russians - Polish. This can be explained by the Russian influence on Polish in the 19th century although not to a great extent. The more prevailing factor is assumed to be the self-confidence of the Russians as the speakers of the lingua franca, which prevents them from successful reception of other Slavonic languages.
(3) Problems of understanding most often occur at the beginning of the discourse.
(4) The problematic points are expected to be overcome by reformulation.


### 1.6 Significance of the Study

The current study is the first one to shed light on the receptive multilingual situation among Polish, Russian and Ukrainian speakers.

The findings of the research may prove that communication between the speakers of the respective languages is possible without the use of a lingua franca. As ten Thije and Zeevaert (2007) stated, it is quite difficult to learn English for speakers of other than Germanic languages. Russian, Ukrainian and Polish, although not the most genetically
distant languages from English as they all belong to the Indo-European family, evidently reveal considerable differences from it. Indeed, studied as the foreign language No 1 in Russia and Ukraine English is scarcely used even among well-educated people.

According to the Russian Census (2002), out of the whole population of $141,888,900$ only 6,953,511 people claimed to be competent in English as the second language, which accounts to just 4.9\%.

As noted above as yet, no study of this kind has been conducted with regard to Slavonic languages, and not to mention that the people in this area have never practiced receptive multilingualism as a way of communication, except for some extreme situations when circumstances demanded it (e.g., Polish travelers without knowledge of English having got lost in Russia had to make themselves understood in Polish).

People from Russia, Poland, and Ukraine are not aware of the fact that the lack of knowledge of a lingua franca is not an obstacle to communication among each other. Accordng to ten Thije and Zeevaert (2007), people must be shown the practical use of receptive multilingualism so as to be motivated. Therefore, it is hoped that the result of the current empirical study will encourage them to embark on a more confident and joyful communication. Moreover, it can turn what used to seem impossible to many people into a possibility.

Aside from the idea of the possibility of communication among those who do not speak English there is the concept of convenience of communication in L1, as stated above (Prodromou, 2008). In fact, even when highly proficient in English, a non-native speaker may find it difficult to convey the concepts related to only his/her socio-cultural background such as lacunas or set expressions. Often, he/she chooses to avoid them, thereby, limiting the scope of ideas that could be expressed. Thus, native language communication appears to have a huge advantage over L2 communication.

Finally, since the findings of the study are expected to reveal the possibility of mutual understanding between the three Slavonic languages mentioned, while Russian and

Ukrainian belong to the East Slavic branch and Polish belongs to the West Slavic branch, the assumption can be drawn that understanding is possible between East and West branches, including other languages belonging to them.

### 1.7 Setting

The empirical study is laid on the testing of mutual understanding among 4 Poles, 4 Russians, and 4 Ukrainians, who know only one Slavic language - each their respective mother tongue.

Each participant was involved in a conversation with a member of another language group separately e.g., each Pole interacted with one Ukrainian and one Russian. Thus, all the participants had the experience of receptive multilingual communication when they listened and tried to understand two other Slavic languages. The exceptional case is Ukrainians' comprehension of Russian being already $100 \%$ provided by the fact that it is their second native language. This is going to be considered when the results are discussed.

All in all, there are twelve 40 to 45 -minute long recordings of conversations between native speakers of Polish, Russian and Ukrainian.

### 1.8 Limitations of the Study

The participants of the study are 12 students of different backgrounds, which limits the scope of generalizing the results for all cases of receptive multilingual communication among Polish, Russian, and Ukrainian.

### 1.9 Definitions of Terms

Receptive multilingualism - "a language constellation in which interlocutors use their respective mother tongue while speaking to each other" (Jan D. ten Thije and Ludger Zeevaert, 2007, p. 1).

Language constellation - "the interaction of the languages involved, participants' multilingual skills, and the mode in which language is being used" (J. House and J. Rehbein, 2004, p. 2).

## CHAPTER 2

## LITERATURE REVIEW

### 2.0 Presentation

This chapter presents the history of receptive multilingualism research, comparative analysis of Russian, Ukrainian and Polish, the theory of problematic talk in intercultural communication and theory of Lingua Receptiva.

### 2.1 HISTORY OF RECEPTIVE MULTILINGUAL RESEARCH

As reported by Casad (2005), the first discussion about possibility of mutual understanding between the speakers of closely related languages or dialects started in 1951 when Voegelin and Harris offered two methods of assessment of intelligibility. First is organized as an interview with the aim to learn the opinions of informants about language (dialect) relationships and degree of intelligibility between them. Second is related to testing informants' comprehension of a language (dialect) different from his/her native one. It is offered to be done with the use of aural materials in other than participant's native language after hearing which he/she is asked to translate it to his/her mother tongue.

Voegelin and Harris (1951) distinguished mutual intelligibility and neighbor intelligibility. The former refers to the ability of speakers of different languages to understand each other due to genetic closeness of their languages, while the latter is related to extralinguistic factors such as extensive contact between the speakers of different languages.

There were several studies performed by Hickerson, Turner and Hickerson (1952), Pierce (1952), Olmsted (1954), Biggs (1957) who adopted either of the methods suggested by Voegelin and Harris (1951) in order to estimate the degree of intelligibility between different languages or dialects. However, these researches were later criticized by Hans

Wolff (1964) for the method of translation which is not a fair measure for intelligibility assessment (Casad, 2005).

The investigation by observation of the communication based on the speaker's use of their mother tongues was held by Hans Wolff (1959). He described the "mutual intelligibility" between the different Nigeria's linguistic groups, and stated the representatives of different dialects not only realize and use the similarity of their languages for communication without learning the opponent's tongue, but also combine few closely related dialects into one language in order to solve the problem of linguistic diversity on a small territory.

Another finding of him was rather contradictory. Having preliminarily studied the investigated dialects and hypothesized the possible degree of "mutual intelligibility" between them, Hans Wolf found out that "linguistic (phonemic, morphemic, lexical) similarity between two dialects does not seem to guarantee the possibility of interlingual communication; similarly, the existence of interlingual communication is not necessarily an indication of linguistic similarity between two such dialects" (p. 441-442). The reason for this phenomenon is mostly related to the economic necessity to seek mutual understanding in some areas, whereas in others people do not need it, so, they do not practice close communication.

The next investigation of the communication between the speakers of genetically related languages was conducted in Scandinavian mainland by Einar Haugen (1966). He sent out questionnaires to 300 random persons in Denmark, Norway and Sweden asking them to estimate their level of understanding of the other two Scandinavian languages, extent of their contact with the languages (travelling to the two neighboring countries, listening to their radio and watching television, etc.), and report the cases of misunderstanding they experienced while communication.

The result of the questionnaire showed that the "mutual comprehension" between the inhabitants of the three countries is successful as only three respondents claimed
absence of understanding. Moreover, the understanding appeared to be not symmetric. Danes and Swedes considered one another's languages the most difficult to understand, and approximately to the same extent. Interestingly, Norwegians and Swedes claimed to have the highest level of understanding between each other almost symmetrically. The relation of Danish to Norwegian appeared to have an intermediate in difficulty, but not symmetrically: Danes stated that they understood Norwegian better than Norwegian stated to understand Danish.

The phenomenon of mutual understanding between Danish, Norwegian and Swedish people speaking in their respective native languages was coined "semicommunication" by Einar Haugen.

Further, there were many researches conducted in the countries of Scandinavian mainland. As stated by ten Thije and Zeevaert (2007), from 1973 to 2005 Nordiska radet, Maurud, Bo, Delsing and Ludin Akesson investigated the linguistic situation in the area. The methods varied from a questionnaire based on the participants' subjective estimation of their understanding their fellow Scandinavians to testing their understanding of the languages with the tasks such as answering questions, translating and summarizing a text.

However, as stated by Doetjes (2007), there is still a strong desire for the information how inter-Scandinavian communication happens "in special situations and under certain conditions" (p.227) for real communication among Danes, Swedes and Norwegians was not investigated empirically yet.

The possibility of mutual understanding between four Romance languages (Portuguese, Spanish, French and Italian) was used for learning through comprehension in the Galanet project (Degache, 2003). The aim of the project was for the speakers of the four languages to publish together a web page about an intercultural subject in a multilingual form with profiles and forums included. Each user was supposed to make a contribution in his native language and read what was written by others in the other three languages.

Thus, they were expected to learn each other's languages through communication and "cross-comprehension".

Ribbert and ten Thije (2007) investigated how the communication between Dutch and German members of a teaching team happened with the condition they spoke in their mother tongues. Their discussion of studying a curriculum was recorded and transcribed. As it was stated in the research, the languages are not closely related as Scandinavian ones, so the results showed more misunderstandings though the communication was successful generally. The important factor of understanding appeared to be the existence of the common words between German and Dutch. Particular attention was drawn to the "institutional keywords", i.e., the most frequently used terms related to the curriculum, e.g., 'course', 'exam'. According to Ribbert and ten Thije (2007) these words "actualize common institutional knowledge and, consequently, make it easier to establish mutual understanding" (p. 88).

Ribbert and ten Thije called this type of language interaction "receptive multilingualism".

Werlen (2007) conducted a research on the receptive multilingualism in some areas in Switzerland. The idea of the experiment was to record the answers of random people from Fribourg and Biel/Bienne who were asked the way or for a help in a shop, etc. The subjects spok two languages, French and Swiss dialect of German - the most spoken ones in the area, whereas French has a bigger prevalence. The point of interest was in which language people replied. In most cases, even when the subjects started conversation in Swiss German, the answers came in French as a default choice in the area where it is the language of majority. However, many cases have proved the readiness of people to engage in receptive multilingual communication, wherein one of the interlocutors spoke French, and the other, Swiss German, while they understood each other.

Therefore, Werlen (2007) states that receptive multilingualism is not only possible in the Switzerland's multilingual environment; it may solve the problem of majority and minority languages.

Beerkens (2010) investigated the receptive multilingaualism as one of the language modes in the Dutch-German border area. The study was based on the recording Dutch and German speakers' communication both in civil society organizations and in governmental organizations. The recordings were analyzed with the main emphesis on the speaker's role in the discourse and investigation of the reapir structure. It was found that repair pattern consists of the four steps: utterance element, intervention, repar and confirmation. Also, the results of Beerkens' study supported Ribbert and ten Thije's (2006) finding that institutional keywords in Dutch-German receptive multilingualism help to achive understanding. Besides, it was oserved by Beerkens that cultural keywords foster mutual comprehension as well as institutional keywords.

As far as the results of the study proved the Dutch-German receptive multilingualism successful, it was recommended that Dutch and German individuals became conscious of the possibility of this communication mode and "gave it a try" for gaining a personal experience of receptive multilingual communication.

### 2.2 COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF POLISH, RUSSIAN AND UKRAINIAN LANGUAGES

In this section the historical development of Polish, Russian and Ukrainian languages will be presented. Also, it will be discussed how they, being the languages of the neighboring territories, influenced each other for different socio-political reasons. Besides, the comparative analysis of the three languages on the levels of phonology, morphology and syntax will be given.

### 2.2.1 Historical Background

Slavic languages group is traditionally divided into three branches according to their territorial location. Thus, there are West Slavic (Chech, Slovak, Upper Sorbian, Lower Sorbian, Polish, Pomeranian, Silesian, extinct Polabian), East Slavis (Ukrainian, Belorussian, Russian, Rusyn) and South Slavic (Serbian, Croatian, Slovene, Bosnian, Bulgarian, Macedonian, Church Slavonic) to be distinguished. The Slavic languages branches are presented in the figure 2.


|  | South Slavic |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Western subgroup. | Eastern subgroup: | Church Slavonic |
| Serbian | Bulgarian |  |
| Croatian | Macedonian |  |
| Slovene |  |  |
| Bosnian |  |  |

Figure 1: Slavic Languages Branches (Sussex \& Cubberley, 2006, p. 16)

According to Auty (1977), Polish, Russian and Ukrainian may be traced down to one common ancestor, a so-called Common Slavonic. It apparently had few dialectal differences which were so close to each other that could be easily understood among different language groups. However, in the ninth-tenth centuries, the territory of Common Slavonic language was divided into several national states - Moravia, Bulgaria, Rus', Poland, Croatia, and the Serbian principalities of the Balkans. It led to the different development of Common Slavonic within each state.

It is to be noted that Polish and Russian languages started their development in different ways already in ninth-tenth centuries, if not earlier. In fact, Russian, being the language of Rus', actually was, to put it correctly, 'East Slavonic'. From the very beginning till thirteenth-fourteenth centuries, East Slavonic embedded three languages known today as Russian, Ukrainian and Belorussian (Auty, 1977). Therefore, it is quite possible that Polish never belonging to East Slavonic historically, always developed in different way from Russian. Ukrainian, on the other hand, was integrated into East Slavonic for most part of its history, and this justifies the grounds to claim that Ukrainian has much in common with Russian.

However, in the fourteenth century, East Slavonic area ran political and subsequently linguistic changes. With the occupation of modern Russian and south-eastern Ukrainian territory by Tatars, northern and south-western Ukraine and Belorussia fell under the domination of Lithuania and not much later of Poland (after the Union of Lublin of 1569). As claimed by Comrie (1991), during this period, innovations that began in one part were unable to penetrate the other, and vice versa.

Polish language policy was intolerable to the languages of conquered territories, aiming to complete assimilation. Polish remained the literary language of respective Ukrainian territories and Belorussia up to the eighteenth century.

It is worth considering the way of Ukrainian language development occupying an intermediate position between Polish and Russian and as a result being affected by both of them.

After 1720, most of Ukraine became a Russian province what turned the vector of Ukrainian language development to eastern direction. This period was marked by active reduction of Polish borrowings in Ukrainian language. Shevelov (1993) noted that of almost innumerable Polonisms adopted in the Middle Ukrainian period a part (about 50 per cent) were lost subsequently, but modern Ukrainian is still closer in its word-stock to Polish than to any other Slavonic language.

Ukrainian has been influenced by Russian considerably, although to a lesser extent than by Polish. Even after the proclamation of Ukrainian State Independence in 1991 Russian continues to be the second (sometimes first) native language of many Ukrainians prevalently in the south-eastern part.

The historical development of the Ukrainian language can be traced down according to territorial distribution of its dialects. Western Polissian and Volhynian, for instance, are notably similar to the Polish language and are even spoken across the Polish border (Pavliuk, 1985). South-eastern dialects which remained only in the rural areas, being continuously crowded out by Russisms, are phonologically closer to Russian comparatively to western dialects.

The Polish language has also gained a fair number of borrowings from Ukrainian, especially on its eastern side. Besides, it was mentioned above that some bordering Polish-Ukrainian areas even share the same dialect. Since the nineteenth century, especially after the Second World War, Polish has undergone the influence of Russian although not to a significant extent.

Russian, on the contrary, has not borrowed much from its Slavonic fellows, apparently, due to political reasons, never being under domination of any Slavonic state. Even in
modern times Russian territories bordering with Ukraine and Belorussia had mostly preserved the genuine state of the Russian language.

### 2.2.2 Phonology

One of the most prominent phonological differences between Polish, Russian, and Ukrainian is the existence/absence of nasal vowels. According to Stone (1991), Polish is but one of all Slavic languages which has preserved nasal system from Common Slavonic. Modern Polish letters ę and ą are descendents of Common Slavonic nasal vowels ę and ó, although without straight correspondence. In Russian and Ukrainian Common Slavonic ó and ę became non-nasal u and ja respectively: pótь - put' 'way’; pętь - pjat' 'five' (Comrie, 1991, pp. 331-332 and Shevelov, 1993, p. 949).

Another noteworthy phenomenon is the abundant palatalisation of Russian consonants, however, it is not the case for Polish and Ukrainian languages. Both languages lost their palatalisation before reflexes of Common Slavonic e (Ukrainian and Polish e) and i (Ukrainian and Polish y). Polish language underwent depalatalisation of $t$, $d$ to ć, ż (Stone, 1991). Besides, depalatalisation affected many final consonants in Ukrainian and Polish words, e.g. Russian krov’ ‘blood’ - Ukrainian krov - Polish krew. (Comrie, 1991).

One of the main characteristics of Russian phonology is the neutralization of vowels in unstressed syllables which mostly expressed in neutralization of o to a, and gained the conventional name 'akan'e' (Comrie, 1991, p. 332). Ukrainian possesses the phenomenon 'okan'e' (Auty, 1977, p. 11) with preservation of o in unstressed syllables, e.g., Russian golová, molokó 'head', 'milk' [galava] [malako] to Ukrainian golová, molokó [holova], [moloko]. It is noteworthy that in respective Polish words the unstressed vowel o is reduced from the stem, e.g., głowá, mléko.

Russian as well as Ukrainian retained free dynamic stress which can fall on any syllable and can be predictable only if a morphological rule is involved (Auty, 1977, p. 13,

Shevelev, 1993, p. 950). Polish, in contrast, kept fix stress on the penultimate syllable (Rothstein, 1993, p. 692).

### 2.2.3 Morphology

### 2.2.3.1 Nominal Morphology

Polish, Russian, and Ukrainian nouns are inflected by gender, number and case. All these forms are made by suffixes and endings. Nouns of all three languages fall in almost the same declension types. But case formation, according to them, reveals notable differences among Polish, Russian, and Ukrainian. They can be traced down in table 1. Ukrainian and Russian nouns of the $1^{\text {st }}, 2^{\text {nd }}, 3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ declension show evident similarity with the exception of some endings in phonological difference, e.g., i-y, e-i. As far as Polish is regarded, it differs both from Russian and Ukrainian in formation of Accusative of $1^{\text {st }}$ declension, singular and Instrumental, $1^{\text {st }}, 3^{\text {rd }}$ declension, singular.

Table 1: Polish, Russian and Ukrainian Declension Types

|  | a-stem | Masculine o-stem | Neuter o-stem | Feminine i-stem | Neuter ja-stem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Singular: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominative |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polish | głowa (head) | ptak (bird) | słowo (word) | noc (night) |  |
| Russian | strana (country) | stol (table) | mesto (place) | brov' (eye-brow) |  |
| Ukrainian | boroda (beard) | polon (captivity) | svitylo (luminary) | bil' (pain) | im'ja (name) |
| Accusative |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polish | głowę | ptaka | słowo | noc |  |
| Russian | stranu | stol | mesto | brov' |  |
| Ukrainian | borodu | polon | svitylo | bil' | im'ja |
| Genitive |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polish | głowy | ptaka | słowa | nocy |  |
| Russian | strany | stola | mesta | brovi |  |
| Ukrainian | borody | polona | svityla | boli | imeni |
| Dative |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polish | głowie | ptakowi | słowu | nocy |  |
| Russian | strane | stolu | mestu | brovi |  |
| Ukrainian | borodi | polonu | svitylu | boli | imeni |


| Instrumental |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Polish | głową | ptakiem | słowem | nocą |  |
| Russian | stranoj | stolom | mestom | brov'ju |  |
| Ukrainian | borodoju | polonom | svitylom | bil'ju | im'jam |
| Locative |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polish | głowie | ptaku | słowie | nocy |  |
| Russian | strane | stole | meste | brovi |  |
| Ukrainian | borodi | poloni | svityli | boli | imeni |
| Plural: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominative |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polish | głowy | ptaki | słowa | noce |  |
| Russian | strany | stoly | mesta | brovi |  |
| Ukrainian | borody | polony | Svityla | boli | imena |
| Accusative |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polish | głowy | ptaki | słowa | noce |  |
| Russian | strany | stoly | mesta | brovi |  |
| Ukrainian | borody | polony | svityla | boli | imena |
| Genitive |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polish | głów | ptaków | słów | nocy |  |
| Russian | stran | stolov | mest | brovey |  |
| Ukrainian | borid | poloniv | svityl | boley | imen |
| Dative |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polish | głowom | ptakom | słowom | nocom |  |
| Russian | stranam | stolam | mestam | brovjam |  |
| Ukrainian | borodam | polonam | svitylam | boljam | imenam |
| Instrumental |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polish | głowąmi | ptakami | słowami | nocami |  |
| Russian | stranami | stolami | mestami | brovjami |  |
| Ukrainian | borodamy | polonamy | svitylamy | boljamy | imenamy |
| Locative |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polish | głowach | ptakach | słowach | nocach |  |
| Russian | stranax | stolax | mestax | brovjax |  |
| Ukrainian | borodax | polonax | svitylax | boljax | imenax |

### 2.2.3.2 Pronominal Morphology

Personal pronouns declension of Polish, Russian, and Ukrainian languages are shown in table 2. Pronoun forms for Genitive and Accusative in Ukrainian and Russian appear to agree completely. Similar situation, with few exceptions, is seen in Polish case. Pronouns given with n in brackets are used only after prepositions. The second forms where there are more than one pronoun listed are used in possession constructions, e.g., to jest jego przyjaciółka 'this is his friend'.

Generally, Russian and Ukrainian pronouns seem to be similar to a large extent and to have slight difference from Polish ones especially phonetically.

Table 2: Polish, Russian and Ukrainian Pronominal Declensions

| Cas <br> es <br> and <br> Ian <br> gua <br> ges | I | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { you } \\ & \text { (SG) } \end{aligned}$ | we | $\begin{aligned} & \text { you } \\ & \text { (PL) } \end{aligned}$ | he,it | it | she,it | she | they (MPERS) | they <br> (NON- <br> M- <br> PERS) | they |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P | ja | ty | my | wy | on | ono | ona |  | oni | one |  |
| R | ja | ty | my | vy | on/ ono |  |  | ona |  |  | oni |
| U | ja | ty | my | vy | vin/ vono |  |  | vona |  |  | vony |
| Ac |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P | (mię)/ <br> mnie | cię/ ciebie | nas | was | go/ <br> jego/ niego | je/ nie | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ją/ } \\ & \text { nią } \end{aligned}$ |  | ich/ <br> nich | je/ nie |  |
| R | menja | tebja | nas | vas | ( n ego |  |  | ( n )eë |  |  | ( n ) ix |
| U | mene | tebe | nas | vas | joho |  |  | jiji |  |  | jix |
| Ge |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P | (mię)/ <br> mnie | cię/ ciebie | nas | Was | go/ <br> jego/ niego | go/ <br> jego/ niego | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { jej/ } \\ & \text { niej } \end{aligned}$ |  | ich/ <br> nich | ich/ <br> nich |  |
| R | menja | tebja | nas | vas | ( n ego |  |  | ( n )eë |  |  | ( n ) ix |
| U | mene | tebe | nas | vas | joho |  |  | jiji |  |  | jix |
| Da |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{m}$ <br> nie | ci/ <br> tobie | nam | wam | mu/ <br> jemu/ niemu | mu/ <br> jemu/ niemu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { jej/ } \\ & \text { niej } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{im} / \\ & \text { nim } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{im} / \\ & \text { nim } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| R | mne | tebe | nam | vam | ( n )emu |  |  | ( n ) j |  |  | ( n ) im |
| U | meni | tobi | nam | vam | jomu |  |  | jij |  |  | jim |
| In |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | nimi |  |


| P | mną | tobą | nami | wami | nim | nim | nią |  | nimi |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R | mnoj | toboj | nami | wami | (n)im |  |  |  | (n)ej |  |  |
| U | mnoj | toboj | namy | vamy | nym |  |  |  |  | neju |  |
| (n)imi |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | nymy |  |
| P | mnie | tobie | nas | was | nim | nim | niej |  | nich | nich |  |
| R | mne | tebe | nas | vas | nëm |  |  | nej |  |  |  |
| U | meni | tobi | nas | vas | n'omu/nim |  |  | nij |  |  |  |

It should be mentioned that there is a special reflexive pronoun, or, in case of Ukrainian and Russian, only short accusative of it (Auty, 1977, p. 22) that denotes in most of its functions passive voice, e.g., książka się drukuje 'the book is being printed'. Apart from this, as stated by researcher Shevelov (1993, p. 986), there are other variations of its usage:

- reciprocity (Ukrainian: vony pociluvalysja 'they kissed each other')
- fulfillment of an action (Russian: najelsja 'he ate his fill')
- impersonalization (Ukrainian: xoćet'sja 'one feels like (doing something)')
- exclusion of the object (Polish: tu się pije wódkę 'One drinks vodka here’ (Rothstein, 1993, p. 712).

Polish reflexivity is evidently expressed by reciprocal pronoun się being placed usually after the verb it modifies. However, Rast (1960) states the possibility of its appearance after the first stressed elements in the sentence, i.e., it needs an initial stressed element to follow.

In Russian and Ukrainian, on the other hand, this reciprocal element appears as a verbal postfix -sja, inseparable from the verb, e.g., umyvajusja ‘I wash myself’ (Shevelov, 1993, p. 987).

### 2.2.3.3 Adjectival Morphology

Adjectives in Polish, Russian, and Ukrainian agree with the head noun in gender, case and number and may have comparative and superlative forms. Formation of adjectival forms according to these categories is similar among the three languages to a great extent.

The point to be paid attention to is the degree of comparison. Polish and Ukrainian are rather similar in this respect. The comparative degree in these languages is formed either synthetically with the suffixes -(ej)sz- and -(i)š- or analytically with bardzej and bil'š respectively. For instance, in Polish: piękny-pięknejszy/bardzej piękny 'beautiful', in Ukrainian: harnyj-harnišyj/bil’š harnyj 'beautiful'. Superlative form of Polish and Ukrainian adjectives is build with the prefix naj- added to an adjective in synthetic comparative form, e.g., Polish: pięknejszy - najpięknejszy, Ukrainian: harnišyj najharnišyj.

Russian synthetic comparative makes use of suffix -e, basically with the stem mutation, e.g. dorogoj-dorože 'dear'. The analytic comparative is formed with the help of the adverb bólee (Timberlake, 1993, pp. 845-846). Superlative degree of Russian adjectives is formed by the addition of adverb samyj in front of the adjective: sil'nyj - samyj sil'nyj 'strong'.

Thus, the way of formation of Russian comparative degrees contrasts with that of Ukrainian and Polish especially in case of superlative degree.

### 2.2.3.4 Verbal Morphology

Verbs in Polish, Russian, and Ukrainian inflect for person, number, tense and mood. In past tense, they do not inflect for person but do inflect for gender in singular. Besides they belong to either imperfective or perfective aspect. Moreover, as it is considered by many researches (Auty, 1977, Rothstein, 1993, Shevelov, 1993), the category of aspect
has become more important than that of tense. Verbs in these three languages are usually divided into conjugation types. There are two of them in Russian and Ukrainian and four in Polish. One can follow the change of the verbal forms according to conjugation in table 3. The infinitive form endings differ in all three languages, whereas the endings of 2 SG PRS coincide. The ending v signifies 3 SG PAST both in Ukrainian and Polish, just as šy does for Past Verbal Adverb. At the same time, there are certain forms common between Ukrainian and Russian, such as 1 SG PRS and 2 PL PRS. All in all, it can be definitely concluded that there are more differences between Polish and Russian rather than between those and Ukrainian.

Table 3: Polish, Russian and Ukrainian Conjugations

|  | Polish(1) | Polish(II) | Polish(III) | Polish(IV) | Ukr(I) | Ukr(II) | Rus(I) | Rus(II) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Infinitive | Prosić <br> (request) | nieść(carry) | pisać(write) | coisać(hew) | ity(go) | Robyty <br> (do) | čitat' <br> (read) | govorit' (tell) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 3SG } \quad \mathrm{M} \\ & \text { PAST } \end{aligned}$ | prosił | niósł | pisał | ciosał | išov | robyv | čital | govoril |
| Past <br> verbal <br> adverb | (po)prosiwszy | (za)niósłszy | (na)pisawszy | (ob)ciosawszy | išovšy | robyvšy | (pro)citav | (po)govoriv |
| 1SG PRS | prosze | niosę | piszę | ciosam | idu | roblju | čitaju | govorju |
| 2SG PRS | prosisz | niesiesz | piszesz | ciosasz | ideš | robyš | čitaješ | govoriš |
| 3SG PRS | prosi | niesie | pisze | ciosa | ide | robyt' | čitajet | govorit |
| 1PL PRS | prosimy | niesiemy | piszemy | ciosamy | idemo | robymo | čitajem | govorim |
| 2 PL PRS | prosicie | niesiecie | piszecie | ciosacie | idete | robyte | čitajete | govorite |
| 3 PL PRS | proszą | niosą | piszą | ciosają | idut' | robljat' | čitajut | govorjat |
| Present verbal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| adverb | prosząc | niosąc | pisząc | ciosając | idučy | robljačy | čitaja | govorja |
| adjective | proszący | niosący | piszący | ciosający | idučyj | robljačyj | čitajuščij | govorjaščij |
| Imperati ve | proś | nieś | pisz | ciosaj | idy | roby | čitaj | govori |

### 2.2.4 Syntax

Word order paradigm in Polish, Russian and Ukrainian is strikingly similar. Shevelov (1993) defined the following features of Ukrainian word order, which shares with Polish and Russian.

Prepositions precede noun phrase. Coordinating conjunctions are placed between the elements they link, subordinating conjunctions at the beginning of the subordinate clause. The subject precedes the verb. The verb precedes its object(s). The attributive adjective precedes its head noun. An adverb derived from an adjective precedes the word it modifies; an adverb of other origin follows the word it modifies. The adnominal genitive follows its head noun.

Ukrainian shares this feature only with Russian, while in the Polish language, especially in spoken variation, genitive expressions of possession are often preposed, mostly if the noun refers to a person (Rothstein, 1993).

Shevelov (1993) states that "elements within word order pattern can be often displaced in cases it has to do with emphasis, either to make the contextual ties more obvious (topicalization) or to make it prominent logically and/or emotionally (focus)" (p. 978). The shifting elements can be only phrases.

Special attention must be paid to the patterns of question formation. While WHquestions are constructed identically in all three languages by placing a question word at the initial position of the declarative sentence without changing word order, the general question formation is different between Polish/Ukrainian and Russian. The former languages make use of the interrogative particle čy placed at the beginning of the sentence. Russian, on the contrary, does not have such a particle, having interrogative sentences formed from the declarative ones only by intonation. It is noted by Timberlake (1993) that general question in Russian can also be constructed with the particle li after any constituent in sentence-initial position, e.g., ne zdes' li soveršën
povorot istorii? (p. 861) 'was it not here that the turning point in history occurred?' However it belongs to the high style and almost not used in spoken Russian.

The Polish language differs from Russian and Ukrainian in that the personal pronoun subject is often omitted in it. On account of the fact that person, number and gender are marked on verbs, in many contexts, an explicit subject would only create redundancy unless it was used for the purpose of emphasis or contrast Rast (1960). In Russian and Ukrainian, it is not usual to omit unstressed subject pronouns; otherwise, it creates the mood of reserve.

Comparatively to Ukrainian and Russian, Polish is abundant for use of the copula 'be'. In the copula constructions in all the tenses there is always verb być 'be' present. Its form is modified according to the tense, person and number. In Russian and Ukrainian copula byt' and buty respectively inflects for the same categories, except for its absence in present tense. Thus, the sentences 'I am a foreigner', 'I was a foreigner', 'I will be a foreigner' would sound in Polish, Russian and Ukrainian as follows:
(Ja) jestem cudzoziemiec. (Ja) byłem cudzoziemcem. (Ja) będę cudzoziemcem (Polish) Ja inostranec. Ja byl inostrancem. Ja budu inostrancem (Russian) Ja inozemec'. Ja byl inozemcem. Ja budu inozemcem (Ukrainian).

### 2.2.5 Deixis

According to Fillmore (1997), traditionally deixis refers to the three categories of contextual information: person (I-you), place (this - that, here - there), and time (now then).

Personal deixeis are common for Polish, Russian, and Ukrainian, e.g., ja 'I' and ty, vy (singular and plural forms of 'you'). They decline according to Case and it was described in the chapter "Pronominal morphology".

Place deixeis 'this' - ten (Polish), etot (Russian), tsej (Ukrainian) and 'that' - tamten (Polish), tot (Russian), toj (Ukrainian) are declined in Case in the following way:

Table 4: Polish, Russian and Ukrainian Place Deixeis

|  | this |  |  |  | that |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Singular |  |  | Plural | Singular |  |  | Plural |
|  | M | N | F |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P | ten | to | ta | ci/te | tamten | tamto | tamtą | tamci/tamte |
| R | etot | eto | eta | eti | tot | to | ta | te |
| U | tsej | tse | tsja | tsi | toj | te | ta | ti |
| Ac |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P | ten/tego | to | tę | tych/te | tamten/ tamtego | tamto | tamtę | tamtych/tamte |
| R | etot/etogo | eto | etu | etix/eti | tot/togo | to | tu | tex/te |
| U | tsej/tsjoho | tse | tsju | tsyx | toj/toho | te | tu | tyx/ti |
| Ge |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P | tego | tego | tej | tych | tamtego | tamtego | tamtej | tamtych |
| R | etogo | etogo | etoj | etix | togo | togo | toj | tex |
| U | tsjoho | tsjoho | tsijeji | tsyx | toho | toho | tijeji | tyx |
| Da |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P | temu | temu | tej | tym | tamtem u | tamtemu | tamtej | tamtym |
| R | etomu | etomu | etoj | etim | tomu | tomu | toj | tem |
| U | tsjomu | tsjomu | tsij | tsym | tomy | tomy | tij | tym |
| In |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P | tym | tym | tą | tymi | tamtym | tamtym | tamtą | tamtymi |
| R | etim | etim | etoj | etimi | tem | tem | toj | temi |
| U | tsym | tsym | tsijeju | tsymy | tym | tym | tijeju | tymy |
| Lo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P | tym | tym | tej | tych | tamtym | tamtym | tamtej | tamtych |
| R | etom | etom | etoj | etix | tom | tom | toj | tex |
| U | tsjomu | tsjomu | tsij | tsyx | tomu | tomu | tij | tyx |

Polish, Russian and Ukrainian place deixeis 'here' and 'there' are represented in the following table:

Table 5: Polish, Russian and Ukrainian Place Deixeis 'here' and 'there'

|  | here | there |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Polish | tutaj | tam |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Russian | zdes' | tam |
| Ukrainian | tut | tam |

Polish, Russian and Ukrainian time deixeis 'now' and 'then' are represented in the following table:

Table 6 : Polish, Russian and Ukrainian Place Deixeis 'now' and 'then'

|  | now | then |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Polish | teraz | wtedy |
| Russian | sejčas | togda |
| Ukrainian | zaraz | todi |

### 2.2.6 Language Constellation

"Language Constellation" is defined by House and Rehbein as "the interaction of the languages involved, participants' multilingual skills, and the mode in which language is being used" (2004, p. 2).

The current research focused on the multilingual communication requires the language constellation to be described.
"Language constellation" involves the following parameters:

1 The languages used

The languages used in the experiment are Polish, Russian and Ukrainian.

2 The speech situation (differentiated according to discourse and text)

The communication between the participants was organized in form of a discourse.

3 The roles of the participants (presence or absence of interpreters, translators)

Participants talked in their respective native languages and were not helped by any third person.

## 4 The socio-political status of the languages involved

All the three languages are the state languages in their respective countries. Poland and Russia are monolingual and their languages are the only languages spoken in their territories. Also, Russian enjoys the status of lingua franca in all the post-soviet countries. One of them is Ukraine, where Russian enjoys the status of second native language.

5 The skills of the participants (in a continuum from monolingual to multilingual etc.)

Poles generally are able to speak only one mother tongue - Polish. Similarly, Russians acquire knowledge only of Russian from birth. With respect to Ukrainians, two languages, both Ukrainian and Russian may be regarded as their mother tongues. Russian, if not used fluently in all parts of Ukraine, comprehended perfectly by $100 \%$ of Ukrainian population. In South-Eastern part of Ukraine Russian is used as a first language nearly by all people whereby Ukrainian is used scarcely and pushed back to the level of a passive knowledge.

## 6 The typological distance of the languages involved

The three languages belong to the same Slavonic group, but to different branches: Russian and Ukrainian - to East Slavonic and Polish - to West Slavonnic.

7 The degree of language separation, language mixing, or switching

The boarder areas of Poland-Ukraine and Ukraine-Russia have certain dialects bearing the features of the language behind the boarder.

According to Sussex and Cubberley's (2006) research about Slavic languages, Poland has five main dialects on its territory: Kashubian in the north, Great Polish in the west,

Silesian in the south-west, Little Polish in the south-east and Mazovian in the east and north-east. The Little Polish and Mazovian dialects reveal a certain transition to East Slavonic languages, mostly, on the phonological level. For instance, the vowels ą and ę, being always nasal in standard Polish, may appear as denasalized a and e in the two dialects. Similarly, the velarization of $n$ to $\eta$ before velars in standard Polish does not appear in Mazovian dialect; the word 'bank' sounds as [bapk] in standard Polish, and [bank] in Mazovian dialect, in what it is close to East Slavonic languages.

The Ukrainian language is specific for the three major dialects: a northern dialect influenced much by Russian, the south-western dialect showing some features of Polish and a big similarity to the standard Ukrainian lexically, and south-eastern dialect being the closest one to the standard Ukrainian, mostly in terms of phonology and morphology (Sussex and Cubberley, 2006).

The south-western dialect reveals many features in common with the Polish language, such as existence of the clitic forms of the personal pronouns m'a, t'a, s'a, my, ty, sy, ju, n'u, parallel to the regular Ukrainian forms mene, tebe, sebe, meni, tobi, sobi, jiji. Moreover, the reflexive particle -sja is often used in the south-western region separately from the verb which makes it different from the standard Ukrainian and close to Polish, e.g., vin b'jet'sja 'he beats himself' in south-western dialect sounds as vin b'je sja (on bije się - Polish).

Sussex and Cubberley (2006) also found that south-western dialect reveals a "remnant of the former compound past tense, very much as in Polish, where the auxiliary has been reduced to a suffix" (p.521). The standard Ukrainian xodyv 'I was going' is used as xodivjem in the south-western dialect, resembling Polish chodziłem.

Regarding the northern and south-eastern dialects of Ukrainian, the major influence of Russian may be traced down in phonology. Thus, in the northern dialect, unlike in standard Ukrainian, i may palatalize the preceding consonant: odyn 'one’ (standard Ukrainian) - odin (nothern dialect) - odin (Russian). Similarly, the standard Ukrainian
pysar 'clerk' with the depalatalized final consonant is modified to pysar' in south-eastern dialect under the influence of Russian pisar' (Sussex and Cubberley, 2006).

Apart from the three main dialects in the Ukrainian language, there are also certain transitions from Romanian and Hungarian at the border areas as visible on the map below. Also, in the Carpathian region, western Ukraine, the Rusyn language is spoken. Besides, there are several thousand people in the southern Ukraine speaking Urum, a variant of Crimean Tatar language, but often mistaken for a dialect of the Greek language (Podolsky, 1986).


Figure 2: Multilingual Ukraine (Gordon \& Grimes, 2005)

Russia's dialects are concentrated mostly in its European side and are divided conventionally in three main dialects: northern, southern and central.

In the current study, the southern dialect is of the main concern as it reveals transitions to the Ukrainian language. The notable phonological feature of the dialect is the fricative pronunciation of $g$ in the Ukrainian style - [h].

Adjectives in southern Russian dialect are influenced by the way they are formed in Ukrainian: standard Russian staraya 'old' is changed to stara in southern dialect (stara in Ukrainian). Interestingly, the same process happens in the northern and southnorthern dialects of Ukrainian - adjectives gain the Russian particle ya.

Finally, the southern dialect gained from Ukrainian the softening of final $t$ to $t^{\prime}$ in the 3 Person plural non-past: idut 'they go' (standard Russian) - idut' (southern Russian) idut' (Ukrainian).

Polish, Russian and Ukrainian languages have more or less a common history, not only belonging to the same language group, but also neighboring territorially. The biggest trace of historical interaction among the three languages can be discovered in Ukrainian which underwent centuries influencing both from Polish and Russian.

Originally not belonging to East Slavonic, Polish has more differences from both Russian and Ukrainian than they have between each other. At the same time Polish seems to differ from the other two languages unequally in view of its closer relation to Ukrainian historically and territorially.

Considering the position of the Russian language it appears to differ from Polish to a greater extent rather than from Ukrainian.

With respect to phonology, morphology and syntax, Polish seems to stay somewhat away from Russian and Ukrainian, showing more features in common with the latter. Generally, all three languages share the same grammatical categories nearly in all cases, revealing differences in the ways of their formation, in each language to a different extent.

### 2.3 PROBLEMATIC TALK IN INTERCULTURAL COMMUNICATION

### 2.3.1 The Nature of Miscommunication

According to Banks, Ge and Baker (1991), the phenomenon of "miscommunication" refers to situations when a problematic understanding occurs, one that is not intended, but recognized at least by one speaker.

Rehbein, J. \& Kameyama, Sh. (2003) suggest that every speech action is considered as in three parts (pre-history, history and post-history) with misunderstanding being possibile to occur in each of it. All the steps of understanding refer to the hearer's mental processes performed in recepting speaker's speech action.

Table 7: Stages of hearer's reception of the speech action

| Pre-history | (I) <br> (II) | Assessment of the situation <br> Formation of the hearer's expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| History | (III) <br> (IV) <br> (V) | perception of <br> - the utterance act or elements of it <br> - identification of the illocutionary act <br> - identification of the propositional act reconstruction of speaker's plan -> formation of the hearer's plan with <br> - focus of action <br> - schema of speech action <br> - whole speaker's plan reconstructed <br> hearer's adoption of speaker's plan |
| Post-history | (VI) | follow-up action (continuation of hearer's role or adoption of speaker's role) |

Since present research is concerned with the cases of proplematic understanding, the table was referred when reconstruction (failure to reconstruct, partial reconstruction) and adoption (failure to adopt, partial adoption) of speaker's plan was discussed.

Bazzanella and Damiano (1999, p. 821) differentiate three "triggers of misunderstanding":

Triggers relating to the speaker:
(1) 'Local' factors, such as speaker's slips of the tongue, misconceptions, use of ambiguous forms.
(2) 'Global' factors concerning the structuring of information both on the pragmatic and on the syntactic level.

Triggers related to the interlocutor:
(1) Knowledge problems, such as false beliefs, lexical incompetence, gaps in encyclopedic knowledge.
(2) Cognitive processes, such as wrong inferences, and the cognitive load and its effects on the interlocutor's production.

Triggers related to the interaction between the participants:
(1) Non-shared knowledge
(2) Topic organization
(3) Focusing problems

As far as sources of miscommunication are concerned, Bazzanella and Damiano (1999, p. 819) distinguish them according to their occurrence on certain linguistic level:

1. Phonetic
2. Syntactic
3. Lexical
4. Semantic
4.1 Propositional content
4.2 Reference expressions
4.2.1 'external'
4.2.2 Addressee
5. Pragmatics
6. Illocutionary force and indirect speech acts
7. Non-literal uses: implicatures, irony, metaphor, etc.
7.1 Relevance
7.2 Topic
7.3 Plans

The triggers and the sources of miscommunication suggested by Bazzanella and Damiano (1999) were considered in the present study while analysis of speakers' actions in the situations of miscommunication. Indeed, speakers, recognizing lack of understanding on hearer's side, employ various devices to make themselves clearer. Therefore, they make inference of what could have been the reasons for hearers' problematic understanding, and, according to it, modify the non-understood utterances. So, in the qualitative research, an attempt was taken to follow speakers' mental processes of how they come to a certain way of meta discourse.

Discussing the problematic understanding, Graumann (1995) introduces such reasons of its occurrence as lack of cooperativeness and discrepancy of expectations. Concerning the lack of cooperativeness, Graumann (1995) suggests that in order to avoid it the interactants are supposed to make their contributions in relevance to the purpose and situation of the discourse. Regarding the discrepancy of expectations, it has to do with people's intuitive expectation that the interlocutor makes similar assumptions about them and their knowledge of the subject topic.

Of a particular interest for the present study appears to be the typification of understanding problems on the hearer's side. It is proposed in Dua's (1990, p. 119) classification of types of perception:

1 non-hearing / non-understanding
2 partial hearing / partial understanding
3 mishearing / misunderstanding

4 hearing / understanding

Dua's (1990, p. 119) classification was taken as the basic for present study's classification of hearer's reception problems.

### 2.3.2 Intercultural Miscommunication

Koole and ten Thije (1994) define culture as a human product which an individual learns from people around him/her and, therefore, gains certain knowledge of behavior style.

Rehbein (2010, p. 1) defines intercultural communication as "the mediation of cultural differences between social groups through verbal or non-verbal interaction" and adds that "this kind of bridgeover requires specific techniques necessary for creating the participants' mutual understanding".

In view of Knapp and Knapp Potthoff (1994), shared by one human group knowledge of the ways of thinking, acting and speaking may cause trouble in interaction with members of another cultural, ethnic or social group.

Gumperz (1995) speaks about "cultural knowledge" which is concerned with two types of knowledge. The first one is the internalized background knowledge of activity types, i.e. understanding by the hearer the speaker's norms of interpersonal conduct, values, communicative goals and purposes. The second one refers to understanding of the functions of the relevant "contextualization cues" in the discourse. Gumperz states that since the contextualization conventions are common to all speakers of a certain languages, the understanding cannot be guaranteed if the speakers belong to different language group.

Since receptive multilingual communication is the communication in at least two languages, the contextualization cues may be expressed in two or more styles. Thus, it may block or distort hearer's understanding of speaker's utterances.

According to Banks, Ge and Baker (1991), problematic understanding in intercultural communication may be caused by:

- Culture difference. Speakers who do not possess much shared background information are apt to face difficulties in understanding each other. Gumperz and Roberts (1991) note that intercultural encounters are more than simply presenting and evaluating the information. It also encompasses the rhetorical strategies through which the information is presented. As far as rhetorical strategies rely on indirectness and metaphorical allusions, the successful evaluation presupposes shared background assumptions, therefore, "when there are significant differences in background knowledge, the same message may be interpreted differently by different individuals" (p. 51).
- Linguistic failures. The interactants must share basic linguistic codes; otherwise, the understanding does not happen.
- Failed pragmatics. In any type of discourse the interactants exchange signals referring to the context, which Gumperz (1982) calls "contextualization cues". He defines them as "the means by which speakers signal and the listeners interpret what the activity is, how semantic context is to be understood and how each sentence relates to what precedes or follows" (p. 131). Unlike words the meanings of contextualization cues are implicit and cannot be discussed out of context. They are only conveyed as part of the interactive process. Gumperz claims that if all the cues made by speaker are noticed and interpreted by the hearer in a way they are meant the understanding happens. Otherwise, the cooperation fails and it leads to the "micommunication".
- Problems of identity. Members of different human groups have different communication behavior, including their choices of linguistic codes and interactional strategies. Certain characteristics of a certain group lead speakers outside the group to develop expectations of the communication behavior of the in-group members. When expectations do not meet reality, the misunderstanding takes place. Rehbein (2006) notes that in case of prejudice it is difficult to separate the social experiential knowledge from evaluation, since "the evaluation apparatus tends to act as an integral part of the knowledge structures" (p. 70).


### 2.4 LINGUA RECEPTIVA (LaRa)

The concept of "Lingua Receptiva" (abbreviated as LaRa) was suggested by Rehbein, ten Thije and Verschik with the aim to signify the receptive component of communication in receptive multilingualism. According to the definition, LaRa is "the ensemble of those linguistic, mental, interactional as well as intercultural competences which are creatively activated when listeners are receiving linguistic actions in their "passive" language or variety" (Rehbein, ten Thije and Verschik, 2009, p.1).

As far as successfulness of receptive multilingual communication is based on the correct reception, and, therefore, understanding, the phenomenon of Lingua Receptiva has but the most essential role in providing it.

There are hearer's and speaker's components distinguished in LaRa. Regarding hearer's Lingua Receptiva, such processes as nonverbal signals, prosodic elements expressing agreement or disagreement, echo questions, formulaic expressions as I don't understand are defined by Rehbein, ten Thije and Verschik (2009). Similarly, they determine the speaker's strategies of Lingua Receptiva: reformulations, repairs, recapitulations, rephrasings.

Lingua Receptiva of both hearers and speakers is activated as an additional assisting element of communication in the moments when it is necessary to overcome an understanding problem, i.e., the failure of hearer to find an equivalent in his/her mother tongue to what was uttered in speaker's language. On the hearer's side LaRa is expressed in the attempt to find the lost thread of understanding, to secure the sure understanding, to signal complete non-understanding with a request for clarification, or to show speaker the way in which the problematic element may be explained. Speaker's component of LaRa consists of accommodation processes aimed at modifying the problematic message to an easier variant. Rehbein, ten Thije and Verschik (2009) describe the processes as "lexical and morphological adaptations to what the speaker imagines the hearer in his/her recipient language would better understand" (p. 2).

One of the most essential strategies of hearer's LaRa is inference making. As stated by Rehbein, ten Thije and Verschik (2009), it comprises "not only formal linguistic knowledge, but also common institutional knowledge, discourse type knowledge, pattern knowledge and, last but not least, linguistic knowledge of family type and language contact type in order to construct understanding" (9). However, Rehbein (2006) notes that in receptive multilingual communication hearer's inferencing may be blocked by prejudices or ethnic differences.

## CHAPTER 3

## METHOD

### 3.0 Presentation

This chapter presents the description of the participants involved, data collection procedures, data collection instruments and data interpretation.

### 3.1 Participants

The participants of the study are 12 Erasmus students at Middle East Technical University: 4 from Poland, 4 from Ukraine, 4 from Russia. Among them there are 4 guys and 8 girls. All of them are of the age 20-30 with their degrees varying from undergraduate to doctorate.

All of the participants do not have any knowledge of any other Slavonic language but their native one (in case of Ukrainians there are two native languages: Ukrainian and Russian). Yet, they all speak English fluently and most of them know one more foreign language (prevalently German).

Some of them know a few words from their counterparts' native language, due to travelling in the respective countries or interaction with people from those countries.

Three participants tried receptive multilingual communication out of curiosity (Polish girl-participant with Russian guy-non-participant, Russian guy while his trip to Poland and Russian girl while her trip to Poland).

However most of the participants have never had any contact with the counterparts' languages.

Noteworthy information about the participants is their places of origin in their respective countries considering the dialectal divergence within one state.

## Polish participants

There are 2 participants from West of Poland - Olga and Dariusz (Wroclaw), 1 from South - Peter (Krakow) and 1 from East - Monika (Lublin).

In Olga and Dariusz's place of origin Great Polish dialect makes the main form of language. It is the closest dialect to the standard Polish, though it shows some transitions to Czech and Slovak (Sussex and Cubberley, 2006).

The language of Peter's and Monika's home cities is marked by Little Polish and Mazovian dialects for which Ukrainian influenced features are typical.

## Ukrainian participants

There are 2 participants from South-Eastern Ukraine - Anna (Dnipropetrovs'k) and Andrei (Yevpatoria), 1 from Northern Ukraine - Olya (Kharkov) and 1 from Western Ukraine - Vika (Vinnitsa).

Olya, Anna and Andrei's places of origin belong to the area characterized by the prevalence of south-eastern and northern dialects of Ukrainian which are influenced by neighboring Russian. Moreover, in these regions more than half of population uses Russian as the everyday language. The participants themselves use Russian far more often than Ukrainian.

Vika's home city is located in the eastern part of the conventionally defined Western Ukraine. The area is marked by the existence of the south-western dialect which bears features borrowed from the Polish language. In Vinnitsa, Polish marking is not as intensive as in more western regions but still quite visible comparatively to the standard Ukrainian. Vinnitsa population prefers speaking Ukrainian in everyday communication, so does Vika and her family.

## Russian participants

3 Russian participants, Katya, Tanya and Yana are originally from Siberia (Izhevsk, Barnaul and Irkutsk). No linguistic peculiarities influenced by Ukrainian, what is interesting for the research, exist in the Siberian region.

1 participant, Rustam, comes from Kaliningrad. The region's population is not homogeneous; as stated in the Russian Census (2002), it comprises inhabitants of more than 14 nationalities. Yet, the main language of the region remains the standard Russian with $82 \%$ of Russians occupying the territory. However, the multilingual background certainly makes the linguistic situation different from that in Russian. Besides, closeness to Polish border predisposes a better understanding of Polish by Rustam than by the speakers from Siberia.

In order to estimate the participants' preknowledge of the other two languages, the table 8 was made according to the factors which could possibly affect the more successful perception of interlocutor's language. There are eight columns:
(1) The name of the participant.
(2) The name of the city of the participant's origin.
(3) If the participant has any knowledge of the languages of the other participants.
(4) If the participant has an access to radio or TV channels in the languages of the other participants in his/her home city.
(5) If the participant has any experience of communication with people who speak the languages of the other participants.
(6) If the participant travelled to the home countries of the other participants.
(7) If the participant has relatives originally from the home countries of the other participants.
(8) If the participant has ever had an experience of using the receptive multilingualism in the Polish-Russian-Ukrainian languages.

Table 8: Participants' preknowledge of research languages

| Name of the participa nt | City of origin | Any knowledge of the languages of the other participant s | Access to radio or tv channels in the lang-uages of the other participant s | Experience of communication with the speakers of the languages of the other participants | Travelling experience to the homecountri es of the other participants | Relatives from the homecountri es of the other participants | Experience of using receptive multilingualis m |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dariusz | Wroclaw <br> (Poland) | no | no | no | no | Has Russian father | no |
| Monika | Lublin <br> (Poland) | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| Olga | Wroclaw <br> (Poland) | no | no | has Russian friends | no | no | yes, with a <br> Russian <br> speaker |
| Peter | Krakow (Poland) | no | no | has Russians friends | had oneweek touristic trip to Ukraine | no | no |
| Vika | Vinnitsa <br> (Ukraine) | Russian | Russian | has both Russian and Polish friends | no | no | no |
| Olya | Kharkov (Ukraine) | Russian | Russian | Has Russian friends | no | no | no |
| Anna | Dnepropetrov sk (Ukraine) | Russian | Russian | Has Russian friends | no | no | no |
| Andrei | Yevpatoria (Ukraine) | Russian | Russian | has both <br> Russian and Polish friends | no | no | no |
| Rustam | Kaliningrad (Russia) | no | no | Communicate d with Poles while his twoweek trip to Poland | had two- week internship trip to Poland | no | Used receptive multilinguali sm while his trip in Poland |
| Katya | Izhevsk <br> (Russia) | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| Tanya | Barnaul <br> (Russia) | no | no | no | Had twoweek touristic trip to Ukraine when she was 5 years old | no | no |
| Yana | Irkutsk | no | no | Communicate | had two- | Has | Used |


|  | (Russia) |  | d with Poles <br> while her <br> two-week <br> trip to Poland | week <br> touristic trip <br> to Poland | Ukrainian <br> father | receptive <br> multilinguali <br> sm while <br> her trip in <br> Poland |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

### 3.2 Data Collection

The experiment was organized in a way in which each participant was involved in the discourse with two representatives of the other 2 groups separately, e.g. one Russian conversed with one Ukrainian and one Pole. Thus, every participant had experience of receptive multilingual communication with the speakers of the other two Slavonic languages.

All the conversations lasted for 40-45 minutes, and were recorded with digital camera, including both sound and image. Students were informed that they were going to be recorded. The period of recording lasted about 2 months with all conversations recorded on different days.

Participants were offered 5 topics for conversations:

1. Equal rights: your opinion.
2. Injustice / unfairness: your own experiences at school.
3. Significance of religion in everyday life: general and / or personal view.
4. Travel abroad / to a country of your choice.
5. After your studies which profession do you want to practice?

Mostly participants chose the last two topics.

Before each conversation the students were instructed about the organization of the recording and about the strategies of the conversation, aimed at mutual understanding. To be more precise, they were asked to require a further explanation or reformulation in case they do not understand some utterance. Similarly, they were asked to try to
make themselves understood in case if their party's misunderstanding, to continue speaking in their native language and to switch into English only in cases when they get completely stuck. Also, they were asked to relax and feel like in natural discourse environment.

The instruction was held for some while on the way to the recording place, mostly due to the curiosity of the participants, in question-answer manner. Later, the same instruction in shorter form with the function to remind the necessary points was given directly before the recording was started.

### 3.3 Data collection instruments

The data was collected by using digital camera, while both video and audio were recorded.

## CHAPTER 4

## QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS

### 4.0 Presentation

The present chapter presents the methodology of the quantitative analysis. The strategy of calculation of utterances as well as the data analysis are discusseded. Besides, it is explained in this section how the most problematic for speakers' understanding discourse part was defined.

### 4.1 Hearer's receptive utterances

In order to see the entire picture of understanding degree in total and between different language constellations, the rate of problematic utterances to the number of utterances in each conversation was calculated.

First, the number of utterances in each of 12 conversations was counted. It was done by watching the recordings and counting both verbal and non-verbal utterances. The utterances were differentiated between the two speakers in each discourse. The utterances of each speaker were differentiated according to two categories: when he/she performed the role of speaker and when he/she performed the role of hearer.

Secondly, the problematic utterances were counted both as a total number in a discourse and as problematic to each speaker.

The problematic utterances were assumed to be the utterances of speakers that were non-understood, partially understood or misunderstood by hearers. That is, looking at the hearers' responds it was possible to define the speakers' utterances which brought about difficulty for understanding.

Hearers' receptive utterances, according to which the problematic utterances were counted, are ranged within the categories of problematic understanding:

Table 9: Hearers' reactions of problematic understanding

| Polish realization | Russian realization | Ukrainian realization | English paraphrasing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-understanding - absence of understanding of the whole utterance or of essential elements which understanding depends on. |  |  |  |
| Nie rozumiem (rising tone); <br> Jeszcze raz (rising tone) | Не понимаю/Ne ponimaju/ (falling tone); Ещё раз/Ješčë raz/ (rising tone) | Не розумію/Ne rozumiju/ (falling tone); Ще раз/Šče raz/ (rising tone) | Explicit statement: "I don't understand", "Repeat one more time" |
| Hm? (rising tone) | Хм?/Hm?/ (rising tone) | Хм?/Hm?/ (rising tone) | Request for repetition: "Hm?" |
| Eh (progressive intonation, mid tone) | Ээ/Ee/ (progressive intonation, mid tone) | Ээ/Ee/ (progressive intonation, mid tone) | Eh (with a smile) |
| Mhm (falling-rising tone, progressive intonation) | Мгм/Mhm/ (fallingrising tone, progressive intonation) | Мгм/Mhm/ (fallingrising tone, progressive intonation) | "Hm" (with an uncertain countenance) |
| Non-verbal reactions (head shaking, frowning, laughter) |  |  |  |
| Absence of reaction to the speaker's question |  |  |  |
| Quid-pro-quo - the hearer's answer-attempt, relying more on the topic discussed rather than on the speaker's question |  |  |  |
| Change of the topic by the hearer |  |  |  |
| Echo-question in the speaker's language of an element or the whole utterance |  |  |  |
| Echo-question of one element, not bearing the meaning of the utterance, meant to request the clarification of the rest of the utterance which is non-understood |  |  |  |
| Partial understanding - hearer's understanding only of an aspect of speaker's utterance(s). |  |  |  |
| Tak (progressive intonation); Mhm (progressive intonation, fallingrising tone) | Да/Da/ (progressive intonation); Mгм/Mhm/ (progressive intonation, falling-rising tone) | Taк/Tak/ (progressive intonation); Mrм/Mhm/ (progressive intonation, falling-rising tone) | "Yes", "Hm" with the progressive intonation signal to the speaker to continue; the continuation is seen by the hearer as a chance to check and make sure that his understanding is correct |
| Hypothesis - guess-question related to the content of the speaker's utterance(s) in order to make sure that the understanding is correct. Functions as a request for elaboration. |  |  |  |
| Rephrasing of the speaker's question/statement with interrogative intonation |  |  |  |
| Echo-question of the speaker's utterance or element of the utterance in hearer's mother tongue |  |  |  |
| Question-summarization of several utterances said by the speaker |  |  |  |
| Believing to understand - giving an answer / continuing discourse without 100\% confidence that understanding is correct. In most cases it works but the topic may slightly change. |  |  |  |
| Misunderstanding - false belief that understanding is correct. Continuation of the discourse |  |  |  |


| without awareness of it. |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Understanding - comprehension of the whole utterance or of essential elements which <br> understanding depends on. |  |  | Понимаю/Ponimaju/ <br> (mid tone) |
| Rozumiem (low tone) | Розумію/Rozumiju/ <br> (mid tone) | Explicit statement: "I <br> understand" |  |
| No! (rising tone) | Hy!/Nu!/ (rising tone) | Hy!/Nu!/ (rising tone) | Agreement, support: <br> "Yes, that is right!" |
| Mhm (falling-rising <br> tone) | Mгм/Mhm/ (falling- <br> rising tone) | Mгм/Mhm/ (falling- <br> rising tone) | "Hm" (with head <br> nodding) |
| Non-verbal reactions (head nodding, smiling) |  |  |  |

To show how problematic utterances were practically defined one case of misunderstanding was taken and speaker's utterances which created difficulty for hearer's understanding were tracked down.

E1
((The conversation takes place between Polish speaker Dariusz and Ukrainian speaker Anna who discuss traveling abroad)).
[4]

[5]

|  | F | 10[502] | $12[316]$ | 12 [344] | 19[83] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| An[v] | колись у іншій к | -•E |  | $\cdots{ }^{\text {P }}$ |  |
| An [lat] | $u$ inşij krajini? | *-Ee |  | $\cdots \mathrm{Hm}$ |  |
| An[TL] | formerlf in anoter countr- LOC | $\cdots \mathrm{l}$ |  | $\cdots \mathrm{W}$ |  |
| An [eng] | to a foreign country? | $\cdots \mathrm{Ee}$ |  | $\cdots{ }^{\text {- }}$ \% |  |
| An[c] |  |  |  | Uncersin |  |
| $\mathrm{Da}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  |  | Co ja |  | - Cz |
| Da[eng] |  |  | What do |  | - \\|f l wa |



[8]


In s 9 "Ty buv kolys' u inšij krajini?" (Have you ever been to a foreign country?) Anna asks Dariusz if he has an experience of travelling abroad. This utterance is considered problematic for Dariusz since he evidently understood it only partially and, therefore, put a question-hypothesis "Co ja mylślę o Ukrainie?" (What do I think of Ukraine?). Seemingly, he caught the word "krajini" (country) and made inference that Anna was speaking about 'Ukrajina' (Ukraine). However his utterance (s 11) was not completely understood by Anna - she uttered "Hm" with progressive intonation and uncertain countenance in a very hesitating tone. Dariusz tried another question-hypothesis (13) "Czy chciałbym, czy chciałbym jechać do Ukrainy, tak?" (If I want, if I want to visit Ukraine, right?) which appeared to be again only partially understood by Anna. She first says "Ee tak" (Ee yes) and immediately repairs herself with a rephrasing question (s 15) meant for Dariusz's evaluation: "Ty maješ na uvazi ščo moja krajina ce Ukrajina?" (You mean that my country is Ukraine?). She comprehended one word out of his utterance -
"Ukrainy" (Ukraine) and developed her inference to wrong direction. At this point both of the interlocutors experienced non-understanding; moreover, they were aware of it. Nevertheless, Dariusz ignored Anna's question and answered his own guess-question from s 13: "Nie myślałem, nie myślałem o tym, ale chętnie, ale fajnie by było" (I have not considered, I have not considered it yet, but I would like, it would be fine) (s 16). Anna, apparently, lost the trace to the beginning of the conversation, and, being completely confused, replied "Hm". Dariusz continued discourse on another topic.

Thus, in this excerpt three utterances were problematic for the Ukrainian speaker (s 11, 13,16 ) and two utterances - for the Polish speaker (s 9,15 ). Out the five problematic utterances three were partially understood (s 9,11, 13) and two - non-understood (s $15,16)$ by the participants.

After the problematic utterances were defined and counted the percentage of their number to the number of the utterances made by participants when they performed the role of speaker was calculated for each conversation in order to reveal the degree of successfulness of communication of each couple.

Afterwards the percentage of the number of problematic utterances for each participant in each conversation to the number of utterances made by his/her interlocutor was calculated in order that the asymmetry of understanding in each couple is determined.

The statistical presentation of the data was done with the help of SPSS program, nonparametric tests (Kruskal-Wallis test, Man-Whitney test, Friedman test).

In order to define if problematic understanding of participants is concentrated mostly at the beginning, in the middle or at the end of the discourse, each recording was split into three parts according to total number of utterances. Then the number of problematic cases in each of the three parts was counted and percentage of it to the number of utterances in total discourse was calculated.

## CHAPTER 5

## SPSS RESEARCH

### 5.0 Presentation

The present chapter comprises the quantitative analysis and its results. The calculation of utterances is presented and, therefore, the asymmetry of communication success between different pairs and language droups is discussed. Besides, it was defined if understanding rates are different in three parts of each discourse.

### 5.1 Calculation of utterances

According to Aronoff and Rees-Miller (2001), utterance is "a complete unit of talk, bounded by the speaker's silence" (p. 823). In the present study the utterances were distingushed according to this definition. Moreover, nonverbal utterances were counted as well as verbal, including interjections and ostensive signals. The calculation of utterances was done by watching recordings and counting utterances in each of 12 discourses. For each number of utterances required by research and manifested in table 10 , the calculation was repeated at least 3 times in order to verify the exact figure. The method of defining problematic utterances was explained in the previous chapter, in the section "Hearer's receptive utterances".

The calculation of utterances for quantitative research is displayed in the table 10. There are 11 columns in the table; the description of each of them is given as follows:
a. Number of language pair of the study. All pairs are numbered and placed in order according to language constellation. That is, first four numbers signify Russian-Ukrainian couples; 5-8 refer to Polish-Ukrainian couples, 9-12 - Polish Russian couples.
b. Name and language of participant. Names come together with the first letter of respective participant's language in brackets.
c. Number of utterances per total discourse. The utterances in each conversation were counted. The number comprises both verbal and nonverbal utterances on the side of both speakers.
d. Number of utterances per participant in total discourse. In this section the numbers of both verbal and nonverbal utterances on the side of each speaker separately are displayed. The numbers of utterances of each speaker in one pair make up the total number of utterances in the discourse (column c).
e. Number of problematic utterances in total discourse. The number of utterances problematic for both speakers was counted.
f. Number of speakers' utterances relevant to problematic understanding per participant. This section presents the numbers of utterances initiated by participants while their interlocutors occupied the roles of hearers. The numbers were counted for each participant in a pair separately. These utterances are the only ones on the side of each participant to be relevant to hearer's nonunderstanding.
g. Number of hearers' utterances per participant in total discourse. The column displays the number of utterances made by each participant as a hearer. These utterances are not relevant for non-understanding of the other counterpart. Mostly they consist of interjections and nonverbal hearer's reactions.
h. Number of utterances problematic to a participant as listener. This number shows how many utterances of a speaker were problematic to a hearer. It was counted for each participant in a constellation separately. For instance, out of Anna's (U) 290 utterances 3 were problematic for Katya's (R) understanding, and out of Katya's 210 utterances none were problematic for Anna's understanding. The numbers signifying the problematic understanding for each participant in a pair separately make up the number in column e.
i. Percentage of total problematic utterances per number of speakers' utterances in total discourse. The percentage was calculated with the figures in the column $e$ and the sum of the figures of each pair in the column f. For example, if the number of problematic utterances in the discourse between Anna and Katya is 3, and the sum of 290 and 210 is 600 (total number of speakers' utterances), the percentage of non-understanding in the pair is 0.6 .
j. Percentage of utterances problematic to a single participant calculated according to number of speakers' utterances. This section presents the result of the same calculation procedure as in the column i with the only deference that it was made for each participant separately (columns $h$ and f). For instance, if out of 290 Anna's speaker's utterances 3 appeared to be problematic for Katya, the percentage of Katya's non-understood utterances is 1.034 . Similarly, if out of 210 Katya's speaker's utterances 0 appeared to be problematic for Anna, the percentage of Anna's non-understood utterances is 0 .
k. Relation of percentage of non-problematic (comprehended) utterances for each participant with respect to the number of speakers' utterances in total discourse. Out of the percentage of non-understanding for each participant, the percentage of understanding for each participant was calculated. It was done by subtracting of each participant's non-understanding percentage (column j) from 100\%. By designating $100 \%$ understanding as a perfect understanding, it is assumed that normal communication, i.e., the one with common language for speakers, is for $100 \%$ successful. To put it in another way, $100 \%$ understanding in receptive multilingual communication is considered as far successful as a normal communication.

Table 10: Problematic Utterances per Language Constellation and per Participant

| a | b | c |  |  |  |  |  |  | j | k |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R-U |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Anna(U) | 920 | 510 | 3 | 290 | 220 | 3 | 0.6 | 1.034 | 100 |
|  | Katya(R) |  | 410 |  | 210 | 200 | 0 |  | 0 | 98.97 |
| 2 | Olya(U) | 850 | 490 | 4 | 340 | 150 | 4 | 0.851 | 1.176 | 100 : |
|  | Tanya(R) |  | 360 |  | 130 | 230 | 0 |  | 0 | 98.83 |
| 3 | Vika(U) | 1420 | 730 | 47 | 420 | 310 | 47 | 6.266 | 11.190 | 100 |
|  | Rustam(R) |  | 690 |  | 330 | 360 | 0 |  | 0 | 88.81 |
| 4 | Andrei(U) | 1180 | 570 | 5 | 280 | 290 | 5 | 0.806 | 1.785 | 100 |
|  | Yana(R) |  | 610 |  | 340 | 270 | 0 |  | 0 | 98.22 |
| P-U |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Anna(U) | 1200 | 560 | 24 | 260 | 300 | 17 | 3.692 | 5.666 | 98.21: |
|  | Dariusz(P) |  | 640 |  | 390 | 250 | 7 |  | 1.794 | 94.34 |
| 6 | Vika(U) | 1560 | 790 | 104 | 410 | 380 | 85 | 12.839 | 20.731 | 95.25 |
|  | Peter(P) |  | 770 |  | 400 | 370 | 19 |  | 4.75 | 79.27 |
| 7 | Olya(U) | 880 | 470 | 23 | 320 | 150 | 23 | 4.791 | 7.187 | 100 |
|  | Monika(P) |  | 410 |  | 160 | 250 | 0 |  | 0 | 92.82 |
| 8 | Andrei(U) | 1200 | 570 | 11 | 300 | 270 | 7 | 1.666 | 2.333 | 98.89 : |
|  | Olga(P) |  | 630 |  | 360 | 290 | 4 |  | 1.111 | 97.67 |
| P-R |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Katya(R) | 1130 | 560 | 131 | 250 | 310 | 61 | 22.203 | 24.4 | 79.42 : |
|  | Olga(P) |  | 570 |  | 340 | 230 | 70 |  | 20.588 | 75.6 |
| 10 | Rustam(R) | 1300 | 690 | 269 | 460 | 230 | 158 | 38.428 | 34.347 | 53.75 : |
|  | Monika(P) |  | 610 |  | 240 | 370 | 111 |  | 46.25 | 65.66 |
| 11 | Tanya(R) | 1250 | 590 | 112 | 280 | 310 | 55 | 16.969 | 19.642 | 75 |
|  | Peter(P) |  | 660 |  | 380 | 270 | 57 |  | 15 | 80.36 |
| 12 | Yana(R) | 1330 | 640 | 109 | 300 | 340 | 37 | 15.352 | 12.333 | 82.44 |
|  | Dariusz(P) |  | 690 |  | 410 | 280 | 72 |  | 17.560 | 87.67 |

The statistical analysis with SPSS program is based on the Table 10.

### 5.2 Non-parametric tests for the three language pairs

Language pairs were analyzed and compared in terms of degree of understanding.

First, the difference between the total numbers of utterances in each conversation was calculated (Kruskal-Wallis test). The mean number of utterances of each constellation appeared to be 1185 (SD= 216). The mean ranks of the Russian-Ukrainian, PolishUkrainian, and Polish-Russian dyads are $5,6.75$, and 7.75 , respectively $\left(\chi^{2}=1.196, \mathrm{df}=2\right.$, $p=0.550$ ), so the difference is insignificant: speakers in each constellation talked equally much.

Secondly, the mean rank of percentage of problematic utterances per number of speakers' utterances in total discourse for all conversations across all language pairs was calculated (column i) with the Kruskall-Wallice test, again. The mean ranks of the Russian-Ukrainian, Polish-Ukrainian, and Polish-Russian dyads are 3.25, 5.75, and 10.5, respectively $(\chi 2=8.346, \mathrm{df}=2, \mathrm{p}=.015)$. This result signifies that the number of problematic utterances differs significantly among the three language pairs.

Following up on this overall analysis, the Mann-Whitney comparison test was used for each of the language pairs - Russian-Ukrainian vs. Polish-Ukranian, Russian-Ukranian vs. Polish-Russian, and Polish-Ukranian vs. Polish-Russian. The difference between understanding in the Russian-Ukrainian constellation (mean rank $=2.5$ ) and the PolishRussian constellation (mean rank $=6.5$ ) is significant ( $Z=-2.309, p=0.021$ ). Also the difference of understanding degree between the Polish-Ukrainian constellation (mean rank $=2.5$ ) and the Polish-Russian constellation (mean rank $=6.5$ ) was significant ( $Z=-$ 2.309, $p=0.021$ ). And, finally, for the case of the Russian-Ukrainian constellation (mean rank $=3.25$ ) vs. the Polish-Ukrainian constellation (mean rank $=5.75$ ), the difference is insignificant ( $Z=-1.443, p=0.149$ ).

Thus, understanding between the speakers of the three languages can be considered successful overall, with the mean rank of non-understanding only 10.371. As far as understanding of speakers in each constellation is concerned, the smoothest communication happened between Russians and Ukrainians; it was a little harder to understand each other for Poles and Ukrainians, and the most problematic understanding took place in the Polish-Russian constellation. If the gaps between degrees of understanding of each constellation are to be considered, the smallest difference occurs between Russian-Ukrainian and Polish-Ukrainian, i.e. the communication between speakers of these languages is equally successful. Significant gaps, however, have been found for Polish-Ukrainian vs. Polish-Russian and RussianUkrainian vs. Polish-Russian.

### 5.3 Nonparametric tests for subject specific analysis

First, the mean ranks of the total number of utterances per language group were calculated (Kruskal-Wallis test). For Russian, Ukrainian and Polish they are 11.63, 11.06, 14.81, respectively. The results show that speakers of each group talk for insignificantly different amounts $\left(\left(\chi^{2}=1.316, d f=2, p=0.518\right)\right.$.

The same calculation was made, only separately for the three language dyads: RussianUkrainian ( $\left(\chi^{2}=0.333, \mathrm{df}=1, \mathrm{p}=0.564\right)$, Polish-Ukrainian ( $\left(\chi^{2}=0.083, \mathrm{df}=1, \mathrm{p}=0.773\right.$ ), Polish-Russian ( $\left(\chi^{2}=0.190, \mathrm{df}=1, \mathrm{p}=0.663\right)$. Similarly to the previous case, the speakers talked equally much, though considered now in different language constellations.

Secondly, the mean ranks of speaker and hearer related utterances (columns $f$ and $g$ ) with respect to language of the participant and language dyad was calculated. The difference between the numbers of speaker's and hearer's utterances appeared to be insignificant for all the three constellations: Russian-Ukrainian (speaker: $\left(\chi^{2}=1.033, \mathrm{df}=\right.$ 1, $p=0.309$, hearer: $\left(\chi^{2}=0.083, d f=1, p=0.773\right)$, Polish-Ukrainian (speaker: $\left(\chi^{2}=\right.$
0.083, $\mathrm{df}=1, \mathrm{p}=0.773$, hearer: $\left(\chi^{2}=0.084, \mathrm{df}=1, \mathrm{p}=0.772\right)$, Polish-Russian (speaker: $\left(\chi^{2}=0.083, d f=1, p=0.773\right.$, hearer: $\left(\chi^{2}=0.192, d f=1, p=0.661\right)$.

Finally, the degree of problematic understanding for each language group, both in different constellations and in general, was calculated.

In order to define the degree of asymmetry of understanding within each pair the Kruskal-Wallis test was conducted.

The mean ranks of problematic understanding for Russians and Ukrainians in the Russian-Ukrainian constellation are 6.50 and 2.50, respectively, what makes a significant difference ( $\chi^{2}=6.054$, $\mathrm{df}=1, \mathrm{p}=0.014$-). Similarly, Poles and Ukrainians in the PolishUkrainian constellation understand each other unequally, with their mean ranks of problematic understanding being 6.25 and 2.75 , respectively $\left(\left(\chi^{2}=4.083, \mathrm{df}=1, \mathrm{p}=\right.\right.$ 0.043). As for Polish-Russian pairs, the distribution of problematic understanding appeared to be equal on both sides, with mean ranks of 4.50 for both Poles and Russians ( $\left(\chi^{2}=0, d f=1, p=1\right)$.

Also, the mean ranks of problematic understanding per language group irrespective of constellations were calculated. In other words, it was analyzed how successful Poles, Russians and Ukrainians were in receptive multilingualism. The mean rank of Ukrainians' problematic understanding in the whole experiment was 5.50 , of Russians 14.63 , and of Poles 17.38. The understanding level of the speakers of the three languages differs significantly $\left(\left(\chi^{2}=12.473, \mathrm{df}=1, \mathrm{p}=0.002\right)\right.$. Following up on this overall result, additional comparisons between speakers of different languages in the three language dyads were made with aMann-Whitney test. First, the difference between successful understanding between Russians (mean rank $=11.63$ ) and Ukrainians (mean rank $=5.38$ ) was determined and was found significant in favour of Ukrainians ( $Z=-2.665, p=0.008$ ). The same result was found for Poles (mean rank $=12.38$ ) and Ukrainians (mean rank $=$ 4.63) $(Z=-3.305, p=0.001)$. Concerning Russians (mean rank $=7.5$ ) and Poles (mean rank $=9.5$ ), the two groups revealed a similar degree of success in receptive multilingualism $(Z=-0.840, p=0.401)$.

From these findings we can conclude that the distribution of problematic understanding between the speakers in the three language constellations appeared to be not always equal. While in the four conversations between Poles and Russians both language groups showed similar degree of non-understanding, the Russian-Ukrainian and PolishUkrainian constellations revealed obvious differences in the degree of understanding each other. In both of them Ukrainians appeared to understand their counterparts significantly better than vice versa.

Overall, it was found that Ukrainians showed the best success in Polish-RussianUkrainian receptive multilingualism. The understanding of Poles and Russians, however, was significantly lower. Regarding Poles and Russians, the difference between their degrees of success was insignificant, yet, with some better understanding on the Russian side.

The low rates of problematic understanding on the side of the Ukrainian speakers are caused mainly by their perfect understanding of Russian. Also, they understand Poles notably better than they are understood by Poles, for certain socio-historical reasons. (if you plan to discuss these reasons in the "discussion" part of your thesis, please indicate this here, e.g., by saying: "...that will be discussed below." Otherwise the reader is left dissatisfied at this moment.)

### 5.4 Test for change of understanding degree in different parts of discourse

The test was organized in order to define if problematic understanding of participants is concentrated mostly at the beginning, in the middle or at the end of the discourse. For this each discourse was split into three parts according to total number of utterances. Then the number of problematic cases in each of the three parts was counted and percentage of it to the number of utterances in total discourse was calculated. All the numbers were reported in the Table 10.

The table was organized in the following way:
(1) Names of the participants together with the first letter of respective participant's language in brackets.
(2) Number of utterances in total discourse.
(3) Number of utterances in each of the 3 parts of the discourse. The discourse was split into three parts according to the number of utterances in each. It was done by dividing number of utterances in total discourse into 3.
(4) Number of problematic utterances in the $1^{\text {st }}$ part of the discourse.
(5) Number of problematic utterances in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ part of the discourse.
(6) Number of problematic utterances in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ part of the discourse.
(7) Percentage of problematic utterances in the $1^{\text {st }}$ part to the total number of utterances in the $1^{\text {st }}$ part.
(8) Percentage of problematic utterances in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ part to the total number of utterances in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ part.
(9) Percentage of problematic utterances in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ part to the total number of utterances in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ part.

Table 11: Problematic Understanding According to Three Parts of the Discourse

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anna(U),Katya(R) | 920 | 306 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0.980 |
| Vika(U),Rustam(R) | 1420 | 473 | 26 | 5 | 16 | 5.496 | 1.057 | 3.382 |
| Andrei(U),Yana(R) | 1180 | 393 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0.508 | 0.763 | 0 |
| Olya(U),Tanya(R) | 850 | 283 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1.413 | 0 | 0 |
| Anna(U),Dariusz(P) | 1200 | 400 | 21 | 3 | 0 | 5.25 | 0.75 | 0 |
| Vika(U),Peter(P) | 1560 | 520 | 34 | 34 | 36 | 6.538 | 6.538 | 6.923 |
| Olya(U),Monika(P) | 880 | 293 | 11 | 12 | 0 | 3.754 | 4.095 | 0 |
| Angrei(U),Olga(P) | 1200 | 400 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 1.25 | 1.5 | 0 |
| Katya(R), Olga(P) | 1130 | 376 | 42 | 40 | 49 | 11.170 | 10.638 | 13.031 |
| Rustam(R),Monika(P) | 1300 | 433 | 75 | 96 | 98 | 17.321 | 22.170 | 22.632 |
| Tanya(R), Peter(P) | 1250 | 416 | 45 | 20 | 47 | 10.817 | 4.807 | 11.298 |


| Yana(R),Dariusz(P) | 1330 | 443 | 59 | 34 | 16 | 13.318 | 7.674 | 3.611 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

In addition to the language and dyad-related statistical analysis, a further statistical analysis was conducted in order to find out if problematic understanding differs in any of the three parts of the discourse. This analysis therefore investigates the dynamics of the conversations, whether the amount of mutual (mis-) understanding shows a temporal pattern over the discourse.

The mean ranks of problematic cases for all 12 conversations according to 3 parts of discourse were calculated in a Friedman's ANOVA. For the first part the mean rank was 2.17, for the second part 1.88, and for the third part 1.96. The difference between the ranks is not significant ( $\left(\chi^{2}=0.578, \mathrm{df}=2, \mathrm{p}=0.749\right)$.

The same kind of calculation was made, only separately for each language constellation. However, again the results showed insignificant differences: Russian-Ukrainian ( $\left(\chi^{2}=\right.$ 1.000, $\mathrm{df}=2, \mathrm{p}=0.607$ ), Polish-Ukrainian ( $\left(\chi^{2}=1.733, \mathrm{df}=2, \mathrm{p}=0.420\right)$, Polish-Russian $\left(\left(\chi^{2}=2.000, d f=2, p=0.368\right)\right.$.

Thus, there was no specific part of discourse, neither in each particular constellation nor in all conversations in general, in which the number of problematic cases was significantly higher than in others. Understanding and non-understanding was distributed homogeneously throughout the discourses.

## CHAPTER 6

## QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS

### 6.0 Presentation

The chapter presents the methodology of the qualitative analysis. It is discussed how the transcription of problematic understanding cases was done and how the transcribed data was analyzed. Also, it is shown according to which criteria receptive multilingualism was considered successful and unsuccessful.

### 6.1 Transcription

The focus of the qualitative research was placed on the problematic points in the participants' conversations and the devices employed by the speaker to help the hearer overcome his/her lack of understanding. Cases of problematic understanding occurring in each conversation were transcribed using the Exmaralda program, Partitur editor. The transcription contains the verbal tier which presented the actual utterances of participants, its grammar transliteration, translation into English, tier presenting nonverbal actions of speakers and comments on them. Also, in the case of Russian and Ukrainian utterances the tier of transliteration from cyrillic alphabet to latin alphabet was made. The transcription was done by the author of the present study. The translation of Polish tiers into English was checked and corrected by a Polish native speaker.

Table 12: Transcription conventions

| Abbreviation | Explanation of an abbreviation |
| :--- | :--- |
| Names of the participants |  |
| Mo | Monika, Polish participant |
| Da | Dariusz, Polish participant |
| Pe | Peter, Polish participant |
| Ol | Olga, Polish participant |
| Ka | Katya, Russian participant |
| Ta | Tanya, Russian participant |
| Ru | Rustam, Russian participant |


| Ya | Yana, Russian participant |
| :---: | :---: |
| An | Andrei, Ukrainian participant |
| Vi | Vika, Ukrainian participant |
| Ol | Olya, Ukrainian participant |
| An | Anna, Ukrainian participant |
| Names of the tiers |  |
| [v] | verbal tier, represents the speakers' utterances |
| [lat] | transliteration from cyrillic alphabet to latin alphabet (for Russian and Ukrainian); the correspondence of the symbols was taken from "Ukrainian" (Shevelov, 1993) and "Russian" (Comrie, 1991). |
| [TL] | grammar transliteration of the utterance |
| [eng] | translation into English |
| [nv] | explanation of non-verbal actions |
| [c] | comment on the actions of speakers if [c] comes together with speaker's abbreviated name, or on the situation as a whole if [c] comes alone. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { P[lat], R[lat], } \\ & \text { U[lat] } \end{aligned}$ | translation of speaker's utterance to the language of hearer in order to emphasize the similarity or difference between the two variants. For example, if hearer is Russian and speaker - Ukrainian, an utterance of the Ukrainian may be translated into Russian and marked as U[lat]. The sign [lat] signifies that the translation is spelled in latin characters for the sake of easier perception. |
| Morphological transliteration conventions [Rehbein, Schmidt, Meyer, Watzke \& Herkenrath (2004)] |  |
| 1SG (PL) | $1^{\text {st }}$ person singular (plural) |
| 2SG (PL) | $2^{\text {nd }}$ person singular (plural) |
| 3SG (PL) | $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ person singular (plural) |
| ACC | Accusative |
| ADV | Adverb |
| COP | Copula |
| COM | Comparative degree of adjective |
| CP | Conditional particle |
| DAT | Dative |
| DEI | Deixis |
| DIM | Diminutive |
| FUT | Future |
| GEN | Genitive |
| IJ | Interjection |
| IMPER | Impersonal verb |
| INF | Infinitive |
| INT | Intensifying |
| INS | Instrumental |
| LOC | Locative |
| NEG | Negative |
| PAS | Passive |
| PL | Plural |
| PRS | Present tense |
| PSS | Possessive |
| PST | Past tense |
| Q | Interrogative marker |
| REF | Reflexive |


| RUS | Word, used in Russian |
| :--- | :--- |
| VOC | Vocative |

### 6.2 Analysis of the Transcribed Data

As was mentioned by Yuan (2001), before choosing an analysis method, it is worthwile to first consider what actually the gathered data shows. So, in the present study it was decided to have a close look at the transcribed material first, by following the speakers' conversations and describing the patterns of problematic cases.

After this, various ways of the speakers' managing the problematic moments became visible and were divided into categories related to certain types.

Also, the outcome of the problematic cases was noted and each case was categorized in order to define which kinds of speakers' devices appeared to be successful regarding the hearers' understanding and which did not.

Receptive multilingual communication is considered to be successful in so far as it results in mutual comprehension between the speakers. Either speakers achieve effortless flow of conversation, or they face difficulties of reception but manage to overcome them. The important fact is that they achieve understanding in the end. In this chapter the examples of successfully overcome problematic communication are discussed. They are classified according to the actions performed by the participants to get over the difficulties of understanding. The difficulties of understanding were defined according to the hearers' signals discussed above in section 4.1., i.e. nonunderstandings, partial understandings, hypotheses, believing to understand and misunderstandings.

Receptive multilingual communication is considered to be unsuccessful when the speakers' attempt to achieve understanding results in misunderstanding, nonunderstanding or partial understanding. The examples of unsuccessful repair structure
outcomes are divided into types according to the speakers' actions while they try to overcome problematic understanding.

Therefore, in chapters $7,8,9,10,11$ cases of successful receptive multilingualism are represented and in chapter 12 cases of unsuccessful receptive multilingualism are shown.

## CHAPTER 7

## DECOMPOSITION

### 7.0 Presentation

This chapter presents such variations of the decomposition strategy as listing, polarization, decomposition + calculation, reformulation using numerals, splitting up the problematic construction.

### 7.1 Decomposition

While reformulating non-understood by hearer element speaker may use the device of decomposition: splitting a concept into its components and presenting them, or only some of them.

E2
((Polish speaker Dariusz and Ukrainian speaker Anna tell each other which countries they would like to visit)).
[17]




Anna tells to Dariusz "Ja b xotila duže pobuvaty u Franciji" (I would like to visit France very much) (s 46), and gets Dariusz's affirmative "Mhm". So, she continues "Ja planuju pob/pojixaty tudy ee na c/ na cjomu/ cym litom" (I am planning to go there this, this summer). There is some short interval taking place, seemingly the reaction of Dariusz is expected by Anna, but it does not happen as Dariusz only frowns. So, she repeats the word 'summer', but already modified into the Nominal case: "Lito". However, it does not make an effect and the Polish interlocutor is still confused. After taking a pause, Anna reformulates the word "Lito" (Summer) taking one component element of this concept: "Ee v ijuni" (Ee in June), and, therefore, making it more precise. Further, she again uses the device of decomposition, naming one more month: "Ijun' - ljul"" (JuneJuly), yet, Dariusz already understands what she means and utters "Aga" nodding his head simultaneously with her utterance.

So, in this case, the chosen by the speaker way of reformulation worked out successfully for the hearer's understanding.

### 7.2 Decomposition + Calculation

Another example of speakers' use of decomposition device reveals the way they make use of calculation of components which a certain concept contains.
((Polish speaker Dariusz and Russian speaker Yana discuss their experience of being students of Middle East Technical University)).




|  | 12707127 : | ${ }^{23} 10071581$ | [2907173:3007181] | [3107139] | [320072L] | [39007223] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | ((1s)) год. |  | XM |  | Год! |  |
| Ya[lat] | ((15)) god. |  | Hmi |  | God! |  |
| Ya[TL] |  |  | $\omega$ |  |  |  |
| Ya[eng] |  |  | Hmi |  | Year! |  |
| Ya[nv] |  |  |  |  | Nods herhesd intensively. |  |
| Ya[c] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Da[v] |  | - Miesiąc-god? | Ee rok? | ? Dwanaście miesiące - ee god? |  | Aá |
| Da[eng] |  | $\cdots$ Month is a year? | Eeyear? | Twelve months is a year? |  | As |
| Da[c] |  |  |  | Sofs 'goc' in Russion. |  |  |



In s 109 Yana asks Dariusz "A ty zdes' na / na postojannom obučenii? Ili ty Erasmus?" (Are you here as a regular student? Or you are Erasmus?). Dariusz puts an echo question "Na pastajannom?" (On permanent?) repeating it as it was said by Yana in Russian. Apparently, he understood the whole sentence as he required the clarification of the meaning of only one element. And, just as Yana took an attempt to explain it starting with "Amm..." he repeated his demand saying "Co to?" (What is it?) probably being sure that with the knowledge of the non-understood word he would be able to get the meaning of the whole utterance. Yana, taking a long pause, reformulates the concept of studying permanently: "Ty v / v univer/ v etom universitete četyre goda?" (Are you/ in univer/ in this university for four years?). Having heard the first part of the question "Ty v / v univer/ v etom universitete..." (Are you/ in univer/ in this university...) Dariusz reveals a signal of understanding "Aha". However, after Yana finished the utterance he echoed its end "Četyre goda?" (Four years?) Yana slowly repeats "Goda" (Years) looking for a way to explain it. Dariusz again echoes this word. Yana continues with "Mm god ee eto ee mesjac" (Mm year eh is eh month...) with her idea still in progress, but Dariusz concludes that 'god' in Russian means 'month'. So, he says with the affirmative intonation "Miesiąc? Aga" (Month? Aha). Yana, on the other hand, takes it as a signal of
his readiness to follow her idea and again says "Mesjac" (Month) to which receives the same affirmative reaction of his. At this point on each speaker's side there are different ideas developed while the speakers themselves think that they speak about the same. Moreover, Dariusz believes that he understands Yana.

Yana continues her idea saying "Dvenadcat' mesjacev god" (Twelve months is a year). Dariusz, still being misled, makes a confirmation request (Kasper and Ross, 2003) according to what he considers to be true "Miesiąc - god?" (Month is a year?), and gets a false default affirmation from Yana "Mhm". Nevertheless, he repairs himself and puts forward a right inference "Ee rok? Dwanaście miesiące - ee god?" (Ee year? Twelve months is a year?). It took Dariusz some time to activate his knowledge of Polish and to match Yana's utterance "Dvenadcat' mesjacev" (Twelve months) with the respective Polish utterance 'Dwanaście miesiące'. Probably, while producing the guess-utterance "Miesiąc - god?" (Month is a year?), his mind was busy with the search for the Polish equivalent to 'Dvenadcat' mesjacev'. So, after finding it, he is near to be sure that it is correct and only needs a confirmation on the speaker's side. And she gives it to him saying "God!" (Year!) with exclamatory intonation. At this point the hearer achieved the reconstruction of the speaker's plan.

It is notable, that Dariusz uses the Russian word 'god' (year) instead of the Polish one 'rok' in "Dwanaście miesiące - ee god?" (Twelve months is a year?). Apparently he understood the meaning of the Russian 'god' used by Yana and, anticipating her misunderstanding in case he uses 'rok', he decides to make it easier for her.

Further, Dariusz's "Aa" and Yana's "Aha" follow which signify his complete understanding and her affirmation of it. Having made sure that both of them are synchronized with their knowledge of the discourse Dariusz gives the expected answer "Znaće, ja tu pszyjehałem na sześć miesiące do Ankary" (I mean I have come to Ankara for six months). Significantly, Dariusz answers Yana's question meaningfully, however not to the initial one, but rather to her reformulation. After getting the meaning of the 'year' and coming back mentally to her initial utterances 'Are you here...' and 'Or you
are Erasmus?' he, probably, collects it altogether and assesses it as an inquiry about for how long his studying is planned there.

Yana, having, obviously, understood his "sześć miesiące" (six months) correctly as it sounds very similar in Russian 'šest' mesjacev', yet, makes an echo utterance "Aa šest' mesjacev" (Aa, six months) and adds a fairly simplified confirmation request "Ty šest'?" (You - six?). Driusz, without waiting for the end of her question reformulates his utterance and speaks simultaneously with her: "Jeden semestr. Jeden semestr tylko" (One term. Only one term). Yana echoes his utterance in Russian revealing her complete understanding.

### 7.3 Listing

In case an element of speaker's utterance is non-understood by recipient, speaker may use the device of listing. By doing this he/she represents possible options of symbols belonging to a certain concept. Thus, when hearer receives a list of symbols, he/she looks for a concept common for them.

## E4

((Russian speaker Tanya tells to Ukrainian speaker Olya about her job as a teacher at the University)).
[4]


[8]


|  | 1600.1211 | 1700.133* | 18006[43] | 190001531 |  | 20 [0.18:] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] |  |  | Aá, я | Ээ ((1s)) англий | , нeme |  |
| Ta[lat] |  |  | Ás j | Ee ((15)) anglijkij | nemeckij. |  |
| Ta[eng] | Mover her hesed towast her. |  | AA, langua | Ee ((1s)) English, German. |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ta}[\mathrm{nv}]$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| O1[v] | ((1.4s)) Які? | Англій |  |  |  |  |
| O1[lat] | ((1.4s)) Yaki? | Anglijku... |  |  |  |  |  |
| O1[TL] | ( 1.18$)$ ) whencc.PL | Erlah-AcC |  |  |  |  |
| O1[eng] | ((1.4s)) Which? | English... |  |  |  |  |

In s 8 "Nu a voobše ja ee poskol'ku lingvist ee v praktičeskom plane prepodaju jazyki" (Well, actually I ee as a linguist ee practically teach languages) the Russian speaker

Tanya in the conversation with the Ukrainian speaker Olya speaks about her language teaching job and adds: "Nu i pljus nekotorye speckursy po smi po tekstu" (Well, also some courses on mass media, on text). Olya asks her "A jaki movy ty vykladaješ?" (Which languages do you teach?) and, seeing the reaction of non-understanding on Tanya’s side, she reformulates "Jaku movu?" (Which language?), cutting out and leaving the basic element of her question and using 'language' in singular. Tanya, still revealing an obvious reaction of non-understanding, tries her chance putting an answer at haphazard: "Ee u sebja doma? Ee v Altajskom Gosudarstvennom Universitete - ja žyvu v gorode Barnaule - vot tam jest fakultet žurnalistiki. Ee nu vot vosnovnom na etom fakultete" (Ee at home? Ee in Altai State University - I live in Barnaul - there is a department of journalism. Ee well, in general at that department). The question at the beginning of her speach is rather rethorical, a guess influenced by her knowledge of the discourse topic. By uttering it she does not look at the speaker, therefore, she does not seek an evaluation. She develops her idea quite incoherently, speaking first about Altai State University, then informing her interlocutor that she lives in Barnaul and that there is a department of journalism where she has a job. She speaks slowly, in a detached manner and checks Olya's reaction, as if expecting, that some part of the speech will match her question.

After a rather long pause Olya makes another reformulation "Jaki movy?" (Which languages?), actually, repeating the words she already used with no success. Probably she realizes it and, taking her time for a while, says with a listing intonation "Jaki? Anglijsku..." (Which? English...) However, her utterance is interrupted by Tanya's "A, jazyk!" (A, language!) which signifies her immediate understanding after hearing "Anglijsku" (English) even though the list planned by Olya is not finished. Apparently, Tanya was looking for the Russian equivalent of the Ukrainian word "movy" (languages), supposing that it was the key element for understanding. And when finding it after Olya's prompt by listing she revealed her reaction fairly impulsively "A, jazyk!" (A, language!), which can be considered an echo exclamation of the Russian equivalent of the word used in Ukrainian by the other party. So, the understanding worked out
perfectly and Tanya gives an answer expected by the speaker: "Ee, anglijskij nemeckij" (Ee, English, German).

### 7.4 Polarization

One of the devices employed by speakers in the cases of problematic understanding of hearer is presenting the idea by splitting it into positive and negative alternatives. Thus speaker offers two options for the hearer's choice what makes it easier for comprehension. In the following example the speaker uses an alternative question as a reformulation after hearer's non-understanding signal. In the question the same word which is considered by the speaker the key element for understanding is used two times: first as positive option and then as negative one with the negation particle. By repetition the speaker emphasizes the word which is assumed to bring the hearer to understanding.

## E5

((Polish speaker Olga and the Russian speaker Katya discuss the possibility of Katya's visit to Poland)).
[14]



In s 42 Katya asks Olga "A kak ljudi v Pol'še otnosjatsja k russkim?" (And how people in Poland treat Russians?), to what Olga answers "Ee, czy mówią po rusku?" (Ee, do I speak Russain?), apparently, having comprehended the word "russkim" (Russians) and trying to guess the most probable idea concerned with 'Russian' which may interest Katya at that moment.

Katya sees the non-understanding on Olga's side and says "Net" (No) as an evaluation of her question-hypothesis and makes a reformulation: "Ee kak otnosjatsja kogda ee / ljubite li vy russkix ili ne ljubite?" (Ee how they treat when ee / do you like Russians or you do not?). In this utterance Katya first develops her idea in the way she did in the original utterance, i.e. using the word "otnosjatsja" (treat), but then, probably, remembering, that it did not work out, modifies the utterance into an alternative question, where she presents two possible answers, one of which is supposed to be correct. Moreover, by giving the alternative, Katya repeats the word "ljubite" (like) two times, as a statement and as a negation: "ljubite li vy russkix ili ne ljubite?" (do you like Russians or you do not like?), what makes it easier for the hearer to parse the key element out of the whole utterance.

This device of reformulation appeared to give an immediate effect, as Olga exclaims: "Lubimy!" (We like!).

### 7.5 Reformulation using numerals

Trying to reformulate a problematic element to hearer speakers often make use of numerals, apparently, having an awareness that they are not much different form language to language within one family.

E6
((Polish speaker Dariusz tells to Russian speaker Yana about going to swimming pool in campus of Middle East Technical University. Swimming is his hobby)).


|  |  | 73162761 | 541263131 | 75163031 | 76180391 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[ l$]$ |  | Три раза? |  |  |  |
| Ya[lat] |  | Tri raza? |  |  |  |
| Ya[eng] |  | Thres times? |  |  |  |
| Da[v] | razy w tygodniu. |  | Trzy, cztery razy w tygodniu nawet. |  | Ja nie wiem czy / czy |
| Da[TL] |  |  |  |  | 1 knowneg-Prs 156 \% it know- |
| Da[eng] | times a week. |  | Three, even four times a week. |  | I do not know fy you knowee... |
| [com] |  |  |  | 6.6 mins let out |  |

Yana asks Dariusz "Ee kak často ty xodiš tuda?" (Ee how often do you go there?) (s 265) and gets the answer "Aa, od początku, kilka miesięcy. Trzy, trzy miesiące, cztery?" (Aa, since the beginning, a few months. Three-four months). Dariusz at this point has only a partial understanding, although he believes to have understood correctly. He mistook "kak často" (how often) for 'how long' although in Polish 'how often' sounds almost identically: 'jak często'.

Yana's repeat "Aa četyre mesjaca" (Aa, four months) signifies her understanding of what he has said and preparation to put another utterance. After his affirmation " Hm " she makes a reformulation-question "No každuju nedelju?" (But every week?), and seeing his confusion, again reformulates her idea "Dva tri raza?" (Two, three times?). This time Dariusz has a perfect understanding, since all the words in Yana's utterance are common with Polish. So, he answers "Trzy razy w tygodniu" (Three times a week) what is followed by Yana's echo-request for confirmation "Tri raza?" (Three times?). Dariusz replies with "Trzy, cztery razy w tygodniu nawet" (Three, even four times a week).

### 7.6 Splitting up the problematic construction

When speaker's utterance construction appears to be difficult for hearer's complete comprehension he/she may split it up for the understood and non-understood parts and relying on the understood ones put a question-request for the meaning of the problematic parts.

E7
((Polish speaker Olga shares with Ukrainian speaker Andrei her experience of traveling to Israel)).
[8]



[11]


In s 27 Andrei asks Olga: "Izrajil' ce doroga krajina čy deševa čy..." (Is Israel expensive country, or cheap, or...) and gets her answer "Bardzo drogo. Bardzo drogo" (Very expensive. Very expensive).

Then he puts another question "Skil'ky tam stoje / u / v oteli vy nočuvaly?" (How much does it cost / have you stayed in a hotel?); he probably first intends to ask about the payment for Israel hotels, but then changes his mind and, repairing himself, asks if she stayed in a hotel at all.

Olga replied with "Hm?" requesting for a repetition. Andrei, seeing that his repaired utterance did not work out, comes back mentally to his original idea and puts it forward: "Otel' skil'ky st/koštuje?" (How much does a hotel cost?).

Olga comprehends the entire utterance but one word and echoes Andrei's question putting instead of the non-understood element the interrogative word: "Ee co kosztuje?" (Ee what costs?). At this moment the interlocutors reach a perfect collaboration as Andrei catches her request and repeats the problematic element: "Otel"" (Hotel).

This leads Olga to understanding; she echoes the Russian word "Otel" in Polish "Hotel", giving thus a signal that it is clear to her now, and continues with the answer expected by Andrei: "Mm, wiesz co, my zapłatiliśmy tak około dwudzieścu-trzydzieścu dolarów" ( Mm , you know, we paid about twenty-thirty dollars).

Therefore, the non-understanding situation was ruled out by the speaker's repetition of the key word which, after having been cut out from the whole utterance and pronounced alone, appeared to be easily comprehended by the hearer.

## CHAPTER 8

## REFERENCE TO COMMON KNOWLEDGE

### 8.0 Presentation

This chapter presents such types of seakers' successful LaRa as decomposition with reference to common disourse knowledge, reconstruction of speaker's plan by reference to world knowledge, reference to the common knowledge of language family, reformulation referring to the common world knowledge, looking for words which fit common knowledge.

### 8.1 Decomposition with reference to common disourse knowledge

In the following excerpt speaker again chooses strategy of representing a concept by calculating its constituents. What is more, he chooses the elements of common discourse knowledge to function as constituents in his formula.

E8
((Polish speaker Dariusz and Russian speaker Yana speak about their siblings)).

|  | 2maner | мав |  | мрями | 20¢яаз |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yaly] | да. А у тебя есть братья или сестры? |  |  | Старший? |  |
| Ya[lat] | da. $A u$ tebja est bratia iii sesty? right. Doyou have any sibingss? |  |  | Starsy? Elder? |  |
| Ya[eng] |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ya[n] |  |  |  | Smike ridne |  |
| Da[]l] |  | Tak. Jeden | Starszy |  | Starszy |
| Daleng] |  | Yes. One brother. | Eberone. |  | Alitle elder. |



Yana asks Dariusz "A u tebja est' brat'ja ili sëstry?" (Do you have any siblings?) (s 225). Dariusz answers "Tak. Jeden brat. Starszy" (Yes. One brother. Elder one). Yana puts an echo question "Staršyj?" (Elder?) but, note worthily, it functions not as a request for clarification or for confirmation, it is rather a signal of understanding. Dariusz, seemingly, regarded it as a confirmation request since he answered affirmatively "Starszy trochę" (A little elder).

Yana puts a question "Skol'ko emy let?" (How old is he?), which confuses him for a while because he does not remember for sure how old his brother is. Then he answers "Dwadzieścia, dwadzieścia pięć chyba" (Something like twenty/twenty-five). Yana echoes "Dvadženšča" (Twenty) as a request for clarification.

Dariusz chooses the arithmetical approach in order to explain a numeral. Probably, relying on her knowledge of 'dwanaście' (twelve) due to their discussions in s 109, he uses it in the following way: "Dwanaście, dwanaście, jeden" (Twelve, twelve, one). And it wonderfully worked for Yana's comprehension as she said immediately "Dvadcat' pjat'?" (Twenty five?) without even letting him finish the utterance "Dwadzieścia..." (Twenty...), which was, seemingly, meant to be 'Dwadzieścia pięć' (Twenty five). She gets a glad reaction on Dariusz's side and his affirmation "No, dokładnie" (Yeah, exactly).

### 8.2 Reconstruction of speaker's plan by reference to world knowledge

In receptive multilingualism hearer always refers to his/her knowledge of mother tongue with the aim to find an equivalent to what was uttered by speaker in his respective native language. In case an element of speaker's language appears not familiar to hearer, he/she may try to guess its possible meaning relying either on the topic of conversation or on the world knowledge.

## E9

((Ukrainian speaker Anna and Russian speaker Katya discuss the profession choice for school-leavers)).
[4]





Anna utters "Aa, jakščo my pohovorymo po/pro ci profesiji..." (Well, if we speak about the professions...) (s 9) and gets interrupted by Katya’s "Da..." (Yes...) which signifies the request to go on as she feels that she is at the same level of discourse knowledge as Anna. After getting the supportive reaction from the hearer Anna continues her interrupted utterance with "... jak/jaka profesija ty vvažaješ, dlja žinky najbil'še / najkrašča" (... what profession, you think, is the best for a woman?), which is followed by a quite long pause and echo question "Dlja ženy?" (For a wife?) on the hearer's side. Apparently, the problematic situation for the hearer is caused here by the nonunderstanding of the Ukrainian word "žinka" (woman) which sounds as 'ženščina' in Russain. However, while activating the knowledge of her native language in order to make an inference to the meaning brought by the speaker, Katya connects the sound of the word 'žinka' with Russian word 'žena' (wife). So, the hearer's unsuccessful guess "Dlja ženy?" (For a wife?) is answered by Anna with the affirmative interjection despite
her perfect understanding of Russian. Most probably Anna affirmed Katya's question automatically, having heard the beginning of it "Dlja že..." which would sound the same if Katya said 'Dlja ženščiny'. However, at that very moment Katya gets a certain kind of awareness that what she supposed was wrong and immediately after the speaker's affirmation she repairs herself, this time providing the right guess "Dlja ženščiny!" (For a woman!) without an interrogative intonation used in her first question. This confidence in her understanding may be traced back to the beginning of their conversation, where the topic was the choice of a profession in society, but not in the family. Thus, while making inference "Dlja ženy?" (For a wife?) Katya is not sure that it is relevant to the topic of the discourse and looks for a possible word in Russian to substitute it. And she finds it which perfectly suits its place in the conversation. Anna affirms the second guess with the same interjection as in the first case and starts repeating Katya's word "ženščina" to increase the affirmative force but does not finish it and makes one more interjection "Hm" instead. Further the meaningful answer from Katya follows which signifies that both of them reached the understanding.

### 8.3 Reference to common knowledge of language family

While using a meta discourse speaker projects his/her idea in the way that clarification works the best to help hearer find respective element in his/her mother tongue. It makes it much easier if speaker has some knowledge of hearer's language and is, thus, able to operate with the means of his/her native tongue in order to find the most similar sounding element to the one in the language of hearer. It may also happen that speaker code switches uttering the non-understood element directly in the language of his/her interlocutor. This phenomenon was described by Beerkens (2010) and was called "reformulation in the other language (in the native language of the speaker)". This phenomenon happened sometimes in the present study as well, in the constellation between Russians and Ukrainians, but had a different function as Russian, as well as English was used only in the cases of complete confusion, after several attempts of
clarification in the native language of speaker. So, in the following excerpt an example is represented of how speakers use their knowledge of hearer's language without code switching, but by means of picking the words sounding similar to the ones in the language of the counterpart.

E10
((Russian speaker Yana tells to Ukrainian speaker Andrei about her trip to Polish ski resort Zakopanije and mentions that it is also beautiful in summer there)).



The question "Vlitku tež bula?" (Were you there in summer too?) (7) is put by Ukrainian speaker Andrei. Yana responds with an echo question "Vlitku?" which, being a meaningless repetition of a part of Andrei's utterance reveals her non-understanding of this element, and, therefore, the whole utterance. Yana's question can be considered a request for clarification. Andrei realizes that understanding on the hearer's side did not
happen and uses the reformulation strategy to make the meaning of the problematic element clear by saying the word "Litom" which means the same as "Vlitku" - 'In summer'. It happened so that in the Ukrainian language there are the two words signifying exactly the same meaning. Both of them are adverbs originating from the common stem 'lito' (summer), but if the word 'vlitku' was formed with the help of prefix ' $v$ ' and Locative case of 'lito', the word 'litom' was formed by using 'lito' (summer) in Instrumental case. In the Russian language 'in summer' sounds as 'letom' which, just like Ukrainian 'litom', is formed by putting 'leto' (summer) in Instrumental case. So, having heard Andrei's reformulation "Litom" (In summer) Yana repeats it, nods her head and laughs probably already understanding the meaning of it. The next moment she puts a question-hypothesis "Letom, da?" (In summer, right?), using already Russian word for 'summer' and being relaxed and smiling as if being sure that she got the right meaning. So, although he confirms her hypothesis at the same time she puts the question without leaving her some time to think, she immediately gives a meaningful answer to the initial question of Andrei. Therefore her understanding happened already at the stage of Andrei's reformulation. Also, her immediate answer to the initial question "Vlitku tež bula?" (Were you there in summer too?) can show that with 'vlitku' being the problematic element the other part of the utterance was completely clear for her. So, in this case the echo question is directed to one element to be clarified, not to the whole utterance.

### 8.4 Reformulating referring to the common world knowledge

In order to overcome problematic reception speakers often reformulate nonunderstood utterances using the concepts of world knowledge which are conventionally shared by all people. In many cases such reformulations contain international words used with appeal to hearer's mother tongue knowledge domain.
((Polish speaker Peter complains to Ukrainian speaker Vika about the fact that it is difficult and boring for him to write thesis and tells that he already wants to work instead of studying)).



In s 164 Vika tells to Peter smilingly: "Holovne vže zakinchyty" (The most important is to graduate) and continues after a pause: "A potim..." (And then...). Simultaneously with the later utterance Peter puts a request for clarification: "Nie rozumiem. Jak?" (I don’t understand. How?), which signifies his non-understanding of the former utterance. In fact, in "Holovne vže zakinchyty" (The most important is to graduate) Vika uses the verb 'finish' in the meaning of 'graduate'; this way of saying rather belongs to slang used by
students as a short form of official 'zakinčyty universytet' (to finish university). So, her utterance, lacking the object after the verb, might have sounded confusive to Peter.

Therefore, Vika makes a reformulation: "Dyploma otrymaty" (To get diploma), by what she presents the concept of 'graduation' in a more precise way since 'getting diploma' is the sense of graduation. Besides, 'diploma' as an international word is assumed by speaker to be easy for hearer's reception, and, moreover, the process of getting diploma is an aspect of world knowledge of which everyone is aware.

Peter understands her reformulation even before she finished it saying "No!" (Yeah!), smiling and nodding his head supportively. However, Vika seems to have perceived his "No!" (Yeah!) as the signal of non-understanding, so she continues with an explanation: "Maju na uvazi holovne dyploma otrymaty" (I mean the most important is to get diploma). Peter again shows her that it is clear to him saying "No!" (Yeah!) simultaneously with her utterance. Still, Vika believes that he needs extra clarification: "A potim vže v tebe vilni ruky" (And then you are free to choose). Peter utters "No!" (Yeah!) one more time and this time Vika realizes that it is clear to him.

The matter is that in Polish the interjection 'no' (yeah, really, well) is used for signaling the agreement with the interlocutor, or for expressing a slight astonishment (but still agreement), or for announcing that idea is being planned at the beginning of an utterance.

In the Ukrainian and Russian languages it is equal to 'nu'. In Russian 'no' means 'but'. Ukrainian speaker Vika being bilingual activates knowledge both of Russian and Of Ukrainian in order to understand Peter. So, most probably, hearing Peter's "No!" she makes inference using her knowledge of Russian 'no' (but) which gives her an idea that her utterances are denied or doubted, therefore, misunderstood. As a result, she reformulates again and again to make herself clear while it is already clear to Peter.

### 8.5 Looking for words which fit common knowledge

While trying to reformulate a problematic element speaker may choose to say many utterances in succession without giving time to hearer to perceive them. By doing this speaker hopes that some of the words of his speech may appear familiar to hearer and the more utterances he says the bigger probability there is for the hearer to understand the idea.

## E12

((Russian speaker Yana informed Russian speaker Dariusz that she studies Business administration but later mentioned that she had experience of teaching English. Dariusz, probably being confused about her career plans, wants to learn for sure which option she is going to choose)).
[9]


\footnotetext{
[10]

[11]


|  | $30[0.006]$ | 31000151 | $32[000031$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[c] | jeszcze? Czy tylko nauczyciel? Czy może coś jeszcze? No nie wiem, na przykład jak |  |  |
| Dalv] |  |  |  |
| Da[TL] | Q only sasche | Q maf-be someting dse | well know-PRS-15G for example s tel.PST-2SG |
| Da[eng] | Or only teaching? | Ormay be something else? | Well, I do not know, for example, you were taking about business, |



[15]


|  | 40.002701 | 4! [0]30] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] |  | ((1.4s)) |
| Ya[lat] |  | ((1.4s)) Tab |
| Ya[eng] |  | ((1.4s)) Well, I |
| $\mathrm{Da}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Czy ty chcesz tylko jako nauczyciel, czy jeszcze jakieś inne alternatywy co do tego. |  |
| Da[TL] |  |  |
| Da[eng] | Do you want to work only as a teacher, or some other alternative concerning that? |  |

[17]

|  | $42[0] 136]$ |  |  | 43 [0.3031 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | Так, я не понимаю. | . - Это / ты у меня спрашив | ь, какие есть альте |  |
| Ya[lat] | ja ne ponimaju. | ... Eto/ ty u menja sprašyvaeš | kakje est' alternativy? |  |
| Ya[eng] | do not understand. | ... You are asking me which alternatives I have? |  |  |
| Da[v] |  |  |  | Tak. |
| Da[eng] |  |  |  | Yes. |


[22]


[30]


[34]

[35]


Dariusz makes a question "A to jest tylko jedna / jedna opcija, jedna alternatywa?" (And that is the only option, the only alternative?) (s 21), where he uses two synonyms: 'opcija' (option) and 'alternatywa' (alternative) to make his idea clearer, probably, anticipating Yana's misunderstanding. However, seeing her strained face expression he tries to reformulate the misunderstood utterance with two more questions: "Tylko nauczyciel?" (Only teacher?), "Czy coś jeszcze może?" (Or may be something else?). Yana starts her answer declaratively saying "Da u menja est'..." (Yes, I have...) but then makes her utterance interrogative "Est' li u menja al'ternativa?" (Do I have an
alternative?) as if believing to have caught the meaning of Dariusz's question but still wanting to make sure that what she is not mistaken. Her question is believed by her to be a rephrasing of Dariusz's idea put in his questions, and she is close to being right, but illocution in her question is different from that in Dariusz's questions. The set of Dariusz's questions can be considered a one alternative question. Yana's question, on the other hand is a yes/no one, which, if being answered does not give information requested by Dariusz. However, he affirms her request for confirmation with an interjection "Hm", giving her an idea that she was right in her guess. Probably he heard Yana say the word "alternativa" (alternative) and, coming to conclusion that she understood correctly, affirms her false guess. As a result, she answers to her question "Da eto kak al'ternativa" (Yes, this is a kind of alternative), what is, however, is not the answer Dariusz expected. So, he asks "Jaka?" (Which/What kind of?), and, as if anticipating her misunderstanding, immediately adds a clarification "Znaczy... Czy planujesz coś jeszcze?" (I mean... Are you planning something else?) Dariusz's attitude here makes an impression that while trying to make himself clearer he confuses his party even more. After asking the question "Jaka?" (Which?), which sounds as "Kakaja' in Russian and has a chance to be understood by a Russian speaker, he adds more complicated utterances without giving Yana time to think over it. Indeed, from the score area № 11 till the score area № 14 he speaks nonstop. Yana takes a long pause and, probably, feeling obliged to put something as an answer, but seeming not to understand his idea completely, makes an inference with one word she could comprehend out of his speech. The Polish word 'firma' (company) sounds exactly like the Russian one 'firma' with the same meaning. So Yana makes a request for confirmation "Aa c/ vopros firma? Budu li ja rabotat' v firme?" (Aá the question is the company? If I will work at a company?) to which she gets an affirmative answer "Hm" on Dariusz's side. But then he immediately repairs himself putting his idea in a more general way: "Znaczy, plany na przyszłość" (I mean your plans for future). The matter is that Yana again changed the illocution of his question from alternative to general one, narrowing the idea of few concepts to one concept. So, Dariusz realizes that if he lets her answer her question
after his affirmative "Hm" it will not be the information he wants to learn. Therefore, he adds the mentioned utterance to lead her to the discussion of her future profession preferences. Yana puts an echo question "Na buduščee?" (For future?), repeating with hypothesis the part of his utterance which she is uncertain about. Most probably the problematic element for her was the word 'przyszłość' (future) which sounds in Russian as 'buduščeje'. At the same time, 'plany na' (plans for) have the exact equivalent in the Russian language, moreover as 'plans for future' is a set expression, it is not difficult to guess the last word of it.

Dariusz affirms Yana’s question saying "Tak, dokładnie" (Yes, exactly), but again underestimates her understanding and immediately adds one more utterance "Czy ty chcesz tylko jako nauczyciel, czy jeszcze jakieś inne alternatywy co do tego" (Do you want to work only as a teacher, or some other alternative concerning that?) By doing this he does not let her answer about her plans for future, but confuses her again with the long complicated structure. So, after a long pause her answer "Tak, ja ne ponimaju" (Well, I do not understand) comes about. And, apparently, being completely confused, Yana tries to rephrase his question three times seeking the sure understanding. After her first two questions she gets Dariusz's affirmations "Yes" and "Hm". Yet, her third question was, seemingly, perceived by Dariusz as a signal of very dim understanding on Yana's side. So, he makes another reformulation "To znaczy mówisz, że chcesz być nauczycielem, prawda?" (You are telling me that you want to be a teacher, right?), and when receives her answer "Aha", continues his idea: "Chcesz być nauczycielem? Ja tak zrozumiałem" (You want to be a teacher? I understood it like that). At this point he might have started doubting if he had led his idea in the right direction. However, Yana's reaction was only laugh by which she signified that she was completely confused. Evidently, the essential element 'nauczyciel' (teacher) was not comprehended by her. Dariusz, being himself uncertain if his understanding is correct, is left with the only alternative - to go on with the idea he started with: "Chcesz być nauczycielem? Czy jest, czy coś jeszcze, jakaś inna opcja? Oprócz nauczyciela, o której myślisz" (You want to be a teacher? Or there is something else, some other option? Besides teaching - the one you
are considering now). Here he again uses the word 'nauczyciel' (teacher) and other structures which he has already used unsuccessfully, such as 'czy coś jeszcze' (or something else) and 'jakaś inna opcja' (some other option). Yana, naturally, does not understand it this time as well and tells it to Dariusz. Therefore, he starts clarification in a different way, taking himself as an example. From the score area № 21 till the score area № 25 he explains that he studies computer science and would like to work in future as a computer engineer but might join the army as well specifying that the army is an option in his case. Just as he finished his explanation with the words "... ale mógłbym pójść do wojska, prawda, do armii" (... I might join the army) she echoed the last word of it "armii?" (army?) which sounds in Russian the same way. Most probably she did not understand the rest of his speech and thus required to clarify it. On the other hand, hearing from a male speaker the word 'army' and having plans for future discussed pushes a hearer to the idea that the speaker is going to join the army. Anyway, obviously, it was the way Dariusz supposed Yana had understood him. His reaction was quite emotional as he was denying the possibility that he might join the army. They both laugh at his impulsiveness and, also, at the fact that Yana understands that he is not eager to go into the army. Further, in the score areas № 25-27 Dariusz tries to explain that army was just an example of an option of his activities in future. Yana's reply is 'Wait' as a signal that she is coming to a certain summarization and planning to say something. She continues with "Ty učiš informatiku? Potomu čto ty ne xočeš v armiju?" (You study computer science? Because you do not want to go into army?). This opinion of hers was formed, seemingly, by her knowledge that Dariusz does not want to join army and understanding few words out of his entire speech. Supposedly she comprehended basically two words: 'armia' (army) and 'informatyka' (computer science) which are common in Polish and Russian languages. Thus, putting these altogether she drives the stated above conclusion. Subsequently, it being false, Dariusz (in the score areas № 28-34) makes another attempt to reformulate his idea mostly repeating the words he already used and which proved not to work for Yana's understanding. So, throughout all these utterances Yana does not reveal any reaction of
understanding, except for one affirmative interjection " Hm " in the score area № 31 which is said rather automatically than meaningfully. However, in the last Dariusz's sentence the last word "alternatywy" (alternatives) appeared to be a key word for Yana's understanding. She immediately echoed it and, after taking a pause, said "Podoždi" (Wait) as if having the speaker's plan being reconstructed in her mind. Having heard the word 'alternatives' she mentally returns to the beginning of the conversation, that is, to the topic about profession choices. Due to the word 'alternatives' she was close to understanding in the score areas № 11 and 17, but each time Dariusz, trying to give her more information, led her inference to a different direction.

Simultaneously with her "Podoždi" (Wait) Dariusz makes one more reformulation "Pracy, alternatywy pracy, może w ten sposób" (Alternatives of job, let us say in this way), which sounds more like a self-repairment for a better style, than giving a new information to the hearer. Nevertheless, Yana starts answering meaningfully: "Ja skazala čto esli ja ne budu rabotat' v firme... To ja mogu rabotat' učitelem" (I said if I do not work at a company... I may work as a teacher) and, although Dariusz gave affirmative signals "Hm" and "Aha" after each utterance, she adds a non-requested clarification "Učitel': studenty, učitel"" (Teacher: students, teacher). And, having been again shown the understanding on Dariusz's side with his "Hm", she continues "A možet byt' / ja takže ljublju fotografirovat'" (Well, may be... I also like taking pictures). At this point Dariusz has a glad face expression and says with a joyful voice "Tak" (Yes) as if signifying that he received the information he wanted.

## CHAPTER 9

## SPEAKER'S OPERATING ON HEARER'S PERCEPTION

### 9.0 Presentation

This chapter presents such speaker's strategies of meta discourse as clarification of parsing structure, appeal to the phonological knowledge of the recipient, referring to the object instead of process, repetition with a function of a request for repair.

### 9.1 Clarification of parsing structure

In a situation when hearer cannot comprehend the essential elements in speaker's utterance because of parsing problems, speaker may repeat the elements in isolation from the utterance, hence, presenting the main idea piece by piece.

E13
((Russian speaker Yana shares with Ukrainian speaker Andrei her plans about education in China. Andrei asks different questions about China)).



Andrei asks Yana "I, nu, tam vse deševo?" (So, is it cheap there?), and does not receive any reply from her, only a movement of her head towards him. This makes him realize that his question was not understood. He tries to make a reformulation with another question "Nu jak ciny?" (Well how prices), changing the illocutionary force. If the first question had an illocution of a yes/no question what demands understanding of the whole sentence the second one having the illocution of a wh-qestion makes understanding easier as it contains the question word. However, the hearer again reveals the reaction of non-understanding and the speaker, mentally coming back to his first question, makes an attempt to divide it into two parts therefore dividing its complexity. So, in the following two utterances he first says "V Kytaji" (In China) referring it to the word "tam" (there) in the first part of the initial question and then "Deševo?" (Cheap?) inserting it from the second part. After this the meaningful answer of the hearer follows.

### 9.2 Appeal to the phonological knowledge of the recipient

In receptive multilingual communication the speakers are aware that their languages are close enough to be comprehensible for them. Moreover, one may intuitively guess that some words in his/her mother tongue sound similar to their equivalents in the language of interlocutor and utter them with a certain expectation of the understanding reaction. Sometimes speakers even know some words in the language of the other party and use them to help him/her to reach understanding.
((Polish speaker Peter tells to Russian speaker Tanya that he went to Alaska every summer for three years.))
[16]

[18]

|  |  | 74060001 | 7506.0201 | ${ }^{761060331}$ | 1706080 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] |  |  | Языки́. | - Говорить, говоришь. |  |
| Ta[lat] |  |  | Jazyki | .-Govorit', govoriš. |  |
| Ta [TL] |  |  | lengusgepl | $\cdots$ 'spask-INF spask_PRS-25G |  |
| Ta[eng] |  |  | Languages. | .. To speak, you speak. |  |
| Pe[v] |  | - J Jak? | Jeszcze raz? |  | $\bullet \bullet$ |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{TL}]$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pe[eng] |  | . What? | One more time? |  | $\cdots$ In which |
| $\underline{P e[n v]}$ |  | Mover his heavi towerds her: |  |  |  |

In s 62 Peter's utterance "I tam pracuje przy rybach" (So I work there on fish) is represented which causes the non-understanding on Tanya's side. In the Russian language it sounds as 'I tam rabotaju na rybe'. The different elements between the two utterances are verbs "pracuję" - 'rabotaju' (work) and the prepositions "przy" - 'na' (on). Interestingly, the word 'fish' being common in both languages (ryba), differs in formation of plurality: in Polish it functions as a regular noun and takes a plural ending
' $y$ ' - 'ryby', while in Russian it is an exception and in plural keeps its singular form 'ryba'. Therefore, the Local case formation is dependable on the plurality endings: in Polish 'rybach', in Russian 'rybe'. So, these differences might have influenced Tanya's perception of the word "rybach" as of an unfamiliar word.

Anyway, it was the very word which Peter considered a key element in the utterance. So, after receiving no reaction on Tanya's side, he repeats "Ryby" (Fish) putting it in the Nominative case. However, Tanya reveals a total confusion and informs Peter of it: "Ne po / ne ponjala" (I didn't understand). He repeats the same word "Ryby" (Fish) with the intonation of supportiveness, believing that it is easy to understand for her. Tanya again cannot comprehend the word and asks him to repeat it: "Ješčë raz" (Once more).

Crucial factor of non-understanding might have been the way of Polish pronunciation of the word "ryby": unlike Russian the sound ' $r$ ' has less vibration and the first ' $y$ ' sounds much shorter and less emphasized than it would do in Russian word 'ryba'.

So, after repeating "Ryby" (Fish) again without a result, Peter modifies it into the Russian equivalent: "Ryba" (Fish) hoping that this will make it clear to Tanya. Yet, probably because of the Polish way of pronunciation, the word still seems foreign to Tanya.

Having waited for one second, Peter makes an elaboration: "Po rosyjsku 'ryba'" (In Russian 'ryba') what has an immediate effect on Tanya's understanding. She utters "Aha, aha, aha" nodding her head and smiling. After securing Tanya's understanding of the word "ryba", Peter uses it in the sentence drawing her attention back to what was discussed before: "Pracuję przy rybach ee fabryce rybnej" (I work on fish, ee, at fish plant), to what he also gets a reaction of understanding from Tanya as she says: "Aha, aha" and smiles.

### 9.3 Referring to object instead of process

In case the non-understood element signifies the process of an action the speaker's reformulation may function as manifestation of an object of the action.

## E15

((Polish speaker Dariusz and Russian speaker Yana discuss Yana's plans about going to Germany for traveling)).


Dariusz tells to Yana in s 177 "W Niemczech jest dużo do zobaczenia, może tak" (In Germany there is much to see, let us say so). Yana is confused; apparently, by the word 'zobaczenia' (watching) which she echoes requesting, thus, a clarification. Dariusz makes a reformulation "Ee, dużo ładnych miejsc, prawda" (Ee many beautiful places, really) to which Yana has a definite reaction of understanding as she nods her head and utters "Hm". Yet, Dariusz, probably anticipating her misunderstanding, develops his idea "Do których można pojechać, które można zobaczyć. Dwa dni to jest za mało" (To which one may go and look around. Two days is not enough). Yana agrees "Da eto malo" (Yes, it is not enough).

### 9.4 Repetition with a function of a request for repair

In the situation when hearer faces difficulties to understand speaker's utterance completely, he/she may put a question-hypothesis to check if the way he/she understands agrees with what was meant by speaker. Speaker is expected to give an evaluation and if the guess is not correct he/she chooses the way to show it to hearer. One of such strategies is the repetition of the non-understood element with a cue to hearer to try his/her guess again.

E16
((Polish speaker Monika studies at the Manchester University. Russian speaker Rustam asks her few questions about the conditions of entrance to this University)).




Rustam askes Monika: "A takije specyal'nosti kak tam meždunarodnyje otnošenija politologija est' u vas?" (Are there such departments as International Relations, Politology at your university?) (s 619). Monika answers with affirmative " Hm ", but Rustam asks again to make sure that Monika answered meaningfully: "V Mančesterskom? Est'?" (In Manchester one? Are there?). However, Monika replies with "Jest" (Yes, there are) before he finished the first utterance and with "Hm. Ale ee drogi" (Hm. But ee expensive) revealing a perfect understanding. Rustam echoes the last word of her utterance "Drogi" the way Monika said it in Polish in attempt to find a Russian equivalent to it and puts forward his inference: "Drugije universitety?" (Other universities?) - Polish 'drogi' (expensive) reminds to Rustam of Russian 'drugije' (other). Monika, instead of evaluation, repeats "Drogi" (Expensive) again, giving Rustam the idea that the word "drogi" has a different meaning from the one hypothesized by him. It works out and he finds another Russian word sounding similar to Polish 'drogi' (expensive): "Aa, dorogije?" (Aa, expensive?).

Monika repeats "Drogi" (Expensive) with affirmative intonation showing Rustam that his inference is correct.

## CHAPTER 10

## EXPLANATION

### 10.0 Presentation

This chapter presents such types of speaker's explanation as an ostensive explanation in acting non-verbally, explanation of an isolated element in speech formula, clarification of constellation.

### 10.1 Explanation

One of the ways in which speakers reformulate the non-understood element is expressing it in view of its social purpose, i.e. what it is good for; how people use it; how it functions.

E17
((Polish speaker Peter and Russian speaker Tanya ask each other which languages apart from their native ones they know)).

|  | 721030 |  | ${ }^{41804001}$ | ${ }^{1510602011}$ | ${ }^{76106033}$ |  | 710xers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tra[v] | А какие языки́ ты знаешь еще? |  |  | Языки́ | - Говорить, говоришь. |  |  |
| Tallat] | A kakije jzzyki ty znajeş jestese? |  |  | Jazyki | .-Govorit, govorist. |  |  |
| Ta [TL] | and whatPL larguge.PL fou kow.PRS.2SG |  |  | lsyargepl | $\cdots \mathrm{spestan}$ F | spe |  |
| Ta[eng] | Which else languages do you know?rybnéj. |  |  | Languages. | ..Tospeak, you speak. |  |  |
| Pe[ $[\mathrm{y}]$ |  |  | - Jak? | Jeszcze raz? |  |  | - |
| Pef[L] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pe[eng] |  |  | . What? | One more tin |  |  | $\cdots$ In which |
| Pe[ nr$]$ |  |  | Mover his hes | toverst he: |  |  |  |



In s 73 Tanya puts a question to Peter: "A kakije jazyki ty znaješ ješčë?" (Which else languages do you know?). Peter makes a request for clarification: "Jak? Jaszcze raz?" (What? One more time?). Simultaneously with Peter's "Jaszcze raz?" Tanya says "Jazyki" (Languages), thus, repeating the essential element of her utterance. However, after a pause, seeing no understanding on Peter's side, she reformulates the concept 'language' in view of its social function: "Govorit' / govoriš" (To speak / You speak). Therefore, she represents noun by a verb showing how it can be used by people. It works out and Peter puts a question-hypothesis in order to make sure he understood it correctly: "Jakimi językami?" (In which languages?). Tanya affirms it with "Hm" and Peter gives the meaningful answer: "No, po polsku, po angelsku i po niemecku" (Well, in Polish, English and German).

### 10.2 An ostensive explanation in acting non-verbally

The ostensive explanation as means of communication was described by Enlich and Rehbein (1982) as a non-parallel to speech action, though containing lexical meaning kind of non-verbal act. It is often used by speakers in receptive multilingual communication, especially in cases verbal explanation does not work out for hearer's understanding.

E18
((Russian speaker Yana tells to Polish speaker Dariusz that she learns Chinese)).
[44]

[45]

[47]


Yana tells to Dariusz "Ja uču kitajskij" (I learn Chinese) (s 141). He nods his head and utters "Aha" with an affirmative intonation. However, Yana anticipates his misunderstanding and makes an unrequested reformulation: "Ty znaješ slovo kitajskij?"
(Do you know the word 'kitajskij'?), and, without waiting for his reply, gives the answer "Eto jazyk" (It is a language). Evidently, Dariusz did not comprehend the word 'jazýk' (language) ('jézyk' in Polish - with the different stress) as he lists only the concepts 'nation' and 'country' which belong to 'Chinese'. He utters his guess with an interrogative intonation, what, probably makes Yana think that he needs another clarification. She utters "Aa..." and takes a long pause planning her reformulation. Then she makes use of nonverbal communication and, assuming the table as a map, shows the geographical location of China according to Russia. It distracts Dariusz from the topic with his thoughts turned to the more complicated thing as it may seem after unrequested clarification. Besides, it appeared that he is not good at geography as he showed it, mostly nonverbally. So, it makes him even more unconfident in the idea that he has a correct understanding. It happened so that at this stage of conversation Yana with her strong conviction that Dariusz does not understand what she is telling him led him to believe it as well. To describe China Yana makes 'Chinese' eyes pulling her eyes with her forefingers and says "Tam ljudi vot takije žyvut" (There are such people living there). It makes the situation clear to Dariusz, so he says "Aa, ok" and immediately starts a new pattern of the discourse with the questions "A na jak długo? Tak turystycznie, czy na kilka miesięcy?" (And for how long? Like a tourist or for a few months?)

Looking at this case from the very beginning till the end it is hardly possible to conclude that the complete understanding happened. Rather, Dariusz understood Yana's initial idea in a modified way, or, to put it in a different way, he got the meaning of Yana's reformulations. On the other hand, Yana influenced it much leading his thoughts to the concept of China instead of that of the Chinese language and did not mind when the pattern was closed without Dariusz's understanding what she meant at the beginning. So, Dariusz's understanding is considered successful as it agreed with speaker's plan.

### 10.3 Explanation of an isolated element in speech formula

Curious case of problematic understanding is manifested in the following excerpt. The main difficulty for hearer is embedded in the speech formula structure, where the device of allusion is used. The element of allusion makes the meaning of the utterance opaque for perception. Hearer simply does not understand what refers to what. However, after speaker's reformulation the metaphorical structure is transformed to the normal one and the reference becomes clear to the hearer.

E19
((Russian speaker Rustam shares with Ukrainian speaker Vika his plans about holiday in Venice)).


In s 54 Vika jokes, using a Ukrainian idiomatic expression: "Djad'ku viz'mit' mene u Veneciju" (Uncle, take me with you to Venice). The humor of the saying is based on the idea of copying children's language since they call all adult men uncles. Thus, Ukrainians use the set expression 'Djad'ku viz'mit' mene u...' (Uncle, take me with you to...) when they want to express in a funny way that they also want to go to the place where the interlocutor intends to go.

However, there is no such expression in the Russian language. So, Rustam's reaction appears to be a fair confusion: "Kogo kto?" (Whom who?), as he fails to build logical connections between the words in Vika's utterance. Vika makes an elaboration: "Mene viz'my u Veneciju!" (Take me to Venice!), with the strong emphasis on "mene" (me). Thus, she transforms the idiomatic expression to a normal utterance, which has the equal meaning. It leads Rustam to understanding but still it remains to him unclear why she used the word "djad'ku" (uncle) - 'djad'ko' in Russian - in the initial utterance. He makes another request for clarification: "A djad'ko, čto za djad'ko?" (But uncle, who is uncle?). Vika answers with "Tobi kažu: djad'ku viz'mit' mene u Veneciju" (I am telling to you: uncle, take me to Venice) clarifying that "djad'ku" (uncle) refers to Rustam. At this moment Rustam achieves a complete understanding and starts laughing repeating the word "djad'ko" (uncle) - the source of his confusion.

Notably, the Russian word "djad'ko" (uncle) is also used by Russian children to call adult men. Probably this fact helped Rustam to understand the meaning of Vika's joke.

### 10.4 Clarification of constellation

While communication speakers may change deictic situation of a concept discussed without prior informing their interlocutors about it. It, naturally, complicates the reception processes and the non-understanding occurs. Therefore, speaker is to explain to hearer in which way the perspective was moved from the situation discussed before
and being the shared knowledge by both interactants to the situation just introduced and being unfamiliar to hearer.

E20
((Russian speaker Rustam shares with Polish speaker Monika his experience of travelling to Poland where he came across only a little number of people speaking English what forced him to listen carefully to Polish all the time in order to understand important information and to use Russian to explain himself. He emphasized that it appeared to be an unexpected difficulty for him)).
[80]

[81]



Rustam tells to Monika "V Pol'še voobšče problema s anglijskim" (In Poland it is a big problem about English) (s 377). After taking 1 second pause Monika puts a question: "Tak samo wam w Rosji?" (The same is in Russia?), which appears to be non-understood by Rustam as he utters "A?" (What?).

Monika explains the idea meant in her question by giving an example: "Ee, jak ja bym pojechała do Rosji, mogłabym rozmawiać po angielsku?" (Ee, if I went to Russia could I speak English there?). Thus, she reminds Rustam the situation described by him just before - when he went to Poland and had difficulties in communication because of lack of English knowledge by Poles. So, she projects herself in the similar conditions - being in Russia and speaking English there. This makes it easier for Rustam to connect the knowledge of the speaker with his knowledge, and he gives his answer: "Nu ja dumaju da" (Well, I think, yes).

## CHAPTER 11

## REFORMULATION

### 11.0 Presentation

This chapter presents such types of reformulation as topic switch + reformulation, summarization, resumptive reformulation.

### 11.1 Topic switch + Reformulation

Sometimes, as a reaction to hearer's non-understanding, speakers make a reformulation slightly changing the topic. Therefore, there is no clarification of what was said in the original utterance, but continuation of the discourse with hearer left without understanding of the idea at the beginning of the pattern.

## E21

((Polish speaker Dariusz tells to Yana that he would like to go to Egypt very much)).
[95]


|  | ${ }^{351848891}$ | 337673500 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[ $[\mathrm{v}]$ | Египет поехать? |  |
| Yallat] | poexat? |  |
| Ya[eng] |  |  |
| Da[v] | Ee, tak, chciałem. | I tak generalnie jak jeździłaś do Francji, do |
| Das[TL] |  |  |
| Da[eng] | Ee, yes, I would like. | And in both cases when you went to France and Germany. you used that site? |
| [com] | Smins letout |  |

Yana asks Dariusz if the next country he is going to visit is Egypt, but fails to construct the utterance coherently: "To est' ty / v sledujuščij z/ sledujuščij budet Egipet?" (You mean / next / next will be Egypt?) (s 382). Supposedly, Dariusz might have understood "ty" (you) and "Egipet" (Egypt) which are common in the two languages. As for the word "sledujuščij" (next) it is completely different in Polish - 'następny'.

Nevertheless, Dariusz puts a question-hypothesis relying more on the topic of their conversation than on Yana’s utterance: "Gdzie byłem?" (Where have I been?) Yana makes a reformulation "Ty xočeš v Egipet poexat'?" (You want to go to Egypt?), which is followed by Dariusz's instant reply "Ee, tak, chciałem" (Ee, yes, I would like).

Despite Dariusz's answer did not match Yana's initial question, Yana did not mind it and chose the let it pass-strategy (Zeefaert, Ten Thije, 2007) and they went on speaking on another matter.

### 11.2 Summarization

Sometimes the clarification process is not successful at the first try and, therefore, takes quite a long time. In this case hearer may have partial understanding throughout speaker's reformulation and, as a result, construct his understanding out of caught elements in the end. The summarization as a repair device was described by Bührig (1996) but taken into consideration as an action on speaker's side. The following excerpt shows that hearers also may employ this strategy to secure understanding.
((Russian speaker Katya and Polish speaker Olga talk about traveling abroad)).


[42]

|  | - | [45[15329] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka [v] | ближайшее там время я туда не поеду. Потому что это далеко, потому что там другой |  |
| Ka[lat] | tam vremja ja tuda ne poedu. | Potomu ċo ato daleko patomu čto tam drugoj jazyk |
| $\mathrm{Ka}[\mathrm{TL}]$ | like time 1 there go-NEG.FUT-18G | because it terabV becsuse there diferent langugebecause |
| Ka[eng] | there. | Becauseitis far away, because there is diferent language because it is a different part of |
| $\mathrm{Ka}[\mathrm{nv}]$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ka[c]}$ |  |  |
| O1[v] |  |  |
| O1[eng] |  |  |
| $01[\mathrm{nv}]$ | Nods herhesd. Noas he heas. |  |

[43]

[44]

|  | - | 146161071 | [66[6]0] ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] | бы очень хотела туда поехать. | У тебя есть такая страна? | Можно сказа |  |
| Ka[lat] | oćen' xotela tuda poexat'. | $U$ tebja est' takaja strana? | Możno skazat' | nevozmożnaja? |
| $\mathrm{Ka}[\mathrm{TL}]$ | vef wartPST-18G there go-lif | a: fou COP such country | maf-MPER $\operatorname{sa}$ - $\mathrm{INF}^{\text {F }}$ | ureal |
| Ka [eng] | like to go there very much. | Do you have such a country? | Let us say, unreal? |  |
| O1[v] |  | Mhm. |  |  |
| OL [eng] |  | Mhmi. |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ol}[\mathrm{nv}]$ |  | Noas he heari: |  |  |

[45]



In s 138 Katya puts a question "A voobšče samaja ekzotičeskaja strana kuda by ty xotela poexat'?" (And, generally, what is the most exotic country where you would like to go?).

Olga, having, probably, comprehended the utterance only partially, makes a questionhypothesis: "Gdzie bym chciała pojechać?" (Where would I like to go?). Katya does not fulfill Olga's request for evaluation and makes a reformulation instead: "Ekzotičeskaja samaja takaja nu neverojatnaja čto li strana" (Exotic, like, the most fabulous country) using a synonym "neverojatnaja" (fabulous), what only causes to the reaction of uncertainty on Olga's face.

So, Katya tries another reformulation: "Ee nu prjamo ja ne znaju kak skazat' čto ee men'še vsego vozmožnosti kuda poexat' no kuda ty očen' xotela by poexat'" (Ee well, I do not know how to explain, the country where it is the least possible for you to go but the most desirable). And again Olga puts forward her inference, apparently, believing that this is the very idea meant by Katya: "Gdzie bym chciała pojechać?" (Where would I like to go?). This time Katya makes her evaluation saying " Da " (Yes) and immediately continues with a reformulation: "Kuda by ty xotela no ne/ne tam ne Evropa. Vot naprimer dlja menja takaja strana - Argentina" (Where would you like to go, but not, let us say, Europe. For example, for me such country is Argentine), thus, giving Olga to understand that she thinks in the right direction but needs additional information to reach a complete understanding.

Saying "Vot naprimer dlja menja takaja strana - Argentina" (For example, for me such country is Argentine), Katya makes use of exemplification, turning hearer's attention to
a real case of an exotic country. Olga immediately reacts with "Aha" nodding her head and giving a signal that she understands the speaker at this moment.

Katya goes on with a supportive argument why Argentine is exotic for her: "No ja znaju čto ja v bližajšeje tam vremja tuda ne poedu" (But I know that within nearest time I will not go there) and provides a list of features of her exotic country: "Potomu čto eto daleko potomu čto tam drugoj jazyk potomu čto eto drugaja čast' sveta itam ja ne znaju tam vsë takoje. No ja by očen' xotela tuda poexat'" (Because it is far away, because there is different language, because it is a different part of the world etc. But I would like to go there very much).

In this way Katya shows the example of an exotic country with the clarification of its 'exoticness' according to her opinion. Then she puts a question: " $U$ tebja est' takaja strana?" (Do you have such a country?) and after Olga's "Hm" makes a summarization of her previously presented ideas: "Možno skazat' nevozmožnaja?" (Let us say, unreal?).

Most probably, Olga already understands the concept "exotic country", as she utters " Hm " nodding her head, however, she decides to make sure that her understanding is correct: "Ee gdzie nie można? Gdzie bym chciała, a nie można?" (Ee, where it is unreal? Where I would like to go but it is unreal?). Katya gives an affirmative answer: "Nu/ nu da. V princype v plane takogo" (Well, yes. In principle, like that). Olga’s gives a meaningful reply about her exotic country: "No nie wiem, ja bym bardzo chciała pojechać do Tajlandii" (Well, I do not know, I would like to go to Thailand very much).

### 11.3 Resumptive reformulation

Sometimes speakers surmise that the cause of hearer's difficulty to understand is too complicated utterance structure. In this case they often choose to take the key elements out of the utterance and present them in isolated way. Therefore, the message is simplified and emphasis on the essence is put. Though it does not sound natural if done
in normal conversation, it works out for hearer's understanding in receptive multilingualism.

E23
((Polish speaker Monika explains to Russian speaker Rustam that in England all the student scholarships are given by government. She reformulates the non-understood word 'government' by the word 'queen')).


Rustam puts a question: "Vse stipendii ot pravitel'stva?" (All the scholarships are from the government?) (s 677). With confused face, Monika makes a request for repetition: "Hm?" Apparently, Rustam sees the reason of her non-understanding in the element "pravitel’stva" (government), so, he substitutes it with 'koroleva’ (queen): "Ot korolevy?" (From the queen?).

However, Monika does not respond. She might have understood "Ot korolevy" (From the queen) as it sounds as "Od królewy" in Polish, and "stipendii" (scholarships) "stypendium" in Polish, but, probably, has lost the thread between the two utterances.

So, Rustam makes as simple as possible reformulation using the essential elements in singular, Nominative case: "Koroleva stipendija?" (Queen scholarship?). Monika starts laughing and repeats the funny word combination: "Królowa stypendium..." (Queen scholarship?)revealing understanding. They laugh together and then she answers: "Pożyczka!" (Loan!) and explains further that it is queen and government who give the scholarships as loans in England.

Table 13: Speakers' successful strategies and devices (overview)

| Strategy or device No | Name of strategy or device | Means used by speaker to improve hearer's understanding |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Decomposition |  |
| 1.1 | Decomposition | Speaker splits a concept into its components and presents them, or only some of them. |
| 1.2 | Decomposition + Calculation | Speaker calculates the components which a certain concept contains. |
| 1.3 | Listing | Speaker represents possible options of symbols belonging to a certain concept. |
| 1.4 | Polarization | Speaker presents the idea by splitting it into positive and negative alternatives. |
| 1.5 | Reformulation using numerals | Speaker makes use of numerals, apparently, having an awareness that they are not much different form language to language within one family. |
| 1.6 | Splitting up the problematic construction | Hearer splits up difficult construction for the understood and non-understood parts and relying on the understood ones puts a question-request for the meaning of the problematic parts. |
| 2 | Reference to common knowledge |  |
| 2.1 | Decomposition with reference to common disourse knowledge | Speaker represents a concept by calculating its constituents choosing an elements of common discourse knowledge to function as constituents. |
| 2.2 | Reconstruction of speaker's plan by reference to world knowledge | Hearer tries to guess possible meaning of non-understood element relying either on the topic of conversation or on the world knowledge. |
| 2.3 | Referring to common knowledge of language family | While using a meta discourse speaker projects his/her idea in the way that clarification works the best to help hearer find respective element in his/her mother tongue. |
| 2.4 | Reformulating referring to the common world knowledge | Speaker reformulates non-understood utterances using the concepts of world knowledge which are conventionally shared by all people. |
| 2.5 | Looking for words which fit common knowledge | Speaker produces many utterances in succession hoping that some of the words of his speech may appear familiar to hearer. |


| 3 | Speaker's operating on hearer's perception |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.1 | Clarification of parsing structure | Speaker repeats the essential elements in isolation from the utterance in case hearer faces parsing problems. |
| 3.2 | Appeal to the phonological knowledge of the recipient | Speaker intuitively guesses that some words in his/her mother tongue sound similar to their equivalents in the language of interlocutor and utters them with a certain expectation of the understanding reaction. |
| 3.3 | Referring to the object instead of process | In case the non-understood element signifies the process of an action the speaker's reformulation may function as manifestation of an object of the action. |
| 3.4 | Repetition with a function of a request for repair | In case hearer's question-hypothesis related to non-understood element is wrong, speaker may repete the non-understood element with a cue to hearer to try his/her guess again. |
| 4 | Explanation |  |
| 4.1 | Explanation | Speaker reformulates the non-understood element by expressing it in view of its social purpose. |
| 4.2 | An ostensive explanation in acting non-verbally | Speaker uses ostensive signs in cases verbal explanation does not work out for hearer's understanding. |
| 4.3 | Explanation of an isolated element in speech formula | Speaker explains the metaphorical meaning of an isolated element in speech formula. |
| 4.4 | Clarification of constellation | Speaker explains to hearer in which way the perspective was moved from the situation discussed before to the situation discussed by him/her at the moment. |
| 5 | Reformulation |  |
| 5.1 | Topic switch + Reformulation | Speaker makes a reformulation slightly changing the topic. |
| 5.2 | Summarization | In case if speaker's clarification process is not successful at the first try and, therefore, takes quite a long time, hearer may have partial understanding throughout speaker's reformulation and, as a result, construct his understanding out of caught elements in the end. |
| 5.3 | Resumptive reformulation | In case the cause of hearer's difficulty to understand is too complicated utterance structure, speaker may take the key elements out of the utterance and present them in isolated way. |

## CHAPTER 12

## SPEAKERS' UNSUCCESSFUL STRATEGIES

### 12.0 Presentation

This chapter presents such types of speakers' unsuccessful LaRa as ignoring hearer's lack of understanding, movement on the spot, referring unsuccessfully to the common discourse knowledge, paraphrasing by means of synonyms.

### 12.1. Ignoring hearer's lack of understanding

Seeing hearer' difficulties to understand, speaker may simply ignore it, i.e. instead of taking attempt to clarify a problematic point he/she may continue with the discourse or even change the topic. In most of cases such speaker's attitude leads to hearer's nonunderstanding.

E24
((Polish speaker Monika and Ukrainian speaker Olya discuss equal rights between genders)).
[5]


[9]

[10]


[12]




In s 8 "Nu napryklad my možemo vzjaty naši kul'tury" (Well, for example, we can consider our cultures) Olya offers to have a closer insight on the Christian cultures and goes on with "Ne/ne musul'mans'ki my xrystyjan/xrystyjans'ki" (Not Muslim - we are Christians) receiving Monika's signal of understanding "Hm" as she nods her head.

Olya continues her idea "Troxy rizni ale vzagali sxoži. Ee u nas napryklad ee čoho ne može robyty čolovik? Jaku profesiju ne može vykonuvaty čolovik? Može..." (A little different, but generally, they are similar. Ee, in our case, for example, ee, what the man cannot do? Which job the man cannot perform? Perhaps...) At the word "Može"
(Perhaps) Olya gets interrupted by Monika's request for elaboration (Kasper and Ross, 2003): "Kobieta? Jako profesję może wybrać?" (The woman? Which profession she can choose?).

Olya, most probably, having understood Monika's question, but failing to interpret the request for elaroration, repeats the last part of her last utterance as a reformulation: "Ne može vykonuvaty čolovik" (The man cannot do), which gives a very unsecure chance for Monika's understanding. Anyway, Monika starts her attempt to reply "I ne..." (And not...), but gets interrupted by Olya's long narration about women/men job preferences: "Ee vyxovuvaty ditej može čy ne može napryklad. Ee bo u školax u dutjačyx sadkax v universytetax vzahali rabo/pracjujut' žinky. Ee čoloviky pracjujut' jakos' bil'š abo pov"jazano z biznesom abo z važkoju robotoju jak na šaxtax čy ee pov"jazano z fizyčnoju / fizyčnym navantažennjam. Ale vzahali-to ja vvažaju ščo možna. Ale z inšoho boku čomu ee čomu my kažemo ščo jakščo je jakis' roli to nemaje rivnoprav"ja. Rivnoprav"ja je ale vono maje buty ne u samij profesiji a u vidnošenni do ljudyny" (Ee, if he can bring children up or not, for example. Ee, because at schools, at kindergartens, at universities, generally women work. Ee, men have jobs mostly connected with business, or with hard work, as at mine or, ee, connected with physical load. But, actually, I think it is possible. But, on the other hand, why, ee, why we say that if there are some roles, there are no equal rights. Equal rights exist, but it must be concerned not with the very job, but with the attitude to a person).

Monika seems to be fairly confused since being not able to follow the change of the speaker's topics. So, she tries to rephrase and summarize what she has just heard: "No czy / z tego wszystkiego zrozumiałam... Czy możliwe jest to, że w tych kulturach kobiety nie mają wyboru, tak? Tak, że mogła siedzieć w domu i wychować dzieci, tak? (But why / I understood out of all that... Is it real that in those cultures women do not have choice, right? So that she stayed at home and brought children up, right?) to what she gets Olya’s affirmative "Mhm". Further, she goes on: "Aa, a mogła wykonywać jakiś
zawód, tak? Mogła także wykonywać fizyczną robotę" (Aa, or she could perform some job, right? She could perform some physical work).

Apparently, she adheres to her initial understanding way about women job rights having not comprehended Olya's ideas of differentiation between men and women jobs. She concentrates on the idea about women to be able to perform a physical work, having caught only some elements from Olya's speech.

By uttering her summarization with interrogative intonation and using "tak?" (right?) two times, Monika requests Olya's evaluation of her understanding. Once she receives the confirmative signal from Olya "Mhm", but, after developing her idea further, she gets replied with "Možeš?" (Can you?) which signifies that Olya continues the discourse as if Monika's understanding is taken for granted.

Monika is uncertain about her understanding of the topic discussed since she gives a vague answer: "No nie wiem, nie chcę, może w rodzinie, ale..." (Well, I do not know, I do not want, may be at home, but...).

Evidently, Monika understood Olya’s "Možeš?" (Can you?) as it was related to Monika’s last utterance. However, because of Olya's non-stop speech and lack of help to lead Monika to understanding when she required it, Monika did not understand all the aspects of the discussion.

Moreover, Olya ignores Monika' uncertain countenance and leaves the problematic situation unsolved, giving her no chance but to resign herself to half-understanding.

### 12.2 Movement on the spot

In receptive multilingual communication a situation may take place when hearer's request for clarification which signifies problematic comprehension is met by speaker's signal of non-understanding. Thus both interactants demand further clarification but do not understand each other's requests. Therefore they are at the initial position of the
pattern when the control of the understanding synchronization is not provided and there is no progression in the discourse. Hence, speakers merely move on the spot.

## E25

((Polish speaker Dariusz and Ukrainian speaker Anna discuss traveling abroad)).



In s 9 "Ty buv kolys' u inšij krajini?" (Have you ever been to a foreign country?) Anna asks Dariusz if he has an experience of travelling abroad. Dariusz seems not to understand the whole utterance but catches the word "krajini" (country) and decides that Anna is speaking about 'Ukrajina' (Ukraine). So, he makes a questing-hypothesis relying on the pure guess of what Anna may ask about using the word 'Ukraine': "Co ja mylślę o Ukrainie?" (What do I think of Ukraine?). Anna at this moment loses her confident position of a speaker and answers uncertainly " Hm " having heard the word 'Ukraine' and, probably, perceived it as "krajini" used by her in the initial utterance on the one hand, and being still unsure what he meant by the rest of the utterance, on the other. Dariusz sees Anna’s lack of confidence and tries another guess: "Czy chciałbym, czy chciałbym jechać do Ukrainy, tak?" (If I want, if I want to visit Ukraine, right?) which makes Anna completely confused with the word "Ukrainy" (Ukraine) as she comprehends it perfectly this time. She first says "Ee tak" (Ee yes) and immediately repairs herself with a rephrasing question meant for Dariusz's evaluation: "Ty maješ na uvazi ščo moja krajina ce Ukrajina?" (You mean that my country is Ukraine?). At this point both of the interlocutors experience non-understanding; moreover, they are aware of it. Nevertheless, Dariusz ignores Anna's question and answers his own guessquestion from s 13: "Nie myślałem, nie myślałem o tym, ale chętnie, ale fajnie by było" (। have not considered, I have not considered it yet, but I would like, it would be fine).

This 'hit or miss' approach employed by Dariusz has to do, supposedly, with his growing irritation by constant non-understanding and desire to finish with the current topic as soon as possible.

Interestingly, Anna is not eager to return to the original topic either. Be it for her lack of wish to continue the mutual non-understanding, or for her having lost of the trace to the beginning of the conversation, she replies " Hm ", giving, thus, the way to the development of another topic as Darisz continues: "Dobrze by było. A czy ty może myślałaś o, ee, podróży do Polski?" (It would be fine. Have you considered visiting Poland?).

So, in the current example of the two-sided non-understanding the speakers choose the let it pass-strategy (Zeefaert, Ten Thije, 2007) shifting to another topic.

### 12.3 Referring unsuccessfully to the common discourse knowledge

Throughout the discourse participants may develop common conventional formulas of what means what. Moreover, they often use the formulas for further clarification of other problematic points. For instance, in the following excerpt Russian speaker Yana and Polish speaker Dariusz rely on the formula they already agreed about in the preceding part of the discourse in s 109. Then Yana explained to Dariusz that one year is twelve months. In s 197 Dariusz takes an attempt to employ the same strategy as Yana did hoping that their common knowledge about the definition of 'year' would help Yana to achieve understanding. However, the pattern ends up with misunderstanding, seemingly, because Yana did not understand Dariusz's reference to the concept of common knowledge and directed herself merely by guess.

E26
((Polish speaker Dariusz tells to Russian speaker Yana that his father being originally Russian was brought to Poland when he was a child as his parents moved)).


|  | 216017356] | 2170175721 | 218175121 | 2190175631 | $220[13187]$ | $221[18226$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | Два года - он был маленький? |  | Ara้, ara้. |  |  |  |  |
| Ya[lat] | Dva goda on byl malen'kij? |  | Aha ahà |  |  |  | Bogataja |
| Ya[eng] | Two years - he was little? |  | Ahà, ahà |  |  |  | Rich |
| Ya[ nv$]$ |  |  | Noas heo head. |  |  | Lengts. | Lsoghs. |
| $\mathrm{Da}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  | - Tak. |  |  | Bo to ta |  |  |
| Da[eng] |  | .-Yes. |  |  | Soit appea |  |  |
| Da [nv] |  |  |  |  |  |  | Smier. |
| [com] | 20 secs left out |  |  |  |  |  |  |

In s 197 Dariusz tells to Yana "Się urodził w Rosji, wiesz" (He was born in Russia, you know). He represents his idea vaguely mostly concentrating on self-repairment: "Jak miał, nie wiem, chyba dziesięć lat. Ee, dwana/dwanaście?" (When he was, I do not know, like ten years old. Ee twelve?). Yana does not reveal any reaction of understanding and Dariusz, seemingly, does not expect it. So, he takes an attempt to reformulate the numeral "dwanaście" (twelve), probably, anticipating her misunderstanding. He says "Tysiąc dwieście miesięcy, he, he, może tak. Dwanaście lat" (One thousand two hundred months, let us say so. Twelve years). Here he uses calculation to express the idea of years by means of months. However, the complicated numeral 'one thousand two hundred months' and quite complicated approach to express the number of years do not work for Yana's understanding. She echoes his last utterance "dvanasči lat" trying to find a Russian equivalent to it. Dariusz sees that there in a non-understanding on Yana's side and makes one more reformulation "Ee, dwanaście miesięcy to jest..." (Ee twelve months is...) with progressing intonation at the last word trying to remind her of the already found way out of misunderstanding about 'year' in s 109. However, Yana does not take what the prompt was made for comprehending only "miesięcy" (months) and the first part of the word "dwanaście" (twelve) - 'dwa' (two). So, she rephrases his idea with "Dva mesjaca?" (Two months?). Dariusz, nevertheless, insists on providing his strategy to make her remember what one year means according to their earlier agreed definition and repeats his utterance "Dwanaście miesięcy to jest jeden..." (Twelve months is one...) adding "jeden" (one) in order to make it as close to the meant part of the discourse as possible. Yana, realizing that her first inference was wrong as it was followed by the reformulation, makes
another one "Dva goda?" (Two years?) Saying "goda" she gives Dariusz the very word he needed. So, he immediately constructs the idea he believes to be surely understood by Yana. And she shows a fairly explicit reaction of understanding as she nods her head, smiles and says "Ok", probably still comprehending "dwanaście" (twelve) as 'dwa' (two). At this moment the misunderstanding between the speakers starts. They follow different lines of ideas while believing to speak about the same concept. Dariusz develops their conversation with "Miał dwanaście goda. Powiedzmy. I wtedy przyjechał do Polski. I..." (He was twelve years old. Let us say so. And then he came to Poland. And...) receiving her confident affirmations "Ok" and "Aha" while his speech until he got interrupted by her question "Podoždi. Dva goda on byl malen'kij?" ‘Wait. Two years - he was little?' He fails to identify her misunderstanding on this stage as well and confirms her wrong belief: "Tak" (Yes).

Further, the discourse went on with the different topic without the speakers' realization that the false information was accepted as the true one.

### 12.4 Paraphrasing by means of synonyms

One of the meta discourse strategies employed by the speakers is listing the synonyms of a non-understood by hearer element.

E27
((Polish speaker Olga tells to Russian speaker Katya about her participation in seasonal working programs in Norway and later in Ireland. After Katya's comment that she is very hardworking Olga answers that she travelled more than worked there)).

[22]

|  | $76[10.234]$ | 700031] | 78[003031 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] |  | Ну, в обще |  |
| Kallat] |  | Nu v obščem |  |
| Ka[TL] |  | well in geneal |  |
| Ka[eng] |  | Well, in short, you did |  |
| $\mathrm{Ka}[\mathrm{nv}]$ | Leughs. | Lsugts. |  |
| O1[v] | podróżowaliśmy. Emmº ((Laughs)) Emm-. |  |  |
| O1[TL] |  |  |  |
| O1[eng] |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ol}[\mathrm{nv}]$ |  | Lsughs. |  |
| [com] |  |  | 0.6 min/et out |

Olga tells to Katya "Bardziej zwiedzaliśmy niż pracowaliśmy" (We saw sights more than worked) (s 71).

Katya, seemingly, cannot get the meanings of the words "zwiedzaliśmy" (saw sights) and "pracowaliśmy" (worked), but understands that both of them are verbs in past tense of the first person in plural; therefore, she requests an elaboration: "Čto delali?" (What did you do?).

Olga repeats one of the verbs: "Ee, zwiedzaliśmy?" (Ee saw sights?), supposing that it is the one to be a problematic point for Katya's understanding. The repetition does not work out and Katya just smiles showing that it is still not clear to her.

Olga makes a reformulation by listing the synonyms of the word "zwiedzaliśmy" (saw sights): "Ogłóndaliśmy. Ee podróżowaliśmy" (Looked around. Ee, travelled), but neither of them has an effect. Katya shakes her head revealing a complete non-understanding. As Olga utters "Emm, emm" in an effort to find some more synonyms to the word zwiedzaliśmy" (saw sights) Katya says "Nu v obščem čto-to ty/vy tam delali" (Well, in short, you did something there), declaring, thus, that she is closing the topic and chooses the let it pass-strategy (Zeefaert, Ten Thije, 2007).

As can be seen from this case, giving synonyms of the non-understood word as a reformulation device is not effective. It narrows reformulation to one word which the hearer may simply not know.

Table 14: Speakers' unsuccessful strategies and devices (overview)

| Behaviour <br> style No | Name of style of <br> speakers' behavior | Actions performed by speakers when problematic <br> understanding takes place |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Ignoring hearer's lack of <br> understanding | Seeing hearer' difficulties to understand, speaker may simply <br> ignore it, i.e. instead of taking attempt to clarify a <br> problematic point he/she may continue with the discourse or <br> even change the topic. |
| 2 | Movement on the spot | In receptive multilingual communication a situation may take <br> place when the hearer's request for clarification which <br> signifies problematic comprehension is met by the speaker's <br> signal of non-understanding. Thus both interactants demand <br> further clarification but do not understand each other's <br> requests. Therefore they remain at the initial position when <br> the control of the understanding synchronization is not <br> provided and there is no progression in the discourse. |
| 3 | Referring unsuccessfully <br> to the common <br> discourse knowledge | Speaker refers to a common discourse knowledge but it does <br> not have effect on hearer's understanding. |
| 4 | Paraphrasing by means <br> of synonyms | Speaker lists the synonyms of a non-understood by hearer <br> element. |

Therefore, it is obvious that the successfulness of understanding in receptive multilingualism to a great extent depends on the actions of the speakers. The right choice of metadiscourse as well as eagerness to help each other in the moments of problematic understanding plays crucial role in constructing mutual comprehension. As was argued above, the speakers' strategies differ between the ones leading to
understanding, and the inefficient ones. It was also observed that speaker style of leading the discourse in receptive multilingualism affects the probability of understanding on hearer's side. It is doing to be discussed in the following chapter.

## CHAPTER 13

## STYLES OF SPEAKERS' DISCOURSE

### 13.0 Presentation

In the present chapter the associative and the straightforward speaker's discourse styles are discussed.

### 13.1 Two Types of Speakers' Discourse Styles

Being in the environment of receptive multilingualism speakers are aware that this kind of communication demands more efforts than normal one to understand and to be understood. Therefore, some of the speakers try to adjust their communication style assuming that it makes the produced information easier for hearer's reception. There are two speaker's styles determined: associative and straightforward ${ }^{1}$.

### 13.2 Associative

Speaker dissipates different topics with the hope that one of them will be understood by hearer. In this, speaker produces much information, not always coherently constructed. The crucial moment about it is that speaker, in order to present as much explanation as possible, talks fast and non-stop and, therefore, does not leave any space for hearer's reconstruction of speaker's plan or reaction of problematic understanding. Hence, it confuses hearer and leads to non-understanding.

[^0]
### 13.3 Straightforward.

Speaker constructs his/her idea more purposefully, with a certain line of argumentation.

He/she utters fewer sentences and covers fewer topics, and also, speaks slower and more distinctly than in normal conversation. Besides, speaker checks hearer's understanding all the time and makes long pauses when it is necessary for hearer to take time and find equal elements in his/her mother tongue.

In terms of bringing hearer to understanding, straightforward style of speaker is more successful than associative one. In receptive multilingualism it is important that hearer has enough time for reconstruction and adoption of speaker's plan. Also, it is necessary that speaker checks hearer's understanding before each topic switch. Finally, it appears to be enormously helpful for hearer's successful comprehension if speaker speaks distinctly and not fast. It lets hearer avoid parsing problem and, therefore, faster find respective equal parts in his/her native language.

Thus, it is advisable for those who practice, or plan to practice receptive multilingualism, to employ the straightforward style of communication.

## CHAPTER 14

## CONCLUSION

### 14.0 Presentation

This chapter presents the summery of the study, findings of the study, recommendations on the use of them in Slavic languages receptive multilingualism, and the implication of the research in the field of English language teaching.

### 14.1 Summery of the Study

The study on receptive multilingualism in Slavic languages was carried out in an empirical way, by recording the conversations between native speakers of Polish, Russian and Ukrainian. The participants of the study were students of the age 22-27-4 from Poland, 4 from Russia and 4 from Ukraine. The basic precondition of their participation in experiment was the absence of knowledge of any other Slavic language but their native one (except for Ukrainians who know Russian as well as Ukrainian).

The study was organized in a way that each participant was involved in a conversation with a member of another language group separately (for example, each Pole interacted with one Ukrainian and one Russian). Thus all the participants had the experience of receptive multilingual communication when they tried to understand two other Slavic languages. All in all, there are twelve 40-45 minute long recordings of conversations between native speakers of Polish, Russian and Ukrainian.

The primary aim of the study was to find out if receptive multilingual communication is possible between the speakers of Polish, Russian and Ukrainian. The secondary aim was to define to which extent the communication is successful in general and in each language constellation separately. And, finally, it was planned to determine which
speakers' strategies in case of miscommunication were successful for hearer's understanding and which - not.

For these purposes the cases of problematic understanding throughout the whole material were transcribed with Exmaralda, Partitur editor. They were analyzed with the major emphasis put on the speakers' actions when they face lack of understanding. Therefore, it was defined which speakers' devices worked out for mutual understanding, and which did not help to improve the situation or even led to complete confusion between the speakers.

In order to measure the degree of success of communication per each couple, the relation of number of problematic utterances to total number of speakers' utterances was calculated. Also, it was checked if the problematic understanding is concentrated in a certain part of each discourse. Therefore, conversations were divided into three parts according to the total number of utterances. For these calculations SPSS program was used.

### 14.2 Findings of the Study

The study focused on the four research questions:
(1) Is mutual comprehension between the speakers of Polish, Russian and Ukrainian possible?
(2) Is mutual comprehension between the three languages symmetric?
(3) In which part of the discourse the problematic understanding occurs most often?
(4) How the problematic points are overcome by the speakers?

As has been hypothesized, receptive multilingualism between the speakers of Polish, Russian and Ukrainian is possible. Moreover, it proved successful, with the mean rank of non-understanding in all constellations only $10.37 \%$. What is more, it was observed that
one of the factors preventing participants from a more successful communication is the lack of belief that understanding is possible. Most of them before experiment had a certain notion that it is very difficult to understand the two other languages. Also, this study was for nearly all of them the first in their lives experience of receptive multilingual communication.

However, it was found that the general success of mutual comprehensibility of Polish, Russian and Ukrainian is distributed asymmetrically between different language groups. This finding meets the aim of the second research question. As it was expected, in Russian-Ukrainian constellation Ukrainians were absolutely more successful in understanding Russians than vice versa due to the fact that Russian is understood in Ukraine as perfect as Ukrainian. In Polish-Ukrainian constellation the understanding was also distributed unequally, with a significant difference in favour of Ukrainians. As for Polish-Russian pairs, participants showed understanding to similar extents, with a slight difference in favour of Russians. This finding does not agree with the research hypothesis that Russians would understand Poles worse than Poles would understand Russians. It was assumed that Russians would be the most unsuccessful in understanding among the speakers of the three research languages because of their genetic conviction that Russian must be understood by speakers of other Slavic languages, so there is no need for an effort form Russians. Thus, the assumption proved wrong and a surprising result was found. Possibly, one of the reasons lies in West orientation of Poles. Another reason may be explained by socio-policial factors, such as the control of Poland by the Russian Red Army since 1944 up to 1991. Maybe among Poles remained an aversion against Soviets and therefore against Russians in a nationalistic perspective, what might affect mutual linguistic understanding.

It was also found that communication in different language constellations is not equally successful. The most effortless understanding was achieved by Russians and Ukrainians. An important reason for this is that there was no reception problem on the Ukrainian side. At the same time, the understanding of Ukrainian by Russians occurred far better
than it was expected before experiment. A little worse, but generally successful, was the communication between Poles and Ukrainians. And the most problematic understanding was experienced by participants in Polish-Russian constellation. There is a considerable gap between the degree of mutual understanding in Polish-Russian pairs and the degree of mutual understanding in Russian-Ukrainian and Polish-Ukrainian pairs.

As far as communication success for each of the three language groups is concerned, the results revealed asymmetry again. Ukrainians showed much better understanding in constellations both with Russians and Poles. In case of Poles and Russians, their success in receptive multilingualism appeared to be to a similar extent, with Russians having showed better understanding than Poles. This finding does not agree with the expectation that Russians would be the ones to have the most problematic understanding. Possibly, the explanation lies in the fact that Polish belongs to a different from Russian and Ukrainian Slavic languages branch what creates certain distance between languages, and therefore, causes more problematic understanding than in within-branch communication. On the other hand, Poles' success differs between understanding Russians and understanding Ukrainians, although the two languages belong to the same branch. Conserning this issue it can be presupposed that Poles' better understanding of Ukrainian comparatively to Russian is caused by a bigger similarity in terms of word stock between Polish and Ukrainian languages. Besides, the territorial closeness of Ukraine and Poland gives rise to an interlingual exchange and cross-border communication.

Regarding the third research question, the results showed that there is no any specific part of the discourse where the problematic understanding were concentrated, although it was hypothesized that the most difficult time for the participants to understand each other would be the beginning of the conversation. It proved wrong both for all the 12 discourses and for each of the three language constellations separately.

To answer the fourth research question, the most typical cases of problematic understanding throughout the 12 discourses were analyzed. The major focus was put on the speakers' actions undertaken to overcome lack of understanding. And, according to outcome of each case it was defined which speakers' strategies were successful for achievement of understanding, and which - not. For instance, among successful speakers' strategies listing, polarization, decomposition, reference to common world knowledge, etc., were determined. Similarly, ignoring hearer's lack of understanding, paraphrasing by means of synonyms, etc., were considered unsuccessful speakers' strategies.

The possible effects of speakers' strategies of the two types were shown in an empirical way, by the real experience of Polish, Russian and Ukrainian speakers. Therefore, the ways of successful and unsuccessful meta discourse in case of miscommunication can be taken into consideration by those, who practice, or plans to practice receptive multilingualism.

Besides, the two styles of speakers' discourse were found: associative and straightforward. The former has the main feature that speaker dissipates different topics with the hope that one of them will be understood by hearer. In this, speaker produces much information, not always coherently constructed. The latter is the one when speaker constructs his/her idea more purposefully, with a certain line of argumentation. He/she utters fewer sentences and covers fewer topics, and also, speaks slower and more distinctly than in normal conversation. The straightforward style proved to be more helpful in receptive multilingual communication. This fact can also be taken into account by those who use receptive multilingualism.

### 14.3 Recommendations on the Use of Receptive Multilingualism in Slavic Languages

Findings of the present study may have a great significance in the development of multilingual communication between the speakers of Polish, Russian and Ukrainian.

First, the results of the experiment proved the possibility of a successful communication between real speakers of Polish, Russian and Ukrainian. This finding is expected to bring Ples, Russians, Ukrainians and, possibly, even other speakers of Slavic languages to recognize the workability of receptive multilingualism. Moreover, it is hoped that they try to use this way of communication with the idea on their mind that it worked out for people of the similar background.

As the results of the research showed, devices implemented by speakers in moments of problematic understanding may both have and have not effect for hearers' successful comprehension. Therefore, it is suggested for future users of Slavic languages receptive multilingualism that they employ such strategies as listing, decomposition, ostensive explanation and others leading to successful communication.

Besides, it is advisable to use the straightforward style of communication in receptive multilingualism, i.e. speak slower and more distinctly than in normal discourse. In fact, in receptive multilingualism it is important that hearer has enough time for reconstruction and adoption of speaker's plan. And the straightforward way of leading discourse proved to have a notably better effect on hearer's comprehension.

### 14.4 Implication in the English Language Teaching

Beerkens, in her research on receptive multilingualism in the Dutch-German border area (2010), refers to Braunmuller's (2006) concept of "learning by doing". The main idea of it is that the more people engage in receptive multilingual communication the more they get accustomed to the differences in their linguistic and cultural backgrounds.

It was found in the present research that speakers learn each other's languages step by step, and not only by recognizing genetic linguistic similarities, but also by memorizing the unfamiliar elements after having problems to understand them and being explained their meanings.

Therefore, the concept of 'learning by doing' can be applied in the English language teaching as well as in any foreign language teaching. It may be advisable to learners of foreign language while communication with native speakers to use the strategies of hearer's Lingua Receptiva (Rehbein, ten Thije and Verschik, 2008) instead of "let it passstrategy" (Zeefaert, Ten Thije, 2007) in cases of problematic understanding. That is, it is suggested that whenever a learner faces an element causing non-understanding, he/she signals about it to the interlocutor for clarification. Thus, the active communicative style of learner enhances his learning processes, and results in faster and more effortless language acquisition.
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## APPENDIX A

## Discourse between Anna( U ) and Katya( R ), $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ couple

## Conversation between Anna and Katya

Project Name: Receptive multilingualism in Slavic languages
Referenced file: C:\Documents and Settings\aliona\Desktop\recordings\Anna+Katya 17.12.AVI
Transcription Convention: AK
Comment: Anna and Katya did not know each other before. Katya does not have any knowledge of Ukrainian, have not had any experience of receptive multilingual communication and never visited Ukraine. Anna, unlike her, has a native knowledge of Russain, but never visited Russia and never practiced receptive multilingualism.

User defined attributes:
Date of recording: 17.12.09.
Place of recording: Ankara, METU
Date of transcription: 04.01.10.
Duration of transcription: 1 hour
Recording person: Aliona Romaniuk
Transcribing person: Aliona Romaniuk
Translating person: Aliona Romaniuk

## Speakertable

## An

Sex: f
Languages used: eng; rus; ukr
L1: rus; ukr
Comment: Does not have knowledge of any other Slavonic language but Russian and Ukrainian.
User defined attributes:
Full name: Anna
Nationality: Ukrainian
Native language: Russian, Ukrainian
Status: student
City: Dnepropetrovsk

## Ka

Sex: f
Languages used: eng; rus
L1: rus
Comment: Does not have knowledge of any other Slavonic language but Russian.
User defined attributes:
Full name: Ekaterina
Nationality: Russian
Native language: Russian
Status: null

## City: Izhevsk

Al
Sex: f
[1]
A[v] Well, girls, you've chosen the topic 'Your futur profession',
[2]

[3]
A[v] your respective native languages. For Anna - Ukrainian,
[4]
${ }^{A[[v]}$ for Katya - Russian. Try not to switch into English. When it
[5]

AI[v] is possible, please, try always to reformulate it in your
[6]

[7]

|  | 10 [29:37.1]11 [29:38.1] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| An[v] | якщо ми говоримо по / про ці професії... | ... як/яка |
| An[lat] | jakščo my pohovorymo po/ pro ci profesiji... | ... jak/jaka |
| An[TL] | we speak-PRS-1PL about these profession-PL | ... which |
| An[eng] | are speaking about the professions... | ... what profession, |
| An[ $\mathbf{n v}$ ] |  | LAughs. |
| $\mathbf{K a [ v ]}$ |  | Да... |
| Ka[lat] |  | Da... |
| Ka[eng] |  | Well... |
| $\mathbf{K a [ n v ]}$ |  | Laughs. |

[8]

| An[v] | професія, ти вважаєш, для жінки найбільше / |
| :---: | :---: |
| An[lat] <br> An[TL] <br> An[eng] | profesija ty vvažaješ, dlja žinky najbil'še / najkrašča?    <br> profession you-NOM think-PRS-2SG for woman the most-ADV the best-ADJ you think, is the best for a woman? |

[9]

|  | 12 [29:44.3] | 13 [29:45.9] | 14 [29:46.5] | 15 [29:47.4] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| An[v] | найкраща? | $X$ |  | $X$ |
| An[lat] |  | Hm |  | Hm |
| An[TL] |  | IJ |  | IJ |
| An[eng] |  | Hm |  | Hm |
| An[c] |  | Affirmative. |  |  |
| Ka [v] | -• ДлЯ Жены? |  | ДЛЯ |  |
| Ka[lat] | ... Dlja ženy? |  | Dlja |  |
| Ka [eng] | - . For a wife? |  | For a wom |  |

[10]

|  | 16 [29:47.8*] | 17 [29:48.5] | 18 [29:50.2] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| An[v] | Для же/ |  |  |
| An[lat] | dlja že/ mhm. |  |  |
| An[TL] | for IJ |  |  |
| An[eng] | For a wo/ mhm. |  |  |
| Ka [v] |  | - Я не знаю. Мне кажется, сейчас уже |  |
| Ka[lat] |  | - Ja ne znaju. | Mne kažetsja, sejčas uže net kakogo-to takogo, čto |
| Ka[eng] |  | - - I do not know. | I think nowadays there is no something like some |

[11]

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] | нет какого-то такого, что какие-то професии конкретно |
| Ka[lat] | kakije-to profesii konkretno prinadležat ženščine. |
| Ka[eng] | professions belong only to women. |

[12]

| Ka[v] | ПринаДЛежат женЩине. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ka[lat] |  |
| Ka[eng] |  |

## APPENDIX B

## Discourse between Olya(U) and Tanya(R), 2nd couple

## Conversation between Tanya and Olya

Project Name: Receptive multilingualism in Slavonic languages
Referenced file: C:\Documents and Settingslaliona\Desktop\recordings\Tanya-Olya-14-12.AVI
Transcription Convention: TO
Comment: Tanya and Olya did not know each other before. Tanya does not have any knowledge of Ukrainian, have not had any experience of receptive multilingual communication and visited Ukraine once when she was a child. Olga, in contrast, has native knowledge of Russain, visited Russia several times, but, like Tanya, never practiced receptive multilingualism.

User defined attributes:
Date of recording: 15.12.09.
Place of recording: Ankara, METU
Date of transcription: 16.12.09.
Duration of transcription: 1 hour
Recording person: Aliona
Transcribibg person: Aliona
Translating person: Aliona

## Speakertable

## Ta

Sex: f
Languages used: eng; deu; rus
L1: rus
Comment: Does not have knowledge of any other Slavonic language but Russian.
User defined attributes:
Full name: Tatyana
Nationality: Russian
Native language: Russian
Status: student
City: Barnaul

## OI

Sex: f
Languages used: eng; rus; ukr
L1: rus; ukr
Comment: Does not have knowledge of any other Slavonic language but Russian and Ukrainian.

User defined attributes:
Full name: Olga
Nationality: Ukrainian
Native language: Ukrainian, Russian
Status: student

## City: Kharkiv

AI
Sex: f
[1]
[2]
${ }^{\text {Alv] }} \quad$ Ee please. Ee try to speak in your receptive/respective
[3]
${ }^{\text {A[v] }} \quad$ native languages. Don't switch into English. • Ee switch
[4]
A[v] $\quad$ into English only in the moments when you get
[5]
${ }^{\text {Al[v] }} \quad$ completely stuck. $\cdot$ Your topic is your future profession,
[6]

|  | $6[17.0]$ | 7 [19.1] | 8 [01:45.5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] |  |  | Ну, а вообще я Ээ |
| Ta[lat] |  |  | Nu a voobše ja ee poskol' |
| Ta[eng] |  |  | Well, actually I ee as a linguist ee |
| OI[nv] |  |  | Nods her head. |
| Al[v] | right? ••Ok, let's start now. |  |  |
| [com] |  | 1 min left out. |  |


[8]

|  |  | 9 901:51.9] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] | преподаю языки. | -•Ну, и плюс некоторые спецкурсы |
| Ta[lat] |  | $\cdots \mathrm{Nu}$ i pljus nekotorye speckursy |
| Ta[eng] OI[nv] |  | $\cdots$ Well, also some courses on $\cdot \cdots$ mass media, on text. |

[9]

[10]

[11]

| Ta[v] | Государственном Университете - я живу в городе |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[lat] |  |  |  |  |
| Ta[eng] | Barnaul $\cdots \cdots$ there is a department of journalism. |  |  |  |

[12]

| Ta[v] | Барнауле - • вот там есть факультет журналистики. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ta[lat] <br> Ta[eng] | vot tam jest fakultet žurnalistiki. |

[13]

14 [02:08.0]
15 [02:10.6]

[14]

|  | 16 [02:12.1] | 17 [02:13.5*] | 18 [02:14.8] | 19 [02:15.3] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] |  |  | Аа, ЯЗык | ЭЭ ((1s)) |
| Ta[lat] |  |  | Aa jazyk! | Ee ((1s)) anglijskij |
| Ta[eng] |  |  | Aa, language! | Ee ((1s)) English, |
| Ta[nv] | Moves her head towards her. |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{OI}[\mathrm{v}]$ | моВи?((1.4s)) Дкі? | АНглій |  |  |
| O1[lat] | movy? ((1.4s)) Yaki? | Anglijsku... |  |  |
| OI[TL] | language-PL ((1.4s)) what-ACC-PL | Enlish-ACC |  |  |
| Ol[eng] | languages? ((1.4s)) Which? | English... |  |  |

[15]

|  | 20 [02:18.1] |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] | аНГлИЙскиЙ, немеЦКИЙ. |
| Ta[lat] | nemeckij. |
| Ta[eng] | German. |

## APPENDIX C

## Discourse between Vika(U) and Rustam(R), 3rd couple

## Conversation between Vika and Rustam

Project Name: Receptive multilingualism in Slavonic languages
Referenced file: C:\Documents and Settings\aliona\Desktop\recordings\Vika+Rustam 24.12.AVI
Transcription Convention: VR
Comment: Vika and Rustam did not know each other before. Rustam does not have any knowledge of Ukrainian, have not had any experience of receptive multilingual communication and never visited Ukraine. Vika, unlike him, has a native knowledge of Russain, visited Russia several times, but never practiced receptive multilingualism.

User defined attributes:
Date of recording: 24.12.09.
Place of recording: Ankara, METU
Date of transcription: 29.12.09.
Duration of transcription: 6 hours
Recording person: Aliona Romaniuk
Transcribing person: Aliona Romaniuk
Translating person: Aliona Romaniuk

## Speakertable

Vi
Sex: f
Languages used: rus; ukr; eng; deu
L1: rus; ukr
Comment: Does not have knowledge of any other Slavonic language but Russian and Ukrainian.

User defined attributes:
Full name: Viktoria
Nationality: Ukrainian
Native language: Russian, Ukrainian
Status: student
City: Vinnitsa
$\underline{\mathbf{R u}}$
Sex: m
Languages used: rus; eng
L1: rus
Comment: Does not have knowledge of any other Slavonic language but Russian.

User defined attributes:
Full name: Rustam
Nationality: Russian
Native language: Russian
Status: student

City: Kaliningrad

AI
Sex: f
[1]

|  | ${ }_{0}[00.0]$ | [81 [03.4] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ru[v] | Hm |  |
| Alv] | Well, you have chosen 'Travel abroad', right? | While |

[2]
${ }^{\text {Al[v] }}$ communicating , please, d/don't switch into English. Try
[3]
$\square$ always to speak in your respective native languages.
[4]

Alv] Switch into English only in the moments when you get
[5]

|  | $5[14.1] \quad 6[14.6]$ | 7 [01:04.0] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | completely stuck. Ok? | Потім на деякий час |
| Vi[lat] |  | Potim na dejakyj čas perejixaly |
| Vi[TL] |  | then for some time move-PST-1PL |
| Vi[eng] |  | After that we moved to Germany for a while. |
| Al[v] |  |  |
| [com] | 1 min let |  |

[6]

[7]

|  | 11 [01:10.6] | 12 [01:11.6] | 13 [01:12.6*] | 14 [01:13.2] 15 [01:13.6] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | Німеччина. |  |  |  |
| Vi[lat] | Nimeččyna. |  |  | Aha |
| Vi[TL] | Germany-ACC |  |  | IJ |
| Vi[eng] | Germany. |  |  | Aha |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [v] | Украине? | Нимеччи/ ! Германия! |  |  |
| Ru[lat] |  | Nimeččyl aa! | Germanija! | Jasno. |
| Ru[eng] |  | Aa! Germany! |  | 1 |

[8]


|  | 19 [04:30.4] | 20 [04:32.0] | 21 [04:32.8] | 22 [04:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | У Венецію коли лети | 3 Кра |  | KOJ |
| Vi[lat] | U Veneciju koly letyš? | Z Krako |  | Koly |
| Vi[TL] | to Venice-GEN when fly-PRS-2SG | from Craco |  | when |
| Vi[eng] | When are you going to Venice? | From Cra |  | When |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  | С Кракова? |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [lat] |  |  | S Krakova? |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{eng}]$ |  |  | From Cracow? |  |

[10]

[11]

|  |  | 29 [04:43.8] | 30 [07:24.0] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] |  |  | В то мicto |
| Vi[lat] |  |  | $\checkmark$ to misto treba |
| Vi[TL] |  |  | to that-NOM city-NOM should- |
| Vi[eng] |  |  | To that city one should go |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ | , Это будет девятое февраля. |  |  |
| Ru[lat] | , eto budet devjatoje fevralja. |  |  |
| Ru[eng] | will be the ninth of February. |  |  |
| [com] |  | 3 mins left out. |  |


| Vi[v] | треба їхати не з друзями, в то місто треба їхати 3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Vi[lat] | jixaty ne z druzjamy v to misto treba jixaty z koxanoju ljudynoju. |
| Vi[TL] | IMPER go-INF not with friend-PL to that-NOM city-NOM should-IMPER go-INF with beloved-INS person-INS |
| Vi[eng] | not with friends but with beloved person. |

[13]

|  | 31 [07:27.8] |
| :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | коханою люДиною. Тому щ๐ там, дійсно, атмосфера |
| Vi[lat] | Tomu ščo tam dijsno atmosfera taka |
| Vi[TL] | because there really amosphere-NOM such-NOM |
| Vi[eng] | Because the atmosphere there is really like... well, one must be in |

[14]

|  |  | 32 [07:32.3] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | така, що... ну, там треба бути закоханим. | А ЯКЩО ТИ |
| Vi[lat] | ščo nu tam treba buty zakoxanym. | A jakščo ty jideš |
| Vi[TL] | that well there should-IMPER be-INF in-love-ADJ-INS | and if you-NOM go- |
| Vi[eng] | love. | But if one goes there to |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  |  |

[15]

|  | .. 33 [07:34.6*] | 34 [07:34.6] | 35 [07:35.7] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | Їдеш пиво пити, то діла... |  | Закоханим. |
| Vi[lat] | pyvo pyty to dila... |  | Zakoxanym. |
| Vi[TL] | PRS-2SG bear-ACC drink-INF then metter-GEN |  | in-love-ADJ-INS |
| Vi[eng] | drink bear, then ((it does not make sense)). |  | In love. |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [v] | Как ты говоришь? Закоханим? |  |  |
| Ru[lat] | Kak ty govoriš? | Zakoxanim? |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{eng}]$ | How do you say it? |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [ nv ] | Smiles. | Smiles. |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  | Repeats after her. |  |

[16]

|  | 36[07:36.7] | 37 07:37.6] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] |  | Можна йому пояснити, що воно таке |
| Villat] |  | Možna jomu pojasnyty, ščo vono take rosijs'kju movoyu? |
| Vi[eng] |  | May I expain what it means in Russian? |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Закоханим. |  |
| Ru[lat] | zakoxanim. |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{nv}]$ | Smiles. |  |
| Ru[c] | Repeats after her. |  |

[17]

[18]

[19]

|  |  | 43 [08:47.2.] | $4{ }^{[10884.6]}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | смердить страшенно. | Рибою. |  |
| vi[lat] | strasesenno. | Ryboju. |  |
| Vi[TL] | terriby-ADV | fish-INS |  |
| Vi[eng] |  | Of fish. |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  |  | Смердыть страшенно? |
| Ru[lat] |  |  | Smerdyt' strašenno? |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  | Repeats after her. |

[20]

[21]


[23]

|  | 57 [09.3.3.] | 58 [09.3.9] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] |  | Тобі кажу: дядьку, візьміть |
| Vi[lat] |  | Tobi kažu: djad'ku viz'mit' mene |
| Vi[TL] |  | you-DAT say-PRS-1SG uncle-VOC take-IMPR-PL L-ACC |
| Vi[eng] |  | 1 am telling to you: uncle, take me to Venice. |
| Ru[v] | А дядько, что за дядько? |  |
| Ru[lat] | A djad'ko, čto za djad'ko? |  |
| Ru[eng] | But uncle, who is uncle? |  |



|  |  | 63 [18:16.2] | 64 [18:17.2] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | лікарні після отого проїзду? Ну, скільки |  |  |
| Vi[lat] | likarni pislja otoho projizdu? |  | Nu skil'ky |
| Vi[TL] | hospital-LOC after that-GEN crossing-GEN |  | well how-many |
| Vi[eng] | that crossing? |  | Well, how many |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Что в лекарне? |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [lat] | Čto v lekarne? |  |  |
| Ru [eng] | What in the hospital? |  |  |

[26]

[27]

[28]

|  | 71 [18:24.9] | 72 [18:25.9] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | У лікарню! |  |
| Vi[lat] | U likarnju! |  |
| Vi[TL] | to hospital-ACC |  |
| Vi[eng] | To the hospita!! |  |
| Vi[c] | Impatient. |  |
| $\mathbf{R u} \mathbf{v}]$ | попали? | , в лик/ да никто не попал - там |
| Ru[lat] |  | Aa, v lik/ da nikto ne popal - tam každyj den' jezdjat poezda po |
| Ru[eng] |  | Aa, to the hos/ nobody got there - there are every day trains going |
| Ru[ $\mathbf{n v}$ ] |  | Laughs. |

[29]

|  | 73 [18:30.4*] 74 [18:30.6] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] |  | Ой, |
| Vi[lat] |  | Oj |
| Vi[TL] |  | IJ |
| Vi[eng] |  | Oh, I |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [v] | кажДый день езДЯт поезДа по Этому мосту. |  |
| Ru[lat] | etomu mostu. |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{eng}]$ | on that bridge. |  |
| Ru[nv] |  |  |
| [com] | 15 mins left out. |  |

[30]

|  | .. | 76 [33:09.4] | 77 [33:10.8]78 [33:11.3] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | я пам'ятаю це Токайське вино! |  | X |
| Vi[lat] | ja pam"jataju ce Tokajs'ke vyno! |  | Hm |
| Vi[TL] | I remember-PRS-1SG this-NOM Tokai-ADJ wine-ACC |  | IJ |
| Vi[eng] | remember that Tokai wine! |  | Hm |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [v] |  | Венгерское? | $B$ |
| Ru[lat] |  | Vengerskoje? | $V$ Čexii gde- |
| Ru[eng] |  | Hungarian? | Somewhere |


[32]

|  |  | 83 [33:15.1]84 [33:15.8**85 [33:15.9] |  | 86 [33:16.5] | 87 [33:17.1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | Венгрия. | Венгрия | Угорщи |  |  |
| Vi[lat] | Vengrija. | Vengrija. | Uhorščyna. |  | Uhorščyna. |
| Vi[TL] | Hungery-NOM | Hungery-NOM | Hungery-NOM |  | Hungery-NOM |
| Vi[eng] | Hungary. | Hungary. | Hungary. |  | Hungary. |
| Vi[nv] |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vi[c] | Russian. |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{v}]$ | такое Угорщина? |  |  | Угорщина? |  |
| Ru[lat] | takoje Uhorščyna? |  |  | Uhorščyna? |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{eng}]$ | Uhorščyna? |  |  | Hungary? |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  |  | Understand | ning but repeats |

[33]

[34]

[35]

|  | 94 [41:07.9] | 95 [41:08.6] | $96[41: 10.0]$ | 97 [42:03.9] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [v] | Мужики. • ЖИНки, да. |  |  | Не, я бы съезДил в какую- |
| Ru[lat] | Mužyki. | - ${ }^{\text {U }}$ Cinki, da. |  | Ne, ja by c"ezdil v kakuju-nibud' stranu |
| Ru [eng] | Men. | - Women, well. |  | Well, I would like to go to some safe country. |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [nv] [com] | Laughs. | 1 min left out. |  |  |

[36]

|  |  | 98 [22:06.1] | 9942:07.10] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] |  | Ти бачив, де там | Дe? |
| Vi[lat] |  | Ty bačyv de tam... | De? |
| V [[TL] |  | you see-PST-2SG where there | where |
| Vi[eng] |  | Did you see there.. | Where? |
| Vi[nv] |  |  |  |
| Vi[c] |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{v}]$ | нибудь страну безопасную. |  |  |
| Ru[lat] | bezopasnuju. |  |  |
| Ru[eng] |  |  |  |


|  | $100 \quad 101$ [42:08.1*] | 102 [42:08.2] | 103 [42:10.7*] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | В Яку? Яку? | Десь / де там нормальна |  |
| Vi[lat] | $V$ jaku? Jaku? | Des' / | normal'na |
| Vi[TL] | to which-ACC which-ACC | somewhere | mal-NOM |
| Vi[eng] | To which? Which? | Somewhere / where is a normal country there? |  |
| Vi[ nv ] |  |  |  |
| Vi[c] |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [v] | Посмотреть, что там... |  | 4T0? |
| Ru[lat] | Posmotret', čto tam... |  | Čto? |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [eng] | To look around... | What? |  |

[38]

|  | 104 [42:10.7*] 105 [42:10.8] | 106 [42:11.7] | 107 [42:13.8*] | 108 [42:13.9] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | страна? <br> strana? <br> country-NOM | Яка страна там нормальна? |  |  |
| Vi[lat] |  | Jaka st | normal'na? |  |
| Vi[TL] |  | which-NOM | normal-NOM |  |
| Vi[eng] |  | Which coun |  |  |
| Vi[nv] |  |  |  |  |
| Vi[c] |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  |  | Яка ст | - - H |
| Ru[lat] |  |  | Jaka strana | ... Nu, |
| Ru [eng] |  |  |  | - . . Well, |
| Ru [c] | Repeats after her. |  | Repeats after |  |

[39]

|  | .. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ru[v] | если не считать вот эти северНые страны, типа, |
| Ru[lat] | sčitat' vot eti severnye strany, tipa, nastojaščaja Afrika, nu, čtob posmotret', čto takoje tropiki, tam. |
| Ru[eng] | the reall tropics there, not taking to account Nothern countries, that is real Africa. |

[40]

| Ru[v] |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ru[lat] $]$ <br> Ruleng] | настоящая Африка, ну, чтоб посмотреть, что такое |

[41]


## APPENDIX D

## Discourse between Andrei(U) and Yana(R), 4th couple

## Conversation between Yana and Andrei

Project Name: Receptive multilingualism in Slavonic languages
Referenced file: C:\Documents and Settings\aliona\Desktop\recordings\Yana+Andrei.AVI
Transcription Convention: YA
Comment: Yana and Andrei knew each other before. Yana does not have any knowledge of Ukrainian despite her father is Ukrainian originally, have not had any experience of receptive multilingual communication and visited Ukraine few times. Andrei has a native knowledge of Russain, visited Russia once, but never practiced receptive multilingualism.

User defined attributes:
Date of recording: 13.01.10.
Place of recording: Ankara, METU
Date of transcription: 24.01.10.
Duration of transcription: 1 hour
Recording person: Aliona Romaniuk
Transcribing person: Aliona Romaniuk
Translating person: Aliona Romaniuk

## Speakertable

$\underline{Y a}$
Sex: f
Languages used: rus; eng; deu; cmn
L1: rus
Comment: Does not have knowledge of any other Slavonic language but Russian.

User defined attributes:
Full name: Yana
Nationality: Russian
Native language: Russian
Status: student
City: Irkutsk

An
Sex: m
Languages used: rus; ukr; eng
L1: rus; ukr
Comment: Does not have knowledge of any other Slavonic language but Russian and Ukrainian.
User defined attributes:
Full name: Andrei
Nationality: Ukrainian
Native language: Russian, Ukrainain
Status: student

## City: Sevastopol

AI
Sex: f
[1]

0 [00.0] 1 [00.0]
$\mathrm{Al}[\mathbf{v}]$ Well, guys, you've chosen the topic 'Travel abroad',
[2]
${ }^{\text {Al[v] }} \quad$ right? Try to communicate in your respective native
[3]

| A[v] |
| :--- |
|  |
|  |
|  |

[4]
Alv] problematic moments. Try to make yourself clear in your
[5]
AI[v] native language.And, ee, switch into English only in the
[6]

| $\operatorname{Al}[v]$ | moments when you get completely stuck, ok? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $[\mathbf{c o m}]$ | ${ }^{6[17.8]}$ |
| 13 mins left out. |  |

[7]

|  | 7 [13:57.6] | 8 [13:58.8] | 9 [14:00.1] | 10 [14:01.3] | 11 [14:02.1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] |  | - Влитку? |  | ЛИТОМ |  |
| Ya[lat] |  | - Vlitku? |  | Litom. | Letom, |
| Ya[eng] |  |  |  |  | In summer, |
| Ya[nv] |  | Smiles. |  | Laughs. | Smiles. |
| $\mathbf{Y a [ c ]}$ |  | Repeats after him in low voice. |  | Repeats after |  |
| An[v] | Влітку теж була? |  | Лі/літ |  |  |
| An[lat] | Vlitku tež bula? |  | Li/litom. |  | Litom. |
| An[TL] | in summer also be-PST-2SG |  | in summer |  | in summer |
| An[eng] | Were you there in summer too? |  |  |  |  |

[8]

|  |  | 12 [14:03.0]13 [14:03.7] | 14 [23:54.0] | 15 [23:56.6] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | Летом, да? Да. |  |  |  |
| Ya[lat] | $\begin{array}{r} \text { da? } \\ \text { right? } \end{array}$ | Da. |  |  |
| Ya[eng] |  | Yes. |  |  |
| Ya[nv] |  | Smiles. |  |  |
| $\mathbf{Y a [ c ]}$ |  |  |  | Moves her head |
| An[v] | Літом. |  | I, ну, там все дешево? • Ну, як |  |
| An[lat] |  |  | I , nu, tam vse deševo? | - Nu, jak |
| An[TL] |  |  | and well there everything cheap-ADV | -• well how |
| An[eng] |  |  | So, is it cheap there? | - Well, what do |
| An[nv] |  |  |  |  |
| [com] | 11 mins left out. |  |  |  |


[10]

| Ya[v] | дешево - не дешево. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ya[lat] | dëševo - $\quad$ ne dëševo. |  |
| Ya[eng] |  |  |
| Ya[nv] |  |  |

## APPENDIX E

## Discourse between Anna(U) and Dariusz(P), 5th couple

## Coversation between Anna and Dariusz

Project Name: Receptive multilingualism in Slavonic languages
Referenced file: C:\Documents and Settings\aliona\Desktop\recordings\Anya+Dariush 19.11.AVI
Transcription Convention: AD
Comment: Anna and Dariusz did not know each other before. Anna does not have any knowledge of Polish, never heard Polish speech, never practiced receptive multilingualism, never been to Poland. Similarly, Dariusz does not have any knowledge of Ukrainian, never heard Ukrainian speech, never practiced receptive multilingualism, never visited Ukraine.

User defined attributes:
Date of recording: 19.11.09.
Place of recording: Ankara, METU
Date of transcription: 21.11.09.
Duration of transcription: 14 hours
Recording person: Aliona Romaniuk
Transcribing person: Aliona Romaniuk
Translating person: Aliona Romaniuk

## Speakertable

## An

Sex: f
Languages used: eng; rus; ukr
L1: rus; ukr
Comment: Does not have knowledge of any other Slavonic language but Russian and Ukrainian.
User defined attributes:
Full name: Anna
Nationality: Ukrainian
Native language: Russian, Ukrainian
Status: student
City: Dnepropetrovsk

## Da

Sex: m
Languages used: eng; pol
L1: pol
Comment: Does not have knowledge of any other Slavonic language but Polish.

User defined attributes:
Full name: Dariusz
Nationality: Pole
Native language: Polish
Status: student

## City: Wroclaw

AI
Sex: f
[1]

0 [00.0] 1 [00.0]
Alv] $\quad$ Ok, guys, we are starting our recording and I am
[2]
A[v] giving you a short introduction. • Ee just try to speak
[3]
$4[\mathrm{V]} \quad$ always in your respective native languages. For Anna
[4]
Alv] Ukrainian and for Dariusz Polish. Try not to switch into
[5]
${ }^{\text {Al[v] }}$ English. And you can switch into English only in the points
[6]
Alv] when you get completely stuck. Ok? So, let's start. Your
[7]

|  | 8 [23.0] | 9 [47.9] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| An[v] |  | Ти Був колИсь у інШій |
| An[lat] |  | Ty buv kolys' u inšij krajini? |
| An[TL] |  | you COP-PST-3SG formerly in another country-LOC |
| An[eng] |  | Have you ever been to a foreign country? |
| AI[v] | topic is 'Travel abroad'. |  |
| [com] | 0.5 min left out. |  |

[8]

[9]

[10]

[11]
Da[v] myślałem, nie myślałem o tym, ale chętnie, ale fajnie by
[12]

|  | 1:00 | S118 [01:10.8] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| An[v] | - X |  |
| An[lat] | - Hm |  |
| An[TL] | u |  |
| An[eng] | - Hm |  |
| Dalv] ${ }^{\text {Da }}$ [eng] | było. | Dobrze by było.A czy ty może myślałaś o, ee, |

[13]


[15]

|  |  |  | 23 [02:50.7] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| An[v] | подорожувати у Польщу чи Германію, наприклад. • Шо |  |  |
| An[lat] | podorožuvaty u Pol'šču čy Germaniju | napryklad. | - Ščo |
| An[TL] | to Poland-ACC or Germany-ACC for-example. |  | - what |
| An[eng] | Germany. |  | - Can |
| Da[c] |  |  | Confused. |

[16]

|  | .. |
| :---: | :---: |
| An[v] | ти можеш розказати мені про свою країну |
| An[lat] | ty možeš rozkazaty meni pro svoju krajinu interesnogo? |
| An[TL] | you can-PRS-2SG tell-INF I-DAT about you-PSS country-ACC interesting-GEN |
| An[eng] | you tell me something interesting about your country? |
| Da [c] |  |

[17]

|  | 24 [02:55.6] | 25 [02:57.2] | 26 [02:58.8] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| An[v] | інтересного? цікавого?山об зацікавити мене. |  |  |
| An[lat] | Ee cikavoho? | Ščob zacikavyty | mene. |
| An[TL] | IJ interesting-GEN | in-oreder-to interest-INF | I-ACC |
| An[eng] | Ee interesting? | To get me curious. |  |
| Da[v] |  |  | Czy, |
| Da[eng] |  |  | If, if I can |
| Da[c] |  |  |  |

[18]

[19]

|  |  | 27 [03:03.1]28 [03:04.5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| An[v] |  |  |
| An[lat] |  | - |
| An[TL] |  | - IJ |
| An[eng] |  | - |
| An[nv] |  | Smiles and holds her chin with her hand. |
| An[c] |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Da}[\mathrm{v}]$ | zobaczenia, tak? | Nie do końca, nie do końca |
| Da[eng] |  | I understood you not completely, not completely. |

[20]

| 29 [03:06.1]30 [03:06.9] |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| An[v] |  | $!$ |
| An[lat] |  | Ah! |
| An[TL] |  | IJ |
| An[eng] |  | Ah! |
| An[nv] |  | Nods her head. |
| An[c] |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Da}[\mathrm{v}]$ | złapałem. | Czy / czy przy / czy jeżeli ty przyjedziesz |
| Da[eng] |  | If, if, if you come to Poland, right? |

[21]

|  |  | [132 [0:32.2.2] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| An[v] | X |  |
| An[lat] | Hm |  |
| An[TL] | ${ }^{15}$ |  |
| An[eng] | Hm |  |
| An[nv] | Nod her head. |  |
| Da[v] | do Polski, tak? | Czy mogę ci coś z/ ciekawego |
| Da[eng] |  | If $\mid$ can offer you something interesting to see? |

[22]

|  |  | 33[03:17.7] | 34[03:18.4] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| An[v] |  | Так, т |  |
| An[lat] |  | Tak, tak. |  |
| An[eng] |  | Yes, yes. |  |
| An[nv] |  |  |  |
| Da[v] | zaoferować do zwiedzenia, tak? |  | Więc na pewno |
| Da[eng] |  |  | You should definitely visit |

[23]

|  |  | $36[10: 5977]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Da[v] | powinnaś odwiedzić Kraków. | A lubisz jeździć do |
| Da[TL] |  | and like-PRS-2SG go-INF to countr |
| Da[eng] | Cracow. | Do you like visiting neighbouring |
| [com] |  |  |

[24]

|  |  | 37 [11:03.5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| An[c] | Does not show any reaction. <br> krajów sąsiednich, do krajów sąsiednich? Syria, <br> PL neighbouring-PL to country-PL neighbouring-PL <br> Syria-NOM let-us say countries, neighbouring countries? <br> Let us say, Syria? |  |
| Da [v] |  |  |
| Da[TL] |  |  |
| Da[eng] |  |  |


|  |  | 38 [11:04.8] | 9[11:06.1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| An[v] |  | С/Си/Сирія? |  |
| An[lat] |  | Syria? |  |
| An[eng] |  | Syria? |  |
| An[c] |  | Confusedface. |  |
| Da[v] | powiedzmy? |  | Na południu Syria, powiedzmy |
| Da[TL] |  |  |  |
| Da[eng] |  |  | Let us say Syria - on the South, or some other |

[26]

|  | 40 [1:1:12.2]41 [1:12.2.2] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| An[v] |  |  |
| An[lat] |  |  |
| An[eng] |  |  |
| Da[v] <br> Da[eng | czy jakieś kraje dookoła Turcji? | Czy odwiedzałaś, czy |
| Da[eng] | neighbouring with Turkey country? | Did you visit, did you go? |

[27]


|  | 47 [14:02.4]48 [14:03.3] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| An[v] | побувати у Франції. | Я планую поб/поїхати туди ее |
| An[lat] | pobuvaty u Franciji. | Ja planuju pob/pojixaty tudy ee na |
| An[TL] |  | I plan-PRS-1SG go-INF there iJ on |
| An[eng] | much. | I am planning to go there this, this summer. |
| Da[v] | - Hm |  |
| Da[eng] | - Hm |  |

[29]

|  |  | 49 [14:11.4] | 50 [14:13.0] | 51 [14:14.9] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| An[v] | на ц/на цьому/цим літом. • Літо.•• в іюні. Іюнь - |  |  |  |
| An[lat] | c/ na cjomu/ cym litom. | - Lito. | . ${ }^{\text {E }}$ v | Ijun' - ijul'. |
| An[TL] | on this-LOC this-INS summer-INS | summer-NO |  |  |
| An[eng] |  | - S Summer. - Ee in June. |  | June-July. |
| Da[v] |  |  |  | Aga |
| Da[eng] |  |  |  | Aha |
| Da [nv] | Frowns. |  |  | Nods his head. |

[30]


|  | 56 [21:02.0] | 57 [21:05.4*] | 58 [21:05.5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| An[v] | в Туреччині? |  | Y Type/ |
| An[lat] | Tureččyni? | Aha. | U Ture/ skil'ky/ skil'ky |
| An[TL] | -Loc | IJ | in tur(key)-LOC/ how-long/ how-much |
| An[eng] |  | Aha. | How much, how much time are you |
| Da[v] | Dlaczego? Turcja, tak? |  |  |
| Da[TL] |  |  |  |
| Da[eng] | Why? | Turkey, rig |  |

[32]

|  | 59 [21:09.2] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| An[v] | скільки/скільки часу будеш тут? |  |
| An[lat] | času budeš tut? |  |
| An[TL] | time-GEN COP-FUT-2SG here |  |
| An[eng] | going to be here, in Turkey? |  |
| Da[v] |  | Aa, od / trzy/trzy |
| Da[eng] |  | Aa, for three, three months, three months, |

[33]

| An[v] |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| An[lat] |  |  |
| An[TL] [21:16.7]61 [21:17.3] |  | Ah |
| An[eng] | IJ |  |
| $\operatorname{Da[v]~}$ | miesiące / trzy miesiące / trzy - cztery miesiące. |  |
|  | Ah |  |
| Da[eng] | three-four months. |  |

## APPENDIX F

## Discourse between Vika(U) and Peter(P), 6th couple

## Conversation between Vika and Peter

Project Name: Receptive multilingualism in Slavonic languages
Referenced file: C:\Documents and Settingslaliona\Desktop\recordings\Vika+Peter 12.11.AVI
Transcription Convention: VP
Comment: Vika and Peter did not know each other before. Vika does not have any knowledge of Polish, never heard Polish speech, never practiced receptive multilingualism, never been to Poland. Similarly, Peter does not have any knowledge of Ukrainian, never practiced receptive multilingualism, but used to visit Kyiv for few days.

User defined attributes:
Date of recording: 13.11.09.
Place of recording: Ankara, METU
Date of transcription: 07.12.09.
Duaration of transcription: 15 hours
Recording person: Aliona Romaniuk
Transcribing person: Aliona Romaniuk
Translating person: Aliona Romaniuk

## Speakertable

Vi
Sex: f
Languages used: eng; deu; rus; ukr
L1: ukr; rus
Comment: Does not have knowledge of any other Slavonian language but Ukrainian and Russian.

User defined attributes:
Full name: Viktoria
Nationality: Ukrainian
Native language: Ukrainian, Russian
Status: student
City: Vinnitsa

Pe
Sex: m
Languages used: eng; deu; pol
L1: pol
Comment: Does not have knowledge of any other Slavonian language but Polish.

User defined attributes:
Full name: Peter
Nationality: Pole
Native language: Polish
Status: student

City: Krakow

AI
Sex: f
[1]

| Alver | ((0.7s)) So guys - your task is to talk on the topic • y your |
| :---: | :---: |

[2]
Al[v] future profession. •• Aa you/you have to speak all the
[3]
${ }^{\text {Al[v] }} \quad$ time in your • respective native languages. • • That is for
[4]
${ }^{\text {Alv] }} \quad$ Peter it is Polish and for Vika •it is Ukrainian. ((1s)) And
[5]

[6]
$A \mathrm{Al}[\mathbf{v}] \quad$ cases when you get completely stuck. $\cdot \bullet$ Okay? • •

|  | 5121 | 6[0:49,3] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] |  | Тобто ти хочеш |
| Vi[lat] |  | Tobto ty xočeš prodovžuvaty |
| Vi[TL] |  | in-other-words you-NOM want-PRS-2sG continue-INF |
| Vi[eng] |  | You mean you want to continue education, •right? |
| $\left[\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{Al}[\mathbf{v}] \\ {[\mathbf{c o m}]} \end{array}\right.$ | Okay, let us start now. |  |

[8]

[9]

[10]

[11]

[12]

|  |  | 14 [02:02.7*] 15 [02:02.7] |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | X |  | - тобто не прац | атИ ПОКИ |
| Vi[lat] | H | Mhm | tobto ne pracjuvatu | poky |
| Vi[TL] | IJ | IJ | in-other-words work-NEG-INF | yet |
| Vi[eng] | Hm | Mhm | so not to work yet? |  |
| Vi[nv] |  | Smiles |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | będę magistrem. Tak. |  |  |  |
| Pe[TL] |  |  |  |  |
| Pe[eng] | master. Right. |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{nv}]$ | intensively. |  |  |  |

[13]

[14]

|  |  |  | 19 [02:11.1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | і працювати • і навчатися чи | - навчатися? |  |
| Vi[lat] | pracjuvaty i navčatysja čy tilky | navčatysja? |  |
| Vi[TL] | work-INF and study-INF or only | study-INF |  |
| Vi[eng] | time or only study? |  |  |
| Vi[nv] | parallel to each other from right to left. |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  |  |  |
| Pe[eng] |  |  | . . . What's |

[15]

|  |  | [3.7] | $21\left[00^{\left.1 / 4.11^{*}\right]}\right.$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] |  | Ага! | - To | -•• |
| Vi[lat] |  | Aga! | To study |  |
| Vi[TL] |  | ${ }^{1}$ | to study | study-INF |
| Vi[eng] |  | Aga! | To study $\cdots$ means ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
| Pe[v] | What's ee co znaczy navchatysya? <br> ee what is that mean 'navchatisya'? |  |  |  |
| Pe[eng] |  |  |  |  |

[16]

[17]

26 [02:19.0]
27 [02:23.1*]

| Vi[v] | Тобто в тебе буде одночасно і навчання і • • праця? |
| :---: | :---: |
| Vi[lat] | Tobto $v$ tebe bude odnočasno i navčannja i pracja? |
| Vi[TL] | in-other-words at you-LOC COP-FUT-3SG simultaneously and studies-NOM-SG and work-NOM |
| Vi[eng] | You mean you will have education and work at the same time? |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Ee... |
| Pe[eng] | Ee... |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ | Uncertain. |

[18]

[19]

|  | ${ }^{30}[0: 26.9]$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] |  |
|  | так, так, так. |
| Vi[lat] | tak, tak. |
| Vi[eng] | yes, yes. |
| Pe[v] | przyszłym roku? Nie, myślę już pracować, już nie chcę |
| Pe[TL] |  |
| Pe[eng] | No, I am planning to work, I don't want to study anymore. |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{nv}]$ $\mathrm{Al}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Smiles and shakes his head. |

[20]

[21]


|  |  | 400 [0:40.4] | $4[$ [0:56.0] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] |  |  | Коли в тебе є |
| Vi[lat] |  |  | Koly v tebe je |
| Vi[TL] |  |  | when at you-Loc CoP-PRS |
| Vi[eng] |  |  | When you have job • and |
| Vi[nv] | Nods her head |  |  |
| Pe[v] | Mhm • - także pracuję przy kawiorze |  |  |
| Pe[eng] | Mhm - $\cdot$ sol work around caviar. |  |  |
| Pe[nv] | Nods his head. |  | Smiles. |
| [com] |  | 1 min left ou |  |

[23]

| Vi[v] | праця • знаєш, ти/ти мусиш це робити • то ти це |
| :---: | :---: |
| Vi[lat] | pracja znaješ tyty musyš ce robyty to ty ce robysh. |
| Vi[TL] | -3SG job-NoM you know-PRS-2SG you must-PRS-2SG it-ACC do-INF so you it-ACC do-PRS-2SG |
| Vi[eng] | you know you must do it - so you do it. |
| Pe[nv] |  |

Vi[v] робиш.Яка різниця ((0.7s)) є воно, вроджене, не

| Vi[lat] | Jaka riznycja $\quad((0.7 \mathrm{~s}))$ | je vono | vrodžene | ne vrodžene. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vi[TL] | what-NOM difference-NOM $((0.7 \mathrm{~s}))$ | COP-PRS it | inherent-NOM | inherent-NOM-NEG |
| Vi[eng] | There is no difference $((0.7 \mathrm{~s}))$ if you have it or not, if it is inherent or not. |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathbf{n v}]$ | Smiles. |  |  |  |


[26]

46 [05:07.9*] 47 [05:07.9]

[27]

| Vi[v] | запропонували працювати в університеті. B тебе неMає |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Vi[lat] | zaproponuvaly | pracjuvaty | v universyteti. |

[28]

|  | 49 [05: 13.7$]$ |  | 50 [05:15.7] 51 [05:16.6] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | -• виходу. • Ти мусиш спроб | ти, так | Чому нi? |
| Vi[lat] | vyxodu. .. Ty musyš sprobuvaty | tak? | čomu ni? |
| Vi[TL] | -GEN $\quad .$. you-NOM must-PRS-2SH try-INF | yes | why not |
| Vi[eng] | choice. $\quad$ - You must try, right? |  | Why not? |
| P[lat] |  |  |  |
| Vi[nv] |  |  |  |
| Vi[c] |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  |  | Mm • ${ }^{\text {no... }}$ |
| Pe[TL] |  |  |  |
| Pe[eng] |  |  | Mm ••but... |
| U[lat] |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{nv}]$ |  |  | Smiles. |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  | Does not agree with |
| Al[v] |  |  |  |
| [com] |  |  |  |

[29]

## 52 [05:17.7]

53 [05:18.8]
54 [05:20.9*]

|  | 52 [05: 17.7] | 53 [05:18.8] 54 [05:20.9*] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | Ти просто не пробував. | Hy, в |
| Vi[lat] | Ty prosto ne probuvav. | Nu v pryncypi |
| Vi[TL] | you-NOM simply try-NEG-PTS-2SG | well in principle- |
| Vi[eng] | Just you haven't tried. | Well, in principle, |
| Vi[nv] |  | Nods her head. |
| Pe[v] |  | Ale to nie jest właśnie to co |
| Pe[TL] |  |  |
| Pe[eng] |  | However that is not exactly what I would like to do. |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ | her statement. |  |

[30]

|  | 55 [05:20.9] | 56 [12:02.3] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | принципі, да. | В Кракові є якісь міжнародні |
| Vi[lat] | da. | $\checkmark$ Krakovi je jakis' mižnarodni firmi |
| Vi[TL] | LOC yes | In Krakov-LOC COP-PRS some-PL international-PL company-PL in |
| Vi[eng] | yes. | Are there any international companies in Krakov, I mean |
| Vi[nv] |  |  |
| Pe [v] | chciałbym robić. |  |
| Pe[TL] |  |  |
| Pe[eng] |  |  |
| [com] | 7 mins left |  |

[31]

[32]


|  | 60 [12:09.9] | 61 [12:10.9*]62 [12:11.2] | 63 [12:12.5*] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | Міжнародні like... Ти це зрозумів, да? • • Є/є/є |  |  |
| Vi[lat] | Mižnarodni | like... - Ty ce zrozumiv da? | Je/je/je |
| Vi[TL] |  |  |  |
| Vi[eng] | International like... | - You understood that, didn't you? | Is there s |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | firmy? | No, miedznarodowe • w sumie to jakie |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{TL}]$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{eng}]$ | Well what are actually international ones? |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{nv}]$ | Nods his head. |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ | Shows that he understood. |  |  |

[34]

|  |  | $64[12: 13.7]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | таке щось? |  |
| Vi[lat] | ščos'? |  |
| Vi[eng] | like that? |  |
| Pe[v] | sa? | No nie wiem są jakieś typu naprzykład • |
| Pe[TL] |  |  |
| Pe[eng] |  | Well, i don't know, there are some of that kind, for example, • Aviyen. |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{nv}]$ Pe[c] |  |  |

[35]

|  | 65 [12:16.4] | 66 [17:43.6] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] |  | Ти можеш заробити десь п' |
| Vi[lat] |  | Ty maksymum možeš zarobytyi des' p"jatsot |
| Vi[TL] |  | you-NOM maxumum can-PRS-2SG earn-INF approximately five-hundred euro |
| Vi[eng] |  | You can earn at most around five hundred euros. |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Avijen. |  |
| Pe [eng] |  |  |
| [com] | 5 mins left |  |

[36]

|  | 67 [17:46.4] | 68 [17:47.3] |  | ${ }^{69}$ [17:49.2] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | ятсот євро. jevro. | П'ятсот євро • максимум десь. • П' |  |  |
| Vi[lat] |  | P"jatsot jevro maksymum | des'. | - P P"jatsot. |
| Vi[TL] |  |  |  |  |
| Vi[eng] |  | Five hundred euros • at most l think. |  | - $\cdot$ Five |
| Pe[v] | - lle? |  |  | - - Ee |
| Pe[eng] | - How much? |  |  | - Ee |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ | Tries to listen carefully. |  |  |  |

[37]

|  | 70 [17:50.2] | 71 [17:51.0] | 72 [17:52.6*]73 [17:52.7] | 74 [17:53.7*] | 75 [17:54.1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | ЯТСОТ. | Так. | - Hi Hi | Євро. | Я/я ГОВОрю |
| Vi[lat] |  | Tak. | - Ni ni ni. | Jevro. | Ja/ja hovorju |
| Vi[eng] | hundred. | Yes. | - No no no. | Euros. | I am talking about • |
| Pe [v] | Pięcet? Pięcet hryvien? |  |  |  |  |
| Pe[TL] |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pe[eng] | Five hundred? Five hundred hryvnas? |  |  |  |  |

[38]


[40]

|  | 83 [18:58.3] | 84 [19:00.1] 85 [19:00.5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | сімсот євро. | ШістьСот-сімсот. |
| Vi[lat] | jevro. | Šistsot-simsot. |
| Vi[TL] | GEN |  |
| Vi[eng] |  | Six-seven hundred. |
| Vi[nv] |  | Nods her head. |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | - Trzy/trzysta? | - Mm |
| Pe[eng] | - . Three hundred? | - Mm three-four |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{nv}]$ | nods his head and smiles. |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ | that he understands. |  |

[41]

|  | . | 86 [19:03.7] | 87 [19:04.3*] | 88 [19:05:0*] | 89 [19:05.6] 90 [19:06.1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] |  | Ні ні ні. | Шістьсот | Шістьсот. | Шість |
| Vi[lat] |  | Ni ni ni. | Šistsot | Šistsot. | Šist sot. |
| Vi[eng] |  | No no no. | Six hundred. | Six hundred. | Six |
| Vi[nv] |  |  |  |  | Smiles. |
| Vi[c] |  |  |  |  | Says |
| Pe[v] | trzysta-czterysta? |  |  |  | - Ee |
| Pe[eng] | hundred? |  |  |  | - Ee |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  |  |  | Uncertain. |


|  |  | 91 [19:07.0] | 92 [19:07.8] | 93 [19:08.5] | 94 [22:20.0] | 95 [22:20.8] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | COT. |  |  |  | Ой, Ц | Ой, Я |
| Vi[lat] |  |  | Šest'set. |  | Oj | Oj |
| Vi[TL] |  |  |  |  | IJ it | IJ I you- |
| Vi[eng] | hundred. |  | Six hundred. |  | Oh, that is | Oh, I |
| Vi[nv] |  |  | Nods her head. |  |  |  |
| Vi[c] | distinctly. |  | Affirmative. |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  | Sześćse | - Aga |  |  |  |
| Pe[eng] |  | Six hundred? | - Aga |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{nv}]$ |  |  | Nods his head. |  | Laughs. | Laughs. |
| [com] | 3 mins left out. |  |  |  |  |  |

[43]

|  | 96 [22:22.3] |
| :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | тобі кажу! |
| Vi[lat] | tobi kažu! Ce žax ja zaraz pyšu bože ja ne znaju v mene |
| Vi[TL] | DAT say-PRS-1SG it horror-NOM I now write-PRS-1SG god-VOC I know-NEG-PRS-1SG at I-LOC already |
| Vi[eng] | swear! It's awful, I write it now • God, i don't know I am exhausted. |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{nv}]$ | Laughs. |

[44]

|  | .. | 97 [22:25.9] | 98 [22:27.5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | мене вже сил нема. |  | 8 |
| Vi[lat] | vje syl nema. |  | Ja kažu |
| Vi[TL] | vitality-GEN-PL no |  | I say-PRS- |
| Vi[eng] |  |  | I say |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Że nie rozumiem że co nudno? |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{eng}]$ | I don't understand what is boring? |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{nv}]$ | Laughs. |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ | Curious and impatient face. |  |  |

[45]

|  | $99\left[22: 30.0{ }^{*}\right]$ |  | $100\left[22: 30.4{ }^{* *} 101[22: 30.6]\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | кажу • тезіс • магістерський це така нудотіна. |  |  |
| Vi[lat] | tezis mahisters'kyj | ce taka nudotina. |  |
| Vi[TL] | 1SG thesis-NOM master-PSS | it such-NOM boredom-NOM |  |
| Vi[eng] | master's thesis is so boring. |  |  |
| Vi[c] |  |  | Nods her head |
| Pe[v] | No? |  | Że pisanie |
| Pe[eng] | Yeah! |  | You mean writing |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathbf{n v}]$ $\mathrm{Al}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  |  | Nods his head |

[46]

|  | 102 [22:32.0] | 103 [23:01.2] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] |  | Якщо напишеш англійською мовою, |
| Vi[lat] |  | Jakščo napyšeš anhlijs'koju movoju to |
| Vi[TL] |  | write-FUT-2SG English-INS language-INS then big- |
| Vi[eng] |  | If you write it in English, there is bigger probability to be acce pted |
| Vi[c] | smiling. |  |
| Pe[v] | jest nudne. |  |
| Pe[eng] | is boring. |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathbf{n v}]$ | smiling | Smiles. |
| [com] | 0.5 mins left out. |  |

[47]

| Vi[v] | то більша вірогідність того, щ๐ попадеш в нормальну |
| :---: | :---: |
| Vi[lat] | bil'ša virohidnist' toho ščo popadeš v normal'nu firmu |
| Vi[TL] | COM probability-NOM that-GEN appear-FUT-2SG to normal-ACC company-ACC yes |
| Vi[eng] | to some good company • right, international. |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{nv}]$ |  |

[48]

|  |  | 104 [23:06.1] | 105 [23:06.8] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | фірму • да, міжнародну. |  | - Якщо писати |
| Vi[lat] | da mižnarodnu. |  | - Jakščo pysaty anglijs' |
| Vi[TL] | international. |  | - If Write-INF English- |
| Vi[eng] |  |  | - If you write it in English you |
| Pe[v] |  | Nie rozumiem. |  |
| Pe[eng] |  | I don't understand. |  |
| Pe[nv] |  |  |  |

[49]

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | англіЙською моВою, то в тебе більШий вибір • фірми |
| Vi[lat] | koju movoju to v tebe bil'šyj vybir firmy potim. |
| Vi[TL] | INS language-INS then at you-LOC big-COM choice-NOM company-GEN later |
| Vi[eng] | have bigger choice - of companies later. |

[50]

|  | 106 [23:11.4] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | потім.•••Якось ••• так. • X |  |
| Vi[lat] | ...Jakos' tak | Hm |
| Vi[TL] |  |  |
| Vi[eng] | $\cdots$. Something $\cdots$ like that. | Hm |
| Pe[v] | -•Że mam • napiszę magisterke• po angielsku • to |  |
| Pe[TL] |  |  |
| Pe[eng] | . . If have - if I write master's the | sis • in English • than it is easier • mm. |


|  | $109[23: 17.3] 110[23: 17.6]$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | że jest łatwiej • mm. | Я маю на увазі, ти можеш |
| Vi[lat] |  | Ja maju na uvazi ty možeš vyyraty |
| Vi[TL] |  | I-NOM mean you can-PRS-2SG choose-INF company- |
| Vi[eng] |  | I mean you can chose among some companies later. |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  |  |
| Pe[TL] Pe[ eng] |  |  |

[52]

|  | $111[23: 20.31112[23: 20.8] 113$ [23:20.8] |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | вибирати компанії якісь потім. |  | Міжнародні |
| Vi[lat] | kompaniji jakis' potim. |  | Mižnarodni jakis' |
| Vi[TL] | ACC-PL some-ACC-PL later |  | International-PL some-PL |
| Vi[eng] |  |  | Some international big |
| P[lat] |  |  |  |
| Vi[nv] |  |  |  |
| Vi[c] |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  | Aga |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{TL}]$ |  |  |  |
| Pe[eng] |  | Aga |  |
| U[lat] |  |  |  |
| Pe[nv] |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  | Uncertain. |  |
| Al[v] |  |  |  |
| [com] |  |  |  |


|  | 114 [23:22.3] | 115 [23:23.6*] 116 [23:23.6] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | якісь великі компанї̈. | Так. |
| Vi[lat] | velyki kompaniji. | Tak. |
| Vi[TL] | big-PL company-PL |  |
| Vi[eng] | companies. | Yes. |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Że jak szukam pracy pózniej? |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{TL}]$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{eng}]$ | You mean later, when I will look for a job? |  |
| [com] |  | 1 min left |

[54]

[55]

[56]

|  |  | $121[24.24 .71$ | ${ }^{122}$ [24.2.5.8] | 123 [24.27.1. | 124124.27. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | має бути?Об'єм який? |  |  |  | Розмір • - не |  |
| Vi[lat] | maje buty? | ob"jem | jaky;? | Tak. | Rozmir |  |
| Vi[TL] | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { PRS-3SG be-INF } & \text { length-NOM what-NoM } \\ & \text { What length? }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vii[eng] |  |  |  | Yes. | Size - |  |
| Pe[v] | Ee rozmiar? Duże? • - Nie wiem. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pe[eng] |  |  | Ee size? | Big? | ... ${ }^{\text {don }}$ |  |
| Pe[c] | face. |  |  |  |  |  |

[57]

|  |  | 125 [24:28.5*] | 126 [24:30.0] | 127 [24:30.6*] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | ЗНаЄШ. | - Aa. | - A, СТО. | Hy, |
| Vi[lat] | znajesh | Aa. | - A sto. | Nu normal'no |
| Vi[eng] |  | Aa. | - A, one hundred. | Well, it's ok, you will do |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  | Osiemdziesiąt stron, |  |  |
| Pe[eng] |  | From eighty to one hundred pages. |  |  |

[58]

|  |  | 128 [24:32.0] | 129 [26:04.7] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | норМальНо, напИШеШ. |  | Магістратури й |
| Vi[lat] | napyšeš. |  | Magistratury j bakalavratu |
| Vi[TL] |  |  | Master's-degree-GEN and bachelor-degree-GEN |
| Vi[eng] | it. |  | There were not master's and bachelor degrees |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  | Looks with strained face. No sign of understanding. |
| [com] | 1.5 mins left out. |  |  |


|  |  | ${ }^{226: 07}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | бакалаврату не було ще раніше. |  |
| Vi[lat] | ne bulo šče raniše. |  |
| Vi[TL] | COP-NEG-PST yet earier. |  |
| Vi[eng] | before. |  |
| Pe[v] |  | ((0.8s)) Nie rozumiem. |
| Pe[eng] |  | ((0.8s)) I don't understand. |

[60]

|  | 131 [26:08.5] |
| :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | - Ну • ○ані山е в Поль山і i в Українi у нас Оула оДна |
| Vi[lat] | - Nu vaniše v Pol'ši i v Ukrajini u nas bula odna systema |
| Vi[TL] | - well earlier in Poland-LOC and in Ukraine-LOC at we-LOC COP-PST-3SG one system-NOM |
| Vi[eng] | - Well, in past in Poland and Ukraine we had the same system we had five years of education. |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ | Looks at her with strained face and bites his lip. |

[61]

|  | 132 [26:13.1] 133 [26:13.7] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | система - у нас було п'ять років навчання. | Всi $\square^{\prime}$ |
| Vi[lat] | $u$ nas bulo $\mathrm{p}^{\prime} j$ jat' rokiv navčannjya. | Vsi p"jat' |
| Vi[TL] | LOC COP-PST five-NOM year-GEN-PL study-GEN | everybody five |
| Vi[eng] |  | Everybody |
| Vi[ nv ] |  | Nods her head. |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  |  |
| Pe[eng] |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  |


|  | 134 [26:15.0] 135 [26:15.2] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | яТь років вЧились, так? | Тобто магістратури • ну |
| Vi[lat] | rokiv vchylys' tak? | Tobto mahistratury nu jak |
| Vi[TL] | year-GEN-PL study-PST-3PL yes | in-other-words master's-degree-GEN well as |
| Vi[eng] | studied five years, right? | I mean there was no master's degree as it is. |
| Vi[nv] |  | Turns her head left-right. |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Hm |  |
| Pe[eng] | Hm |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ | Nods his head. Nods his head. |  |

[63]

|  | .. | $136[26: 17.7]$ 137 [26:19.2] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | як такової не було. | - 1 бакалаврату не було. Було |
| Vi[lat] | takovoji ne bulo. | . I bakalavratu ne bulo. Bulo prosto |
| Vi[TL] | such COP-NEG-PST | - - also bachelor's-degree-GEN COP-NEG-PST COP-PST simply |
| Vi[eng] |  | - And there was no bachelor's degree as well. There were simply |
| Vi[nv] |  | Nods her head. |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  |

[64]

|  |  | 138 [26:20.9] | 139 [26:21.7] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | просто П'ять років навчання. |  | Ну, як би, в мене |
| Vi[lat] | $p$ "jat' rokiv navčannja. |  | Nu jak by v mene bulo |
| Vi[TL] | five year-GEN-PL study-GEN |  | well how-can-l-say at I-LOC COP- |
| Vi[eng] | five years of education. |  | Well, how can I say, i had it so that |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  | - Hm |  |
| Pe [eng] |  | - $\cdot \mathrm{Hm}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  | Nods his head. |  |


|  |  | 140 [26:25.1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | було таке, що останній рік це була і магістратура. |  |
| Vi[lat] | take ščo ostanniy rik ce bula i mahistratura. | P"jatyj rik. |
| Vi[TL] | PST such that last-NOM year-NOM it COM-PST also master's-degree-NOM |  |
| Vi[eng] | the last year was counted as master's degree. | The fifth |

[66]

[67]

[68]

|  | ${ }_{147126: 33.2 * \mid}$ | 148 [26.3.4.5] $149{ }^{\text {[26.3.6.0] }}$ | $150[20.37 .8$ ] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | ((2s)) Чому/чому не пробувати? ((1.2s)) Ось. |  |  |
| Vi[lat] | Chomu/chomu ne probuvaty? | ((1.2s)) Os'. |  |
| Vi[eng] | Why not to try? (There is no harm in trying). | ((1.2s)) Like this. |  |
| Vi[nv] |  |  |  |
| Pe[v] | - Hm | - $\cdot \mathrm{Hm}$ | -.. Czyli |
| Pe[TL] |  |  | $\cdots$ as-you-say is as |
| Pe[eng] | - Hm | $\cdots \mathrm{Hm}$ | $\cdots$. So you say you |

[69]

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pe[v] | ee jakbym mm mówisz jakbyś skończyła trzy studia, tak? |
| Pe[TL] | -if is say-PRS-2SG as-if finish-PST-2sG three-ACC degree-ACC-PL yes |
| Pe[eng] | have three degrees, right? |

[70]

152 [26:43.5]
153 [26:49.3]

| Vi[v] |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[lat] |  | - $\cdot \mathrm{Hm}$ |
| Vi[eng] |  | - . Hm |
| Vi[c] | Moves her face towards him and tries to listen carefully. |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | - - lle masz mm ((1.5s)) studiowałaś jeden kierunek? |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{TL}]$ | - . how-many have-PRS-2SG IJ ((1.5s)) study-PST-2SG one-ACC area-ACC |  |
| Pe[eng] | - . How many do you have mm ((1.5s)) did you study on one area? |  |


[72]

|  | 158 [26:5.9.9] | ${ }_{159} 26.54 .771$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | Не зрозуміла. |  |
| vi[lat] | Ne zrozumila. |  |
| Vi[eng] | I didn't understand. |  |
| Vi[nv] | Shakes her head. |  |
| Pe[v] |  | - Tylko angielski studjowałaś czy jeszcze |
| Pe[TL] |  | .. Only English-ACC study-PST-2sG or else some-ACC |
| Pe[eng] |  | - Did you study only English or some other area? |
| Ulat] |  |  |

[73]


[75]

|  | .. | 165 [27:56.0] | 166 [27:57.3*] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | Головне Вже закінчити. ((0.9s)) А потім... |  |  |
| Vi[lat] | vže zakinchyty. | ((0.9s)) A potim... |  |
| Vi[TL] | already finish-INF | ((0.9s)) and then | diploma- |
| Vi[eng] | important is to graduate. | ((0.9s)) And then... | To get |
| Vi[ nv ] |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  | ((0.9s)) Nie rozu | Jak |
| Pe[eng] |  | ((0.9s)) I don't understand. | What? |
| Pe[nv] |  | Smiles. |  |

[76]


## $171\left[28: 00.5^{*}\right] 172$ [28:01.2] 173 [28:03.7*]


[78]

|  | 174 [28:03.8] | 175 [29:04.2] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | руки. | Ні, ну Якщо не лежить душа, то себе не |
| Vi[lat] |  | Ni nu jakščo ne ležit' duša to sebe ne treba |
| Vi[TL] |  | no well if lie-NEG-PRS-3SG soul-NOM so you-REC-ACC should-NEG |
| Vi[eng] |  | Yes, if you have a distaste for it you should not force yourself. |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{eng}]$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{nv}]$ |  | Smiles. |
| [com] | 1 min left out |  |

[79]

|  | 176 [29:07.0] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | треба змушувати. Тому що це, в принципі, це на все |  |
| Vi[lat] | zmušuvaty. | Tomu ščo ce v pryncypi ce na vse žyttja. |
| Vi[TL] | force-INF | because it in principle-LOC it for entire-NOM life-NOM |
| Vi[eng] |  | Because it is, in principle, for your entire life. |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{nv}]$ |  |  |

[80]

[81]

|  | 179 [29:14.4*] |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | докторантуру і залишаєшся в університеті, так, |  |  |
| Vi[lat] | doctoranturu i zalyšaješsja v universyteti | tak | vykladatelem. |
| Vi[TL] | ACC and stay-PRS-2SG at uneversity-LOC yes | teacher-INS |  |
| Vi[eng] | right, as an instructor. |  |  |
| Pe [v] |  |  | Hm, |
| Pe[eng] |  |  | Hm, hm |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  | Nods his |
| AI[v] |  |  |  |

## [82]


[83]

|  | 182 [29:17.2] | 183 [29:18.0] | 184 [29:48.5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | в практику. |  | Можна зразу поговорити про |
| Vi[lat] | v praktyku. |  | Možna zrazu pgovoryty pro |
| Vi[TL] | into practice-GEN |  | it's-possible-MOD immediately speak-INF about women- |
| Vi[eng] |  |  | We can speak about women's and men's rights at the |
| Vi[c] |  |  | Laughs. |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | - - No! |  |  |
| Pe[eng] | - - Year! |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ <br> [com] | Nods his head. | 0.5 min left |  |

[84]

[85]

|  |  | 187 [29:54.9] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | одразу перейти на тему про п | Жіночі й чоловічі, |
| Vi[lat] | odrazu perejty na temu pro prava. | Žinoči j čoloviči |
| Vi[TL] | MOD immediatly switch-INF to topic-GEN about right-PL | Women-PSS and men-PSS equality- |
| Vi[eng] | about rights. | Women's and men's_equality of |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  | Looks at her with strained face trying to |


|  |  | 188 [29:56.7] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | рівність прав. • Ну то що в нас перша тема там була. |  |
| Vi[lat] | rivnist' prav. | .. Nu to ščo $v$ nas perša tema tam bula. |
| Vi[TL] | NOM right-GEN-PL | . well that at we-LOC first-NOM topic-NOM there COP-PST-3SG |
| Vi[eng] | rights. | - Well, the first topic we had there. |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ | understand. |  |

[87]

|  | ${ }^{89}$ [29.58.7] | $990\left[30.02 .6\left[1919130.03 .55^{*}\right]\right.$ | 192 [30.0.3.6] | ${ }^{193}$ [303.3.0] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] |  | Ага! Equal rights. |  | Hy, |
| vi[lat] |  |  |  | Nu prosto |
| Vi[TL] |  |  |  | well just |
| vi[eng] |  |  |  | Well, actually, if |
| Pe[v] | Ee ((3s)) Equal rights. | Aga! |  |  |
| Pe[eng] <br> [com] | Ee ((3s)) Equal rights. | Aga! | 0.5 min letion |  |

[88]

| Vi[v] | просто, в приципі, якщо починати свою якусь справу, |
| :---: | :---: |
| Vi[lat] | v pryncypi jakščo počynaty svoju jakus' spravu to treba |
| Vi[TL] | in principle-LOC if start-INF own-ACC some-ACC business-ACC then it's-needed-MOD have-INF |
| Vi[eng] | you start your own business you need to have some experience, •right? |

[89]

|  |  | 194[30:43,4] | ${ }^{955}[3045.50]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | то треба мати досвід • так? |  | Досвід• |
| Villat] | maty dosvid | tak? | Dosvid |
| Vi[TL] | experience-ACC y |  |  |
| Vi[eng] |  |  | 'Dosvid' $\cdot$ means |
| Pe[v] | - Ee dosviad? |  |  |
| Pe[eng] | - - Ee 'dosviad'? |  |  |

[90]

| Vi[v] | experience. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Vi[lat] | experience. |  |
| Vi[eng] | experience. |  |
| Pe[v] | Hm | No nie wiem zostaniesz |
| Pe[TL] |  | well know-NEG-PRS-1SG stay-FUT-2SG teacher-INS / |
| Pe[eng] | Hm | Well I don't know, you will stay as a teacher / if one |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{nv}]$ | Nods his head. | Smiles. |

[91]

| Pe[v] | nauczycielem / jak sie zostaje nauczycielem, to |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pe[TL] | when REF stay-FUT-3SG teacher-INS then professor-INS |
| Pe[eng] | stays as a teacher, then as professor. |
| Pe[nv] |  |

[92]

|  | $199[32: 31.0]$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pe[v] | profesorem. • Dużą zaletą jest to że ma się dużo wolnego |
| Pe[TL] | - big-NOM advantage-NOM COP-PRS-3SG it that have-PRS-3SG REF much free-GEN |
| Pe[eng] | - There is a big advantage in terms of much free time for vacations. |
| $\mathbf{P e}[\mathrm{nv}]$ |  |


|  |  | $200[32.34 .5] \quad 201[3234.9]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | Uh-hu |  |
| Vi[lat] | Uh-hu |  |
| Vi[eng] | Uh-hu |  |
| Vi[nv] | Turns her head leftright. |  |
| Vi[c] | Shows that she did not understand. |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{l}]$ | wakacje naprzykład. | Ma wakacje • ferie • święta. |
| Pe[eng] |  | One has vacation • Christmas • holidays. |

[94]

|  | ${ }^{202[32.3699]}$ | ${ }^{203}$ [2, 23.3] | ${ }^{204}[32: 24.7]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | -••Шв |  |  |
| Vi[lat] | ... Šventa? | No, święta aa • mm jak Easter. Mm ((2.5s)) |  |
| Pe[v] |  |  |  |
| Pe[TL] |  |  |  |
| Pe[eng] |  | Well, 'shvyenta' aa $\cdot \mathrm{mm}$ like Easter. | Mm (2.5s) |

[95]
${ }^{205\left[32: 4.44^{* *}\right]}$
206 [32:46.5]

| Vi[v] | А, •• чекай •Па/Пасха. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[lat] | A čekaj Pa/Paskha. |  |
| Vi[eng] | A, $\cdots$ wait • Easter. |  |
| Vi[nv] | Smiles. |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Christmas time. | -• No, Paskha czy Christmas |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{TL}]$ |  |  |
| Pe[eng] | time. | $\cdots$ Well, Easter or Christmas time ((I don't know)). |

[96]

|  | 207 [3:49,41208 [32:49,7] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | time ((l don't know)). |  |
| Vi[lat] |  |  |
| Vi[eng] |  |  |
| Pe[v] |  | Przerwa, święta, mm wolne od |
| Pe[TL] |  |  |
| Pe[eng] |  | Break, holidays, free from work - holidays, vacation. |

[97]

|  | $209\left[32: 53.33^{*}\right]$ | $210{ }^{[32: 53.6]}$ | $211\left[32 \cdot 54.44^{* 1}\right.$ | $212[32 \cdot 5499$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | А, від/відпустка. | Відпустка. | Вака/вакація. |  |
| Vi[lat] | A vidpustka. | Vidpustka. | Vaka/vakacija. |  |
| Vi[TL] |  |  |  |  |
| vi[eng] | A, holidays. | Holidays. | Vacation. |  |
| $\mathrm{Vi}[\mathrm{nv}]$ | Nods her head. |  |  |  |
| Pe[v] | pracy • święta, wakacje. |  | Aga! |  |
| Pe[TL] |  |  |  |  |
| Pe[eng] |  |  | Aga! |  |
| [com] |  |  |  | 1 min left out. |

[98]


|  | 218 [33:43.7] |  | 219 [33:44.6] | 220 [33:46.1] | 221 [33:46.7] | 222 [38:36.5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | Краще, так? Краще • better • ліпше. |  |  |  |  | То ти |
| Vi[lat] | Krašče | tak? | Krašče •b |  |  | To ty |
| Vi[TL] |  |  |  |  |  | so you- |
| Vi[eng] | Better, right? |  | 'Krashye' |  |  | So you are |
| Pe[v] |  |  |  | Aga |  |  |
| Pe[eng] |  |  |  | Aga |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathbf{n v}]$ |  |  |  | Nods his head. |  |  |
| [com] |  |  |  |  | 5 mins left |  |

[100]

[101]

|  |  | 224 [88:43.0] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | в цьому році написати? | В цьому році |
| Vi[lat] | cjomu roci napysaty? | $\checkmark$ cjomu rotsi |
| Vi[TL] | year-LOC write-INF | in this-LOC year-LOC write- |
| Vi[eng] |  | This year you will write • thesis |
| Pe [v] | - Ee co robić? |  |
| Pe[eng] | .-Ee what to do? |  |

[102]

|  |  | 225 [38:45.4] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | напишеш • роботу • магістерку? |  |
| Vi[lat] | napyšeš robotu mahisterku? |  |
| Vi[TL] | FUT-2SG work-ACC master'- thesis-ACC |  |
| Vi[eng] | - master's? |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  | lle mm w ile zamierzam |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{TL}]$ |  |  |
| Pe[eng] |  | In how much time am I planning to write my |

[103]

[104]

|  |  | 28 [3:51.2] |  | ${ }^{229138.53 .3]}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | Аа• за два місяці хочеш написати? |  |  |  |
| Vi[lat] |  | Aa za dva misjaci hočeš | napysaty? |  |
| Vi[eng] |  | Aa $\cdot$ you want to finish it in two months. |  |  |
| Pe[v] | trzy miesiące. |  |  | Duże? |
| Pe[eng] |  |  |  | Much? |

[105]

[106]

[107]

|  | 238 [39:46.0] |
| :---: | :---: |
| Vi[v] | Дома я написала першу за місяць, другу за два місяЦі. |
| Vi[lat] | Doma ja napysala peršu za misjac' drugu za dva misjaci. |
| Vi[eng] | In Ukraine I finished one in one month, the other one in two months. |

## APPENDIX G

## Discourse between Olya(U) and Monika(P), 7th couple

## Conversation between Monika and Olya

Project Name: Receptive multilingualism in Slavonic languages
Referenced file: C:\Documents and SettingslalionalDesktoplrecordingslMonika+Olya 9.11.MPG
Transcription Convention: MO
Comment: Monika and Olya did not know each other before. Monika does not have any knowledge of Ukrainian, never heard Ukrainian speech, never practiced receptive multilingualism, never been to Ukraine. Similarly, Olya does not have any knowledge of Polish, never heard Polish speech, never practiced receptive multilingualism, never been to Poland.

User defined attributes:
Date of recording: 09.11.09.
Place of recording: Ankara, Metu
Date of transcription: 15.11.09.
Duration of transcription: 6 hours
Recording person: Aliona Romaniuk
Transcribing person: Aliona Romaniuk
Translating person: Aliona Romaniuk

## Speakertable

Mo
Sex: f
Languages used: pol; eng
L1: pol
Comment: Does not have knowledge of any other Slavonic language but Polish.
User defined attributes:
Full name: Monika
Nationality: Pole
Native language: Polish
Status: student
City: Lublin

## OI

Sex: f
Languages used: ukr; rus; eng
L1: ukr; rus
Comment: Does not have knowldge of any other Slavonic language but Russian and Ukrainian.
User defined attributes:
Full name: Olga
Nationality: Ukrainian
Native language: Russian, Ukrainian
Status : student

## City: Kharkiv

AI
Sex: f
[1]

| ${ }^{\text {¢ [00.0] }}$ [ 00.0$]$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] |  |
| Al[v] | Ok, your ta/ your task is to talk on the topik 'Equal |

[2]

| $\mathbf{M o [ v ]}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{A l [ v ]}$ | rights'. You can express your opinions, you can argue, |

[3]
${ }^{\text {A[v] }}$ you can agree with each other - whatever you want. Just
[4]

AI[v] you should speak all the time in your respective native
[5]
Al[v] languages. That is, Monika - Polish, Olga - Ukrainian. And,
[6]

Al[v]
please, switch into English only in the cases when you
${ }^{A[v]}$ get completely stuck. Ok? So, please, start now speaking
[8]

|  |  | 8 [03:19.0] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OI[v] |  | Ну, наприклад, ми можемо |
| Oillat] |  | Nu napryklad my možemo vzjaty |
| Oi[eng] |  | Well, for example, we can consider our cultures. |
| AI[v] | about equal rights. |  |
| [com] |  |  |

[9]

[10]


[12]

| 13 [03:34.4] |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| OI[v] | чоловік?Яку професію не може виконувати чоловік? |
| OI[lat] <br> OI[TL] <br> OI[eng] | Jaku profesiju ne može vykonuvaty čolovik? <br> which profession can-NEG-PRS-3SG perform-INF man <br> Which job the man cannot perform? |

[13]

[14]

|  | 18 [03:43.0*19 [03:43.2]20 [03:43.8] |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | I ne... |  |  |  |  |
| Mo[eng] | And not... |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{OI}[\mathrm{v}]$ | виконувати чоловік. |  | Ee, виховувати дітей може чи |  |  |
| OI[lat] | vykonuvaty | čolovik. |  | vyxovuvaty |  |
| OI[TL] | perform-INF | man |  | bring-up-INF | children |
| Ol[eng] | perform. |  | Ee , | he can bring c | up or |


[16]

| Mo[c] |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ol}[\mathrm{v}]$ | садках, в університетах, взагалі рабо/працюють жінки. |
| OI[lat] | v universytetax vzahali rabo/pracjujut' žinky. |
| OI[TL] | university-PL generally work-PRS-3PL woman-PL |
| OI[eng] | generally women work. |

[17]

|  | 22 [03:55.2] |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OI[v] | - Ее, чоловіки працюють якось біль山 або пов'язано 3 |  |  |  |  |
| O1[lat] | - Ee čoloviky | pracjujut' | jakos' bil'š | abo pov"jazano | z |
| OI[TL] | - IJ man-PL | work-PRS-3PL | somehow more | connected | iness |
| OI[eng] | - Ee, men have jobs mostly connected with business, or with hard work, as at mine or, ee, connected |  |  |  |  |

[18]

[19]

|  |  |  | 23 [04:11.1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ol[v] | пов'язано з фізичною / фізичним навантаженням. Але |  |  |
| OI[lat] | pov"jazano z fizyčnoju/ | fizyčnym navantažennjam. | Ale |
| OI[TL] | load |  | but |
| Ol[eng] |  |  | But, |

[20]

|  |  | 24 [04:15.0] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ol[v] | взагалі-то, я вважаю, Що можна. | - • Але, 3 ІНШОГО |
| OI[lat] | vzahali-to ja vvažaju ščo možna. | ... Ale z inšoho boku |
| OI[TL] | generally I think-PRS-1SG that possible | ... but from another side why |
| OI[eng] | actually, I think it is possible. | - . But, on the other hand, why, ee, - |

[21]


[22]

[23]

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| O1[v] | мaє бути не у самій професїі, а у відношенні до |
| OI[lat] <br> OI[TL] <br> OI[eng] | maje buty ne $u$ samij profesiji a u vidnošenni do ljudyny. not in very-LOC job-LOC but in attitude-LOC to person-GEN with the very job, but with the attitude to a person. |


[25]

| $M o[v]$ | możliwe jest to, że w tych kulturach kobiety nie mają |
| :--- | :--- |
| $M o[e n g]$ | that in those cultures women do not have choice, right? |

[26]

| Mo[v] | wyboru, tak? Tak, że mogła siedzieć28 ${ }^{[0439.0]}$ <br> Moleng] domu i wychować <br> So that she stayed at home and brought children up, right? |
| :--- | :---: |

[27]

|  | $29[04: 42.5] 30[04443.1]$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | dzieci, tak? | Aa, a mogła wykonywać jakiś zawód, |
| Mo[eng] |  | Aa, or she could perform some job, right? |
| $\mathrm{Ol}[\mathrm{v}]$ | X |  |
| OI[lat] | Hm |  |
| OI[eng] | Hm |  |


|  | M1 [04:46.4] |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Mo[v] | tak? Mogła także wykonywać fizyczną robotę. |  |
| Mo[eng] | She could perform some physical work. |  |
| OI[v] |  | Можеш? |
| OI[lat] |  | Možeš? |
| OI[eng] |  | Can you? |

[29]

## 33 [04:49.7]

34 [04:52.9]

| Mo[v] No nie wiem, nie chcę, może w rodzinie, ale... <br> Mo[eng] Well, I do not know, I do not want, may be at home, but... <br> $[$ com $]$  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

[30]

|  | 35 [19:40.9] |
| :---: | :---: |
| OI[v] | Якщо ми кажемо, що, ее, ми хочемо рівноправ'я, то |
| Oi[lat] | Jakščo my kažemo ščo ee my xočemo rivnoprav"ja to my ne |
| OI[TL] | if we say-PRS-1PL that IJ we want-PRS-1PL equal-rights-ACC than we understand- |
| OI[eng] | When we say that, ee, we want equal rights, we do not understand what we want. |

[31]

|  |  | 36 [19:48.6] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OI[v] | ми не розуміємо, чого ми хочемо. | Бо, якщо ми хочемо |
| Ol[lat] | rozumijemo čoho my hočemo. | Bo jakščo my xočemo |
| O1[TL] | NEG-PRS-1PL what-ACC we want-PRS-2PL | because if we want-PRS-1PL |
| Ol[eng] |  | Because, if we want equal rights, it |


[33]

[34]

[35]

[36]

| O1[v] | хочуть рівноправ'я, то всі хо/ із менШ розВинутих |
| :---: | :---: |
| O1[lat] | xočut' rivnoprav"ja to vsi xo/ iz menš rozvynutyx krajin jidut' |
| O1[TL] | PRS-3PL equal-rights than everybody from less developed country-PL do-PRS-3PL to |
| OI[eng] | everybody go from less developed countries to more developed countries, but not vice versa. |


| OI[v] | країн йдуть до більш розвинутих країн, але не |
| :---: | :---: |
| Oillat] | do bil'š rozvynutyx krajin ale ne navpaky. |
| OI[TL] | more developed country-PL but not vice-versa |
| OI[eng] |  |

[38]

|  | $\left.{ }^{39} 20.17 .11\right]$ | 40 [20:18.9] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] |  | Hm, ((clears throat)) |
| Mo[eng] |  | Hm , well, ok, wait. |
| $\mathrm{OI}[\mathrm{v}]$ | навпаки. Це ж не рівно / не рівно? |  |
| O[llat] | Ce ž ne rivno / ne rivno? |  |
| OI[TL] | it $Q$ equal-NEG-ADV equal-NEG-ADV |  |
| Oileng] | It is not equal, right? |  |

[39]

| Mo[v] | no dobra, poczekaj. Ee, znaczy masz na myśli to, że jak |
| :--- | ---: |
| Moleng] | Ee, you mean, for examle, if we wanted to go to Africa there would |

[40]

| Mo[v] | na przykład my chcielibyśmy pojechać do Afryki to tam |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mo[eng] be equal rights for us? |  |


|  |  | [220:33.3] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | także byli bym / byłoby dla nas równouprawnienie. |  |
| Mo[eng] |  |  |
| $\mathrm{OI}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  | ((1.6s)) |
| Oillat] |  | ((1.6s)) |
| OI[TL] |  | ((1.6s)) |
| Ol[eng] |  | ((1.6s)) $\mathrm{Ee}, \mathrm{l}$ |

[42]

| O[lv] | Ее, я не знаю, що було б якщо ми поїхали б до |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ollat] | Ee ja ne znaju ščo bulo b jakščo my pojixaly b do Afryky |
| OI[TL] | 1 know-NEG-PRS-1SG what be-PST CP if we go-PST-1PL CP to Africa-GEN but |
| Ol[eng] | do not know what would be if we went to Africa, but I think that anyway we would be treated there in |

[43]
ol[v] Африки, але я вважаю, що до нас все одно б

| OI[lat] | ja vvažaju ščo do nas vse odno b stavylysja po-inšomu. |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OI[TL] | think-PRS-1SG that to we-GEN all-the-same | CP treat-PST-3PL | differently-ADV |  |
| OI[eng] | different way. |  |  |  |

[44]

|  | 43 [20:43.5] | 44 [27:10.0] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OI[v] | ставилися по-іншому. | Що ми можемо зробити? |
| OI[lat] |  | Ščo my možemo zrobyty? |
| OI[TL] |  | what we can-PRS-1PL do-INF |
| OI[eng] |  | What can we do? |
| [com] | 7.5 mins lett |  |

[45]

45 [27:11.8]

| OI[v] | Бо добре казати, що ось воно є нерівноправ'я, ось, |
| :---: | :---: |
| OI[lat] | Bo dobre kazaty ščo os' vono je nerivnoprav"ja os' treba |
| OI[TL] | because well say-INF that well it is equality-NEG well need-IMPER equality |
| OI[eng] | Because it is easy to say that there are no qual rights, and we need equal rights. |

[46]

[47]

[48]

| Mo[v] | można zrobić jakieś zasady, masz na myśli, żeby było |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mo[eng] | conditions for equal righs? |
| OI[v] |  |
| OI[lat] |  |
| OI[TL] |  |
| OI[eng] |  |


[50]

| Mo[v] | sensie nie równouprawnienie to i tak się dzieje i tak na |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mo[eng]  <br> O[v] concerned it has always existed in the world. <br> O[lat] $]$  <br> O[eng]  |  |

[51]

| Mo[v] | ${ }^{53[27: 28.8] 54[27: 32.5]}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | ŚWIeCie. |
| Mo[eng] |  |
| Ol[v] |  |
| OI[lat] |  |
| Ol[eng] |  |

## APPENDIX H

## Discourse between Andrei( U ) and Olga(P), 8th couple

## Conversation between Olga and Andrei

Project Name: Receptive multilingualism in Slavonic languages
Referenced file: C:\Documents and Settingslaliona\Desktop\recordings\Olga+Andrey 4.12.AVI
Transcription Convention: OA
Comment: Olga and Andrei did not know each other before. Olga does not have any knowledge of Ukrainian, never heard Ukrainian speech, never practiced Polish-Ukrainian receptive multilingualism, never been to Ukraine. Andrei, on the other hand, has some experience of hearing Polish as he used to have Polish friends and learn Polish from them, but he never been to Poland and never practiced receptive multilingualism.

User defined attributes:
Date of recording : 04.12.09.
Place of recording: Ankara, METU
Date of transcription: 05.12.09.
Duration of transcription: 4 hours
Recording person: Aliona Romaniuk
Transcribing person: Aliona Romaniuk
Translating person: Aliona Romaniuk

## Speakertable

## O1

Sex: f
Languages used: pol; eng
L1: pol
Comment: Does not have knowledge of any other Slavonic language but Polish.

User defined attributes:
Full name: Olga
Nationality: Pole
Native language: Polish
Status: student
City: Wroclaw

An
Sex: m
Languages used: rus; ukr; eng
L1: rus; ukr
Comment: Does not have knowledge of any other Slavonic language but Russian and Ukrainian.

User defined attributes:
Full name: Andrei
Nationality: Ukrainian
Native language: Russian, Ukrainian

Status: student
City: Sevastopol

Al
Sex: f
[1]

4 Alv] Ok, guys, we are sarting. You've chosen the topic '
[2]

|  | ${ }^{3040.0]}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| OI[v] |  |
| Al[v] | Travel abroad'. So, please, speak in your respective |

[3]

| OI[v] <br> $A[v]$ | native languages. For Andrei Ukrainian, for Olga - Polish. |
| :--- | :--- |

[4]

| Alv] | Sloase |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Please, try to make yourself clear in your native |

[5]
${ }^{\text {AI[v] }}$ language. Don't switch into English immediately when you
[6]
AI[v] don't understand something. Try to explain yourself if / if it
4 ilv] possible in your native language. I Ok? But if you get
[8]

| $A n[v]$ |  | $1024.8111[25.6]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $A[v]$ | Ok. |  |
|  | completely stuck, switch into English. | Ok, you can |

[9]

[10]

[11]

|  | 17 [02:13.0] | 18 [02:14.4] | 19 [02:15.1] | 20 [05:47.3] | 21 [05:47.9] | 22 [05:49.0] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ol[v] | Może? • • • | Tak. |  |  | - HI |  |
| OI[eng] |  | Yes. Ok. |  |  | - Hm ? |  |
| Ol[nv] | Smiles. | Smiles. |  |  |  | Smiles and |
| An[v] | $\bullet \bullet \bullet H$ |  |  | A BHO |  | A |
| An[lat] | - . Nu... |  |  | A vnoči? |  | A vnoči? |
| An[eng] | - . Well.. |  |  | And at nig |  | And at |
| An[c] | Immitates the sea waves with his hand. |  |  |  |  |  |
| [com] | 3.5 mins left out. The sound of a helicopter behind the window. |  |  |  |  |  |

[12]

|  | 23 [05:49.6] 24 [05:50.2] | 25 [05:54.2] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OI[v] |  | Amm, nie, |
| $\mathrm{Ol}[\mathrm{eng}]$ |  | Amm, no, we stayed |
| OI[nv] | shakes her head. |  |
| An[v] | ВНОЧі? НОЧЬЮ. ((1s)) | ((1.5s)) in the night. |
| An[lat] | Noč'ju. |  |
| An[eng] | night? At night. |  |
| An[c] | Says in Russian. |  |
| [com] |  |  |

[13]


|  |  | 28 [20:45.6] | 29 [20:46.6*] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OI[v] |  | Bardzo drogo. | Bardzo |
| OI[eng] |  | Very expensive. | Very expensive. |
| An[lat] <br> An[eng] | дорога країна, чи дешева, чи... doroga krajina čy deševa čy... country, or cheap, or... |  |  |

[15]

|  |  | 30 [20:47.6] | 31 [20:48.8*] | 32 [20:50.5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OI[v] | drogo. |  |  | - |
| OI[eng] |  |  |  | - Hm? |
| An[v] | Скільки там стоє... У / в отелі ви ночували? |  |  |  |
| An[lat] | Skil'ky tam stoje how-much there cost-IMPER How much does it cost there... |  | U / v oteli vy nočuvaly? in hotel-LOC you stay-the-night-PST-2PL Have you stayed in a hotel? |  |
| An[TL] |  |  |  |  |
| An[eng] |  |  |  |  |

[16]

|  | 33 [20:51.2] | 34 [20:53.4] 35 [20:55.8] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OI[v] | Hm? | -Ee co kosztuje? |
| Ol [eng] |  | - Ee what costs? |
| An[v] | Отель Скільки Ст/коШтує? | Отель. |
| An[lat] | Otel' skil'ky st/koštuje? | Otel'. |
| An[TL] | hotel how-much cost-PRS-3SG |  |
| An[eng] | How much does a hotel cost? | Hotel. |

[17]

36 [20:56.4] 37 [20:57.7]
[O[v] - Hotel. Mm, wiesz co, my zapłatiliśmy tak około
Ol[eng] • Hotel. Mm, you know, we paid about twenty-thirty dollars.
[18]

|  |  | 39 [2:04.5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O[/v] | dwudzieścu-trzydzieścu dolarów. | To ty jesteś w |
| OI[TL] |  | so you Cop-PRS-2SG in Turkey- |
| Ol[eng] |  | So, you have been in Turkey |
| [com] |  |  |

[19]

|  |  | 40[25:0.6.5] | 4125:08.1] | 42125:09.6] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{\text {Oilv] }}$ | Turciji już dwa lata? • Dwa lata? • • W Turciji. W |  |  |  |
| O[TLL] Olleng | LOC arready two year-PL |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{An}[\mathrm{c}] \\ & {[\mathbf{c o m}]} \end{aligned}$ | Moves his head towards her. |  |  |  |

[20]

[21]

|  | $46[25: 14.3]$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| An[v] | рОКИ. |
| An[lat] |  |
| An[eng] |  |

## APPENDIX I

## Discourse between Katya(R) and Olga(P), 9th couple

## Conversation between Katya and Olga

Project Name: Receptive multilingualism in Slavonic languages
Referenced file: C:\Documents and Settingslaliona\Desktop\recordings\Katya+Olga 18.11.AVI
Transcription Convention: KO
Comment: Katya and Olga did not know each other before. Katya does not have any knowledge of Polish, never heard Polish speech, never practiced receptive multilingualism, never been to Poland. Olga, on the other hand, had some experience of listening to Russian as she has a plenty of Russian friends, and even tried to make use of receptive multilingualism communicating with them. However, she has never been to Russia and never studied the Russian language.

## User defined attributes:

Date of recording: 18.11.09
Place of recording: Ankara, METU
Date of transcription: 23.11.09.
Duration of transcription: 18 hours
Recording person: Aliona Romaniuk
Tanscribing person: Aliona Romaniuk
Translating person: Aliona Romaniuk

## Speakertable

Ka
Sex: f
Languages used: rus; eng
L1: rus
Comment: Does not have knowledge of any other Slavonic language but Russian.
User defined attributes:
Full name: Ekaterina
Nationality: Russian
Native language: Russian
Status: student
City: Izhevsk

## OI

Sex: f
Languages used: pol; eng
L1: pol
Comment: Does not have knowledge of any other Slavonic language but Polish.

User defined attributes:

## Full name: Olga

Nationality: Pole
Native language: Polish
Status: student
City: Wroclaw

AI
Sex: f
[1]

|  | $0[00.0]$ | $1[02.4]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Ol[v] now we are starting our recording. Your task, girls, is |  |

[2]

[3]

| AI[v] | - Speak in your rela/ sorry, respective languages for |
| :---: | :---: |

[4]

[5]
$\mathrm{AI}[\mathbf{v}]$
the time in your native languages, don't switch into
[6]

## AI[v] <br> English. And you can switch into English only in the

$A^{\text {Alv] }}$ moments when you get completely stuck. Ok? • Ok then,
[8]

|  | ${ }_{6}[27.3]$ | 7 [03:52.0] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OI[v] |  | A ty zamierzasz gdzieś pojechać? |
| OI[TL] |  | and you plan-PRS-2SG somewhere go-INF |
| OI[eng] |  | Are you planning to go somewhere? |
| Al[v] | let's start now. |  |
| [com] | 3 mins |  |

[9]

|  | 8 [03:53.9] | 9 [03:56.6] | 10 [04:00.1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] |  |  | Я? |
| Ka[lat] |  |  | Ee ja |
| Ka[eng] |  |  | Ee me? |
| $\mathrm{Ka}[\mathbf{n v}]$ | Laughs and shakes her head. |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ka[c]}$ | Shows that she did not understand. |  |  |
| $\mathrm{OI}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  | Gdzieś jechać, za granicę, ty? |  |
| OI[TL] |  | somewhere go-INF abroad you |  |
| OI[eng] |  | To go somewhere, abroad, you? |  |

[10]

[11]

|  |  | 15 [04:08.4] | 16 [04:21.0] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] | сейчас я заграницу никуда не планирую. |  | Ты |
| Ka[lat] | ja zagranicu nikuda ne planiruju. |  | Ty by |
| Ka[TL] |  |  | you CP |
| Ka[eng] | planning to go anywhere abroad. |  | Where |
| OI[nv] |  |  | Smiles. |
| [com] |  | 13 secs left out. |  |

[12]

|  |  |  | 17 [04:23.1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] | Бы куда мне посоветовала поехать? Посоветовала |  |  |
| Ka[lat] | kuda mne posovetovala | poexat'? | Posovetovala. |
| Ka[TL] | where I-DAT advise-PST-2SG | go-INF | advise-PST-2SG |
| Ka [eng] | would you advise me to go? |  | Advise. |
| Ol[nv] |  |  | Smiles. |
| $\mathrm{Ol}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  | Does not show a reaction. |


[14]

|  | 19 [04:27.0*] | 20 [04:27.0] | 21 [04:28.0] | 22 [04:29.7] 2 | 23 [04:31.1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] | например? |  | Нет, ты бы посоветовала? |  | Какой |
| Ka[lat] |  |  | Net ty by posovetovala? |  | Kakoj |
| Ka[TL] |  |  | no you CP advise-PST-2SG |  | which city- |
| Ka [eng] |  |  | No, would you advise? |  | Which city in |
| Ka [nv] |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ka[c] |  |  |  |  |  |
| OI[v] | Tak! | Tak! |  | - Ee |  |
| OI[eng] | Yes! | Yes! |  | - Ee |  |
| $\mathrm{OI}[\mathrm{nv}]$ | Nods her head. |  |  | - Laughs. |  |
| OI[c] |  |  |  | Confused. |  |

[15]

|  |  | $\left.{ }^{24} 104.33 .4\right]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] | город в Польше ты бы мне посоветовала? |  |
| Ka[lat] | gorod v Polsse ty by mne posovetovala? |  |
| Ka[TL] | NOM in Poland-LOC you CP I-DAT advise-PST-2SG |  |
| Ka[eng] | the Poland would you advise me to visit? |  |
| $\mathrm{Ol}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  | Żebyś ty |
| OI[eng] |  | So that you would |

[16]

[17]

30 [04:37.6*] 31 [04:37.9]
32 [04:39.0]

[18]

|  | .. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 33 [04:46.1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] |  | ((Laughs)) Я хочу, |
| Ka[lat] |  | ((Laughs)) Ja xoču čtob |
| Ka[TL] |  | I want-PRS-1SG so- |
| Ka[eng] |  | ((Laughs)) I want you to tell me which |
| Ka[nv] |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ol}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Polski i pytasz się mnie, czy warto? |  |
| Ol [eng] | worth of it? |  |
| $\mathrm{OI}[\mathrm{nv}]$ |  | Laughs. |
| $\mathrm{Ol}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  |

[19]

[20]

[21]

|  |  | 37 [04:58.3] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] |  | ((Laughs)) City. |  |
| $\mathrm{Ka}[\mathrm{nv}]$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ol}[\mathrm{v}]$ | ((Laughs)) Ja nie wiem co to govoriat. |  | Aa! |
| OI[eng] | ((Laughs)) I do not undrstand what has been said. |  | Aa! |
| $\mathrm{Ol}[\mathrm{nv}]$ | Laughs. |  |  |

[22]
.39 [05:01.9*]40 [05:02.4*]
41 [05:06.9]

Ka[v] А как люди в Польше относятся к русским?
Ka[lat] A kak ljudi v Pol'še otnosjatsja k russkim?

Ka[TL] and how people in Poland-LOC treat-PRS-3PL to russian-PL
Ka[eng] And how people in Poland treat Russians?

| $\mathrm{OI}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Ee, cZy |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{OI}[\mathrm{eng}]$ | Ee, do I speak |

[24]

|  | 44 [05:45.8] 45 [05:46.3*] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] | Heт. | как относятся когда / |
| Ka[lat] | Net | Ee kak otnosjatsja kogda ee/ ljubite |
| Ka[TL] | no | IJ how treat-PRS-3PL when IJ like-PRS-2PLQ you |
| Ka[eng] | No. | Ee how they treat when ee / Do you like Russians or you do |
| Ol[v] | mówią po rusku? |  |
| OI[eng] | Russain? |  |

[25]

|  |  | 46 [05:52.8] | 47 [05:53.5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] | любите ли вы русских или не любите? |  |  |
| Ka[lat] | li vy russkix ili ne ljubite? |  |  |
| Ka[TL] | -PL russians-ACC or like-NEG-PRS-2PL |  |  |
| Ka[eng] | not? |  |  |
| $\mathrm{OI}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  | Lubimy! |  |
| OI[eng] |  | We like! |  |
| $\mathrm{OI}[\mathbf{n v}]$ |  | Laughs. |  |
| [com] |  |  | 2 mins left out. |


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] | Я вот ищу куда хотела бы поехать; я думаю, что я бы |
| Ka[lat] | Ja vot isčcu kuda xotela by poexat' jadumaju čto ja by |
| Ka[TL] | here look-PRS-1SG where want-PST-1sG CP go-INF \| think-PRS-1SG that I CP go-PST-1SG |
| Ka[eng] | am lookig for some place to go. I think i would like to go to |

[27]

[28]


|  | 54 [08:29.6] | 55 [08:32.1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] | Не было ли у тебя такой мысли поехать? |  |
| Ka[lat] | Ne bylo li u tebja takoj mysli |  |
| Ka[TL] | not be-PST $Q$ at you-ACC such-GEN idea-GEN go-INF |  |
| Ka[eng] | Have you had such an idea to go there? |  |
| $\mathrm{Ol}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  | A/Ale gdzie? |
| Ol [eng] |  | But where? |

[30]

[31]

|  | 59 [08:39.2] | 60 [08:41.6] | 61 [08:42.4*] | 62 [08:43.3] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] | никогда? | No, no. До Америки. |  |  |
| Ka[lat] |  | No no | Do Ameriki. |  |
| Ka[eng] |  | No, no. | To America. |  |
| OI[v] | Cht/ • Ale do Rosji? |  |  |  |
| OI[eng] | Wan/ • But to Russia? |  |  |  |

Ka[v]
Ka[lat]
Ka[eng]
OI[v]

Hm Mm 'Work and Travel'. OI[eng] Yes. Да.

Yes. Da.
Yes. Yes.
[33]

[34]

|  |  | 72 [10:16.5] | 73 [10:17.5] | 74 [10:18.6] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] | Что делали? |  |  |  |
| Ka[lat] | Čto delali? |  |  |  |
| Ka[eng] | What did you do? Smiles. |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ka}[\mathbf{n v}]$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{OI}[\mathrm{v}]$ | pracowaliśmy. |  | Ee, zwiedzalis |  |
| OI[TL] |  |  | IJ sight-see-PST-1PL | look-PST-1PL-around |
| OI[eng] |  |  | Ee, saw sights? | Looked around. |

[35]

|  | $75[10: 19.6]$ | ${ }^{76[10: 23.4]}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[nv] | Shakes herhead. | Laughs. |
| $\mathrm{OI}[\mathbf{v}]$ | Ogłóndaliśmy. Ee, podróżOWaliśmy. Emm ((Laughs)) |  |
| $\mathrm{OI[TL}]$ | IJ travel-PST-1PL |  |
| $\mathrm{OI}[\mathbf{e n g}]$ | Ee, travelled. |  |

[36]

|  | 77 [10:28.1] | 78 [10:30.3] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] | Ну, в общем, что-то ты/вы там де |  |
| Ka[lat] | Nu v obščem čto-to ty/vy tam delali. |  |
| Ka[TL] | well in general something you-SG/you-PL there do-PST-2PL |  |
| Ka[eng] | Well, in short, you did something there. |  |
| $\mathrm{Ka}[\mathbf{n v}]$ | Laughs. |  |
| $\mathrm{OI}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Emm. |  |
| $\mathrm{OI}[\mathrm{nv}]$ [com] | Laughs. | 0.5 min left out. |

[37]

79 [10:58.1]


Ka[eng] Well, afte one goes somewhere once, i think, he will want to go somewhere else.
$\mathrm{OI}[\mathbf{c}] \quad$ Nods her head.
[38]

[39]

[40]


[42]

[43]
93 [12:11.8]
Ka[nv] Nods her head slowly.
$\mathrm{OI}[\mathrm{v}]$
To jeżeli ty chcesz jechać do Hiszpanii, to bardzo fajnie
OI[eng] So if you want to go to Spain, it is better to take your friends with you.

|  | $94[12: 18.3]$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ka[nv] |  |
| OH [v] | jest wziąć znajomych ze sobą. I kupić bilet na pociąg... |
| Oileng] | And to buy ticket to the train. |

[45]

[46]


|  | ${ }^{104}[12.3 .3 .9]$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] |  | Нет, не |
| Ka[lat] |  | Net ne |
| Ka[eng] |  | No, ido not |
| Ka[nv] |  | Laughs. |
| Ka[c] | Looks at her with a strained face. |  |
| $\mathrm{OH}[\mathrm{v}]$ | bardziej... fajniej. •• Kolej, ty rozumiesz? | Kolej? |
| OI[eng] | $\cdots$ Railway, do you understand? | Railway? |

[48]

|  | 107 [12:39.6] | 108 [12:39.6] | 109 [12:41.3] | 110 [12:43.2] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] | разумею, нет, не разумею. |  |  |  |
| Ka[lat] | razumeju net ne razumeju. |  |  | Net |
| Ka[eng] | understand, I do not understand. |  |  | No, I do |
| Ka[nv] | Laughs. Laughs. |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ka}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{OI}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Pociag? Ciuchcia? Lokomotywa? |  |  |  |
| Ol [eng] | Train? | Train? | Locomotiv |  |
| $\mathrm{Ol}[\mathrm{nv}]$ |  | Laughs. | Laughs. |  |

[49]

|  | 111 [12:48.2*] |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] | я не/не/не на локомот/ не на поезде, а на самолете. |
| Ka[lat] | ja ne/ne/ne na lokomot/ ne na poezde a na samolëte. |
| Ka[eng] | not by locomotive, not by train, but on the airplane. |
| Ka[nv] |  |
| $\mathrm{Ka}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Ol}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Samolot. |
| OI [eng] | Airplane. |

[50]

[51]

[52]

|  | 120 [12:55.8] | 121 [12:58.4] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ol[v] | kupić taki bilet. On kosztuje chyba | to jest |
| Ol [eng] | such a ticket. It costs about two hundred euro. | And that is a |


|  |  | ${ }^{122}$ [13:01.2] ${ }^{\text {a }}$ [ 123 [1303.7* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] |  | - То есть можна покататься по |
| Ka[lat] |  | - To jest' možna pokatat'sja po vsej |
| Ka[eng] |  | - You mean I can travel all around Europe? |
| $\mathrm{Ka}[\mathbf{n v}]$ <br> $\mathrm{Ka}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  |
| OI[v] | bilet na całą Europę. | I można po całej |
| OI[eng] | ticket for the whole Europe. | And you can travel all around |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{OI}[\mathrm{nv}] \\ & \mathrm{OIIc]} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

[54]

|  |  | $126[14: 06.1]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] | всей Европе? |  |
| Ka[lat] | Evrope? |  |
| Ka[eng] <br> Ka [nv] |  |  |
| Ka[c] $\mathrm{OI}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Europe jeździć. | Ale z państwa do państwa |
| OI[eng] <br> OI[nv] <br> $\mathrm{OI}[\mathrm{c}]$ <br> [com] | Europe. | But from one country to another one can get in few |

[55]


|  | ${ }^{129}[14.11 .11]$ | ${ }_{130}^{13 / 1: 12.1]}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] | Что это такое? |  |
| Ka[lat] | Čto eto takje? |  |
| Ka[eng] | What is it? |  |
| $\mathrm{OI}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  | Z Polski do Niemiec, ze stolicy Polski do |
| O[Ieng] |  | From Poland to Germany, I mean, from Polish capital to German capital. |

[57]

[58]


|  | 137 [14:25.1] 138 [15:26.5] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] | поДумать. | А вообще, самая ЭкЗотическая |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ka[lat] |  |  | A voobšče | samaja | ekzotičeskaja |  |  |
| Ka [TL] |  |  | and generally | most | exotic | country | where |
| Ka[eng] |  |  | And, generally | hat is the | most exotic country | ere you | d like to |
| [com] |  | 1 min left out. |  |  |  |  |  |

[60]

[61]

[62]


| Ka[v] | сказать, что ((2s)) Ээ меньше всего возможности куда |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ka[lat] | čto ((2s)) ee men'še vsego vozmožnosti kuda poexat' no |
| Ka[TL] | IJ least of-all possibility where go-INF but where you very |
| Ka[eng] | possible for you to go but the most desirable. |
| $\mathrm{OI}[\mathrm{nv}]$ $\mathrm{OI}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |

[64]

[65]

|  | 143 [15:49.5] 144 [15:49.8*] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] | Да! | Куда бы ты хотела, но |
| Ka[lat] | Da | Kuda by ty xotela no ne/ne |
| Ka[TL] | yes | where CP you want-PST-2SG but not like |
| Ka[eng] | Yes! Where would you like to go, but not, let us say,bym chciała pojechać? |  |
| $\mathrm{OI}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  |  |
| OI[eng] | like to go? |  |


[67]

|  |  | 146 [15:56.5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] | страна - Аргентина. | Но я знаю, что я в ближайшее там |
| Ka[lat] | Argentina. | No ja znaju čto ja v bližajšeje tam |
| Ka[TL] | Argentine | but I know-PRS-1SG that I in nearest like time |
| Ka[eng] |  | But I know that within nearest time I will not go there. |
| Ka [nv] <br> $\mathrm{Ka}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  |
| OI[v] |  | Aga |
| Ol[eng] |  | Aga |
| OI[nv] |  | Nods her head. |

[68]

|  | 147 [15:59.8*] 148 [15:59.9] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] | время я туда не поеду. Потому что Это далеко, потому |  |
| Ka[lat] | vremja ja tuda ne poedu. | Potomu čto eto daleko potomu čto |
| Ka[TL] | 1 there go-NEG-FUT-1SG | because it far-ADV because |
| Ka[eng] |  | Because it is far away, because there is different language, |
| Ka[nv] |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ka}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  |
| Ol [v] |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ol}[\mathrm{eng}]$ |  |  |
| Ol[nv] | Nods her head. Nods her head. |  |


| Ka[v] | что там другой язык, потому что ((1s)) это другая |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ka[lat] | tam drugoj jazyk potomu čto ((1s)) eto drugaja čast' sveta |
| Ka[TL] | different language because it another part world-PSS and there I |
| Ka[eng] <br> $\mathrm{OI}[\mathrm{nv}]$ | because it is a different part of the world etc. |

[70]

|  | .. | 149 [16:08.7] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] | часть света и там, я не знаю, там, в все такое. | НО Я రы |
| Ka[lat] | i tam ja ne znaju tam vsë takoje. | No ja by |
| Ka[TL] | know-NEG-PRS-1SG there everything such | but I CP |
| Ka [eng] |  | But I would like |
| Ol[nv] |  | Nods her head. |

[71]

|  |  | 150 [16:10.7] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] | очень хотела туда поехать. У тебя есть такая страна? |  |
| Ka[lat] | očen' xotela tuda poexat'. | $U$ tebja est' takaja strana? |
| Ka [TL] | very want-PST-1SG there go-INF | at you COP such country |
| Ka [eng] | to go there very much. | Do you have such a country? |
| Ol[nv] |  |  |

[72]

151 [16:12.4] 152 [16:12.9]
153 [16:14.4]

| Ka[v] | Можно сказать, невозможная? |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[lat] | Možno skazai' | nevozmožnaja? |  |
| Ka[TL] | may-IMPER say-INF | unreal |  |
| Ka[eng] | Let us say, unreal? |  |  |
| $\mathrm{OI}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Hm |  | - Ee gdzie nie |
| Ol[eng] | Hm |  | $\cdots$ Where it is unreal? |
| $\mathrm{Ol}[\mathrm{nv}]$ | Nods her head. |  |  |


[74]
$156\left[16: 21.1^{*}\right] \quad 157$ [16:21.5*]158 [16:21.6]

| Ka[v] | В принципе, в плане такого. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[lat] | $\checkmark$ princype $\quad \vee$ plane | takogo. |  |
| Ka[eng] | In principle, like that. |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ka}[\mathbf{n v}]$ |  |  |  |
| Ka[c] |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{OI}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Hm |  | No nie wiem, ja bym bardzo |
| Ol[eng] | Hm |  | Well, I do not know, I would like to go to Thailand |

[75]

[76]

|  |  | $161[2: 070.2]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[ nv$]$ |  | Nods her head. |
| $\mathrm{OH}[\mathrm{v}]$ | najcieplejszym punkcie w Polsce. | Czyli jest zawsze |
| Oi[eng] | place in Poland. | I mean, it is always the warmest at my |


|  | 162 [22:09.4] | 163 [22:11.5*] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] |  |  |
| Ka[lat] | najcieplej u mnie. Nie wiem czemu, zawsze jest najcieplej. |  |
| Ka [eng] |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ka}[\mathrm{nv}]$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ol}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ol}[\mathrm{eng}]$ | place. I do not kn | I do not know why, but it is always the warmest. |
| Ol[nv] |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ol}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  |

[78]

[79]

|  | 166[22:18.0**] 167 [22:18.1] |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] | город на юге где-то в | тье на | На юге? |
| Ka[lat] | na juge gde-to v Pol'še | naxoditsja? | Na juge? |
| Ka[eng] | somewhere on the South of Poland? |  | On the South? |
| $\mathrm{OI}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  |  |  |
| OI[eng] | Does not react to the question. |  |  |
| $\mathrm{OI[c]}$ |  |  |  |

[80]

[81]

[82]

|  | 174 [25:0.:3] | ${ }_{175}$ [2:02:3]176 [25:02.8] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] |  | X |
| Ka[lat] |  | Hm |
| Kaleng] |  | Hm |
| Ka[nv] |  | Nods her head once. |
| Ka[c] |  | Reveals the reaction of |
| $\mathrm{OI}[\mathrm{v}]$ | zdać egzaminy w Polsce. Teraz, w tym roku. | Dlatego |
| Ol[eng] | exams in Poland. Now, this year. | Because of |


[84]

|  |  | 179 [25:09.4] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] | поэтому ты на один семе | Потому что тебе на |
| Ka[lat] | poetomu ty na odin semestr? | Potomu čto tebe nado |
| Ka[eng] | are here for one semester? | Because you have to take exams? |

[85]

|  |  | 180 [25:11.1] 181 [25:11.1**182 [25:11.8*] | 183 [25:13.0] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] | сдавать экзамены? |  | Ну, и когда, |
| Ka[lat] | ekzameny? |  | Nu i kogda nu/ |
| Ka[TL] |  |  | well and when well |
| Ka[eng] |  |  | Well, and then, after you |
| $\mathrm{OI}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  | - Hm - W Po |  |
| OI[eng] |  | $\cdot \mathrm{Hm}$ • In Poland |  |

[86]

| Ka[v] | ну / то есть ты сдашь экзамены и ты сюда не |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ka [lat] | to est' ty sdaëš ekzameny i ty sjuda ne vernëšja |
| Ka[TL] | that is you pass-FUT-2SG exam-PL and you here come-back-NEG-FUT-2SG already |
| Ka[eng] | take exams, you will not come back here? |


|  | 184 [25:18.1] | 185 [25:20.9] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] | вернешься уже? В Турцию ты не вернешься? То есть |  |
| Ka[lat] | $V$ Turcyju ty ne vernëšsja? | To est' ty |
| Ka [TL] | to Turkey you come-back-NEG-FUT-2SG | that is you |
| Ka [eng] | You will not come back to Turkey? | So you will go and |
| $\mathrm{OI}[\mathrm{c}]$ | Looks at her with a strained face. |  |

[88]

[89]

|  | ${ }^{186[25: 2.47]}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] | Польше? |
| Ka[lat] |  |
| Ka[TL] |  |
| Ka[eng] |  |
| $\mathrm{OH}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Tutaj muszę zdać egzaminy, a potem wrócić do |
| OI[TL] |  |
| Oileng] | I have to take exams here and then go to Poland and take other exams there. |
| $\mathrm{Ol}[\mathrm{nv}]$ |  |
| O[[c] |  |

[90]

[91]

|  |  | $189[25: 32.5]$ | $190[25: 32.9]$ | $191\left[25: 34.3^{*}\right]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad 192$ [25:34.4]

[92]


|  | 194 [25:38.6] 195 [25:39.1] |  | 196 [25:41.0] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] | учебу? | А сколько тебе осталось учи |  |
| Ka[lat] |  | A sckol'ko tebe ostalos' učit'sja? |  |
| Ka[TL] |  | and how-much you-DAT leave-PST-IMPER study-INF |  |
| Ka[eng] |  | How many more years do yo have to study? |  |
| $\mathrm{OI}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Hm |  | - Mm |
| OI[eng] | Hm |  | - Mm |
| OI[nv] |  |  | Moves her |
| OI[c] |  |  | Confused. |

[94]


[96]

[97]

|  | 207 [26:01.0]208 [26:01.6] |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] |  |  |  |
| Ka[lat] | Аа |  |  |
| Ka[eng] |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ol}[\mathrm{v}]$ | są trzy lata, a potem dwa lata. | Czyli razem | Ale |
| OI[eng] | three years and then two years. | Together it is five. | But after |

[99]

|  |  | 210 [26:09.6] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] |  | То есть Это твой последний год |
| Ka[lat] |  | To est' eto tvoj poslednij god |
| Ka[eng] |  | So it is your last year in Poland? |
| $\mathrm{OH}[\mathrm{v}]$ | te następne zrobić dwa. |  |
| OI[eng] |  |  |

[100]

[101]

|  |  | 21326.15 .01 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] | - - Ты закончишь свой третий курс и потом |  |
| Ka[lat] |  | .. Ty zakončiss svoj tretij kurs i potom budeš |
| Ka[TL] |  |  |
| Ka[eng] |  | - You will finish your third year and then you will try to enter the two years? |
| Ka[nv] |  |  |
| Kа[c] |  |  |
| $\mathrm{OI}[\mathrm{v}]$ | pierwsze. |  |
| Ol[eng] |  |  |

[102]

|  |  | 214 [26: 19.6*] | 215 [26:19.8] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] | будешь поступать в два года учиться, да? |  |  |  |
| Ka[lat] | postupat' v dva goda učit'sja da? |  |  | Prosto |
| $\mathrm{Ka}[\mathrm{TL}]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Ka [eng] |  |  |  | Just to |
| Ka[nv] |  |  |  |  |
| Ka [c] |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{OI}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  | Hm |  |  |
| OI[eng] |  | Hm |  |  |
| O1[nv] |  | Nods her head |  |  |
| [com] |  |  | 20 secs left |  |

[103]

|  | 217 [26.4.1.12181 [26.4.1.8] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] | Просто попутешествовать по/ по Индии? | Это |
| Ka[lat] | poputešestrovat' pol po Indii? | Eto letom |
| Ka[TL] |  | it summer- |
| Ka[eng] | travel around India? | You mean in |
| $\mathrm{OI}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Hm |  |
| Ol[eng] | Hm |  |

[104]

|  | 219 [26:45.3*] 220 [26:45.4] |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] | летом ты имеешь в виду или / или когда? • Летом? |  |  |
| Ka[lat] | ty imeeš $\quad v$ vidu ili / ili | kogda? | - Letom? |
| Ka [TL] | INS you mean-PRS-2SG or or when |  | - summer-INS |
| Ka [eng] | summer or when? |  | - In summer? |
| Ol [v] |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ol}[\mathrm{eng}]$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ol}[\mathrm{nv}]$ | Looks at her with strained face. |  |  |
| $\mathrm{OI}[\mathrm{c}]$ | Does not react to the question. |  |  |

[105]

|  |  | $222[26.48 .8]$ | ${ }^{223}$ [26:49.5] | $224[26.50 .51$ | $25[27: 18.2]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] |  |  | Аа, летом |  | Ну, мне еще |
| Ka[lat] |  |  | Aa letom. |  | Nu mne eščë ostalos' |
| Ka[TL] |  |  |  |  | well l-DAT more leave-PST- |
| Ka[eng] |  |  | Aa, in summer. |  | Well, I have to study one year |
| $\mathrm{OI}[\mathrm{v}]$ | ((2s)) | tak. Latem. |  |  |  |
| OI[eng] | Ee yes. | in summer. |  |  |  |

[106]

[107]

[108]

|  | 231 [27:29.01232 [27:29.4] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] | есть три плюс два. | Тоже учимся пять лет, но все |
| Ka[lat] |  | Tože učimsja pjat' let, no vsë vmeste, srazu. |
| Ka[eng] | two. | We also study for five years, but alltogether. |
| OI[v] |  |  |
| Ol[eng] |  |  |
| $\mathrm{OH}[\mathrm{nv}]$ |  | head. |

[109]

|  | 233127.32 | $235[30: 19.8$ ] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] | вместе, сразу. |  |
| Ka[lat] |  |  |
| Ka[eng] |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ol}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Hm | Z Wrocławia, z mojego |
| OI[eng] | Hm | From Wroclaw, my city, it takes one hour to get to |
| $\mathrm{Ol}[\mathrm{nv}]$ [com] |  |  |

[110]

[111]

[112]

[113]

|  |  |  |  | 249 [0:56.5.5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ka[v] | - A! Да, один час. |  |  |  |
| Kallat] |  | - A Da odin | čas. |  |
| Ka[eng] |  | - A! Yes, one hour. |  |  |
| $\mathrm{OI}[\mathrm{v}]$ | minut. |  |  | W Czechach to my |
| O[ $[$ eng] |  |  |  | We visit Check Republic like five times a |
| [com] |  |  | 20 secs left |  |

[114]

[115]

[116]

[117]

[118]

[119]


[121]

|  | 273 [3:14.8.8] | 274[33:16.7] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OI[v] | Tam jest taki wielki | Taki olbrzymi, najpiękniejszy |
| OI[TL] | there is such big church | such nuge most-beautiful |
| OI[eng] | There is a very bid church there. | So huge, realy the most beautifu I I have ever seen. |

[122]

|  |  | ${ }^{275} 513.21 .01$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OIIv] | na prawdę jaki chyba widziałam. Naprawdę tam jest |  |
| OI[TL] | which probably see-PST-1sG | really there is fine-ADV |
| Ol[eng] |  | Really, it is fine there. |
| $\mathrm{Ol}[\mathrm{nv}]$ |  |  |

[123]

[124]


## Discourse between Rustam(R) and Monika(P), 10th couple

## Conversation between Monika and Rustam

Project Name: Receptive multilingualism in Slavonic languages
Referenced file: C:\Documents and Settings\aliona\Desktop\recordings\Monika+Rustam 14.12..AVI
Transcription Convention: MR
Comment: Monika and Rustam did not know each other before. Monika does not have any knowledge of Russian, never heard Russian speech, never practiced receptive multilingualism, never been to Russia. Rustam, on the contrary, has some knowledge of Polish due to his two-weeks internship in Poland, therefore practiced receptive multilingualism there.

User defined attributes:
Date of recording: 14.12.09.
Place of recording: Ankara, METU
Date of transcription: 19.12.09.
Duration of transcription: 25 hours
Recording person: Aliona Romaniuk
Transcribing person: Aliona Romaniuk
Translating person: Aliona Romaniuk

## Speakertable

Mo
Sex: f
Languages used: pol; eng
L1: pol
Comment: Does not have knowledge of any other Slavonic language but Polish.
User defined attributes:
Full name: Monika
Nationality: Pole
Native language: Polish
Status: student
City: Lublin

## $\underline{\mathbf{R u}}$

Sex: m
Languages used: rus; eng
L1: rus
Comment: Does not have knowledge of any other Slavonic language but Russian.
User defined attributes:
Full name: Rustam
Nationality: Russian
Native language: Russian
Status: student

City: Kaliningrad

AI
Sex: f
[1]

|  | Al[v] |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Well guys, we are starting our recording of your |

[2]
${ }^{\text {Alv] }} \quad$ conversation. Please, speak all the time in your respective
[3]
${ }^{\text {Al[v] }} \quad$ native languages. And don't use English. Use it as ee little
[4]

| $\mathrm{Alv]}$ | as possible. Switch into English only in the moments |
| :--- | :--- |

[5]
${ }^{\text {A[v] }} \quad$ when you get completely stuck. Ok? You've chosen the
[6]

| Al[v] | topic 'Travel abroad', right? Ok, let's start now. ${ }_{20 \text { secs left out. }}^{{ }^{7[21.0]}}{ }^{8[23.0]}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $[\mathbf{c o m}]$ |  |


|  | $9{ }^{[50.3]}$ | 10 [51.1*] | 11 [52.0] | 12 [52.7] | 13 [44.3] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | - - Ee |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mo[eng] | - ${ }^{\text {Ee }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mo[c] | Uncertain. |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u} \mathbf{v}$ ] | Понимаю. Про друзей. Друзей. |  |  | Заграницей ты |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [lat] | Ponimaju | Pro druzej. | Druzej. |  | Zagranicej |  |
| Ru[TL] | understand-PRS | about friend-PL |  |  | abroad | you |
| Ru[eng] | I understand. | About friends. | Friends. |  | One can ma | frien |

[8]

[9]

|  | $16[58.6] 17$ [ 59.3 ] | 18 [01:06.8] | 19 [01:08.3]20 [01:08.8] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | ludi. | Można poznać dużo ludzi. | Nowe |
| Mo[eng] | people. | One can meet many people. | New |
| Mo[nv] | nods her head. |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ | друзей. |  | X |
| Ru[lat] |  |  | Hm |
| Ru[TL] |  |  |  |
| Ru[eng] |  |  | Hm |
| Ru[nv] |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  |  |
| [com] | 9 secs let |  |  |

[10]

|  | 21 [0:10.1] | 2200:13.2] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | doświadczenia.••Ee, poznać nowe kultury. ((1.5s)) Ee |  |
| Mo[TL] |  | । |
| Mo[eng] | experience. $\cdots$ Ee, to get acquainted with new cultures. | ((1.5s)) Ee ((1.4s)) |

[11]

| Mo[v] | ((1.4s)) no i wzbogacić samego siebie ponad tym |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mo[TL] | well and enrich-INF self-REF-ACC yourself-REF-ACC over that all |
| Mo[eng] | well, and enrich oneself first of all. |

[12]

[13]

[14]

[15]

[16]

|  | 30 [01:44.0] | 31 [01:50.0] | 32 [01:51.5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] |  |  | - - - |
| Mo[eng] |  |  | - . I do |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ | ЧУТь. | Куда |  |
| Ru[lat] |  | Kuda |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [TL] |  | where |  |
| Ru[eng] |  | Where wour |  |
| [com] | 10 secs left out. |  |  |

[17]

|  |  | 33 [01:53.5] | 34 [01:54.0*] |  | 35 [01:55.4] |  | 36 [01:56.4] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | rozumiem. |  |  |  |  |  | W |
| Mo[eng] |  |  |  |  |  |  | To which |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  | Куда? В какую страну? В какую страну? |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [lat] |  | Kuda <br> where <br> Where? | V kakuju stranu? V kakuju stranu? <br> to which country to which country <br> To which country? To which country? |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [TL] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ru[eng] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[18]

[19]

|  | .. 40 [02:00.1] 11 [02:00.5] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | pojechać? | Ee, chciałam pojechać do Turcji i jestem |
| Mo[eng] |  | Ee, I wanted to go to Turkey, and I am in Turkey. |
| Mo[nv] |  | Laughs. |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ | X |  |
| Ru[lat] | Hm |  |
| Ru[eng] | Hm |  |


|  | 42 [02:04.4]43 [02:05.3] | 44 [02:06.1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | w Turcji. Ee | Ja bym chciała pojechać, ee, nie |
| Mo[eng] | Ee | I would like to go to, ee, I do not know. |
| Mo[ nv ] |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [v] | А еще? |  |
| Ru[lat] | A eščë? |  |
| Ru[eng] | And where else? |  |

[21]

[22]

|  | 50 [02:17.4] | 51 [02:18.3] | 52 [02:19.4] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | mieszkam. Mieszkam w Anglii. Ale jestem z Polski. Moi |  |  |
| Mo[eng] | I live in England. | But originally I am from Poland. | My parents |
| Mo[nv] |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [ nv ] | head. |  | Nods his head. |

[23]

Mo[v] rodzice są w Polsce, ale ja jestem, ale mieszkam w
Mo[eng] are in Poland, but I live in England.
Ru[nv]

[25]

|  | .. | 57 [02:52.8] | 58 [02:54.4] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] |  | - Dwa |  |
| Mo[eng] |  | - Twelve.. |  |
| Mo[ nv ] |  |  | Shakes her head. |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{v}]$ | семестр, два семестра? |  | раз семестр, |
| Ru[lat] | semestr dva semestra? |  | Ee raz semestr |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [TL] | term two term-PL |  | IJ one-time term two |
| Ru [eng] | two terms? |  | Ee one term, two term. |

[26]

| Mo[V] |  |  | 2 [03:00.3]63 [03:01.3]64 [0:301.6] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Aa, dwa sem | Ee. | Ee |
| Mo[eng] |  | Aa, two terms, right? | Ee... | Ee one |
| Mo[ nv$]$ | Laughs. |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{v}]$ | два семестр. |  |  |  |
| Ru[lat] | dva semestr. |  |  |  |
| Ru[TL] | term-PL |  |  |  |
| Ru[eng] |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{nv}]$ | Laughs. |  |  |  |


[28]

|  | 57 [0:06.4] | 68 00:07.6] | $\left.{ }^{69} 9030090.0\right]$ | 70 [03:09.8] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] |  | W Ros... ee. |  | Jeszcze raz |
| Mo[eng] |  | To Russ... ee. |  | You have to say it one |
| Mo[c] | Confused. |  |  |  |
| Ru[v] | поехать?•В Россию. |  | Россиия. |  |
| Ru[lat] | -v Rossiju. |  | Rossija. |  |
| Ru[TL] | - to Russia |  |  |  |
| Ru[eng] | - To Russia. |  | Russia. |  |

[29]

|  |  | 71 [03:12.3] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | musisz powiedzieć, nie rozumiem. |  |
| Mo[eng] | more time, I did not understand. |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  | Поехать в Россию. |
| Ru[lat] |  | Poexat' v Rossiju. |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [TL] |  | go-INF to Russia |
| Ru[eng] |  | To go to Russia. |


| Mo[nv] | Smiles. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[c] | Reveals the reaction of non-understanding. |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [v] | ((1.8s)) Посмотреть на Россию. Посетить Россию. |  |  |  |  |
| Ru[lat] | ((1.8s)) Posmotret' | na Rossiju. | Posetit' | Rossiju. | Rossija, |
| Ru[TL] | ((1.8s)) look-INF | at Russia-ACC | visit-INF | Russia-ACC |  |
| Ru [eng] | ((1.8s)) To see Russia. |  | To visit Russia. |  | Russia, |
| $\mathbf{R u} \mathbf{[ n v}]$ |  |  | Laughs. |  |  |

[31]

|  | -. | 75 [03:19.9] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] |  | - A, do Rosji czy bym chciała pojechać! |
| Mo[eng] |  | - A, if I would like to go to Russia! |
| Mo[ nv ] |  | Laughs. |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Россия, Москва. |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [lat] | Moskva. |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{eng}]$ | Moscow. |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathbf{n v}]$ |  | Laughs. |

[32]

|  | 76 [03:23.2] | 77 [03:24.7] | 78 [03:26.3*]79 [03:26.7] | 80 [04:41.5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] |  | Chciałabym poje | Rosji! | Sześć |
| Mo[eng] |  | I would like to go to Russia! |  | It takes six |
| Mo[ nv ] | Laughs. | Laughs. |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [v] | Да, да, да. |  | Да. |  |
| Ru[lat] | Da, da, da. |  | Da. |  |
| Ru [eng] | Yes, yes, yes. |  | Yes. |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathbf{n v}]$ | Laughs. | Laughs. |  |  |
| [com] |  |  | 1 min left out. |  |


| Mo[v] | godzin od Wrocławia. Sześć godzin od Wrocławia. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[eng] | hours from Wroclaw. | It takes six hours from Wroclaw. |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  |  | $\bullet$ |
| Ru[lat] |  |  | - Šest' |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [c] |  |  | Repeats |

[34]

|  |  | 83 [04:45.2] | 84 [04:46.0] | 85 [04:47.6] | 86 [04:48.3* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | Sześć godzin! |  |  |  |  |
| Mo[eng] | Six hours! |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Шесть годин? Километров? |  |  | 4acob! 4acob |  |
| Ru[lat] | godin? | Kilometro |  | Časov | Časov |
| Ru[eng] |  | Kilometer |  | Hours! | Hours, rig |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{c}]$ | her. |  |  |  |  |

[35]

|  | 87 [04:49.3] | 88 [04:49.8] | 89 [05:08.7] | 90 [05:09.2] | 91 [05:09.7] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | Chasov |  |  |  | Later |
| Mo[eng] | Hours, yes. |  |  |  | Yeah, in |
| Mo[nv] | Smiles. |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ | да? |  | Летом | Лето |  |
| Ru[lat] | da? |  | Letom | Letom? |  |
| Ru [eng] |  |  | You were there in summer? In summer? |  |  |
| [com] | 20 secs left out. |  |  |  |  |

[36]

[37]

[38]

[39]

[40]

| Mo[v] | Wakacje kiedy znaczy, kiedy nie pracujesZ. | MoŻeSZ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mo[TL] | vacation-Loc when means | when | work-PRs-2SG |
| Mo[eng] | one does not work. |  |  |

[41]


[43]

[44]

|  | 123 [06:02.9] | 124 [06:03.9] 125 [06:05.3] | 126 [06:07.0] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | ((Rustam bu ne?)) Ee... |  | Chyba |
| Mo[eng] | ((Rustam what is that?)) | Ee... | Probably I will have |
| Mo[nv] | Laughs. |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ | праца? | ((1s)) |  |
| Ru[lat] |  | ((1s)) Co |  |
| Ru[eng] |  | ((1s)) Wha |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathbf{n v}]$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  | Says in Polis |  |

[45]

|  | [06:09.9] |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mo[v] } \\ & \text { Moleng] } \end{aligned}$ | będę musiała się na angielski przerzucić. Emm, praca, |

[46]

[47]
132 [06:18.9]
$\overline{\text { Mo[v] }}$ really sorry - I have to switch to English. Ee praca is work.
[48]

[49]

[50]

139 [06:36.1]
$140\left[06: 38.2^{*}\right] \quad 141[06: 38.2]$

| Mo[v] | W waka/ do you know what I mean? |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Mo[eng] | To vaca/ do you know what I mean? |  |  |
| Mo[nv] |  |  |  |
| Mo[c] |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{v}]$ | вакансию, ну? | Вакансия. |  |
| Rullat] | nu? | Vakansija. | Vakacyia |
| Ru[eng] |  | Vacancy. | Vacation. |

[51]

|  | 142 [0640.2] 143 [6642.2] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | I think. |  |
| Mo[nv] | Laughs. |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Вакация - вакансия. | Это когда требуется |
| Ru[lat] | vakansija. | Eto kogda trebujetsja čelovek |
| Ru[eng] |  | It is when a person is required for a job. |


|  | .. | 144 [06:44.2] 145 [06:45.1] | 146 [06:46.6] | 147 [06:48.1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] |  | Aga Ro/ro |  |  |
| Mo[eng] |  | Aha Job. |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [v] | человек на работу. |  | Рабо | Tama |
| Ru[lat] | na rabotu. |  | Rabota. | Okay. |
| Ru[eng] |  |  | Job. |  |
| Ru[nv] |  |  | Laughs. |  |

[53]

[54]

|  | 152 [06:52.9] | 153 [06:53.6] |  |  | 154 [06:56.5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | Po polsku. |  |  |  |  |
| Mo[eng] | In Polish. |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u} \mathbf{v}$ ] | Работа? | Это типа волон |  |  | ((1s)) |
| Ru[lat] |  | Eto tipa volontariat | čto | li? | ((1s)) |
| Ru[eng] |  | Is it a kind of volunteer job? |  |  | ((1s)) |

[55]

|  |  | 155 [06:58.8**156 [06:59.3] |  | 157 [06:59.9*] | 158 [07:01.0] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ru[v] | Волонтеры? | Нет? | Ну ладно. | А в каком горо | Какой |
| Ru[lat] | Volontëry | Net? | Nu ladno | A v kakom gorode? | Kakoy |
| Ru[eng] | Volunteers? | No? | Ok, let that pass. | And in which city? | Which city? |


[57]

[58]

|  | .. | 164 [07:17.7] | 165 [07:18.7] | 166 [07:22.0] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] |  |  | Ee, trzydzieści pięć. |  |
| Mo[eng] |  |  | Ee, thirty-five. |  |
| Mo[nv] |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [v] | температура? Под сколько? |  |  |  |
| Ru[lat] | temperatura? | Pod |  | Čidveste. |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [eng] |  | About what? |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  |  | Repeats after |

[59]

|  | 167 [07:23.2] | 168 [07:24.5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | - Hm | Trzydzieści, trzydzieści pięć. |
| Mo[eng] | - Hm | Thirty - thirty-five. |
| $\mathbf{R u} \mathbf{v}$ ] | Чидвесте. • ТШидештя. |  |
| Ru[lat] | - Tšydeštja. | Tridcat' |
| Ru[eng] |  | Thirty - |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{c}]$ | her. Repeats after her. |  |

[60]

|  |  | 170 [07:27.9] 171 [07:28.8] | 172 [07:41.3] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | Hm |  |  |
| Mo[eng] | Hm |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathbf{v}]$ | ТридЦать - тридЦать пять? |  | Так у вас из |
| Ru[lat] | tridcat' pjat'? |  | Tak u vas iz Anglii |
| Ru [TL] |  |  | but at you from England |
| Ru[eng] | thirty-five? |  | It is really easy to fly from |
| [com] |  | 15 secs left |  |

[61]

|  |  | 173 [07:43.5*] 174 [07:43.8] | 175 [07:45.0] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] |  | - Do Anglii? |  |
| Mo[eng] |  | - To England? |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathbf{v}]$ | Англии вообще легко лететь. Там... |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [lat] | voobšče legko letet' | Tam... | Udobno |
| Ru[TL] | at-all easy-ADV fly-INF | there |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{eng}]$ | England. | There... | Comfortable. |


|  | 176 [07:45.7*] | 177 [07:46.7] | 178 [07:48.4] | 179 [07:50.1] | 180 [07:51.0] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] |  |  |  |  | Anglia |
| Mo[eng] |  |  |  |  | England. |
| Mo[nv] |  |  | ((1s)) Laugh | Laughs. | Laughs. |
| Mo[c] |  | Confused. |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [v] | УдОбНО. ИЗ АНГЛИ | - ИЗ АНГЛИИ. |  | АНГЛV |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [lat] | Iz Anglii. | - Iz Anglii. |  | Anglija. |  |
| Ru[eng] | From England. | - From England. |  | England. |  |
| Ru[nv] |  |  |  |  | Laughs. |

[63]

181 [07:52.7]

|  | ${ }^{181[07: 52,7]}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mo[v] | Ale co masz na myśli Anglię, że co, że, czy tam Polacy |
| Mo[eng] | But what do you mean, England, if there are other Poles there? |

[64]

|  | 182 [07:56.1] 183 [07:56.9] |  | 184 [07:57.8] | 185 [07:59.1] | 186 [08:00.2] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | jeszcze? | Do An |  | 1 z An |  |
| Mo[eng] |  | To England |  | And from |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ | - X |  | - V3 A |  | Не д |
| Ru[lat] | - Hm |  | - Iz An |  | Ne do |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [TL] | - IJ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{eng}]$ | - Hm |  | - From En |  | Not to En |

[65]

|  | .. | 187 [08:01.2] | 188 [08:03.0] | 189 [08:04.1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] |  | 1 z An |  |  |
| Mo[eng] |  | And where |  |  |
| Mo[ nv ] |  |  | Laughs. |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ | АНГЛИИ. |  |  | पтО |
| Ru[lat] | Anglii. |  |  | Čto |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [TL] |  |  |  | that |
| Ru [eng] |  |  |  | It is very |

[66]

|  |  |  |  | 190 [08:08.4] | 191 [08:10.5] | 192 [08:11.1*] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | ((1.5s)) Aa... |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mo[eng] |  |  |  | ((1.5s)) Aa... |  |  |
| Mo[ nv ] |  |  |  | Shakes her head. |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ | АНглии очень легко лететь. |  |  | Лететь. |  |  |
| Ru[lat] | očen | legko | letet'. |  | Letet' | Znaeš |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [TL] | very | easy-AD |  |  | fly-INF | know-PRS- |
| Ru[eng] |  |  |  |  | To fly. | You know, to |

[67]

|  |  | 193 [08:12.4*] | 194 [08:13.3] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] |  |  | No wiem, że na |
| Mo[eng] |  |  | Well, I understand that on the airplane, but |
| Mo[ nv ] |  |  |  |
| Mo[c] |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Знаешь, лететь? | На самолете. |  |
| Ru[lat] | letet' | Na samolëte. |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [TL] | 2SG fly-INF | on airplane-LOC |  |
| Ru[eng] | fly? | On the airplane. |  |


|  | 195 [08:16.2*] | 196 [08:16.2] | 197 [08:17.0] | 198 [08:18.0] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | samolocie, ale gdzie, ale gdzie? <br> where, where? |  |  |  |
| Mo[eng] |  |  |  |  |
| Mo[nv] |  | Laughs. | Laughs. | Laughs. |
| Mo[c] |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ | На самолете. Але где. Куда? • Ну в |  |  |  |
| Ru[lat] | Na samolëte. | Ale gde. | - Kuda? | - Nu v |
| Ru [eng] | On the airplane. |  | - Where? | - Well, to any |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [nv] |  | Laughs. | Laughs. | Laughs. |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{c}]$ | Repeats after her. |  |  |  |

[69]

## $199[08: 20.2] \quad 200$ [08:22.5]201 [08:23.0*]


[70]

|  |  | 202 [88:24.5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] |  | Nie, nie, powiedz, bo ja chcę to zrozumieć, ja się |
| Mo[eng] |  | No, no, tell, for I want to understand, I am really trying to understand! |
| $\mathbf{R u} \mathbf{v}$ ] | важно. |  |
| Ru[lat] | važno. |  |
| Ru[eng] | important. |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{nv}]$ <br> $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  |


|  | 203 [08:28.0] | 204 [08:30.6] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | naprawdę staram! |  |
| Mo[eng] Mo[c] |  | Does not reveal a |
| $\mathbf{R u} \mathbf{v}$ ] | -•Я говорю, что из Ан | - И3 |
| Ru[lat] | ... Ja govoru čto iz Anglii. | - Iz Anglii. |
| Ru[TL] | ... \| say-PRS-1SG that from England |  |
| Ru[eng] | $\cdots$. ${ }^{\text {am saying that from England. }}$ | - From |

## [72]

|  | . | 205 [08:31.9] | 206 [08:32.6] |  |  | 20710 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[c] | reaction of understanding. Stays silent, |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Англии.Англия. И куда-нибудь в другое место. • • |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [lat] |  | Anglija. | I kuda-nibud' | $v$ drugoje | mesto. | -• | Očen' |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{TL}]$ |  |  | and somewhere | to another |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{eng}]$ | England. | England. | And to some oth |  |  | -•• | is very |

[73]


| Mo[v] | ${ }^{210008: 4.8 .8]}$ | 12120844 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Anglii może? | Ciężko |
| Mo[eng] |  | It is hard to |
| Mo[nv] <br> Mo[c] |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Нет, нет, это не вопрос. | Ладно. |
| Ru[lat] | Net, net, eto ne vopros. | Ladno. |
| Ru[eng] | No, no, it is not a question. | Ok. |
| Ru[nv] |  |  |

[75]

[76]

| Mo[v] | no, dobra, dobra, dobra, nie ważne, nie ważne. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[eng] | ok, it is not important, it is not important. |  |
| Mo[nv] |  |  |
| Mo[c] |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  | He |
| Ru[lat] |  | Ne važno. |
| Ru[eng] |  | It is not important. |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{nv}]$ |  |  |

[77]

|  | 2190808.54 .21 | 221 [11:42.8] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | Gdzie ty byłeś? |  |
| Mo[eng] | Where have you been? |  |
| Ru[v] | важно. | Ездил на поезде, |
| Ru[lat] |  | Ezdil na poezde predstavijaš. |
| Ru[TL] |  | go-PST-1SG on train imagine-PRS-2SG |
| Ru[eng] |  | You know what, I was travelling by train. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{nvv}] \\ & {[\mathrm{comam}]} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

[78]

|  | $222[11: 4.9]$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ru[v] | представляешь.••Как у вас, в Польше же все по |
| Ru[lat] | .. Kak u vas v Pol'še že vsë po raspisanju |
| Ru[TL] | how at you in Poland-LOC does everything on schedule |
| Ru[eng] | $\cdots$ The trains in Poland run according to schedule, right? |

[79]

|  |  | 224 [11:49.1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | -•Jeszcz... |  |
| Mo[eng] | .. Once m... |  |
| Ru[v] | расписанию ходит, да? | По расписанию. |
| Ru[lat] | xodit da? | Po raspisaniju |
| Ru[TL] | go-PRS-3SG yes | on schedule |
| Ru[eng] |  | According to schedule. |

[80]

|  | 225 [11:49.9*] | 226 [11:50.3] | 227 [11:51.5] | 228 [11:52.4] | 229 [11:53.4] | 230 [11:54.5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] |  | - To jest |  | - Sam |  | Aa, |
| Mo[eng] |  | - Y You mean... |  | - Car. |  | Aa, auto? |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Поезда. |  | Пoe3 |  | Camo |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [lat] | Poezda. |  | Poezda. |  | Samoxud. |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [TL] | train-PL |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{eng}]$ | Trains. |  | Trains. |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathbf{n v}]$ |  |  |  |  | Laughs. | Laughs. |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  |  |  | Repeats aft |  |

[81]

|  | 231 [11:55.5]232 [11:57.0*] | 233 [11:57.0] | 234 [11:58.7] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | auto? Co masz na myśli? |  | - Samochód? |
| Mo[eng] | What do you mean? |  | - Car? |
| Mo[nv] <br> Mo[c] |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ | СамохОД - ЧТО ЭТО? | Camo |  |
| Ru[lat] | Samoxod čto eto | Samoxod. |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{eng}]$ | What does 'samoxod' mean? | Samoxod. |  |
| Ru[nv] |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  |  |

[82]

235 [11:59.9] 236 [12:00.4] 237 [12:01.5]

[83]

$$
239[12: 05.5] \quad 240 \text { [12:07.9] }
$$

| Mo[v] | What does it mean? • Samochód? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathbf{v}]$ | что такое? | Что Это? |
| Ru[lat] | čto takoje? | Čto eto? |
| Ru[eng] | ? | What is it? |

[84]

[85]

|  | 245 [12:13.6] | $246[12: 14.5]$ | 247 [12:15.2]248 [12:16.6] | 249 [12:17.5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | Pojazd, yeah, pojazd, it is samochód. <br> right, train is 'samoxod'. |  |  | Pojaz |
| Mo[eng] |  |  |  | Train me |
| Mo[nv] |  |  |  |  |
| Mo[c] |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  | Поезд | Поезд - самоход? |  |
| Ru[lat] |  | Poezd. | Poezd | samoxod? |
| Ru[eng] |  | Train. | Train means 'samoxod'? |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathbf{n v}]$ |  | Laughs. | Laughs. Laughs. |  |

[86]

[87]

[88]

|  |  | 256 [12:27.4] | 257 [12:28. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ru[v] | вас по расписанию хоДит? По расписанию. РовНо. |  |  |  |
| Ru[lat] | po raspisaniju xodit? | Po raspisaniju. | Rovno. | Točno |
| Ru[TL] | schedule go-PRS-3SG |  |  | exactly |
| Ru [eng] | there, don't they? | According to the schedule. | Exactly. | Right |

[89]

[90]

[91]

|  | .. 26 | 266 [12:40.2] | 267 [12:43.4] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] |  | Czas, czas, ale co masz n | Bo |
| Mo[eng] <br> Mo[nv] |  | Time, time, but what do you mean, because... | Well, train, |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathbf{v}]$ | тaM... पас! |  |  |
| Ru[lat] | tam čas! |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [eng] | called... time! |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{c}]$ | Polish. |  |  |


|  |  | 268 [12:47.4] |  | 269 [12:48.3*] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | pojazd, ten czas, and what? |  |  |  |
| Mo[eng] | time, and what? |  |  |  |
| Mo[nv] |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Поезд, час. По расписанию. |  |  |  |
| Ru[lat] |  | Poezd | čas | Po rasp |
| Ru[eng] |  | Train, time |  | According to |

[93]

[94]

|  | 274 [12:54.0] | 275 [12:55.4] |  | 276 [12:57.2] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | jechałeś? |  |  |  |
| Mo[eng] |  |  |  |  |
| Mo[nv] |  |  |  | Laughs. |
| Mo[c] |  |  |  | Shows that she does not |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathbf{v}]$ | Нет, не обЯЗательно аВтобусы. Все по |  |  |  |
| Ru[lat] |  | Net ne objazatel'no | avtobusy. | Vsë po raspisaniju |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathbf{T L}]$ |  | no not necessarily | bus-PL | everything on schedule |
| Ru[eng] |  | No, not necessarily buses. |  | Everything runs according |


[96]

[97]

|  | 283 [13:12.01284 [13:13.7] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] |  | No spróbuj, spróbuj, może cię zrozumiem! |
| Mo[eng] |  | Well, try, try, may be I will understand you! |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [v] | смотри. |  |
| Ru[lat] | smotri |  |
| Ru[ eng ] |  |  |
| Ru[ nv$]$ |  |  |

[98]


| Ru[v] | ходило по расписнию, но вот как / как только |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ru[lat] | po raspisaniju no vot kak/ kak tol'ko priexal... |
| Ru[eng] | according to the schedule, but when I arrived... |

[100]

[101]

|  | 293 [13:30.3] | 294 [13:31.4] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] |  | Nu, nu, nu. |
| Mo[eng] |  | Well, well, well. |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ | что / что Это такое. Смотри. | Был по расписанию. |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [lat] | čto / čto eto takoje. Smotri | Byl po raspisaniju. |
| Ru[eng] | it means. Look. | It was according to the schedule. |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [nv] |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  |

[102]

|  | 295 [13:32.1] | 296 [13:34.5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ru[v] | Потом приехал в город на границе. | Как же Он |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [lat] | Potom priexal $v$ gorod na granice. | Kak że on nazyvaetsja |
| Ru[eng] | Then I arrived to the city on the border. | What was it's name ((1s)) do you |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  | Frowms trying to remember the name of |

[103]

[104]

[105]

|  |  | 302 [13:45.2] 303 [13:46.4] |  | 304 [13:48.8] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | Przejście graniczne? | Ee |  | Na |
| Mo[eng] | border? | Ee |  | On the border? |
| Mo[nv] <br> Mo[c] |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Ехать когда в Берлин. |  | ((1.4s |  |
| Ru[lat] | kogda v Berlin. |  | ((1.4s)) |  |
| Ru[eng] | way to Berlin. |  | ((1.4s)) No |  |

[106]

[107]

## 308 [13:54.3] 309 [13:54.7] <br> 310 [13:55.7]


[108]

| Ru[v] | поезд должен был идти по расписанию, но он, |
| :---: | :---: |
| Rullat] | poezd dozzen byl idti po raspisaniju no on okazyvaetsja |
| Ru[TL] | must-PST-3SG be-PST-3SG go-INF on schedule but it appear-PRS-IMPER |
| Ru[eng] | was supposed to come according to the schedule, but it appeared to come two hours later. |


[110]

|  |  | 312 [14:04.6] | 313 [14:05.7]314 [14:06.8] | 315 [14:07.5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[c] | Looks at him with non-understanding eyes. |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ | сел не на тот поезд. Который стоял. Стоял. |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [lat] | ne na tot poezd. | Kotoryj stojal. | Stojal. | Sejčas |
| Ru [TL] | PST-3SG not on that train | which stand-PST-3SG |  | now |
| Ru [eng] | took another train. | Which was standing there. | Was stand | Now I will |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [ nv ] | Laughs. |  |  |  |

[111]

[112]

| $\mathbf{R u}$ [v] | где должен бЫл стоять мой поезД, отправлЯлся |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [lat] | gde dolžen byl stojat' moj poezd otpravljalsja točno po |
| Ru[TL] | where must be-PST-3SG stand-INF my train depart-PST-3SG exactly on time |
| Ru [eng] | my train was supposed to stand, departed the same time as mine, but it was another train. |


[114]

|  | .. | 318 [14:17.4] 319 [14:18.7] | 320 [14:20.0] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] |  | - Aa | . $\cdot$ |
| Mo[eng] |  | -• | ... |
| $\mathbf{R u} \mathbf{v}$ ] | Вообще в другое место. | НазаД в Поль山у. |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [lat] | voobče v drugoje mesto. | Nazad v Pol'šu. |  |
| Ru[eng] | completely different place. | Back to Poland. |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathbf{n v}]$ |  |  |  |

[115]

[116]

| Mo[v] |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{v}]$ | поезд, он как-то не по расписанию, все у них |
| Ru[lat] | on kak-to ne po raspisaniju vsë u nix narušylos'. |
| Ru[eng] | departed not according the schedule, everything was broken in the schedule. |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{nv}]$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |

[117]

[118]

|  | 327 [14:31.3] | 328 [14:32.2] | 329 [14:33.2] | 330 [14:34.2] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | Pomyliłe |  | Pomyliłe | Ee M |
| Mo[eng] | Got mistaken. |  | Got mistaken. | Ee mm, |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  | Помирил |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [lat] |  | Pomirilis'? |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [eng] |  | Reconciled? |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  | Repeats after her. |  | Frowns. |

[119]

[120]

[121]

[122]

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ru[v] | Поезд должен был отправляться ровно по времени. |
| Ru[lat] | Poezd dolzen byl otpravljat'sja rovno po vremeni. |
| Ru[eng] | The train was supposed to depart exactly according the schedule. |


|  | ${ }_{340}[14.51 .9]$ | ${ }^{341[14.54 .1]}$ | ${ }^{342}$ [14.5.5.8*1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | Na granicy się zatrzymałam? |  | W Koszalinie? |
| Mo[eng] | It was detained on the border? |  | In Koszalin? |
| Ru[v] |  | В Кошалине. | Поезд. |
| Ru[lat] |  | v Košaline | Poezd. |
| Ru[eng] |  | In Koszalin. | Train. |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathbf{n v}]$ <br> Ru[c] |  |  |  |

[124]

343 [14:55.8]
344 [14:58.1]
345 [14:59.1]346 [15:01.9]

| Mo[v] | Ale nie wracał? |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[eng] | But did not go back? |  |  |
| Mo[ nv$]$ | Laughs. |  |  |
| Ru[v] | Должен был отправ | ся ровно... | Отправляться. |
| Ru[lat] | Dolžen byl otpravijat'sja | rovno... | Otpravijat'sja. |
| Ru[eng] | It was supposed to depart exactly... |  | To depart. |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathbf{n v}]$ <br> $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  |  |

[125]

|  | 347 [15:03.2] 348 [15:04.4*] | 349 [15:04.5] | 350 [1:05.4] | 351 [15:06.4] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | - No to... |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Mo[eng] | . - But that is... |  |  |  |
| Mo[ nv ] | - |  |  |  |
| Mo[c] |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u} \mathbf{v}]$ | Отправляться. Отъезжать. Покидать. • Уезжать. |  |  |  |
| Ru[lat] | Otpravljat'sja. | Ot"jezžat'. | Pokidat'. | - Uezžat'. |
| Ru[eng] | To depart. | To go. | To leave. | To move |


[127]

[128]

|  | 365 [15:17.5] | ${ }_{364[15.18 .1]}$ | 365 [15:19.3] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[c] |  |  | Does not react. |
| Ru[v] | Потянг,наверное. | Ровно по времени. | - По времени. |
| Ru[lat] | navernoje. | Rovno po vremeni. | - Po vremeni. |
| Ru[eng] |  | Exactly according to the schedule. | - According to the schedule. |
| Ru[nv] |  |  |  |
| Ru[c] |  |  |  |

[129]

[130]

## . $369\left[15: 24.8^{*}\right] \quad 370[15: 24.9] 371[15: 25.5]$

372 [15:27.2]

|  |  | ${ }^{37215127.21}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | do innego! |  |
| Mo[eng] | one! |  |
| Mo[nv] <br> Mo[c] |  |  |
| Ru[v] | В друой поезд. То есть, расписание нарушилось. |  |
| Ru[lat] | $\vee$ drugoj poezd. To est' raspisanije |  |
| Ru[eng] | To the wrong train. That is, the schedule was broken. | Schedule |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathbf{n v}]$ Ru[c] |  |  |

[131]

|  | .. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 373 [15:28.4] | 374 [15:29.5] | 375 [15:30.1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] |  | Raspisanije - czas? |  | Hm |
| Mo[eng] |  | 'Raspisanije' is the time? |  | Hm |
| $\mathbf{R u} \mathbf{[ v ]}$ | Расписание в Польше. |  | 4ac. |  |
| Ru[lat] | Raspisanije v Pol'še. |  | Čas. |  |
| Ru [eng] | in Poland. |  | Time. |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [c] |  |  | Says in Polish. |  |


|  | 376 [15:30.8] | 377 [16:26.2] |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] |  |  |  | ((1s)) |
| Mo[TL] |  |  |  |  |
| Mo[eng] |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ | В Польше вообще проблема с английским. |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [lat] |  | $V$ Pol'še voobšče problema | s anglijskim. |  |
| Ru[eng] |  | In Poland it is a big problem about English. |  |  |
| [com] | 1 min left out. |  |  |  |

[133]

|  | 379 [16:31.1]380 [16:31.8] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | ((1s)) Tak samo wam w Rosji? | Ee, jak ja bym |
| Mo[TL] | so the-same you-PL-DAT in Russia |  |
| Mo[eng] | The same is in Russia? | Ee, if I went to Russia, could I speak |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  |  |
| Ru[lat] |  |  |
| Ru [eng] |  |  |

[134]

| $M o[v]$ | pojechała do Rosji, mogłabym rozmawiać po angielsku? |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mo[eng] | English there? |

[135]

[136]

|  | ${ }^{384[16: 42.3]}$ | $\left.{ }_{385} 16.4 .4 .5\right]$ | ${ }^{386}\left[16: 4.42^{4}\right]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | W m |  |  |
| Mo[eng] | I guess only in the cities. |  |  |
| Mo[ nv$]$ |  |  |  |
| Mo[c] |  |  |  |
| Ru[v] | менее знают.Более-менее.((Ну, если половину |  |  |
|  |  | ( (Nu, esli polovinu vzjat'). |  |
| Ru[lat] | znajut. Bolee-menee. |  |  |
| Ru[eng] | More or less. | ((Well, if we consider half of them)). |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathbf{n v}]$ <br> Ru[c] |  |  |  |

[137]

|  | ${ }^{887}[16: 4.5 .53] 38$ [16.4.6.5] | ${ }^{389} 16.48 .01$ | [499] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | pewnie tylko.Większe miasto? |  | Ee |
| Mo[eng] | In the largest cities? |  | Ee |
| Mo[nv] <br> Mo[c] |  |  |  |
| Ru[v] | вЗять)). | Веньше място? | B |
| Ru[lat] |  | Ven'se mjasto? | v bol' |
| Ru[eng] |  |  | In large |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{nv}]$ |  |  |  |
| Ru[c] | Does not show a reaction. | Repeats after her. |  |

[138]

|  | 1.4] |  | Hm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] |  |  |  |
| Mo[eng] | больших местах? | В больших городах? |  |
| Ru[v] |  |  | Ну да, |
| Ru[lat] | šyx mestax? | $\checkmark$ bol'šyx gorodax? | Nu da |
| Ru[eng] | places? | In large cities? | Yes, like in |

[139]

|  | 396[16:54.6*] |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ru[v] | где-то в больших городах. В селах, конечно, с |  |  |  |  |
| Ru[lat] | gde-to v bol'šyx | gorodax | $\checkmark$ sëlax | konečno |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [eng] | large cities. |  | In villages, surely, there is a problem about |  |  |

[140]

|  | 397 [16:56.8] | 398 [17:17.0] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] |  | Moskwa, że bardzo ładna |
| Mo[TL] |  | Moscow that-is very beautiful |
| Mo[eng] |  | Moscow is very beautiful, and... |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{v}]$ | аНглийским проблема. |  |
| Ru[lat] | problema. |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [eng] | English. |  |
| [com] | 30 secs left out. |  |

[141]

|  |  |  | 399 [17:20.1] | 400 [17:20.8] | 401 [17:21.4] | 402 [17:22.1] | 403 [17:23.3] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | Moskwa, İ... |  |  | Ładna. |  |  | ((2.2s)) |
| Mo[TL] | Moscow and |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mo[eng] |  |  |  | Beautiful. |  |  | ((2.2s)) Old. |
| $\mathbf{R u} \mathbf{[ v ]}$ |  |  | Бардз |  | ВаДна | - Вад |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [lat] |  |  | Bardza? |  | Vadna? | - Vadna? |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  | Repeats after |  | Repeats aft | Repeats aft |  |


|  | 404 [17:26.0] | 405 [17:28.0** | 406 [17:28.4] | 407 [17:30.5] | 408 [17:31 | 409 [17:32.6] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | Stara. | Ee | And / i ł | Ładna | Mm | ((2.1s) |
| Mo[eng] |  | Ee | And beautiful | Beautiful. | Mm | ((2.1s)) |
| Mo[c] |  |  |  | Tries to find substitution for the word 'ladna' |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u} \mathbf{v}]$ | , да. Старая. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ru[lat] | Aa da | Staraja. |  |  |  |  |
| Ru[eng] | Aa, yes. | Old. |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathbf{n v}]$ <br> Ru[c] |  |  |  | Looks at he | with curiou |  |

[143]

[144]


[146]

[147]

|  | 424 [18:55.4] | 425 [18:56.2] | 426 [18:57.0] | 427 [18:57.8] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | niebezpeczna. | Nie miła. Dangerous. |  |  |
| Mo[TL] |  |  |  |  |
| Mo[eng] |  | Not nice. |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [v] | Не мила? |  |  | $\bullet$ |
| Ru[lat] | Ne mila? |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{c}]$ | Repeats after her. |  |  |  |

[148]

|  |  | ${ }_{428}^{118.58 .9]}$ | ${ }^{429119: 00.3]}$ | 430 [19:00.9] | ${ }^{431}$ [19:0.2.0] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | - Rosja? |  |  | Niebezpeczna? |  |  |
| Mo[eng] | Dangerous? | - Russia? |  | Dangerous? |  |  |
| Ru[v] |  |  | Росси |  | ((1.8s) |  |
| Ru[lat] |  |  | Rossija? |  | ((1.8s)) | Da |
| Ru[eng] <br> $\mathbf{R u [ n v ]}$ |  |  | Russia? |  | ((1.8s)) W |  |

[149]

|  | 432 [19:05.1] | 433 [20:04.5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] |  | I na jeden |
| Mo[TL] |  | and for one term |
| Mo[eng] |  | And are you going to stay here |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathbf{v}]$ | Да, в общем, везДе dangerous. |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [lat] | $v$ obščem vezde dangerous. |  |
| Ru [eng] | actually, it is dangerous everywhere. |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [nv] |  |  |
| [com] | 1 min left out. |  |

[150]


|  | 437 [20:08.7] | 438 [20:15.9] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] |  | No ja już jadę, piętnastego stycznia. |
| Mo[TL] |  | well । already go-PRS-1SG fifteenth-GEN January-GEN |
| Mo[eng] |  | I am leaving on fifteenth of January. |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ | семестра. |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [lat] |  |  |
| Ru[eng] |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathbf{n v}]$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  |
| [com] | 10 secs left out. |  |

[152]

|  | 439 [20: | ]440 [20:20.5*] | 441 [20:21.3] | 442 [20:22.0] | 443 [0:23.5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | - Hm? |  |  |  | Janvarja. |
| Mo[eng] |  |  | - Hm? |  | January. |
| Mo[nv] |  |  |  |  | Nods her haed. |
| Mo[c] |  |  |  |  | Says in Russian. |
| $\mathbf{R u} \mathbf{v}]$ | X | Января? | Пятнадцатого января? |  |  |
| Ru[lat] | Hm | Janvarja? |  | Pjatnadca |  |
| Ru[eng] | Hm | January? |  | Fifteenth |  |


|  | 444 [20:2 | 1445 [20:25.2]446 [20 | ]447 [20:28.0] | 448 [20:29.0] | 449 [20:30.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | Tak |  |  |  |  |
| Mo[TL] |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mo[eng] | Yes. |  |  |  |  |
| Mo[nv] |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mo[c] |  |  |  | Looks at him | nused face |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  |  | А ты как полет | ((1s)) K | Yepe |
| Ru[lat] |  |  | A ty kak poletiš? | ((1s)) Kak? | Čerez |
| Ru[TL] |  |  | and you how fly-FUT-2SG |  | through |
| Ru [eng] |  |  | And how will you fly? | ((1s)) How? | Through |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [ nv ] |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Al[v] |  |  |  |  |  |
| [com] |  | 5 secs left out, |  |  |  |

[154]

[155]

|  | ${ }^{453}$ [2:3.3.6] |  | 454[120:38, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $455[20.3 .6]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | byłam w Istanbule. <br> Istanbul. |  | Aa, yeah, yeah, |  |
| Mo[eng] |  |  |  |  |
| Ru[v] |  | Не, не, не. | К/как? |  |
| Ru[lat] |  | Ne ne ne | K/kak? |  |
| Ru[eng] |  | No, no, no. | How? |  |

[156]

|  |  | 457 [1:006.0] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | Ankara, Ankara - Manchester. | Z Istambułu |
| Mo[eng] |  | From Istanbul to Manchester it |
| [com] |  |  |

[157]

|  |  | [58 [21:08.5] |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | Manchester - cztery godziny. |  |  |  |
| Mo[eng] | takes four hours. |  |  |  |
| Ru[v] |  | ((1s)) Четыре / четыре |  |  |
| Ru[lat] |  | ((1s)) Čětyre / | četyre | dnja? |
| Ru[eng] |  | ((1s)) Four days? |  |  |

[158]


[160]

[161]

|  |  | ${ }^{169}$ [12:45.6] | 470 [21:47.0.0471 [21:47.5] | 472 21:4.5.5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | mnie. | I nudzi mi się. | Nudzi mi się. |  |
| Mo[TL] |  | makes-bored l-ACC REF |  |  |
| Mo[eng] |  | And it is boring. | It is boring |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{v}]$ | самолетах. |  | Что? | Нудимися? |
| Ru[lat] |  |  | čto? | Nudimisja? |
| Ru[eng] |  |  | What? |  |
| Ru[nv] Ru[c] |  |  |  | Repeats after her. |

[162]

[163]

|  |  | 4788 [2:303.94] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[V] | na święta do domu? | Nie wiem, czy obchodzisz święta |
| Mo[TL] | Christmas-holidays to home | know-NEG-PRS-1SG or selebrate-PRS-2SG Christmas-holidays or not |
| Mo[eng] | Christmas holidays? | I do not know if you celebrate the holigays. |

[164]

[165]

[166]

[167]

|  | 492 [23:16.3] | 493 [23:17.6] |  | $494[2$ | *495 [23:19.0] | 496 [23:19.6] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | Jedziesz? |  |  |  | Kiedy? |  |  |
| Mo[eng] | So you are going? |  |  |  | When? |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru} \mathbf{v}$ ] | В конце / еду, еду. Да. |  |  |  |  | -•Кеды?• |  |
| Ru[lat] |  | $\checkmark$ konce / | jedu edu | Da. |  | $\cdots$ - Kedy? |  |
| Ru[eng] |  | At the end | I go, I go. | Yes |  |  |  |
| Ru[c] |  |  |  |  |  | Repeats aft |  |

[168]

[169]

[170]

|  | 510 [23:31.2] | 511 [23:32.0] | 512 [23:32.9] | 513 [23:35.7] | 514 [23:36.3] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | When? • Wh |  |  | Raz. | A nie, |
| Mo[TL] |  |  |  |  | IJ no no |
| Mo[eng] |  |  |  |  | A, no, no, l |
| Mo[c] |  |  |  | Whispers / repeats after him. |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  |  | - Чт/ ОДин • pa3? |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [lat] |  |  | -Čt/ odin raz? |  |  |
| Ru [eng] |  |  | - Wha/ one • - time? |  |  |

[171]

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | nie, mam na myśli czy teraz jedziesz? Teraz na święta? |
| Mo[TL] | have-PRS-1SG on thoughtif now go-PRS-2SG |
| Mo[eng] | mean if you go now. Now for the holidays? |
| Ru[c] | Confused. |

[172]

|  | 516 [23:40.7] | ${ }_{517}[23.42 .01$ | 518 [23.450] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | ((1.6s)) Na Boże Narodzenie? <br> ((1.6s)) For the Christmas? |  |  |
| Mo[eng] |  |  |  |
| Ru[v] | Тераз на швента? |  | X |
| Ru[lat] | Teraz na šventa? |  | Hm |
| Ru[eng] |  |  | Hm |
| Ru[ [] | Repeats after her. |  | Request |

[173]

|  | 519 [23:4.9.9] | $520{ }^{[23.4 .4 .1]}$ | \|152 [23:4,2] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | Ee, dwudziestego czwartego grudnia? |  | Ee, w Boże |
| Mo[TL] | is twenty-Gen four-gen | December-Gen |  |
| Mo[eng] | Ee, on the tventy-fourth of December. |  | Ee, on the Christmas? |
| Ru[v] |  | - X |  |
| Ru[lat] |  | - Hm |  |
| Ru[eng] |  | - Hm |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{c}]$ | to continue. | Uncertain. |  |

[174]

[175]

[176]

|  | .. | 528 [24:02.9] | 529 [24:05.3] 530 [24:06.3*] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | Ale czy teraz jedziesz? W grudniu? |  |  |
| Mo[TL] |  | but if now go-PRS-2SG |  |
| Mo[eng] |  | But are you going now? | In December? |
| Mo[nv] <br> Mo[c] |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ | ДВаДЦать второго. |  | 4T0? |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [lat] | dvadcat' vtorogo. |  | Čto? |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{eng}]$ | twenty-second. |  | What? |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  | Confused. |

[177]

[178]

|  |  | 535 [24:14.1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] |  | Nie, mam |
| Mo[eng] |  | No, I mean / I |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Там, Стамбул-Москва, Москва-Калининград. |  |
| Ru[lat] | Stambul-Moskva Moskva-Kaliningrad. |  |
| Ru [eng] | Istanbul-Moscow, Moscow-Kaliningrad. |  |

[179]

| Mo[v] |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mo[eng] | na m/ ee, na myśli mam / I have to switch to English. Ee if have to switch to English. |
| Mo[ nv$]$ |  |
| Mo[c] |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  |

[180]

|  | 537 [24:26.2*] | 538 [24:26.2*]539 [24 | ]540 [24:26.7] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | you are going now • • to your home. |  | For |
| Mo[eng] |  |  | For Christmas. |
| Mo[nv] |  |  |  |
| Mo[c] |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  | X |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [lat] | Aha | Hm |  |
| Ru [eng] | Aha | Hm |  |

[181]

[182]

|  |  | 544 [24:31.4] | 545 [25:11.0] | 546 [25:12.2] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | Roges |  | Kiedy jedziesz do d |  |
| Mo[TL] |  |  | when go-PRS-2SG to home | in visit |
| Mo[eng] | Christmas. |  | When are you going home? | To visit? |
| Mo[nv] [com] | 40 secs left out. |  |  |  |

[183]

|  | 547 [25:13.3] | 548 [25:14.4] | 549 [25:15.5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | odwiedziny? |  | Jak skończysz |
| Mo[TL] |  |  | as finish-PRS-2SG second-ACC |
| Mo[eng] |  |  | After you finish second term? |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ | В конце января. Январь. |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [lat] | $\checkmark$ konce janvarja. | Janvar'. |  |
| Ru[eng] | At the end of January. | January. |  |


[185]

|  | 554 [25:2.0.0] | $555[25: 2.15]$ | 556[25:2.4.5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | ((1.2s)) Hm |  | Na |
| Mo[TL] | ı |  |  |
| Mo[eng] | ((1.2s)) Hm |  |  |
| Mo[c] |  |  | Repeats atter him. |
| Ru[v] | Нет, я еду домой на выходных. |  |  |
| Ru[lat] | Net ja edu domoj na vyxodnyx. |  |  |
| Ru[eng] |  |  |  |

[186]

| Mo[v] |  | 53712.2. | 588 [25.2.6.3] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | vyhodnyh. |  | Ee, co znaczy na vyhodnyh. |  |
| Mo[eng] |  |  | Ee, what does 'na vyhodnyh' mean? |  |
| Mo[c] |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  | На выходных. |  |  |
| Ru[lat] |  | Na vyxodnyx. |  | Aa |
| Ru[eng] |  | On the weekend. |  |  |
| Ru[nv] |  |  |  |  |

[187]

|  | 560 [25:29.3] |  |  |  | 561 [25:32.7*] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [v] | ВыхоДНых. ВыхоДНые - Это когда Экзамены. |  |  |  | ЭкЗам |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [lat] | vyxodnyx. | Vyxodnye eto | kogda | ekzameny | Ekzameny. |
| Ru [eng] | weekend. | Weekend is when exams. |  |  | Exams. |

[188]

|  | 562 [25:33.7] | 563 [25:35.5*] | 564 [25:36.0]565 [25:36.2] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | - Aha | Jak ((skończysz)) | Mm |
| Mo[eng] | - Aha | After you pass your exams? |  |
| Mo[nv] |  |  |  |
| Mo[c] |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  | Заканчиваются. | Экзамены |
| Ru[lat] |  | Zakančivajutsja. | Ekzameny |
| Ru[eng] |  | Are over. | Exams are over. |

[189]

|  |  | 566 [25:37.6] 567 [25:37.6*] | 568 [25:39.4] | 569 [25:40.4]570 [25:41.4] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] |  | - Aga, teraz. | W janv |  |
| Mo[eng] |  | - Aha, now. | In January. |  |
| Mo[nv] |  |  |  |  |
| Mo[c] |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ | заКаНЧИВаЮтсЯ. | И выхОДНые. |  | Да. |
| Ru[lat] | zakančivajutsja. | I vyhodnyje. |  | Da. |
| Ru [eng] |  | And weekend. |  | Yes. |
| [com] |  |  |  | 10 secs left |

[190]

[191]

577 [26:01.1]

[192]

[193]

[194]

|  | 584 [26:10.8] | 585 [26:10.7] | 586 [26:11.9]587 [26:12.4] | 588 [26:13.0] | 589 [26:14.6] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] |  | A to długo, | Długo | - D Dług |  |
| Mo[TL] |  | and that long-ADV not |  |  |  |
| Mo[eng] |  | That is long, isn't it? | Long. | - . Long. |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ | таК. |  | XM? |  | ДВуго. |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [lat] |  |  | Hm ? |  | Dvugo. |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{eng}]$ |  |  | Hm ? |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  |  | Listens carefully. | Repeats after |
| [com] | 2 secs left out. |  |  |  |  |

[195]

[196]

|  | 596 [26:21.4*] 597 [26:22.2] | 598 [26:25.0] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] |  | No i potem jak już skończysz tutaj szkołe... |
| Mo[TL] |  | well and then as already finish-FUT-2SG here school-ACC |
| Mo[eng] |  | Well, and after you finish your studies here... |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ | учебы. |  |
| Ru[lat] | učëby. |  |
| Ru[eng] |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [nv] |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  |
| [com] | 5 secs left out. |  |

[197]

|  | 599 [26:28.0] | 600 [26:28.9] | 601 [26:30.0] | 602 [26:31.0] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] |  | Drugi semes | Jak Sk |  |
| Mo[TL] |  | second-ACC term-ACC | as finish |  |
| Mo[eng] |  | Second term. | After you fin |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ | - पT0? |  | Други | Як Ск |
| Ru[lat] | - Č̌o? |  | Drugyj | Jak skon |
| Ru[eng] | What? |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  | Repeats aft | Repeats aft |

[198]

| Mo[v] | ${ }_{604}^{6063.3 .1]}$ | ${ }_{65}$ [26.3.4.0606 [26.35.8] | 607 [2:36.8.8] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Finish. | Ee... I co wtedy? ((1.2s)) I co wtedy? |  |  |
| Mo[TL] |  |  |  |  |
| Mo[eng] |  | Ee... And what then? | ((1.2s)) And what then? |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  | , я понял. |  |  |
| Ru[lat] |  | Aha ja ponjal. |  | - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Ru[eng] |  | Aha, I I understood. |  | - Aa, |

[199]

|  |  | $609[26: 41$. | [ 1610 [26:41.4] | 611 [26:42.6] | 612 [27:08.3] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] |  | Hm |  |  | A to już ostatni rok |
| Mo[TL] |  | IJ |  |  | and that already final year COP- |
| Mo[eng] |  | Hm |  |  | This is the last year of your |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ | ЧТо тогда? |  | ЕДУ ДОМОЙ. |  |  |
| Ru[lat] | čto togda? |  | Edu domoj. |  |  |
| Ru[eng] | what then? |  | I will go home. |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathbf{n v}]$ |  |  | Laughs. |  |  |
| [com] |  |  |  | 30 secs left out. |  |

[200]

|  | .. | 613 [27:10.7]614 [27:11.4] | 615 [27:12.6]616 [27:12.9] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | jest jak jesteś w szko | Ostatn |  |
| Mo[TL] | PRS as be-PRS-1SG in school-LOC yes |  |  |
| Mo[eng] | education, right? | Last year? | Of |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  | XM? | X |
| Ru[lat] |  | Hm? | Hm |
| Ru[eng] |  | Hm ? | Hm |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathbf{n v}]$ |  |  |  |

[201]

|  | 617 [27:13.4] | 618 [27:14.0] | 619 [28:01.1] 620 [28:01.5*]621 [28:01.6*] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | Uniwersytetu. |  |  |
| Mo[eng] | university. |  |  |
| Mo[nv] |  |  | Laughs. |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Да, Да. |  | А такие специальности, |
| Ru[lat] | Da, da. |  | A takije specyal'nosti kak |
| Ru[eng] | Yes, yes. |  | Are there such departments as International |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [ $\mathbf{n v}$ ] | Nods his head. |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  |  |
| [com] | 45 secs left out. |  |  |


| Mo[v] |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mo[nv] |  |
| $\mathbf{R u} \mathbf{v}$ ] | как там, международные отношения, политология, |
| Ru[lat] | tam meždunarodnyje otnošenija politologija est' u vas? |
| Ru[eng] | Relations, Politology at your university? |
| Ru[nv] |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |

[203]

[204]

[205]

|  | 633 [28:15.3] | 634 [28:15.9] | 635 [28:16.6] | 636 [28:28.9] | 637 [28:31.0] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] |  | Drogi. |  | Rząd |  |
| Mo[eng] |  | Expensive. |  | English go |  |
| Mo[c] |  | Affirmative. |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ | дорогие? |  |  |  | Ангел |
| Ru[lat] | dorogije? |  |  |  | Angel'skij? |
| Ru [eng] | expensive? |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [ $\mathbf{n v}$ ] |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  |  |  | Repeats aft |
| [com] | 15 secs left out. |  |  |  |  |

[206]

|  | ${ }^{639}$ [28.3.9] | ${ }_{640}[28.3 .5]$ | $\left.{ }_{641} 128.37 .9\right]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | Rząd? | ((3s)) U władzy kto jest? |  |
| Mo[eng] | Goverment? | ((3s)) Who is the authority? |  |
| Ru[v] | Жонт? |  | ((1.8s)) y |
| Ru[lat] | żont? |  | ((1.8s)) U vadzi? |
| Ru[c] | Repeats after her |  | Repeats after her. |

[207]

|  | 642 [28:40.4] | 643 [28:42.8] | 644 [28:43.5] | 645 [28:44.9] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | $\bullet \bullet$ Rząd, que$\cdots$ | Królo |  | Królo |
| Mo[eng] |  | Queen? |  | Queen, |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  |  | , K |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [lat] |  |  | Aa kor |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{eng}]$ |  |  | Aa, queen |  |
| Ru[c] |  | Does not reacter |  |  |

[208]

|  | 646 [28:46.2] | 647 [28:47.0] | 648 [28:48.0] | 649 [28:49.1]650 [28:50.0*] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | rzad? | - Prem |  | Ty wie |
| Mo[eng] | government. |  |  | Do you und |
| Mo[nv] |  |  |  |  |
| Mo[c] |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ | ЖоНт? |  | - Mpen | Премьер-м |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [lat] | Žont? |  | - Prem'jer. | Prem'jer-ministr. |
| Ru[eng] |  |  | - Premier. | Prime-minister. |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathbf{n v}]$ |  |  | Laughs. |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{c}]$ | Repeats after her. |  |  |  |

[209]

651 [28:50.5] 652 [28:51.2] 653 [28:51.8] 654 [28:55.1]655 [28:55.6]

|  | 651 [28:50.5] | ]652 [28:5 | 653 [28:51.8] | 654 [28:55.1]655 [28:55.6] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | takie? Mhm. Ee, they / ee oni płacą... |  |  |  | Za un |
| Mo[eng] | it means? | Mhm. | Ee, they pay. |  | For unive |
| Mo[nv] |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mo[c] |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  |  |  | X |  |
| Ru[lat] |  | Aga |  | Hm |  |
| Ru [eng] |  | Aga |  | Hm |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathbf{n v}]$ |  |  |  | Nods his head. |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  |  |  |  |

[210]

|  | $656[28: 58.1]$ 657 [28:58.6] | 658 [29:12.7] | 659 [29:14.3] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] |  |  |  |
| Mo[eng] |  |  | Much |
| $\mathbf{R u} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}$ | X | Много получает человек стипендии? |  |
| Ru[lat] | Hm | Mnogo polučaet čelovek stipendii? |  |
| Ru[eng] | Hm | Are there amny people who get scholarship? |  |
| [com] | 15 secs left |  |  |


|  | $6600^{29: 15.7]}$ | $661[29: 17.0162$ [29:17.7] | 663 [2:18.3.31664 [2: 19.0$]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | Mnogo pieniędzy.Ee, dużo. |  | No. |
| Mo[eng] | money. Ee, very much. |  | Yeah. |
| Mo[nv] |  | Laughs. |  |
| Mo[c] |  |  | Noos her head. |
| Ru[v] |  | Много? | По чуть- |
| Ru[lat] |  | Mnogo? | Po čut'-čut' |
| Ru[TL] |  |  | on a-ititle |
| Ru[eng] |  | Much? | A little of the |

[212]

|  |  | [1666 [29:20.9] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | No. |  |
| Mo[eng] | Yeah. |  |
| Mo[c] | Uncertain. |  |
| Ru[v] | чуть стипендии, да у... | Много человек, по чуть- |
| Ru[lat] | stipendii da u... | Mnogo čelovek po čut'-čut' |
| Ru[TL] | scolarship-gen yes at | many people on a-iliti |
| Ru[eng] | scholarship, right.. | Many people with little scholarship? |

[213]

|  |  | 66729.22 .91 | 688 [29.25.2] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] |  | - . nie wiem |  |
| Mo[eng] |  | $\cdots$. Aa I do not understand. |  |
| Mo[c] |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{v}]$ | чуть стипендии каждый. |  | ((1s)) Много / |
| Ru[lat] | stipendii každy. |  | ((1s)) Mnogo / |
| Ru[TL] | scolarship-GEN everyone |  |  |
| Ru[eng] |  |  | ((15)) Much / well... |

[214]

|  | 669 [29:27.5] | ${ }^{670}[29: 29.3] 671$ [29:29.7*] | 672 [29:30.9] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | Mnoga - dużo? |  | Mnogo ludzi i |
| Mo[eng] | Does 'mnoga' mean much? |  | Many people with much |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [v] | Ну... | Да. Много |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [lat] | nu... | Da Mnogo |  |
| Ru[eng] |  | Yes. Many people |  |

[215]

|  |  | 673 [29:33.1] | 674 [29:34.6*] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | dużo pieniędzy. |  |  |  |
| Mo[eng] | money. |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathbf{v}]$ | Получают стипендию. Получают |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [lat] |  | Polučajut stipendiju | Polučajut | stipe |
| Ru[eng] |  | They get scholarship. | Do they ge | ship? |

[216]

|  | 675 [29:35.9] | $676[29: 37.7]$ | 677 [29:47.8] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | Tak • |  |  |
| Mo[eng] | Yes...a |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u} \mathbf{v}$ ] | стипендию? |  | Все стипендии от |
| Ru[lat] |  |  | Vse stipendii ot |
| Ru[TL] |  |  | all scolarship-PL from |
| Ru[eng] |  |  | All the scholarships are from the |
| [com] | 10 secs left out. |  |  |


|  |  | 678 [29:49.7]679 [29:51.0] | 680 [29:52.3] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | Hm ? |  |  |
| Mo[eng] | Hm ? |  |  |
| Mo[c] |  |  | Listens to him carefully. |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [v] | правительства? | От короле | Королева • |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [lat] | pravitel'stva? | Ot korolevy? | Koroleva stipendija? |
| Ru[TL] | government | from queen | queen scolarship |
| Ru[eng] | government? | From the queen? | Queen - scholarship? |

[218]

|  |  | 681 [29:54.0]682 [29:55.7*] | 683 [29:55.7*]684 [29:55.8] | [685 [29:58.1] | 686 [29:58 | ]687 [29:59.2] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | Królowa stypendium... |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mo[eng] | Queen scholarship... |  |  |  |  | Loan! |
| Mo[nv] |  | Laughs. | Laughs. |  |  |  |
| Mo[c] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ | стипенДИЯ? | Bce. |  | 4T0... |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [lat] |  | Vse. |  | Čto... |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [TL] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{eng}]$ |  | All of them. |  | That... |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u} \mathbf{[ n v}]$ |  |  | Laughs. | Laughs. |  |  |

[219]

|  | 688 [30:00.0] | 689 [30:00.8] | 690 [30:02.4] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | Pożyczka!$\text { ПожИЧ }$Роžyčka. | Wiesz co to znaczy po |  |
| Mo[eng] |  | Do you know what 'pożyczka' means? |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathbf{v}]$ |  |  |  |
| Ru[lat] |  |  | Pravitel' |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{eng}]$ |  |  | Probably, |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{c}]$ | Repeats after her. |  |  |


|  |  | $691[30: 03.8$ ] | 692 [30:05.6] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] |  | ((1.3s)) Może. |  |
| Mo[eng] |  | ((1.3s)) Probably. |  |
| Mo[ nv ] |  |  | Laughs. |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Правительство, наверное. |  | Все стипендии |
| Ru[lat] | stvo navernoe. |  | Vse stipendii / |
| Ru[eng] | government. |  | Who gives all the |

[221]

[222]


[224]

[225]

706 [30:28.0]
707 [30:30.2] 708 [30:30.6]
Możesz uczyć się w Anglii.
Mo[TL] can-PRS-2SG study-INF REF in England
Mo[eng] You can study in England.
Ale musisz tam mieszkać
but must-PRS-2SG there reside-INF over But you have to reside there more than three $\mathbf{R u} \mathbf{v}$ ]
$\mathbf{R u}$ [lat] X

Ru[eng]
Hm

[227]

713 [30:38.3]
714 [30:41.3]
715 [30:42.2]

| Mo[v] | Wiesz co to znaczy lata? - Lata - ee years. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[eng] | know what lata' means? | - 'Lata' means y |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  |  | - Years? |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{nv}]$ |  | Shakes his head. |  |

[228]

[229]


|  | 721 [30:54.0] | 722 [30:55.7] | 723 [30:57.4] | 724 [30:58.1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] |  | Na prawdę. |  | Troszkę |
| Mo[eng] |  | Really. |  | He gives a little loan. |
| Mo[ nv ] |  | Laughs. | Laughs. |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Дает пожить немного? |  | Трошке? |  |
| Ru[lat] | Daët požyt' nemnogo? |  | Troške? |  |
| Ru[eng] | He lets live a little? |  | A little? |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [ nv ] | Laughs. | Laughs. | Laughs. |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  | Says in Poils |  |

[231]

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | daje, pożyczkę. | Jak mieszkasz w Anglii trzy lata. |
| Mo[TL] |  | if reside-PRS-2SG in England three year-PL |
| Mo[eng] |  | If you reside in England for three years. |
| [com] | 10 secs left out |  |

[232]


|  |  | 730 [31:19.7] | 731 [31:20.9] |  | 732 [31:22.7] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | Dlaczego? |  |  |  |  |
| Mo[eng] | Why? |  |  |  |  |
| Mo[c] |  |  |  |  | Does not |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [v] | такое тШилата? |  | Что такое тШилата? • • • |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [lat] | tšylata? |  | Čto takoje | tšylata? | - . T Tšylata. |
| Ru[eng] | tšylata' mean? |  | What does 'tšy | ' mean? |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [c] |  |  |  |  | Repeats after |

[234]

|  | .. | 733 [31:24.4] | 734 [31:25.0*] | 735 [31:25.8] | 736 [31:26.4] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] |  | Trzy lata | Coto z |  | Ee thr |
| Mo[eng] |  | Three years. | What does |  |  |
| Mo[c] | respond. |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ | ТШилата. |  |  | ЦО TO |  |
| Ru[lat] |  |  |  | Coto? |  |
| Ru [eng] |  |  |  | What is th |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  |  | Says in Polis |  |

[235]

[236]

[237]


|  | 754[31:47.5.5775[ [1:47.5] | 756[31:49.4] | 57 [1:50.1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | jeden rok • • trzy lata. |  | Jeden |
| Mo[TL] | three year-PL |  |  |
| Moleng] |  |  | One year... |
| Mo[ nv$]$ |  |  |  |
| Mo[c] |  |  |  |
| Ru[v] | ((1.3s)) Тшилата? Jeden rok? |  |  |
| Ru[lat] | ((1.3s)) Tšylata? | Jeden rok? |  |
| Ru[eng] |  | One year? |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{nv}]$ |  |  |  |
| Ru[c] | Repeats after her. | Says in Polish. |  |
| Alv] |  |  |  |  |

[239]

| Mo[v] |  | $\begin{array}{lll} \\ & 78[31: 50.9] & 759 \\ & \text { 73:51.3] }\end{array}$ |  |  | 57762 [3:53.2] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | rok.. |  | You can... |  | Możesz powiedzieć |  |
| Mo[TL] |  |  |  |  | may-PRS-2SG say-INF | one |
| Mo[eng] |  |  |  |  | You can say one year. |  |
| Mo[nv] |  |  |  | Tsokaet. Laughs. |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  | Jeden? |  |  |  |  |
| Ru[lat] |  | Jeden? |  |  |  |  |
| Ru[eng] |  | One? |  |  |  |  |
| Ru[ $n v$ ] |  |  |  | Laughs. |  |  |
| Ru[c] |  | Says in Polish. |  |  |  |  |


[241]

| Ru[v] | ты можешь / один год, короче, учишься за их счет, а |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ru[lat] | možeš/ odin god koroče učišsja za ix sčēt a potom |
| Ru[TL] | can-PRS-2SG one year in-short study-PRS-2SG for they-PsS expence and then two for |
| Ru[eng] | study one year at their expence, and then three years at your expence. |

[242]


|  |  | 771 [32:10.6*] | 772 [32:11.4] | 773 [32:13.3] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[nv] |  | Shakes her head and shoulders. |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [v] | работать, да? | Работаете? |  | Когда Вы |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [lat] | da | Rabotaete? |  | Kogda vy učites' |
| Ru[TL] |  | work-PRS-2PL |  | when you-PL study- |
| Ru[eng] |  | Do students work? |  | Do the students work |

[244]

|  |  | 774 [32:15.3] | 775 [32:16.3] | 776 [32:17.2*] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] |  | - Uh-h |  | Czy... |
| Mo[eng] |  | No. |  | Or... |
| Mo[ nv ] |  | Shakes her head. |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ | учитесь, студенты работают? |  | Не работают? |  |
| Ru[lat] | studenty rabotajut? |  | Ne rab |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [TL] | PRS-2PL student-PL work-PRS-3PL |  |  |  |
| Ru[eng] | while studying? |  | They do not | rk? |
| $\mathbf{R u} \mathbf{[ n v}]$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  |  |  |

[245]

777 [32:17.3]778 [32:18.3]
$779\left[32: 20.4^{*}\right] 780[32: 20.5] 781$ [32:20.8]


|  | ${ }_{782}[32.23 .8]$ | ${ }^{788}$ [32:25.3]784 [32.25.9] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | A ja nie mam rodziców w Anglii. | Ja mam ((2.2s)) ja |
| Mo[eng] | And I do not have parents in England. | I have savigs. |
| Mo[nv] | Laughs. | Laughs. |

[247]

|  |  | ${ }_{\text {785 [32,30.2] }}$ | 786[ [2, 23.3] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | mam oszczędności |  | -•Emm ((1s)) |
| Mo[eng] |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  | ((1.4s)) Ощадности? |  |
| Ru[lat] |  | ((1.4s)) Oščadnosti? |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  | Repeats after her. |  |

[248]

[249]

|  |  | $791[33.362]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | $\mathrm{Hm}((1 \mathrm{~s}))$ co to takie? |  |
| Mo[eng] | $\mathrm{Hm}((1 \mathrm{~s})$ ) what is that? |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{v}]$ | хотите поступить? | Куда вы все |
| Ru[lat] | postupit? | Kuda vy vse xotite |
| Ru[TL] | inF | where you-PLall want- |
| Ru[eng] |  | Where do you all want to |


|  | . | $792\left[33: 37 . .^{* *}\right.$ | ${ }^{793}$ [3:38.8] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] |  |  | ((1.7s)) Nie |
| Mo[eng] |  |  | ((1.7s)) I do not |
| $\mathbf{R u} \mathbf{v}$ ] | хотите поступить? | В какой универ |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [lat] | postupit' | $\checkmark$ kakoj universitet? |  |
| Ru[TL] | PRS-2PL enter-INF | in which university |  |
| Ru[eng] | enter? | To which university? |  |

[251]

|  | .. | 794 [33:41.4] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | rozumiem. |  |
| Mo[eng] | understand. |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  | Все хотят поступить в какой университет? |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [lat] |  | Vse xotjat postupit' $v$ kakoj universitet? |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [TL] |  | everybody-PL want-PRS-3PL enter-INF in which university |
| Ru[eng] |  | Which university verybody wants to enter? |

[252]

795 [33:44.4]


[254]

[255]

[256]

|  |  | 801 [34:04.2] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[c] |  | Confused. |
| $\mathbf{R u} \mathbf{v}$ ] | Самый / ну, куда все хотят поступить. Там, |  |
| Ru[lat] | nu kuda vse xotjat postupit'. | Tam Londonskaja |
| Ru[TL] | well where everybody-PL want-PRS-3PL enter-INF | let's-say London-ADJ |
| Ru[eng] | most / well, the one everybody wants to enter. | For instance, London School of |


|  | 206.4] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[c] | Лондонская школа Экономики. ((2.5s)) Лондонская. |  |
| Ru[v] |  |  |
| Ru[lat] | škola Ekonomiki. | ((2.5s)) Londonskaja. |
| Ru[TL] | school economics-PSS |  |
| Ru[eng] | Economics. | ((2.5s)) London. |

[258]

|  | 803 [34:09.8] | 804 [34:11.5] | 805 [34:1 | ]806 [34:12.4*] | 807 [34:13.2] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | -•Londyńskie szkoły? |  |  |  | - No |
| Mo[eng] | - London Schools? |  |  |  | -Well, the |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathbf{v}]$ |  | Школы. Да. ЭкоНОМИки. |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [lat] |  | Školy | Da | Ekonomiki |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [TL] |  | school-PL | yes | economics-P |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [eng] |  | Schools. | Yes. | Of econom |  |

[259]

| Mo[v] | nie wiem, uniwersytety, masz na myśli, tak? | ${ }^{808}\left[34 \cdot 162^{* *}\right]$ <br> Mo[eng] <br> are some, $I$ do not know, universities, right? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

[260]


[262]

[263]

|  |  | 819 [34:30.5] | 820 [34:31.2] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] | Nie na dzień - dwa? |  |  |
| Mo[eng] |  | Not for a day-two days? |  |
| Mo[nv] |  | Laughs. |  |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathrm{v}]$ | не на день - дВа. |  | В ОДИН / ОДИН - |
| Ru[lat] | na den' dva. |  | V odin / odin dva. |
| Ru[TL] |  |  | to one one two |
| $\mathrm{Ru}[\mathrm{eng}]$ | day-two days. |  | To one-two. |
| $\mathbf{R u}[\mathbf{n v}]$ |  |  |  |


[265]

[266]

|  |  |  | 830 [3:44.6] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo[v] |  |  | Rosyjskie. |
| Mo[c] |  |  | Repeats after him. |
| $\mathbf{R u} \mathbf{v}$ ] | знаешь Российские университеты какие? |  |  |
| Ru[lat] | Rossijskije universitety | kakije? |  |
| Ru[TL] | 2SG Russain university-PL | some |  |
| Ru[eng] | Russian university? |  |  |


[268]

|  | 835 [34:49.6] |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R u}$ [v] | про/проехали. |
| Ru[lat] <br> Ru[eng] |  |

## APPENDIX K

## Discourse between Tanya(R) and Peter(P), 11th couple

## Conversation between Tanya and Peter

Project Name: Receptive multilingualism in Slavonic languages
Referenced file: C:\Documents and Settingslaliona\Desktop\recordings\Tanya+Peter.AVI
Transcription Convention: TP
Comment: Tanya and Peter did not know each other before. Tanya does not have any knowledge of Polish, never heard Polish speech, never practiced receptive multilingualism, never been to Poland. Similarly, Peter has never been to Russia, does not have any knowledge of Russian, never practiced receptive multilingualism, but has rich experience of communication with the Russians, having heard Russian much, living with Russian guys for 2 months and having had a Russian girlfriend.

User defined attributes:
Date of recording: 11.11.09.
Place of recording: Ankara, METU
Date of transcription: 03.12.09
Duration of transcription: 15 hours
Recording person: Aliona
Transcribing person: Aliona
Translating person: Aliona

## Speakertable

Ta
Sex: f
Languages used: eng; rus; deu
L1: rus
Comment: Does not have knowledge of any other Slavonian language but Russian.
User defined attributes:
Full name: Tatyana
Nationality: Russian
Native language: Russian
Status: student
City: Barnaul

## Pe

Sex: m
Languages used: eng; deu; pol
L1: pol
Comment: Does not have knowledge of any other Slavonian language but Polish.
User defined attributes:
Full name: Peter
Nationality: Pole
Native language: Polish

Status: student City: Krakow

Al
Sex: f
[1]

0 [00.0]
1 [02.2]
AI[v]
-• Ok, we are starting our recording.•• Your task is to
[2]

|  | ${ }^{[05.4]}{ }^{106}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Al[v] | talk on the topic that you've chosen. • Hm... ${ }^{\text {P }}$ You've |

[3]

[4]

[5]

|  | ${ }_{\text {8[14,1] }}{ }^{\text {9[15, }}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| AIV] | languages. - And • hm... You have to switch • or you can |

[6]
4 switch into English only in the cases when you get

[8]

|  | 13 [02:06.5] 14 [02:07.4] 15 [02:08.2] |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] | живу в Алтайском крае. Это...Аа |  |  |  | В восточной части |  |
| Ta[lat] | v Altajskom | krae. | Eto. | Aa | $\checkmark$ vostočnoj |  |
| Ta[eng] | Altai Krai. |  | It is. | Aa | In eastern part |  |
| Ta[c] | Planning. |  |  |  |  |  |

[9]

[10]

|  | 20 [02:18.6]21 [02:19.3] |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] | немножко была в Монголии. |  |  |  |
| Ta[lat] | byla v Mongolii. |  |  |  |
| Ta[eng] |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  | Hm | -••A czem | nu Tur |
| Pe[TL] |  | IJ | $\ldots$ and why | Turkey-NOM |
| Pe[eng] |  | Hm | . . Why Turkey? |  |

[11]

[12]

|  | 25 [02:23.5] |  | 26 [02:24.9] 27 [02:26.0] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] |  |  | Ee |
| Ta[lat] |  |  | Ee |
| Ta[eng] |  |  | Ee |
| Ta[nv] |  |  |  |
| Ta [c] |  |  | Confused. |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | w Turcji? Czy studiujesz tutaj na METU? |  |  |
| Pe[TL] | inTurkey-LOC Q study-PRS-2SG here in | METU? | . . . study-PRS-2SG |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[$ [eng] | doing in Turkey? Do you study here in METU? |  | . . . Do you study |
| R[lat] |  |  | - . Uchishsya |

[13]


[15]

| Ta[v] | выбрала потому что • • мне очень нравится культура. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[lat] | vybrala potomu cho | mne očen' | nravitsja | kul'tura. |
| Ta[eng] | because . . . l like its culture very much |  |  |  |

[16]

## 35 [02:37.4] 36 [02:38.0] 37 [02:38.1]

|  | $35[02: 37.4] 36[02: 38.0] 37$ [02:38.1] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ta}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  | - Ну, и, кажется, страна • аа ((1s)) такая • |
| Ta[lat] | Aa | - Nu i kačetsja strana aa takaja |
| Ta[eng] | Aa | - Well, and it seems the country • ((1s)) is so • unusual, contrasting to |
| $\mathrm{Ta}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  | Planning. |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Hm |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{TL}]$ | IJ |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{eng}]$ | Hm |  |

[17]

| Ta[v] | необ/необычная, отличающаяся от Европы, другая. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[lat] | neobyčnaja | otličjuččajasja | ot Evropy | drugaja |
| Ta[eng] | Europe_different. |  |  |  |

[18]

[19]

[20]

|  |  | $45[03: 59.1]$ 46 [03:59.6] | ${ }^{47}$ [04000.6] | 48 [0:00.7.7] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] | - - Хм |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Ta[lat] | $\cdots \mathrm{Hm}$ |  |  |  |
| Ta[TL] | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |
| Ta[eng] | $\cdots \mathrm{Hm}$ |  |  |  |
| Ta[c] | Approving. |  |  |  |
| Pe[v] | Czemu się podoba? | -••M | - Pod | - Ff |
| Pe[eng] | dol like it? | $\cdots \mathrm{Mm}$ | - l like it.. | $\cdots \mathrm{Ff} \cdot \mathrm{aa}$ |
| Pe[c] |  | Planning. |  |  |


|  |  | 49 [04:03.8] | 50 [04:05.9*] | 51 [04 | 152 [04:06.3] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] |  |  | X |  |  |
| Ta[lat] |  |  | Hm |  |  |
| Ta[TL] |  |  | IJ |  |  |
| Ta[eng] |  |  | Hm |  |  |
| Ta[nv] |  |  | Slightly nods her |  |  |
| Ta [c] |  |  |  |  | Nods her |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | aa | - S | różnice |  | $\bullet \bullet$ - |
| Pe[TL] |  |  |  | IJ |  |
| Pe[eng] | -• | - For the | al differences. | Ee | - F For • |
| Pe[nv] |  |  |  |  | Makes sp |

[22]

|  | 53 [04:08.1]54 [04:57.7] |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] |  |  |  |
| Ta[lat] |  | Aga |  |
| Ta[TL] |  | IJ |  |
| Ta[eng] |  | Aha |  |
| Ta [c] | showing understanding. |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | kultury się bardzo różnią. |  | Co roku jeżdżę na • • taki |
| Pe[eng] | lot. |  | I go every year by • - such program 'Work and |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{nv}]$ | his hand. |  |  |

[23]

|  | 55 [05:01.3*] | 56 [05:01.4] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] |  |  |
|  | , |  |
| Ta[lat] | Aga, aga |  |
| Ta[eng] | Aha, aha |  |
| Ta[nv] |  | Nods her head. |
| Ta [c] | Nods her he |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | program 'Work and travel', • nie wie | Mm •• |
| Pe[TL] |  | IJ every |
| Pe[eng] | travel', • I don't know if you know. | Mm - . |


|  |  | 58 [05:04.9]59 [05:06.3*] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] |  | KO/ | $?$ |
| Ta[lat] |  | Ko/kogda? |  |
| Ta[eng] |  | When? |  |
| Ta[nv] |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Co roku jestem w Ameryce, w Stanach. - Ee |  |  |
| Pe[TL] | year-GEN COP-PRS-1SG in America-LOC in States-LOC | IJ |  |
| Pe [eng] | Every year I am in America, in States. | - Ee |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  | Planning. |  |

[25]

[26]

|  |  | 65 [05:11.5] | 66 [05:13.2] | 67 [05:13.7] | 68 [05:14.3] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] |  | ((1s)) Не по / не поняла. |  | - ЕЩ |  |
| Ta[lat] |  | ((1s)) Ne po / ne ponjala. |  | - Ješčë raz |  |
| Ta[eng] |  | ((1s)) I didn't understand. |  | - Once m |  |
| Ta [nv] |  | Slightly turns her head and smiles. |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ta}[\mathrm{c}]$ | not react. |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Ryby. |  | Ryby. |  | - Ryb |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{TL}]$ | PL |  | fish-PL |  | - fish-PL |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{eng}]$ | Fish. |  | Fish. |  | - Fish. |
| R[lat] | Ryba. |  |  |  |  |


[28]

|  | 73 [05:20.6] 74 [05:20.6]75 [05:59.7] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] | , | А какие ты |
| Ta[lat] | Aga, aga | A kakije jazyki ty znaješ |
| Ta[TL] | IJ | and what-PL language-PL you know-PRS- |
| Ta[eng] | Aha, aha | Which else languages do you know? |
| Ta[nv] | head. |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | przy rybach • ee • fabryce rybnej. |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{TL}]$ |  |  |
| Pe[eng] | ee • - at fish plant. |  |

[29]

[30]

|  | .. | 79 [06:03.7] | 80 [06:05.0] | 81 [06:05.4] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] | ГОВОРИШь. |  | X |  |
| Ta[lat] |  |  | Hm |  |
| Ta[TL] |  |  | IJ |  |
| Ta[eng] |  |  | Hm |  |
| Ta [c] |  |  | Affirmative. |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  | - Jakimi językami? |  | No, |
| Pe[eng] |  | - - In which languages? |  | Well, in P |

[31]

|  |  | 82 [06:53.9] | 83 [06:55.5*] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] |  | МНе ЭТОТ | $\bullet$ |
| Ta[lat] |  | Nravitsja mne etot jazyk. | - Drugoj |
| Ta[TL] |  | appeal-PRS--3SG I-DAT this language-NOM | - different- |
| Ta[eng] |  | I like this language. | - It's |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | angelsku • i po niemecku. |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{eng}]$ |  |  |  |

[32]

|  |  | 84 [06:56.3] | 85 [06:56.9] | 86 [06:57.6] | 87 [06:58.5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] |  |  | (()).• Я3b |  |  |
| Ta[lat] | sovsem. |  | ((Sovsem)). | - Drugoj jazyk. | Neobyčnaja |
| Ta[TL] | NOM completely |  |  | - different-NOM language-NOM unusual-NOM |  |
| Ta[eng] | completely diferent. |  | ((Completely)). | - Different language. | Unusual |
| P[lat] |  |  |  | - Inni yezik. |  |
| Pe [v] | - Ee • Jeszcze. |  |  |  |  |
| Pe[eng] | - Ee - Once more. |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{nv}]$ | Moves his head towards her. |  |  |  |  |


|  |  | 88 [07:01.0] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] |  |  |
|  | СИстема , ОЧеНь | - - - |
| Ta[lat] | sistema jazyka očen' nravitsja mnje. | -•• |
| Ta[TL] | system-NOM language-PSS very appeal-PRS-3SG I-DAT | . . . Turkish- |
| Ta[eng] | system of the language, I like it very much. | - . - Turkish. |
| Pe [v] |  |  |
| Pe[eng] |  | - . - I don't |

[34]

[35]

[36]

|  | 93 [0:74.1] | 94[07.4.8.8] | $95[07.4 .63]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] | - . Hm ? |  |  |
| Ta[lat] | $\cdots \mathrm{Hm}$ ? |  |  |
| Ta[eng] | Hm |  |  |
| Pe[v] | Czy podobały ci się Niemcy bardzo? |  | Czy lubiałaś |
| Pe[TL] | Q appeal-PST-3sG you-DAT REF Germany-NOM very |  | Q like-PST-2sG |
| Pe[eng] | Did Germany appeal to you much? |  | Did you like |

[37]

|  | 96 [07:47.4] | 97 [07:49.1*] | 98 [07:49.2] | 99 [07:49.7] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ta}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  | В Герм... | B | , Да? |
| Ta[lat] |  | V Germ... | $\checkmark$ Germanii | da? |
| Ta[eng] |  | In Germ... | In Germany, right? |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Niemcy? • - Ee | - W Germanii? |  | Hm |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{TL}]$ | Germany-ACC ... IJ | in Germany-LOC |  | IJ |
| Pe [eng] | Germany? . . - Ee | - - In Germany? |  | Hm |

[38]

|  | 100 [07:50.1] | 101 [07:53.6] 102 [07:58.0*] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] | Очень ЭЭ • • культ/ | другая. Эм | - Культура |
| Ta[lat] | Očen' ee kult/kultura | drugaja. Em | kul'tura mne |
| Ta[eng] | Culture is very different. | Em | The culture is not very |

[39]

[40]

[41]

[42]

|  | .. |  | 108 [09:03.0] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] | адап/ | тяжело все-равНо |  |
| Ta[lat] | tjaželo | vsë-ravno ješčë. |  |
| Ta[TL] | hard-ADV anyway | still |  |
| Ta[eng] |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  |  | - - Ee |
| Pe[eng] |  |  | -••Ee |
| $\mathbf{P e}[\mathbf{n v}]$ |  |  | Moves his head |


|  | 109 [09:03.8*] | 110 [09:05.0] | 111 [09:05.5*] |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] |  | $\cdots$ - AI | - - XОТЯТ |  | B |
| Ta[lat] |  | ... Am | - xotjat vernut'sja | nazad | Ross |
| Ta[TL] |  | IJ | want-PRS-3PL come back-INF |  | ia-ACC |
| Ta[eng] |  | Am | Some people want to come | Russia |  |
| Ta[nv] |  | Makes spheric | I movement with her hands. |  |  |
| Pe [v] | - Jesz |  |  |  |  |
| Pe[eng] | - One more |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{nv}]$ | towards her |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ | Looks at her with strained face and bites his lip. |  |  |  |  |

[44]

|  | 112 [09:08.6] |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] | некоторые. ((1s)) Py/ |  |  | $((1 s))$ |  |
| Ta[lat] | nekotoryje. | ((1s)) | Ru/russkije v Germanii | ((1s)) |  |
| $\mathrm{Ta}[\mathrm{TL}]$ |  | ((1s)) | the Russians-NOM in Germany-LOC | ((1s)) | IJ |
| Ta[eng] | ((1s)) The Russians in Germany ((1s)) aa • want back to Russia. |  |  |  |  |
| Ta[nv] | Points outs to the behind with the thumb with the fingers against the palm. |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  |  |  |  |

[45]

|  | 113 [09:13.9] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] | aの • хотят B P | -• Уexать в хоТЯт |
| Ta[lat] | -• xotjat nazad v Rossiju. | ... Ujexat' v Rossiju xotjat |
| Ta[TL] | want-PRS-3PL back to Russia-ACC | ... go-INF to Russia-ACC want-PRS-3PL |
| Ta[eng] |  | - . - They want to go back to Russia. |
| Ta[nv] |  | Points outs to the behind with the thumb with the fingers |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  | Looks at her with a strained face. |

[46]

| Ta[v] |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] | . Тя/тя/тяжело.. |
| Ta[lat] | nazad. Tja/tialtjazelo.. |
| Ta[TL] | back hard-ADV |
| Ta[eng] | It's hard. |
| Ta[nv] | against the palm. Rubs her nose with the hand. |
| Pe[v] | Za dużo osób z Rosji chce wyjeżdżać do |
| Pe[eng] | Too many people want to immigrate to Germany? |

[47]

|  | 115 [09:19.2] | 116 [09:19.5] | 117 [09:22.0] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[nv] |  |  |  |
| Ta [c] | Niemiec? • • Ee • nie wiem, pracować, tak? ((1.5s)) Dużo |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  |  |  |
| Pe[eng] |  | - . Ee - i | ((1.5s)) A |

[48]

|  |  | 118 [09:26.2] | 119 [09:27.0] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] |  | - • Угу |  |
| Ta[lat] |  | - U Uhu |  |
| Ta[TL] |  | -•IJ |  |
| Ta[eng] |  | - Uhu |  |
| $\mathrm{Ta}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  | Uncertain. |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | osób z Rosji, że wyjedzie na zachód? |  | - Pracować, |
| Pe[eng] | people from Russia who immigrate to West? |  | - To work, to live, right? |

[49]

|  | 120 [09:28.5] | 121 [09:30.2] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] |  | $\cdots$, Да, Hy, • |
| Ta[lat] |  | ... Nu da nu mnogie |
| Ta[TL] |  | ... well yes well many surely |
| Ta[eng] |  | - . Well, yes, well, many • surely, immigrate. |
| Ta[nv] |  | Nods her head and smiles. |
| Ta [c] | Does not react to the question. |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | żyć, tak? • • Co masz na |  |
| Pe[eng] | . . - What do you think? |  |

[50]

|  | .. | 122 [09:32.8] | 123 [09 | *124 [09:33.9] | 125 [09:35 | **126 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] | конечно, уезжают. |  |  | Да, но и |  |  |
| Ta[lat] | konečno ujezžajut.leave-PRS-3PL |  |  | Da no | nazad | to |
| Ta[TL] |  |  |  | yes but | o back | also |
| Ta[eng] |  |  |  | Yes, but m | of them | till com |
| P[lat] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ta[nv] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ta [c] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  | DużO-dużo. Aga |  | Aga, aga |  |  |
| Pe[TL] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pe[eng] |  | Very many. | Aha | Aha, aha |  |  |
| R[lat] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{nv}]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  | Nods his head confidently. |  | Nods his head several times but not |  |  |
| AI[v] |  |  |  |  |  |  |


[52]

|  | ${ }^{130}[09: 4.4 .31313[10: 43.4]$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] |  |  |
| Ta[lat] |  |  |
| Ta[TL] |  |  |
| Ta[eng] |  |  |
| Pe[v] | mm Wielkoj Brytanii • • dużo z osób z Rossji? | We |
| Pe[TL] |  | in |
| Pe[eng] | Britain are there many people from Russia? | In France |
| R[lat] |  | vo |
| Pe[nv] |  |  |
| Pe[c] |  |  |


|  |  | ${ }_{132}^{1310.450]}$ | ${ }^{133}$ [10:4.5.9] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Talv] | -. Ага Да, |  |  |
| Ta[lat] | $\cdots$..Aga Da, da. |  |  |
| Ta[eng] | $\cdots$ Aga $\quad \begin{array}{r}\text { Pes, yes. } \\ \text { Nods her } \\ \text { N }\end{array}$ |  |  |
| Ta[nv] |  |  |  |
| Ta[c] | Uncertain. Confident |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{V}]$ | Francii gdzie byłaś, w Paryżu? |  | W Paryżu we |
| Pe[TL] | France-LOC where be-PST-2SG in Paris-LOC |  | in Paris-Loc in France-LOC |
| Peleng] | where have you been, in Paris? |  | In Paris in France? |
| R[lat] | Frantsii gdye bila, v Parije? |  |  |

[54]

[55]
138 [10:51.4]

|  | 138 [10:51.4] |  |  | 139 [10:54.9] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] | - Ну, необычный гороД, конечно, • очеНь... |  |  |  |
| Ta[lat] | . Nu neobyčnyj gorod | konečno | očen'... |  |
| $\mathrm{Ta}[\mathrm{eng}]$ | - . Well, unusual city, of course, - very... |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | byłem. |  |  |  |
| Pe[TL] |  |  |  | tower |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{eng}]$ |  |  |  | Is Eiffel |
| R[lat] |  |  |  | Eyfeleva |


|  |  | 140 [10:56.7] 141 [10:57.6*] | 142 [10:58.6] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] |  |  |  |
| Ta[lat] |  |  | - . Ugu |
| Ta[eng] |  |  | . . Ugu |
| Ta[nv] |  |  | Nods her |
| Ta[c] |  | Does not react to the question. |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Wieża Aifla naprawdę taka fajna? | Wieża Aifla |  |
| Pe[TL] | Eiffel-PSS really such-NOM beautiful-NOM | tower Eiffel-PSS |  |
| Pe[eng] | tower really so beautiful? | Eiffel tower? |  |
| R[lat] | bashnya deystvitelno takaya krasivaya? |  |  |
| Pe[nv] |  | Laughs. |  |

[57]

143 [10:59.6*] 144 [10:59.9] 145 [11:00.1] 146 [11:00.6] 147 [11:01.1] 148 [12:20.0*]

|  | 143 [10:59.6*]144 [10:59.9] | 145 [11:00.1] | 146 [11:00.6] 147 [11:01.1] | 148 [12:20.0*] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] | Да. | Да, да. | Да, да. | - Очень впечатлила. |
| Ta[lat] | Da. | Da, da. | Da, da. | - Očen' vpečatila. |
| Ta[eng] | Yes. | Yes, yes. | Yes, yes. | It impressed me much. |
| Ta[nv] | head. | Smiles. | Smiles. |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Fajna? |  | Tak? |  |
| Pe[eng] | Beautiful? |  | Right? |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{nv}]$ | Smiles. |  | Smiles. |  |

[58]

|  | 149 [15:46.9] | 150 [15:48.6] | 151 [15:50.3] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta [v] | А что/что вас там | $? \bullet \bullet \bullet$ ДиВи/УДиВилО? |  |
| Ta[lat] | A čto/čto vas tam udivilo? | .. - Udivi/udivilo? |  |
| Ta[TL] | and what you-GEN there surprise-PST-3SG | - . - surprise-PST-3SG |  |
| Ta[eng] | What surprised you there? | - . Surprised? |  |
| P[lat] |  | - . Zaskochiwo? |  |
| Pe [v] |  |  | Żeby |
| Pe[eng] |  |  | As to |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ | Does not react to the question. |  |  |


|  |  | $152[15: 51.71153$ [15:52.1]154 [15:52.5] | $155\left[15: 52.5{ }^{\text {* }}\right.$ 1 156 [15:54.2] | 157 [15:56.0] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] |  |  |  |  |
| Ta[lat] |  | Aga | Aga |  |
| Ta[TL] |  | IJ | IJ |  |
| Ta[eng] |  | Aha | Aha |  |
| Ta[c] |  |  | Uncertain. |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | pamiętać? | - Ee Do Syrii i do I | raela? ••E | Najpierw |
| Pe[eng] | remember? | - Ee To Syria and to Israel? | -..Ee | First intention |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  | Uncertain. |  |  |

[60]

[61]

| Pe[v] | stwierdziliśmy, że jedźmy jeszcze do Jordanii. A kiedy w |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pe[TL] |  | and when in general- |
| Pe[eng] | go to Jordania as well. | So when are you |

[62]

|  | $161\left[16: 46.2^{*}\right] 162[16: 46.4] 163$ [16:46.6] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] | Ну... |  |
| Ta[lat] | Nu... |  |
| Ta[eng] | Well... |  |
| Pe[v] | ogóle się wybierasz do tej Syrii? <br> LOC REF get out-PRS-2SG to that-GEN Syria-GEN getting out to Syria? | Kiedy jedziesz do |
| Pe[TL] |  | when go-PRS-2SG to that-GEN |
| Pe[eng] |  | When are you going to Syria? |
| R[lat] |  | Kogda yedyesh v Siriyu? |


[64]

|  | 168 [18:25.4] | 169 [18:27.4] | 170 [18:28.8] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] |  | ((1s)) EeHe |  |
| Ta[lat] |  | ((1s)) Ee | Ne ponjala. |
| Ta[eng] |  | ((1s)) Ee | I didn't |
| Ta [c] | Moves her |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | długo? ((1s)) |  |  |
| Pe[TL] | ADV ((1s)) how |  |  |
| Pe[eng] | long? ((1s)) How |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  |  |


|  | 171 [18:29.6] | 172 [18:30.8] $\quad 173$ [18:32.5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] | поняла. | ((1s)) Как? |
| Ta[lat] |  | ((1s)) Kak? |
| Ta[eng] | understand. | ((1s)) How? |
| Pe [v] | Kiedy ty przyjechałaś do Turcji? | - Aga |
| Pe[TL] | when you-NOM come-PST-2SG to Turkey-GEN | - IJ |
| Pe[eng] | When did you come to Turkey? | - Aha |
| R[lat] | Kogda ti peiyehala v Turtsiyu? |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{nv}]$ | Moves his hand towards himself. |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  | Uncertain. |

[66]

|  | 174 [18:33.1] | 175 [18:33.6] | $176[1$ | ]177 [18:34.6] | 178 [18:35 | ]179 [18:35.9] | 180 [18:36.6] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] |  |  | Ee | Самоле |  |  |  |
| Ta[lat] |  |  | Ee | Samolëtom? |  | Aga |  |
| Ta[eng] |  |  | Ee | By airplane? |  | Aha |  |
| Ta[c] |  |  |  |  |  | Uncertain. |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Kiedy | Aga |  |  | Aga | Kiedy | Ale Ki |
| Pe[TL] | when | IJ |  |  | IJ | when | but when |
| Pe[eng] | When? | Aha |  |  | Aha | When? | But when? |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ | Affirmative. |  |  |  | Impatient. |  |  |

[67]

|  | 181 [18:37.3] | 182 [18:38.0] | 183 [18:39.1] | 184 [18:39.7] | 185 [18: | 186 [18:41.2] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] | - AaHy , летом... |  |  | - Летом можно. Еe |  | - ИИ3 |
| Ta[lat] | - Aa | Nu letom... |  | - Letom možno. | Ee | - Iz moego |
| Ta[eng] | - Aa | Well, in summer... |  | - In summer it is possible. | Ee | - From my city. |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Latem? |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pe[eng] | In summer? |  |  |  |  |  |

[68]

|  | 187 [18:42.6] | 188 [18:43.7] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] | моего города. Из Барнаула. Есть самолет • прямой • в |  |
| Ta[lat] | goroda. Iz Barnaula. | - Yest samolët prjamoy v |
| Ta[eng] | From Barnaul. | There is direct plane to Antalia. |

[69]

|  |  | 189 [18:46.6] 190 [18:47.2] |  | 191 [18:48.3] | 192 [18:50.7] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] | Анталию. |  |  |  |  |
| Ta[lat] | Antaliju. |  |  |  |  |
| Ta[eng] |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  | Aga Ale kiedy przyjechałaś? Aa ((1s)) ee. Już. |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{TL}]$ |  | IJ | but when come-PST-2SG | IJ |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[$ [eng] |  | Aha | But when did you come? | Aa ((1s)) ee. | Already. |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  | Impatient. |  | Planning. |  |

[70]

|  | 193 [18:51.6] | 194 [18:52.4] |  | 195 [18:54.6] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[c] |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | ((0.9s)) Mmlle miesi cy temu / nazad / temu? lle miesięcy |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{TL}]$ | IJ | how-many month-GEN-PL ago ago-RUS | ago | how-many month-GEN- |
| Pe[eng] | ((0.9s)) Mm | How many months ago? |  | How many months |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ | Planning. |  |  |  |

[71]

|  | . | 196 [18:56.4] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[c] |  | Confused. |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | temu ty przyjechałaś do Turcji? • • Jak długo jesteś w |  |
| Pe[TL] | PL ago you-NOM come-PST-2SG to Turkey-GEN | ... how long-ADV COP-PRS-2SG in Turkey-LOC |
| Pe[eng] | ago did you come to Turkey? | ... How long have you been in Turkey? |


|  | 197 [18:58.8] 198 [19:01.4] | 199 [19:02.4] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] | ((1s)) Ee | -• Не совсем поняла. |
| Ta[lat] | ((1s)) Ee | $\cdots$. Ne sovsem ponjala. |
| Ta[eng] | ((1s)) Ee | $\cdots$ I didn't quite get it. |
| Ta[c] | Confused. |  |
| Pe[v] | Turcji? ((1s)) Mmlle miesięcy? |  |
| Pe[TL] | ((1s)) IJ how-many month-GEN-PL |  |
| Pe[eng] | ((1s)) Mm How many months? |  |
| R[lat] | Skolko myesyatsev? |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ | Planning. |  |

[73]

|  | $200[19: 04.1]$ | 201 [19:05.7] | 202 [19:06.7] | 203 [19:07.6*] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] |  | -• |  |  |
| Ta[lat] |  | - Gde? |  |  |
| Ta[eng] |  | - Where? |  |  |
| Ta [c] |  | Impatient. |  |  |
| Pe[v] | lle miesięcy w Turcji jesteś? |  | - lle miesięcy? | - Ee |
| Pe[TL] | how-many month-GEN-PL in Turkey COP-2SG |  | how-many month-GEN-PL | IJ |
| Pe[eng] | How many month have you been in Turkey? |  | - How many months? | - - Ee |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  |  | Planning. |

[74]

|  | 204[19:08.2] | 205 [19:09.0] | 206 [19: | ]207 [19:10.9] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] | В каком месте? |  |  |  |
| Ta[lat] | $\checkmark$ kakom meste? |  | Aga |  |
| Ta[eng] | In which place? |  | Aha |  |
| Pe [v] | -•W Turcji. |  |  | Kiedy przyjechałaś do |
| Pe[TL] | ... in Turkey-Loc |  |  | when come-PST-2SG to Turkey- |
| Pe[eng] | $\cdots$. $\ln$ Turkey. |  |  | When did you come to Turkey? |


|  | 208 [19:12.7] | 209 [19:14.6] | 210 [19:16.3] | 211 [19:21.0] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] | ((1s)) Город? |  |  |  | - |
| Ta[lat] | ((1s)) Gorod? |  |  |  | - Aa |
| Ta[eng] | ((1s)) City? |  |  |  | - Aa, |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Turcji? | -•Mm((2s)) Ee•••Jak dawno? |  |  |  |
| Pe[TL] | gen | $\cdot$ IJ | ((2s)) IJ | ... how long-ago-ADV |  |
| Pe[eng] |  | $\cdots \mathrm{Mm}$ | ((2s)) Ee | ... How |  |
| R[lat] |  |  |  | ...Kak d |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  | Planning. |  |  |  |

[76]

[77]

|  | 217 [19:27.1] | 218 [19:27.9] | 219 [19:29.3] |  | 220 [19:30.5] | 221 [30:02.0] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] | была. $\cdot$ В этом году.((1s)) Eе• • Этот год. • Летом. А |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ta[lat] | byla. - V etom | godu. ((1s)) Ee | . Etot | god. | - Letom. | A |
| Ta[eng] | - This year. | ((1s)) Ee | . This year |  | - - In summer. | Where |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ | tensed face. |  |  |  |  |  |

[78]

|  | 222 [30:04.5] |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] | куда/где/куда хочешь поехать заграницу? Какие |  |  |  |
| Ta[lat] | kuda/gde/kuda xočeš' pojexat' | zagranicu? | Kakije | strany |
| Ta[eng] | do you want to go abroad? |  | What countries to see? |  |


[80]

|  |  | ${ }^{225}\left[30 \cdot 10.22^{24]}\right.$ | $226\left[30: 11.44^{*}\right]$ | 227 [30:13.0] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] |  | - Mm |  |  |
| Ta[lat] |  | $\cdots \mathrm{Mm}$ |  |  |
| Ta[eng] |  | $\cdots \mathrm{Mm}$ |  |  |
| Ta[nv] |  | Shakes her head. |  |  |
| Pe[v] | celami • marzeniami. | - . Marzenia | Marzenia | ((1s)) |
| Pe[TL] | INS-PL•dream-INS-PL | ... dream-Nom-PL | dream-NoM-PL |  |
| Pe[eng] |  | ... Dream. | Dream. | ((1s)) Mm |
| R[lat] |  | $\cdots$. Mechta. |  |  |
| Pe[c] |  |  |  | Planning. |

[81]


|  |  | 30:20.2] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] |  | Ага, ага, ага, ага, ага; поняла. |
| Ta[lat] |  | Aga, aga, aga, aga, aga; poniala. |
| Ta[eng] |  | Aha, aha, aha, aha, aha; I understood. |
| Ta[nv] |  | Nods her head. |
| Pe[v] | kontynencie. | W Europie, w Ameryce, w północnej, w |
| Pe[TL] |  |  |
| Pe[ eng] |  | In Europe, America, Northern and Southern one, Australia, Africa. |
| R[lat] |  |  |

[83]

|  | ${ }^{232}$ [8024.2] |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] |  |
| Ta[lat] |  |
| Ta[eng] |  |
| Ta[nv] | Laughs. |
| Pe[v] | południowej, w Australii, Afryce. Także w Europie już |
| Pe[eng] | So I was in Europe, iam in Asia, ialso was in |
| Pe[nv] | Laughs. |

[84]

| Ta[nv] <br> Pe[v] | byłem, w Azji jestem, w Ameryce północnej też byłem. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pe[eng] <br> Pe[nv] | Northern America. |

[85]

233 [30:30.8]
234 [30:33.6]
Pe[v] Jeszcze Ameryka południowa, Afryka, Australia. • . ee •

Pe[eng] Southern America, Africa and Australia are left.
-••ee • well,
[86]

|  |  | 235 [30:35.4] | 236 [30:37.3] | 237 [30:37.9] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pe[v] | no, także myślę, że...Ee • no... • Nie wiem. Chciałbym w |  |  |  |
| Pe[TL] |  | IJ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{eng}]$ | also ithink that... | Ee••no... | - I do not know. | I would like to go to |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  | Planning. |  |  |

[87]

|  | 238 [30:42.1*] |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] | Ага |
| Ta[lat] | Aha |
| Ta[eng] | Aha |
| Ta[nv] | Nods her head once. |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | następnych paru latach pojechać do Australiji, i do |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{eng}]$ | Australia and to Africa in some of next years. |

[88]

[89]
244 [30:46.5]
245 [30:49.1]

| Ta[c] | Smiles and nods her head showing that she understood. |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | -•Do Repu/Republika Południowej Afryki. • Jakiś taki |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{eng}]$ | - . To South African Republic. - Some very ((0.8s)) |

[90]

[91]

[92]


[94]

[95]


|  |  | 262 [31:12.1] | 263 [31:13.5] | 264 [31:14.3] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] | еще молодой.((1s)) Молодой. • Возраст. |  |  |  |  |
| Ta[lat] | ješčë molodoj. | ((1s)) Molodoj. | - Vozrast. |  |  |
| Ta[TL] | yet young-NOM | ((1s)) young-NOM | - age-NOM |  |  |
| Ta[eng] | yet. | ((1s)) Young. | - Age. |  |  |
| P[lat] |  | ((1s)) Mwodi. | - Vyek. |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  |  |  | - Molod | - • |
| Pe [TL] |  |  |  | - young-NOM |  |
| Pe[eng] |  |  |  | Young. | $\cdots 1$ |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  |  | Repeats after her. |  |

[97]

|  |  | $266[31: 15.8$ [267 [31:16.3] | 268 [31:17.3] | 269 [31:18.2] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] | Aa | $\bullet$ Много годов еще. | - ГОДЬ | - Впереди. |
| Ta[lat] | Aa | $\cdots$ Mnogo godov ješčë. | - Gody. | - Vperedi. |
| Ta[TL] | IJ | . . many year-GEN-PL yet | - year-NOM- | - ahead |
| Ta[eng] | Aa | - Many years yet. | - Years. | - Ahead of you. |
| P[lat] |  | . - Dujo lyat yeshche. | - Lyat. | - Pshed. |
| Pe[v] | Nie wiem. <br> don't know. |  |  |  |
| Pe[eng] |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  | Tries to lister | carefully. |

[98]

|  | 270 [3:18.9] | 271 [31:20.3] | 272 [31:20.5*\|273 [31:21.3] | 274 [31:22.0] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] |  | -•У тебя. | -•ГО/годы. • • |  |
| Ta[lat] |  | $\cdots$ U tebja. | - Go/gody. | - Vperedi. |
| Ta[TL] |  | . a at you-LOC | . . year-NOM-PL | . ahead |
| Ta[eng] |  | - Y You have. | - Years. | - - Ahead of you. |
| P[lat] |  | - Mash. |  |  |
| Ta[nv] | Makes fast movement with her hand toward him. |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | ((1s)) Hm |  | Dużo. | Aga |
| Pe[TL] |  |  |  | IJ |
| Pe[eng] | ((1s)) Hm |  | Many | Aga |
| Pe[nv] |  |  |  | Noos his |


| Ta[v] | Впереди. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Да. | Думаю, что как раз... |
| Ta[lat] |  | Da. | Dumaju čito kak raz... |
| Ta[TL] |  |  | think-PRS-1sG that just |
| Taleng] |  | Yes. | I think you will just... |
| Pe[v] | - Dużo lat. | Aga | Jeszcze, żeby |
| Pe[TL] |  | ı |  |
| Pe[eng] | - Many years. | Aga | I still have time to go. |

[100]

[101]


|  | 286 [32:22.2] | 287 [32:23.4] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] | Города. | Культура, • архитектура • в принципе. |
| Ta[lat] |  | Kul'tura arxitektura v princype. |
| $\mathrm{Ta}[\mathrm{TL}]$ |  | culture-NOM architecture-NOM in-principle |
| Ta [eng] |  | Culture, • architecture • in principle. |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | - M Mm |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{TL}]$ | $\cdots$ - IJ |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[$ eng] | - Mm |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{nv}]$ | Frowns. |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ | Confused. |  |

[103]

[104]

|  | 291 [32:30.1] |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] | столицах в Европе. И, • допустим, Петербург, Москва. |  |  |  |
| Ta[lat] | stolicax v Evrope. | I dopustim | Peterburg | Moskva. |
| Ta[TL] | capital-LOC-PL in Europe-LOC | and let-us-assume | Petersburg-NOM | Moscow-NOM |
| Ta[eng] | capitals in Europe. | And - let us assume, | tersburg, Mosco |  |

[105]

|  | 292 [32:31.9] | 293 [32:33.0] | 294 [32:34.5] | 295 [32:34.9] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] | - Похожие. |  | , ага |  |
| Ta[lat] | - Poxožyje. |  | Aga, aga | Podobnyje. |
| Ta[TL] | - similar-NOM-PL |  | IJ | similar-NOM-PL |
| Ta[eng] | - Similar. |  | Aha, aha | Similar. |
| P[lat] | - Podobnye. |  |  |  |
| Ta[c] |  |  | Affirmative. |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | -Że • bardzo jest podobnie? |  |  | Aga |
| Pe[eng] | - They • - are similar? |  |  | Aha |
| Pe[nv] |  |  |  | Nods his head. |

[106]

|  | 296 [33:01.6] | 297 [33:02.8] | 298 [33:03.8] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ta}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Подобные. | - - АГа |  |
| Ta[lat] |  | -••Aga |  |
| $\mathrm{Ta}[\mathrm{TL}]$ |  |  |  |
| Ta [eng] |  | -• Aha |  |
| Ta [nv] |  | Nods her head. |  |
| $\mathrm{Ta}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  | Confident. |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Co myślisz o Moskwie? |  | - Że jest |
| Pe[TL] | what think-PRS-2SG about Moscow-LOC |  | - that COP-PRS-3SG very |
| Pe[eng] | What do you think of Moscow? |  | - - Is Moscow fine? |
| R[lat] | Shto dumayesh o Moskve? |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{nv}]$ |  |  |  |

[107]

|  |  | 299 [33:05.8] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[c] |  | Does nor react to the question. |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | bardzo fajna Moskwa, nie wiem? • Polecasz bardzo |  |
| Pe[TL] | fine-NOM Moscow-NOM know-NEG-PRS-1SG | - advise-FUT-2SG much Moscow-ACC |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[$ [ ng ] |  | - Would you advise me to visit Moscow? |

[108]

[109]

|  | 302 [33:10.1] | 303 [33:11.2] | 304 [33:12.6] | 305 [33:13. | ]06 [33:14.6] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pe [v] | -Że jest bardzo... • Mm Bardzo ładna? Ee Podoba ci |  |  |  |  |
| Pe[TL] | - that COP-PRS-3SG very | $\cdots \cdot \mathrm{IJ}$ | very | -IJ | appeal-PRS-3SG you- |
| Pe[eng] | - Is it very... | - . - Mm | Very beaut | - Ee | Does Moscow appeal |
| R[lat] |  |  | Ochen kra |  | Nravitsa li tyebye |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  | Planning. | Planning. |  |  |

[110]


|  | ${ }^{312} 13.21 .61$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] | Некоторые места люблю в Москве. • Но вообще |  |  |
| Ta[lat] | Nekotoryje mesta Jubliu | $\checkmark$ Moskve. | .. No voobshye Moskva |
| Ta[eng] | some places in Moscow. |  | - But generally Moscow is very... |

[112]

[113]

|  | .. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 316 [33:44.5] | 317 [33:46.5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] |  | ((1s)) Ba |  |
| Ta[lat] |  | ((1s)) Barnaul. |  |
| Ta[eng] |  | ((1s)) Barnaul. |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | gdzie ty mieszkasz jest duże? |  | Czy duże? |
| Pe[TL] | you-NOM live-PRS-2SG COP-PRS-3SG big-NOM |  | Q big-NOM |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{eng}]$ | big? |  | Is it big? |
| R[lat] | bolshoy? |  | Bolshoy? |

[114]

|  | 318 [33:46.9] | 319 [33:47.8] 320 [33:48.8] | 321 [33:49.5] | 322 [33:50.3] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ta}[\mathrm{v}]$ | -•АаЖиву? • Где живу? |  |  |  |
| Ta[lat] |  | - Aa Žyvu? | - Gde |  |
| Ta[eng] |  | - Aa I live? | -Where d |  |
| $\mathrm{Ta}[\mathrm{c}]$ | Uncertain. |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Jak duże miasto? |  |  |  |
| Pe[TL] | how big-NOM city-NOM |  |  | - IJ |
| Pe [eng] | How much big is the city? |  |  | - Hm |
| R[lat] | Naskolko bolshoy gorod? |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{nv}]$ |  |  |  | Makes open gesture with his |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  |  | First thinking to deny but then |

[115]

|  | 323 [33:50.6*]3 | 324 [33:51.0] | 325 [33:52.9] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[c] | - Ugu • • Tam, gdzie ty mieszkasz. Jak duże / ile ludzi |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  |  |  |
| Pe[TL] | IJ - | ... there where you-NOM live-PRS-2SG | how big-NOM how-many people- |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{eng}]$ | - Ugu - | - . There, where you live. | How big_how many people live in |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{nv}]$ | hand. |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ | decides to affirm. |  |  |

[116]

[117]

|  | 328 [33:58.4] 329 [33:58.8]330 [33:59.6] |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] | Называ/называется как? |  |  |
| Ta[lat] | kak? |  |  |
| Ta[eng] | Looks at him with strained face trying to understand. <br> - Nie.• MmJak duże jest to |  |  |
| Ta[c] |  |  |  |
| Pe [v] |  |  |  |
| Pe[TL] |  |  | how big-N |
| Pe [eng] | - No. | - Mm | How big is |

[118]

|  | 331 [34:01.1] | 332 [34:02.2] | 333 [34:03.4] | 334 [34:05.3] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[c] |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | miasto? • Eelle ludzi tam mieszka? ((0.7s)) Ee((1s)) Jak... |  |  |  |
| Pe[TL] | $\cdots$ - IJ | how-many people-PL there live-PRS-PL | ( $(0.7 \mathrm{~s})$ ) IJ |  |
| Pe [eng] | - Ee | How many people live there? | ((0.7s)) Ee | ((1s)) How... |
| R[lat] |  | Skolko lyudyey yam jivyot? |  |  |

[119]


|  | 339 [34:10.7]340 [34:10.9] |  | 341 [34:11.4]342 [34:12.1] | 343 [34:13.0]344 [34:14.0] 345 [34:15.1] |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] | Pa3mep? | Ага, ага, ага, ага•••Аа• Ну. • ЕеКак |  |  |  |  |
| Ta[lat] |  | Aga, aga, aga, aga | - . Aa | - Nu. | -•Ee | Kak tebe |
| Ta[eng] |  | Aha, aha, aha, aha | - . Aa | - Well. | - EE | How can I |
| P[lat] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ta[nv] | Nods her head. |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Aga Rozmiar. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pe[TL] | IJ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pe[eng] | Aha The size. |  |  |  |  |  |

[121]

|  |  | 346 [34:16.1] | 347 [34:17.6*]348 [34:17.9*] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ta}[\mathrm{v}]$ | тебе сказать? • • Восемьсот тысяч. • Ee • Человек |  |  |  |
| Ta[lat] | skazat'? | ... Vosem'sot tysjač'. | - Ee | - Čelovek |
| $\mathrm{Ta}[\mathrm{TL}]$ |  | . . - eight-hundred-NOM thousand-PSS-PL | - IJ |  |
| Ta[eng] | say? | - . Eight hundred thousands. | - Ee | - Of population. |

[122]

|  |  | ${ }^{349}[34: 19.3$ ] $350[$ [34:19.8*] | $351[34: 20.1]$ | $352[34: 20.9] 353$ [34:21.1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] | населения. Ну, средний.Не больш/не большой. |  |  |  |
| Ta[lat] | naselenija. | Nu srednij. | Ne bol'š/nye bol'šoj. | Vosem' |
| Ta[TL] |  |  |  | eight-hundred- |
| Ta[eng] |  | Well, average. | Not big. | Eight hundred |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  | lle? | lle? |  |
| Pe[eng] |  | How many? | How many? |  |


|  |  | $\left.{ }^{544} 33.23 .1\right]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] | Восемь/восемьс/восемьсот тысяч. |  |
| Ta[lat] | /vosem'vosem'sot tysjać'. |  |
| Ta[TL] | NOM thousand-PSS-PL |  |
| Ta[eng] | thousands. |  |
| Pe[v] |  | Osiemdziesiat |
| Pe[TL] |  | eighty-NOM thousand-PSS-PL |
| Pe[eng] |  | Eighty thousands. |
| Pe[c] |  | Whispers. |

[124]

|  |  | 355 [34:24.6] | 356 [34:25.9*] | 357 [34:26.0] | 358 [34:26.8] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] |  | Восемьсот тысяч • человек. |  |  | Ни |
| Ta[lat] |  | Vosem'sot tysjač' čel | vek. |  | Ni bol' |
| Ta[TL] |  | eight-hundred-NOM thousand-PSS-PL pe | ple-GEN |  |  |
| Ta[eng] |  | Eight hundred thousands • of people. |  |  | Not big, |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ | tysięcy. |  | Aga | Osiemdziesiąt. Aga |  |
| Pe[TL] |  |  |  | eighty-NOM |  |
| Pe[eng] |  |  | Aha | Eighty . | Aha |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{nv}]$ |  |  | Nods his head |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ |  |  | Confident, | Whispers. | Nods his |

[125]

|  |  | 359 [34:28.6*] | $360[34: 28.6] 361[41: 25.1]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ta[v] | большой, ни маленький такой, нормальный. |  |  |
| Ta[lat] | šoj ni malen'kij takoj | normal'nyj. |  |
| Ta[eng] | not small, average. |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  |  | Aga |
| Pe[eng] |  |  | Aha |
| $\mathrm{Pe}[\mathrm{c}]$ | head confidenty showing that he understood. |  |  |

## APPENDIX L

# Discourse between Yana(R) and Dariusz(P), 12th couple 

## Conversation between Yana and Dariusz

Project Name: Receptive multilingualism in Slavonic languages
Referenced file: C:\Documents and Settings\aliona\Desktop\recordings\Yana+Dariusz 4.12.AVI
Transcription Convention: YD
Comment: Yana and Dariusz did not know each other before. Yana has some basic knowledge of Polish due to her one-week visit to Poland, where she made use of Russian-Polish recertive multilingualism. Dariusz does not have any knowledge of Russian, but used to hear this language from his grandmother who is originally Russian. He never visited Russia and never practiced receptive multilingualism.

User defined attributes:
Date of recording: 04.12.09.
Place of recording: Ankara, METU
Date of transcription: 18.12.09.
Duration of transcription: 15 hours
Recoring person: Aliona Romaniuk
Transcribing person: Aliona Romaniuk
Translating person: Aliona Romaniuk

## Speakertable

$\underline{Y a}$
Sex: f
Languages used: rus; eng; deu; cmn
L1: rus
Comment: Does not have knowledge of any other Slavonic language but Russian.

User defined attributes:
Full name: Yana
Nationality: Russain
Native language: Russian
Status: student
City: Irkutsk

## Da

Sex: m
Languages used: pol; eng
L1: pol
Comment: Does not have knowledge of any other Slavonic language but Polish.

User defined attributes:
Full name: Dariusz
Nationality: Pole
Native language: Polish
Status: student

City: Wroclaw

AI
Sex: f
[1]

Al[v] Ok, guys, we are starting our recording. You've chosen
[2]
${ }^{\text {A[v] }} \quad$ the topic 'Your future profession'. • So you know your
[3]

Alv] task: Yana speaks Russian and Dariusz speaks Polish.
[4]

4 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Alv] | Try to understand each other speaking these languages. |

[5]

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Alv] | Ee and please, don't switch into English in the moments |

[6]
${ }^{41[v]}$ when it ispossible to get to understand each other in your
[7]
${ }^{\text {Al[v] }}$ respective languages. And you can switch / switch into

English only in the moments when you get completely
[9]

[10]

|  |  | ${ }_{9}^{[31.4]}$ | 10 [32.4] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] |  |  |  |
| Ya[lat] |  |  | -•• |
| Ya[eng] |  |  |  |
| Da[v] | początek na jakim wydziale studiujesz. Co studiujesz? |  |  |
| Da[TL] | at which department-LOC study-PRS-2SG | what s |  |
| Da[eng] | you study. | What |  |

[11]

[12]

[13]

|  | 15545.71 | $16.47 .10]$ | $1749.0{ }^{\text {¹ }}$ | $\left.{ }_{18} 850.09\right]$ | $19[52.0$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] |  |  |  |  |  | чу |
| Ya[lat] |  |  |  |  |  | ja uču |
| Ya[eng] |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{Aa} \cdot 1$ | study |
| Da[v] | Wydział... Matematyka? Fizyka? Informatyka? |  |  |  |  |  |
| Da[eng] | Department... | Mathematics? | Physics? Computer science? |  |  |  |

[14]

|  | $20[55.0]$ | 21 [00:45.0] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | бизнесс. |  |
| Ya[lat] | biznes. |  |
| Ya[eng] | business. |  |
| Da[v] |  | A to jest tylko jedna / jedna opcija, jedna |
| Da[eng] |  | And that is the only option, the only alternative? |
| [com] | 1 min left of |  |

[15]

[16]

24 [01:50.4]
25 [01:54.3]

| Ya[v] | - Да, у меня есть / есть ли у меня альтернатива? |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[lat] | .. Da u menja est'/ est' | li u menja | al'ternativa? |  |
| Ya[eng] | - Yes, I have / do I have an alternative? |  |  |  |
| Da[v] |  |  |  | Hm |
| Da[eng] |  |  |  | Hm |

[17]

|  | 26 [01:54.8] | 27 [01:56.9] | 28 [01:57.7] | 29 [01:58.6*] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{Y a}$ [v] | - Да, это как альтернатива. <br> - Da eto kak al'ternativa. <br> - Yes, this is a kind of alternative. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Ya[lat] |  |  |  |  |
| Ya[eng] |  |  |  |  |
| Da[v] | Jaka?Znaczy... Czy |  |  |  |
| Da[TL] |  |  | mean-PRS | Q plan-PRS-2SG |
| Da[eng] |  | Which? | I mean... | Are you planning |

[18]

|  |  | 30 [02:00.6] | 31 [02:01.9*] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[c] | Tries to listen carefully. |  |  |
| Da[v] | planujesz coś jeszcze? Czy tylko nauczyciel? Czy może |  |  |
| Da[TL] | something else | Q only teacher | Q may-be |
| Da[eng] | something else? | Or only teaching? | Or may be something |

[19]


Ya[c]
Da[v]
tym biznesie, może planujesz jakąś firmę otworzyć,
Da[TL] business may-be plan-PRS-2SG some_ACC company-ACC open-INF mean-PRS-3SG found-INF someDa[eng] are you planning to open some company.
[21]

|  |  | 33 [02:11.2] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[c] |  | Tries to listen carefully. |
| Da [v] | znaczy prowadzić jakąś firmę. | Czy nauczyciel, może |
| Da[TL] | ACC company-INF | or teacher may-be company or |
| Da[eng] |  | Either teaching, or company, or some other |

[22]

|  |  | 34[0:14.7] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] |  | ((1s)) ц/ вопрос - |
| Ya[lat] |  | ((1s)) c/ vopros firma? |
| Ya[eng] |  | ((1s)) Aa the question is the company? |
| Ya[c] |  |  |
| Dalv] | firma, czy jakieś inne opcje? |  |
| Da[TL] | some other option |  |
| Da[eng] | option? |  |

[23]

|  | 35 [02:18.5] | 36 [02:20.4] 37 [02:21.0] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | фирма? Буду ли я работать в фирме? |  |  |
| Ya[lat] | Budu li ja rabotat' | $v$ firme? |  |
| Ya[eng] | If I will work at a company? |  |  |
| Da[v] |  | Hm | Znaczy, |
| Da[TL] |  |  | mean-PRS-3SG plan-PL |
| Da[eng] |  | Hm | I mean, your plans for |


[25]

Da[v] chcesz tylko jako nauczyciel, czy jeszcze jakieś inne
Da[TL] want-PRS-2SG only as teacher or else some-ACC other-ACC alternative-ACC

Da[eng] to work only as a teacher, or some other alternative concerning that?
[26]

|  |  | 41 [02:32.7] | 42 [02:35.6] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] |  | ((1.4s)) Так, я не пони | - - |
| Ya[lat] |  | ((1.4s)) Tak ja ne ponimaju. | . . Eto |
| Ya[eng] |  | ((1.4s)) Well, I do not understand. | - . Y You |
| Da[v] | alternatywy co do tego. |  |  |
| Da[TL] | concerning that |  |  |
| Da[eng] |  |  |  |

[27]

| Ya[v] | Это / ты у меня спрашиваешь, какие есть |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ya[lat] $\quad$ ty u menja sprašyvaeš | kakije est' alternativy? |
| Ya[eng] | are asking me which alternatives I have? |


|  | 4 [0:400.0] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | альтернативы? | - А Аа после / после того, как я |
| Ya[lat] |  | .. Aa posle/ posle togo kak ja zakonču |
| Ya[eng] |  | $\cdots$ Aa after I finish the fourth year? |
| Da[v] |  |  |
| Da[eng] |  |  |

[29]

|  | \$146 [0:4.4.3] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | закончу четыре года? | - Какие / где / какие |
| Yallat] | četyre goda? | - Kakije/ gde/ kakje al'ternativy? |
| Ya[eng] |  | - Which / where / which alternatives? |
| Dalv] | Hm |  |
| Da[TL] | ı |  |
| Da[eng] | Hm |  |

[30]


|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] |  |  |
| Yallat] |  |  |
| Yaleng] |  |  |
| Ya[c] | nauczycielem, prawda? | Chcesz być nauczycielem? <br> want-PRS-2SG be-INF teacher-INS |
| Da[v] |  |  |
| Da[TL] | right |  |
| Da[eng] |  | You want to be a teacher? |

[32]

|  | 50 [02:55.9*] | 51 [02:56.0]52 [02:57.0] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] |  |  |  |
| Ya [nv] |  | Laughs. Laughs. |  |
| $\mathbf{Y a [ c ]}$ | Does not react to his question. |  |  |
| Da [v] | Ja tak zrozumiałem. | Chcesz być nauczycielem? | Czy |
| Da[TL] | I so understand-PST-1SG | want-PRS-2SG be-INF teacher-INS | or |
| Da[eng] | I understood it like that. | You want to be a teacher? | Or there |

[33]

|  |  | 54 [03:02.6] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[nv] |  |  |
| $\mathbf{Y a [ c ]}$ |  | Confused. |
| Da[v] | jest, czy coś jeszcze, jakaś inna opcja? Oprócz |  |
| Da[TL] | COP-PRS-3SG or something else some other option | except teacher |
| Da[eng] | is something else, some other option? | Besides teaching - the one you |

[34]

[35]
$57[03: 08.6] \quad 58$ [03:10.5]

| Ya[v] | ((Думаю)). |
| :---: | :---: |
| Yallat] | ((Dumaju)). |
| Ya[eng] | ((1 think)). |
| Da[v] | Ee, ja powiem, ja powiem tak. Ee, w moim przypadku. |
| Da[eng] | $\mathrm{Ee}, \mathrm{I}$ will explain in this way. $\quad \mathrm{Ee}$, in my case. |

[36]

59 [03:12.9]
60 [03:16.0]

| Ya[nv] | Nods her head. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Da[v]}$ | Ja, ja studiuje informatyke, ucze sie informatyki. No i w |  |
| $\mathrm{Da}[\mathrm{eng}]$ | I study informatics, I learn computer science. | Well in future i |

[37]

| Ya[c] |  | [03:19.9] |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Da[v] | prZySZłoŚci chciałbym być informatykiem, prawda. |  |
| Da[eng] | would definitely like to a computer engineer. | A |

[38]

Ya[c]
Da[v]
Da[eng] programmer, or some designer, well, I do not know.
Programistą, czy jakimś dizajnerem, no nie wiem prawda.
[39]

## Da[v] Ale, przykładowo, oczywiście żartuję, ale mógłbym pójść

Da[eng] But, as an example, surely, I am joking now, I might join the army.
[40]

|  |  | 63 [03:30.8] | 64 [03:31.4] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] |  | Арми |  |
| Ya[lat] |  | Armii? |  |
| Ya[eng] |  | Army? |  |
| $\mathbf{Y a [ n v ]}$ |  |  | Laughs. |
| Da[v] | do wojska, prawda, do armii. |  | Znac |
| Da[eng] |  |  | I mean / |
| Da[nv] |  |  | Laughs. |

[41]

[42]

|  | 68 [03:42.7] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[c] |  |  |
| Da[v] | informatyk, opcja - armia, jakaś firma czy coś. Czy ty |  |
| Da[eng] | engineer, option - army, or some company or something like this. | Do you have such |


[44]

[45]

[46]

## Ya[nv]

$\mathrm{Da}[\mathrm{v}]$
Rozważam taką opcję, myślę o tym, prawda, znaczy no

[^1]
[48]

|  | 79 [04:02.4] | 80 [04:04.5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[c] | Tries to listen carefully. <br> prawda? Generalnie ja chcę być informatykiem. Ale mam |  |
| Da [v] |  |  |
| Da[eng] | In fact, I would like to be a computer engineer. | But I have some |

[49]

| $\operatorname{Da}[v]$ | Opcje, jeszcze, ale mogę mieć jeszcze inne opcje, |
| :--- | :--- |
| Da[eng] more option, and i may have some other options, right? |  |

[50]

| Da[v] | ${ }^{81\left[04: 08.4^{*}\right]}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Da[eng] | prawda? Wybrać jakieś inne specjalizacje, pójŚć do innej |

[51]


| Da[v] | inna firma może, wiesz. O to, o to, o to mi chodzi, czy ty |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Da[eng] $]$ |  | some other company. |

[53]

## Da[v] masz takie, czy ty masz takie, jakieś właśnie w tym stylu <br> Da[eng] option, some alternative.

[54]

|  |  | 86[04:2.3.3] | 87 [04.26.5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] |  | Альтер | - По |
| Yallat] |  | Al'ternativy. | -• Podoż |
| Ya[eng] |  | Alternatives | $\cdots$ Wait. |
| Dalv] | opcje, jakieś alternatywy. |  | - Pr |
| Da[eng] |  |  | . Of job, |
| $\mathrm{Da}[\mathrm{nv}]$ <br> Da[c] |  |  |  |

[55]

[56]

|  | ${ }^{50}$ [004347719 [04.35.3] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | если я не буду работать в фирме... | То • я могу |
| Ya[lat] | ja ne budu rabotat' v firme... | To ja mogu rabotat |
| Ya[eng] | company... | 1- may work - as a teacher. |
| Da[v] |  |  |
| Da[eng] |  |  |

[57]

[58]

94 [04:42.5]95 [04:43.5]

| Ya[v] | А Может бЫть / ((1.3s)) я также люблю |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[lat] | A možet byt' / ((1.3s)) ja takže | ljublju | fotografirovat'. |
| Ya[eng] | Well, may be / ((1.3s)) I also like taking pictures. |  |  |
| Da[v] | Hm |  |  |
| Da[eng] | Hm |  |  |
| Da[nv] | Nods his head. |  |  |


|  | $96[04: 48.3197$ [04:49.0] | 98 [05:38.1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | фотографировать. |  |
| Ya[lat] |  |  |
| Ya[eng] |  |  |
| Da[v] | Tak. | I co, masz talent do tego, |
| Da[TL] |  | so what have-PRS-2SG talent-ACC to that well |
| Da[eng] | Yes. | So, do you have talent for teaching? |
| Da[nv] |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Da}[\mathrm{c}]$ | Satisfied. |  |
| [com] | 1 min left out. |  |

[60]

[61]

[62]

[63]

[64]

|  | 112 [06:55.8] $\quad 113$ [06:56.4] $\quad 114$ [06:56.9] |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | Amm... $\begin{aligned} & \text { AJ } \\ & \text { Amm... } \\ & \text { pastajannom? }\end{aligned}$ |  | ((1.3s)) Ты в / в универ/ в |
| Ya[lat] |  |  | ((1.3s)) Ty v/ v univer/ v etom |
| Ya[TL] |  |  | you in in university-LOC in this |
| Ya[eng] |  |  | ((1.3s)) Are you in this university for four years? |
| Ya [ nv$]$ |  |  |  |
| Ya[c] |  |  |  |
| Da[v] |  | Co to? |  |
| Da[eng] <br> Da[c] |  | What is it? |  |

[65]

[66]

[67]

|  | 124 [07:12.2] 125 [07:12.5] | 126 [07: 14.9*] | 127 [07:15.7] | 128 [07:15.8] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | Двенадцать месяцев ((1s)) год. |  |  |  |
| Ya[lat] | Dvenadcat | mesjacev | ((1s)) god. |  |
| Ya[eng] | Twelve months is a year. |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ya}[\mathrm{nv}] \\ & \mathrm{Ya}[\mathrm{c}] \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Da[v] | Aga | Aga |  | - M |
| Da[eng] | Aha | Aha |  | $\cdots$ Month |

[68]

|  | 129 [07:17.3] 130 [07:18.1] | 131 [07:18.9] | 132 [07:21.7] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | X |  | ГОД! |
| Ya[lat] | Hm |  | God! |
| Ya[TL] | IJ |  |  |
| Ya[eng] | Hm |  | Year! |
| Ya[nv] |  |  | Nods her head intensively. |
| Da [v] | Ee rok? Dwanaście miesiące - ee god? |  |  |
| Da[eng] | Ee year? | Twelve mo |  |
| Da[c] |  | Says 'god' |  |

[69]

133 [07:22.5] 134 [07:23.1] 135 [07:23.8]

[70]

|  | 136 [07:27.5] | 137 [07:29.4*] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | , шесть месяцев. | Ты - шесть? |
| Ya[lat] | Aa šest' mesjacev | Ty šest'? |
| Ya[eng] | Aa, six months. | You - six? |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ya}[\mathrm{nv}] \\ & \mathrm{Ya}[\mathrm{c}] \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Da[v] | do Ankary. | Jeden semestr. |
| Da[eng] |  | One term. |
| Da[nv] <br> Da[c] |  |  |

[71]

[72]

|  | 142 [10:03.7] 143 [10:04.2] |  | 144 [10:05.9] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | КиТаЙскИЙ. | Ты знаешь слово кита | ЭТО ЯЗЫК. |
| Ya[lat] | kitajskij. | Ty znaješ slovo kitajskij? | Eto jazyk. |
| Ya[eng] |  | Do you know the word 'kitajskij'? | It is a language. |
| Da[v] |  |  |  |
| Da[eng] |  |  |  |
| Da[nv] |  | head. |  |

[73]

|  | (1)00\%0) | ${ }^{14610.10 .19]}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yalv] |  | ((1.7s)) |
| Yalat] |  | (1.7s) Rossia |
| Yaleng] |  | ((1.7s) Russia - China. |
| Ya[c] |  | sows the geographicallocation |
| Dalv] | Tak, to jest, jaka narod, jaki kraj kitajski? |  |
| Dalengl | Yes, that is such a nation, such a country - Chinese? |  |

[74]

|  | 147 [10:17.8] | 148 [10:20.3] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | Россия - Китай. ((1s)) Ээ это страна. |  |
| Ya[lat] | Kitaj. ((1s)) Ee eto strana. |  |
| Ya[eng] | ((1s)) Ee that is a country. |  |
| Ya[nv] |  |  |
| Ya[c] | of China according to Russia assuming table as a map. |  |
| Da[v] |  | Okej, geografia to |
| Da[eng] |  | Well, geography is, is... |
| Da[nv] |  | Laughs. |
| Da[c] |  | Shows that he is not good at geography. |

[75]

[76]

[77]

|  |  | 156 [10:33.1] | 157 [10:34.4] 158 [10:34.7*] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | Чтобы выехать? |  |  |
| Ya[lat] |  | Čtoby vyexat'? |  |
| Ya[eng] |  | To emigrate? |  |
| Ya[nv] | smiling. |  |  |
| Ya[c] | not understand. |  |  |
| Da [v] | Chcesz tam wyjechać? |  | Tak. Na jak |
| Da[TL] |  |  | yes for how long-ADV |
| Da[eng] | want to emigrate there? |  | Yes. For how long? |

[78]

|  | 159 [10:35.6] | 160 [10:37.1] | 161 [10:39.5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] |  | ((1s)) Не ПОНV |  |
| Ya[lat] |  | ((1s)) Mm ne ponimaju. |  |
| Ya[eng] |  | ((1s)) Mm I do not understand. |  |
| Ya[c] | Does not react. |  |  |
| Da [v] | długo? • • lle miesiący? |  | - - Chcesz |
| Da[TL] | - ${ }^{\text {how-many month-PL-GEN }}$ |  |  |
| Da[eng] | - How many months? |  | - Do you want to go |

[79]

|  | 162 [10:42.2] 163 [10:42.8] 164 [10:43.4] |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] |  | He | Я хочу поех |  |
| Ya[lat] | Aha | Net. | Ja xoču poexat' | učit'sja. |
| Ya[eng] | Aha | No. | I want to go to study. |  |
| Da[v] | tam pojechać jako turysta? | Czy... |  |  |
| Da[eng] | there as a turist? | Or... |  |  |

[80]

[81]

[82]

[83]

|  | 173 [12:05.0] 174 [12:05.5] |  | 175 [12:07.6] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | я много путешествовала. | Я много где бы | Я |
| Ya[lat] | ja mnogo putešestrovala. | Ja mnogo gde byla. | Ja |
| Ya[eng] | travelled a lot. | I have been in many places. | \| think | |
| Da[v] | Aga |  |  |
| Da[eng] | Aha |  |  |


[85]

|  |  | 178 [12:46.1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] |  | Зобач... |
| Ya[lat] |  | Zobač... |
| Ya[c] |  | Repeats after him. |
| Da[v] | Niemczech jest dużo do zobaczenia, może tak. |  |
| Da[TL] | be-PRS-3SG much to watching may-be so |  |
| Daleng] | there is much to see, let us say so. |  |

[86]


|  | ${ }^{183}[12.53 .9]$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ya[nv] |  |
| Da[v] | pojechać, które można zobaczyć. Dwa dni to jest za |
| Daleng] | around. Two days is not enaugh. |

[88]

|  | 184 [12:55.6] | 185 [12:56.5] | $186[16: 18.0]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | Да, это мало. |  | Моего дедушку / ему |
| Ya[lat] | Da eto malo. |  | Moego dedušku/ emu skazali |
| Ya[eng] | Yes, it is not enaugh. |  | My grandfather was told to build a plant. |
| Da[v] | mało. |  |  |
| Da[eng] [com] |  | 3.5 mins left |  |

[89]

[90]

[91]
$193[16: 30.7] 194\left[16: 31.2^{*}\right] \quad 195$ [16:31.2] $196[16: 31.9] \quad 197$ [17:25.5]

[92]

|  | 199 [17:29.3*] | 200 [17:29.5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[c] | Stays silent. | Moves her head towards him. |
| $\mathrm{Da}[\mathrm{v}]$ | miał, nie wiem, chyba dziesięć lat | Ee, dwana/dwanaście? |
| Da[eng] | he was, I do not know, like ten years old. | Ee, twelve? One thousand two hundred |
| $\mathrm{Da}[\mathrm{c}]$ | Checks her reaction. |  |


| Ya[c] |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Da[v] | Tli7:36.6] |  |
| Daseng] | Tysiąc dwieście miesięcy, he, he, może tak. | Dwanaście |
| months, let us say so. | Twelve years. |  |

[94]

[95]

[96]

|  | 207 [17:47.1] | 208 [17:48.3] | 209 [17:49.5] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | года? | Ok. |  | Ok. |
| Ya[lat] |  | Ok. |  | Ok. |
| Ya[eng] |  | Ok. |  | Ok. |
| Ya [nv] |  | Smiles and | her head. | Smiles |
| Da[v] | Dwanaście goda. Ee dw... Miał dwanaście goda. |  |  |  |
| Da[eng] | Twelve years. | Ee tw... | He was tw |  |


[98]
$215[17: 55.0] \quad 216\left[17: 55.6^{*}\right] \quad 217[17: 57.2] \quad 218$ [17:58.2]

|  | $215[17: 5.50]$ | 216[17:5.5.6\%] |  | 217 [17.57.2] | 218 [17.58.2] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | Подожди. Два года - он был маленький? |  |  |  |  |
| Ya[lat] | Podoždi | Dva goda on byl | malen'kij? |  | Aha |
| Ya[eng] | Wait. | Two years - he was little? |  |  | Aha |
| Ya[nv] |  |  |  |  | Nods her |
| Da[v] |  |  |  | - Tak. |  |
| Da[eng] |  |  |  | . Yes. |  |

[99]

[100]

[101]
$227\left[18: 29.9^{*}\right] \quad 228\left[18: 30.9^{*}\right] \quad 229[18: 31.7] \quad 230[18: 32.3] \quad 231[18: 33.0]$

[102]

| Ya[v] | Сколько ему лет? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yalv |  |  |
| Yallat] | emy let? |  |
| Ya[eng] | ne? |  |
| Ya[nv] |  |  |
| Da[v] | Ee | Ee, |
| Da[eng] | Ee | Ee, something like twentyltwenty-five. |
| Da[nv] |  |  |

[103]

|  | $\left.{ }^{235} 118: 4.71\right]$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | -••Двадженща... |
| Ya[lat] | ... Dvadženšča... |
| Ya[c] | Repeats after him. |
| Da[v] | dwadzieścia/dwadzieścia pięć chyba. ••Ee... |
| Da[eng] | ...Ee... |

[104]

|  | 236 [18:43.4] | 237 [18:46.8] | 238 [18:47.7] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] |  |  | ДваДЦат |
| Ya[lat] |  |  | Dvadcat' |
| Ya[eng] |  |  | Tventy-five? |
| Da[v] | Dwanaście, dwanaście, jeden. Dwadzieścia... |  |  |
| Da[eng] | Twelve, twelve, one. | Twelve... |  |
| Da[nv] |  |  |  |

[105]

|  | 239 [18:48.6] | 240 [18:50.0] | 241 [19:33.5] | 242 [19:34.9] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | ПЯТь? |  | ПотОМ ЧТО слуЧиЛОСь? |  |
| Ya[lat] | $?$ |  | Potom |  |
| Ya[eng] |  |  | And what |  |
| $\mathbf{Y a [ n v ]}$ | Laughs. |  |  |  |
| Da [v] | No, dokładnie. |  |  | Hm? |
| Da[eng] | Yeah, exactly. |  |  | Hm ? |
| Da[nv] | Laughs. |  |  |  |
| Da[c] |  |  |  | Asking to |
| [com] | 40 secs left out. |  |  |  |

[106]

|  | 243 [19:35.7] | 244 [19:36.3*] | 245 [19:39.2*] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | Потом? Ты был таким, играл в компьютер. А |  |  |
| Ya[lat] | Potom | Ty byl takim igral v komp'juter | A potom? |
| Ya[eng] | Then? | You were like that, played computer games. | And then? |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Da}[\mathrm{v}] \\ & \mathrm{Da}[\mathrm{eng}] \\ & \mathrm{Da}[\mathrm{c}] \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |

[107]

| 246 [19:39.9] |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya [v] | ПОТОМ? | АГаа |
| Ya[lat] |  | Ah |
| $\mathrm{Ya}[\mathrm{TL}]$ |  | IJ |
| Ya[eng] |  | Ah |
| $\mathrm{Da}[\mathrm{v}]$ | Znaczy bardziej lubiałem |  |
| Da [eng] | Actually I liked math very much, you know. |  |

[108]

|  | . 248 [19:42.7] | 249 [21:37.0] | 250 [21:38.2] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] |  |  |  |
| Ya[lat] |  |  |  |
| Ya[TL] |  |  |  |
| Ya[eng] |  |  |  |
| Da[v] |  | Lubis | Znacz |
| Da[TL] |  | Like-PRS- | mean-PRS- |
| Da[eng] |  | Do you lik | I mean, do |
| [com] | 2 mins left |  |  |

[109]

[110]

|  |  | 54[21:464.4*] | 25521: | [56 [12:4.9.9] | 5712 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | чего? | Что играю? |  | я когда закончила.. |  | Ээ |
| Ya[lat] |  | čto igraju? |  | Ee ja kogda zakonöla... |  | Ee |
| Ya[eng] |  | What do I play? |  | Ee when I finished... |  | Ee |
| Da[v] |  |  | Hm |  | Hm |  |
| Da[TL] |  |  | IJ |  | ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| Da[eng] |  |  | Hm |  | Hm |  |

[111]

|  | .. | 259 [21:56.3] | 260 [22:22.9] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | СН/ потом я играла джаз. |  | Когда ты маленький. |
| Ya[lat] | sn/ potom ja igrala džaz. |  | Kogda ty malen'kij. |
| Ya[eng] | then I played jazz. |  | When you are little. |
| Da [v] |  |  |  |
| [com] | 30 secs left out. |  |  |


[113]

|  |  | 264 [22:29.8] | 265 [22:30.9] | 266 [22:31.7*] | 267 [22:32.1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | когда ты в лицее. |  | ((Подо | В лиц | Лице |
| Ya[lat] | ty v licee. |  | ((Podoždi)) | $\checkmark$ licee. | Licej |
| Ya[eng] | lyceum. |  | ((Wiat)). | At lyceum. | Liceum. |
| Da[v] |  | - Nie.. |  |  | Tak. |
| Da[eng] |  | - Not... |  |  | Yes. |

[114]

[115]

|  | $274[22.38 .11]$ | $275[22: 3.29]$ | $276[22: 420]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | Время.• Время. | - У тебя есть вр | Хм ((1.8s)) |
| Yallat] | - Vremja. | .. $~$ u tebja est' vremja. | Hm ((1.8s)) xorošo. |
| Ya[eng] | - | You have a spare time. | $\mathrm{Hm}((1.88)$ ) ok. |
| Ya[c] Da[c] | Points out to her watch. | Confused. |  |

[116]

[117]

|  | 280 [22:50.3] | 281 [22:54.1] | 282 | 1283 [22:55.3] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | - • х хроШо, в лицее... |  |  | $\bullet \bullet \bullet$ |
| Ya[lat] | xorošo v licee... |  | Aa | ... Ty |
| Ya[eng] | .. • well, at lyceum... |  | Aa | - . . You |
| $\mathbf{Y a [ c ]}$ |  |  | Plan |  |
| Da [v] |  | Mhm, ja rozumiem. |  |  |
| Da[eng] |  | Mhm , I understand. |  |  |
| Da[nv] |  |  |  |  |
| Da[c] |  |  |  |  |

[118]

| Ya[v] | учишься, но ((1s)) ты можешь гулять с друзьями и |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[lat] | učišja no ((1s)) ty možeš guljat' | s druz'jami | i xodit' |
| Ya[eng] | but ((1s)) you can go out with your friends and visit parties. |  |  |

[119]

[120]

[121]


| Ya[v] |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Da[v] | tutaj się zaczyna problem właśnie, czy jakieś, jakieś |
| Da[eng] it is problematic to go out for trips, right? Or for parties? <br> Da[nv]  <br> Da[c]  |  |

[123]

[124]

[125]

|  | 298 [23:34.6**1299 [23:34.7]300 [23:35.1] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | меня было время играть. | То есть много времени |
| Ya[lat] | vremja igrat'. | To est' mnogo vremeni ja |
| Ya[eng] |  | I mean I played much time. |
| Ya[nv] |  |  |
| Ya[c] |  |  |
| Da[v] | Aga |  |
| Da[eng] |  |  |

[126]

|  | 301 [23:38.0] 302 [23:38.3] |  | 303 [23:40.0] | 304 [23:40.9] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | я играла. igrala. | Играла, играла, играла. <br> Igrala <br> igrala <br> igrala. |  | A ПОТОМ |
| Ya[lat] |  |  |  | A potom |
| Ya[eng] |  | I played, played, played. |  | And then - |
| Da[v] |  |  | - Agaa |  |
| Da[eng] |  |  | - Ahaa |  |

[127]

|  | $305[23: 45.1] 306[23: 46.0]$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | - университет и на/ книжки. | И время играть нету. |
| Ya[lat] | universitet i na/ knižki. | I vremja igrat' netu. |
| Ya[eng] | university and books. | And I do not have time for playing |
| $\mathrm{Da}[\mathrm{v}]$ |  |  |
| Da[eng] | Aa |  |

[128]

[129]

|  | ${ }^{311[23: 53.11]}$ | $\left.{ }^{312} 223.56 .3\right]$ | ${ }^{13} 23.57 .71$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | - Не хобб/ хобби, но... |  | Когда / |
| Yallat] | Ne xobb/ xobbi | no... | Kogda / |
| Ya[eng] | - Not hobby, but.. |  | Yes, playing |
| Da[v] |  | To to granie to jest hobby? |  |
| Da[eng] | Playing is hobby? |  |  |

[130]

[131]

| Ya[v] | было шестнадцать... <br> šestnadcat'... | Амм •• я больше играла, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Ya[lat] |  | Amm ja boľse igrala |
| Ya[eng] |  | Amm $\cdots$ I played more, much. |
| Da[v] |  |  |
| Da[eng] |  |  |

[132]

|  | 317 [24:07.3]318 [24:07.8] |  | 319 [24:08.9] | 320 [24:09.3**321 [24:09.5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | МНОГО. | Знаешь слово много? |  | Много, |
| Ya[lat] | mnogo. | Znaješ slovo mnogo? |  | Mnogo |
| Ya[eng] |  | Do you know the word 'mnogo'? |  | I played |
| Da[v] |  |  | Tak, t | Dużo. |
| Da[eng] |  |  | Yes, yes. | Much. |

[133]

|  | 322 [24:11.0]323 [24:11.4] |  | 324 [24:14.6*] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | МНого играла. | НО пОТОМ ((1s)) КНИЖ |  |
| Ya[lat] | mnogo igrala. | No potom ((1s)) knižki | universitet. |
| Ya[eng] | much, much. | But after that ((1s)) books, university. |  |
| Ya[nv] |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{Y a [ c ]}$ |  |  |  |
| Da [v] | Aga |  | Tak, tak. |
| Da[eng] | Aha |  | Yes, yes. |

[134]

[135]

[136]

[137]

|  | ${ }^{335}$ [24.3.4] | [1377 [24.37.9] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[ $\mathbf{n v}$ ] | Laughs. |  |
| Da[v] | Ee •• swimming. | Znaczy, ee, generalnie tutaj maja |
| Da[TL] |  | mean-PRS-35G is generally here have-PRS-3PL fine |
| Daleng] |  | Actually, there is a great swimming pool here. |
| Da[nv] |  |  |

[138]

|  | 338 [24:42.2]339 [24:42.5] |  | 340 [24:43.0] | 341 [24:44.5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] |  | Басен? |  | Бacer |
| Ya[lat] |  | Basen? |  | Basen |
| Ya[nv] |  |  |  | Laughs. |
| $\mathbf{Y a [ c ]}$ |  | Repeats after him. |  | Shows a square |
| Da [v] | fajny basen też. Ee... |  | Tak, |  |
| Da[TL] | pool-ACC too |  |  |  |
| Da[eng] | Ee... |  | Yes, ee, s |  |

[139]

|  |  | 342 [24:46.3] | 343 [24:49.0] | 344 [25:19.6] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | ((ЭТОТ)) | - |  |  |
| Ya[lat] | ((this)) ah |  |  |  |
| Ya[nv] |  |  |  |  |
| Ya[c] | with her arms. |  |  |  |
| Da [v] |  | Takie, że wiesz, się... |  | Tutaj mam |
| Da[eng] |  | Yeah, like this, you know. |  | Here I have more time to |
| Da[nv] |  |  |  |  |
| Da[c] |  | Immitates swimming with his arms. |  |  |
| [com] | 30 secs left out. |  |  |  |

[140]

| Da[v] | Więcej czasu, żeby się wiesz, ((3s)) żeby się cieszyć |
| :--- | :--- |
| $D a[e n g]$ | pay attention to my hobby, you know, swimming etc. |
| $D a[n v]$ |  |

[141]

| Da[v] | swoim hobby, niż, znaczy niż, upawiam to swoje |
| :--- | :--- |
| Da[eng] <br> Da[nv] |  |


| Da[v] |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Daleng] <br> Da[nv] | hobby,prawda pływanie i tak dalej, niż w Polsce, tutaj. |

[143]
345 [25:31.8]
346 [25:32.9]
347 [25:35.7] 348 [25:36.3]
349 [25:50.1]

| Ya[v] | , тут ты больше делаешь? |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[lat] | Aa tut ty bol'še | delaeš? | Tebe |
| Ya[TL] |  |  | you-DAT |
| Ya[eng] | Aa, here you do more? |  | Do you |
| Da[v] | - Tutaj. | Hm |  |
| Da[eng] | - Here. | Hm |  |
| [com] |  |  |  |

[144]


[146]

[147]

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] |  | Да? | как часто ты |
| Yallat] |  | Da? | Ee kak často ty xodis |
| Yaleng] |  | Really? | Ee how offen do you attend the |
| Da[v] | to tutaj tam jest jakoś. <br> there is some distance.. |  |  |

[148]

[149]

|  | ${ }^{368826.19,4]}$ | $569[26.2 .8 .8$ [370 [26.2.1.0] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | , четыре месяца. | Но, каждую |
| Ya[lat] | Aa četyre mesjaca. | No každuju |
| Ya[eng] | Aa, four months. | But every week? |
| Da[v] | miesiące, cztery? | Hm |
| Da[eng] |  | Hm |

[150]

|  | 371 [26:23.0] | ]372 [26:24.1] | 373 [26:25.4] | 374 [26:27.6] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | неделю? | Два, три раза? |  | Три |
| Ya[lat] | nedelju? | Dva tri raza? |  | Tri |
| Ya[eng] |  | Two, three months? |  | Three |
| Da [v] |  |  | Trzy razy w tygodniu. |  |
| Da[eng] | Ee |  | Three times a week. |  |
| Da[c] | Confused. |  |  |  |


|  | ${ }^{375}\left[2628.5\right.$. ${ }^{\text {] }}$ | ${ }_{376[26.30 .3]} \quad 377[32.05 .9]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | раза? |  |
| Ya[lat] | raza? |  |
| Ya[eng] | times? |  |
| Da[v] | Trzy, cztery razy w tygodniu nawet. | Ja nie |
| Da[TL] |  | 1 know- |
| Da[eng] | Three, even four times a week. | 1 do not know |
| [com] |  | 5.5 mins left out. |


[153]

[154]

[155]

|  | . | 383 [34:46.5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | следующий з/ следующий будет Египет? |  |
| Ya[lat] | sledujuščij zl sledujuščij budet | Egipet? |
| Ya[TL] | next be-FUT-3SG Egypt |  |
| Ya[eng] | Egypt? |  |
| Da[v] |  | Gdzie byłem? |
| Da[eng] |  | Where have I been? |

[156]

[157]

387 [37:55.0]

| Da[v] | I tak generalnie jak jeździłaŚ do Francjí, do Niemiec, to |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Da[TL] | and so generally $\quad$ as go-Pst-2sG to France to Germany so also from that use- |
| Da[eng] | And in both cases when you went to France and Germany, you used that site? |

[158]

[159]

|  | 389 [8:0.29] |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | име... |
| Ya[lat] |  |
| Ya[eng] |  |
| Da[v] | Znaczy, jak pojechałaś do Anglii to korzystałaś z tej |
| Da[eng] | I mean, when you went to England, you used that site, right? |
| Da[nv] |  |

[160]

[161]

|  |  | $393[38.112 .2] 394[88: 11.7]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] |  | Нет. После Англии я |
| Ya[lat] |  | Net. Posle Anglii ia poexala v(2s)) v |
| Ya[eng] |  | No. After England I went to ((2s)) |
| Da[v] | pojechałaś do Francji to też? |  |
| Da[eng] | case with France? |  |

[162]

|  | .. | 395 [38:17.0] | 396 [38:26.0] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | поехала в ((2s)) в ГолланДию. |  | Она ехала с |
| Ya[lat] | Gollandiju. |  | Ona exala s Rossii |
| Ya[TL] |  |  | she go-PST-3SG from Russia |
| Ya[eng] | Netherlands. |  | She was travelling from Russia |
| [com] | 10 secs left out. |  |  |

[163]

|  |  | 397 [38:29.0] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | России и она поехала в Польшу. Потому что визу в |  |
| Ya[lat] | i ona poexala v Pol'šu. | Potomu čto vizu v Pol'šu |
| Ya[TL] | and she go-PST-3SG to Poland | because visa-ACC to Poland |
| Ya[eng] | to Poland. | Because it is very easy to get the visa to |

[164]

|  |  | 398 [38:33.7] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | Польшу очень легко | Знаешь, тебе просто |
| Ya[lat] | očen' legko polučit'. | Znaeš tebe prosto stavjat |
| Ya[TL] | very easy-ADV get-INF | know-PRS-2SG you-DAT just put-PRS- |
| Ya[eng] | Poland. | You know, they only give a stamp, that is |

[165]

[166]

|  | 403 [38:43.4] 404 [38:44.0] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | когда ты хочеШь сделать визу. | ЕСЛИ ТЫ |
| Ya[lat] | kogda ty xočeš sdelat' vizu. | Esli ty v"ezžaeš |
| Ya[eng] | you want to get visa. | If you enter Poland. |
| Da[v] |  |  |
| Da[eng] |  |  |
| Da[c] |  |  |

[167]

|  | $405[38: 47.1$ ] $406[38: 47.7]$ |  |  | 407 [38:48.9] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ya[v] | въезжаешь в Польшу. |  | Очень легко | ((1s)) То есть |
| Ya[lat] | v Pol'šu. |  | Očen' legko. | ((1s)) To est' net |
| Ya[eng] |  |  | It is very easy. | $((1 s))$ I mean there is no |
| $\mathbf{Y a [ n v ] ~}$ |  |  |  | Smiles. |
| Da[v] |  | Hm |  |  |
| Da[eng] |  | Hm |  |  |

[168]



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The characteristics for discourse style 'associative' and 'straightforward' were suggested by Jochen Rehbein (personal communication).

[^1]:    Da[eng]
    such an option, I think of it, actually, I mean, I do not think of it, but...
    Da[nv]

