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# ABSTRACT <br> STRUCTURAL MODELLING AND FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS OF THE ENGINEERED SMALL HEAT-SHOCK PROTEIN, TPV-HSP14.3 FROM THERMOPLASMA VOLCANIUM 

Sheraj, Ilir<br>M.Sc., Department of Biology<br>Supervisor: Prof. Dr. Semra Kocabıyık

May 2014, 256 pages

In this study, a small heat shock protein tpv-Hsp14.3 from a thermoacidophilic Archaeon, Thermoplasma volcanium was studied. sHSPs are low molecular weight proteins involved in different stress responses to protect the cellular proteome and enhance survival of the host organism. For structure-function analysis of the protein, both experimental and computational tools were used. Sequence alignments with closely-related sHSPs showed high sequence conservation at the core $\alpha$-Crystalline domain and a V/I/L-X-V/I/L motif in the middle of the C-terminus. Computational results for secondary structure prediction showed a canonical class II sHSP with nine $\beta$-strands, which constitute the core $\alpha$-Crystalline domain, flanked by an $N$-terminal $\alpha$-helix and a short coiled coil secondary structure at the C-Terminus. These results were confirmed by the predicted three-dimensional (3D) of the tpv-Hsp14.3. 3D model analysis showed that sHSP protein monomers are interacting by means of $\beta 6$ strand swapping to dimerize, and then form higher order oligomers which interact and protect the protein substrates under stress conditions.

Sequence alignments at different levels and structure comparison with the sHSPs studies so far were carried out to determine important residues involved in dimer formation and chaperoning function. From these studies, three single-residue, and a double-residue were determined for mutagenesis. They were: R69, to be changed into R69K, R69E, and R69M; R81 to be changed into R81K, R81E and R81M; K87 to be changed into K87R, K87E and K87I; and QR(80-81) to be changed into QR(80-81)EL. To this end, site-directed mutagenesis was performed to change the selected residues into analogs, negatively-charged, and hydrophobic residues. Then the mutants and the wild-type proteins were expressed in E.coli and purified by affinity column chromatography. To see the change in the chaperoning function of the mutants, pig heart Citrate Synthase (CS) was used as model enzyme. CS was incubated with both, wild-type and each one of the sHSP mutant variants at denaturing temperature $\left(47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The initial activity then was measured at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ which is the optimum temperature for CS. The enzyme assay results showed that the enzyme activities of mutant variants R69E, R69K, K87R and K87I, increased by 1.9, 1.8-, 2.6- and 2.7 -fold respectively, compared to CS activity incubated with the wild-type tpv-Hsp14.3. K87E and QR(80-81)EL mutants had a slight increased CS activity (1.2- and 1.3-fold respectively) as compared to the wild-type tpv-Hsp14.3. On the other hand, CS activities when incubated with R69M and R81K mutant variants were 0.9 - and 0.6 -fold lower, respecively than CS incubated with the wildtype chaperone. CS activity when the enzyme was incubated with R81E and R81M mutants did not significantly change as compared to CS activity when the enzyme was incubated alone at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Finally, Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) Spectroscopy was used to study the oligomer dynamics of wild-type and mutant variants of tpv-Hsp14.3 in solution. DLS was carried out under two different conditions: In the first, the wild-type tpv-Hsp14.3 was incubated alone and with pig heart CS or Bovine Glutamate Dehydrogenase (GDH) substrates enzymes at temperatures where the two enzymes lose their activities rapidly. In the second case, the wild-type tpv-Hsp14.3 and the mutant variants were incubated at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes separately and

DLS measurements were taken. Then, these data were converted into hydration radius $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{h}}\right)$ values which represent sizes of the oligomers in the solution and their distribution were recorded as peaks. According to these results, there was a general trend of decreasing in $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{h}}$ size with increasing the temperature reducing in the number of peaks towards a single main peak.

Keywords: Small Heat Shock Proteins (sHSPs), Tpv-Hsp14.3, Thermoplasma volcanium, 3D structure modeling, Structure-function analysis.

## ÖZ

# THERMOPLASMA VOLCANIUM'UN MODİFİYE EDİLMİŞ KÜÇÜK ISI ŞOKU PROTEİNİ TPV-HSP14.3 YAPI MODELLEMESİ VE İŞLEVSEL ANALİZí 

Sheraj, Ilir<br>Yüksek Lisans, Biyoloji Bölümü<br>Tez Yöneticisi: Prof. Dr. Semra Kocabıyık<br>Mayis 2014, 256 sayfa

Bu çalışmada, termoasidofilik bir Arkeabakteri olan Thermoplasma volcanium'un küçük isı şok proteini (sHSP) incelenmiştir. sHSP proteinler çeşitli stress koşullarında konakçı organizmanın hücresel proteomunu korumak ve hayatta kalmasını sağlamakta etkin olan düşük moleküler ağırlıklı proteinlerdir. Bu proteinin yapı-fonksyon analizi için deneysel yaklasim ve hesaplamalı yöntemler kullanılmıştır. Tpv-Hsp14.3 ile onunla yakından ilgili sHSP'ler ile yapılan dizi hizalamaları bir çekirdek $\alpha$-kristalin alanı ve C-termina ucun ortasındaki bir V/I/L-XV/I/L motifin yüksek oranda korunduğunu gösterilmiştir. Hesaplamalı ikincil yapı tahmini sonuçları, kanonik sınıf II Shsp'ler de olduğu gibi $\alpha$-heliks yapısında bir N terminal ve kısa bir ikincil yapısı olan C-ucu arasında sekiz $\beta$-iplikçikten oluşan bir çekirdek $\alpha$-kristalin alanı bulunduğunu göstermiştir. Bu sonuçlar, tpv-Hsp14.3'ün hesaplamalı yöntemlerle tahmin edilen 3 boyutlu yapısı ile de doğrulanmıştır. 3D yapı modeli analizi tpv-Hsp14.3 monomerlerinin $\beta 6$ ipliklerinin takası yolu ile dimerleştiğini göstermiş olup, bunlar daha sonra etkileşerek oligomerler oluşturmakta ve protein substratları bu yolla stresten korumaktadırlar.

Bu güne dek çalışılmış sHSP lerle farklı düzeylerde dizi hizalamaları ve yapı karşılaştırma çalışmaları yolu ile dimer oluşumu ve şaperon fonksyonuna katkıda bulunan önemli gruplar belirlenmiştir. Bunlar, R69 (R69K, R69E ve R69M değiştirilmesi için), R81 (R81K, R81E ve R81M olarak değiştirilmesi için), K87 (K87R, K87E ve K87I olarak değiştritmesi için), ve $\mathrm{QR}(80-81)$ [(QR(80-81)EL olarak değiştirilek için)] guruplarıdır. Seçilen grupların kendi benzerleri, negative yüklü olanlar ve hidrofobik olanlarla yer değiştirmesi, bölgeye özel mutagenezle yapılmıştır. Daha sonra, mutantlar ve yaban tip protein, E.coli'de ifade edilmiş ve afinite kolon kromatografisi ile saflaştırılmıştır. Mutantların şaperon fonksiyonundaki değişikliği görmek için, domuz kalbi Sitrat Sentaz (CS) enzimi model olarak kulanılmıştır. CS, yaban tip ve sHSP mutant varyantları ile ayrı ayrı denaturasyon sıcaklığında $\left(47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ inkübe edilmiştir. Enzim aktivitesi CS için optimum sıcaklık olan $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ de ölçülmüştür. Enzim aktivite deney sonuçları R69E, R69K, K87R ve K87I mutant sHSP'lerin şaperon aktivitelerinin yaban tipe göre sırası ile 1.9-, 1.8-, 2.6- ve 2.7-kat daha yüksek olduğunu göstermiştir. Yaban tip tpvHsp14.3 ile karşılastırdığında K87E ve QR(80-81)EL mutantları'nın CS için şaperon aktiviteleri sırasıyla 1.2- ve 1.3-kat gibi az bir artış göstermiştir. Diğer taraftan, R69M ve R81K mutant sHSP ile inkübe edilen CS enziminin aktivitesi, yaban tiple ınkübasyona göre sırası ile 0.9 ve 0.6 kat daha az bulunmuştur. CS R81E ve R81M ile inkübe edildiğinde, yabani tip tpv-Hsp14.3 ile inkübasyona göre aktivitesi önemli ölçüde değişmiştir.

Son olarak, dinamik ışık saçılımı (DLS) Spektroskopisi ile çözelti içinde tpvHsp14.3 yabani tip ve mutant varyantlarının oligomer dinamikleri çalışılmıştır. Bunun için, DLS iki farklı koşulda gerçekleştirilmiştir: biricisinde yaban tip tpvHsp14.3 tek başına ve domuz kalbi CS enzimi ya da sığır glutamat dehidrojenaz (GDH) enzimi ile bu enzimlerin aktivitelerini kaybettikleri sıcaklıklarda inkübe edilmiştir. İkinci durumda, yaban tip tpv-Hspl4.3 ve mutant varyantları, $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ve $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ 'de 10 dakika inkübe edilmiş ve DLS ölçümleri alınmıştır. Daha sonra, bu veriler oligomerlerin çözelti içindeki boyutlarını temsil eden hidrasyon yarıçaplarına $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{h}}\right)$ dönüştürülmüş ve bunların dağılımı tepe noktaları olarak
kaydedilmiştir. Bu sonuçlara göre, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{h}}$ değerleri sıcaklık artışı ile giderek azalma ve tepe nokta sayısının tek bir esas ana tepe noktasında indirgenme şekline genel eğilim gözlenmiştir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Küçük Isı Şok Protein (sHSP), tpv-Hsp14.3, Thermoplasma volcanium, 3D yapı modelleme, yapı-işlev analizi.
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## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1.Basics of protein Structure, Folding, and Molecular Chaperones

### 1.1.1. Protein Structure Characteristics

All the living organisms are made up of cells, which are the basic units of life. Cells on the other hand are made of macromolecules of Nucleic Acids, Proteins, Lipids and Carbohydrates. Proteins are responsible for cellular structural organization and functional maintenance. The basic building blocks of proteins are the 20 natural amino acids of the L- $\alpha$-configuration which are linked together via peptide bond. The bond is formed by an amine nucleophile transferring a pair of free electrons to the to the carboxyl group of the previous amino acid to increase the chain length by one amino acid. As a result of this reaction, a water molecule is lost, and the incorporated amino acids are called "residues". These reactions are catalyzed in the cells by a complex cellular machinery called ribosome, but they can be also be carried out in laboratory by chemical synthesis under highly controlled reaction conditions. (Hughes, 2009)

The peptide bond is not a single bond which can rotate freely $360^{\circ}$, but rather it has restrictions owing to its partial double bond character resulting from electron distribution between amine and Carbonyl groups. Another further restriction to the polypeptide backbone rotation is imposed by the amino acids' R-groups. With the
exception of Glycine, which has only a Hydrogen atom as the R-Group, all the other amino acids have different groups, ranging from Alanine's methyl, to Tryptophan's large aromatic group. As a result, only two bonds can rotate in a polypeptide, and their allowed angles are represented by Ramachandran Plot (Ramachandran et al., 1963). These angles are called $\varphi$ between N and $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$, and $\psi$ between $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ and carbonyl group as shown in figure 1.1.


Figure 1.1: Polypeptide Bond Angles and Their Distribution in Ramachandran Plot. (a) The three bonds forming the polypeptide backbone. $\varphi$ and $\psi$ angles, together with bond lengths are shown. (b) Ramachandran Plot with the three most populated areas: $\beta$-strand region, the right-handed $\alpha$-helix, and the left-handed $\alpha$-helix, denoted by R- $\alpha$ and L- $\alpha$ respectively. [Nelson at al. Lehninger Principles of Biochemistry, $5^{\text {th }}$ edition (W.H. Freedman and Company, 2008)]

The linear arrangement of amino acids is called the Primary Structure of the Protein, which according to Anfinsens Hypothesis carries all the information the polypeptide needs to fold (Anfinsen, 1973). This linear chain folds further to form the Secondary Structure of the Protein, which is represented in three main forms, alpha-helix, betasheet, and coiled-coil (or loop) structures. They were first predicted by Linus Pauling and Robert Corey (Pauling et al., 1951), and were then experimentally proven by Max Perutz who obtained protein crystal diffractions (Perutz et al., 1960). The main
forces forming and keeping the secondary structure are the hydrogen bonds between $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ group and the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ group.

The first form of secondary structure to be theoretically predicted was the alpha helix (Pauling et al., 1951). It's architecture is formed by hydrogen bonds between $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ group of $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ residue, which acts a s a hydrogen bond acceptor, and the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ group of $(n+4)^{\text {th }}$ residue, which acts as a hydrogen bond donor. One turn of the helix is made of 3.6 residues and it has a right-hand conformation. Since the H -bonds are in the same direction in the whole helical structure, this causes the helix to have a dipole moment. It is slightly positive at the N -terminus and slightly negative at the C terminus. This has important implications in a protein's function and interaction, especially with ligands.

After alpha helix, Pauling and Corey (1951) predicted the beta-sheet secondary structure, which is the most populated region in the Ramachandran plot. This is because of the favorable $\varphi$ and $\psi$ angles. Beta-sheets are made of beta-strands, which are $5-10$ residues long with broad angles. They are linked to each other through hydrogen bonds formed between the backbone $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ groups. Beta strands arrange themselves in two ways to form a beta sheet: parallel, where all of them run in the same direction, and antiparallel, where they run in opposite direction to eachother. There is also a mixed beta sheet, where the strands are both parallel and aniparallel, but this is not favored due to energy constraints. All beta strands are right-handedly twisted due to the favorable hydrogen bonding.

The third type of secondary structure is the coiled-coil, or more commonly known as loops. They are not regular structures like alpha-helices and beta-strands, but their length varies drastically. They are generally found on protein surfaces and participate in protein ligand interactions and enzyme active sites. Loops vary mostly within members of a protein family, and this is thought to be due to their capacity to tolerate mutations, since they will not cause a drastic change in the general three dimensional structure of the protein (Branden \& Tooze, 1999).

The next level on the protein organization is the tertiary or three-dimensional (3D) Structure, also known as the Protein Fold. It is the functional form of the protein representing the spatial arrangement of the amino acid residues in three dimensional space. The main determinants of the 3D structures are the functional groups and the interactions they make with each-other. The major forces stabilizing a protein's 3D are the electrostatic forces, or salt bridges between positively- and negativelycharged groups, hydrogen bonds, and van der Waals interactions. A protein fold may be composed of many domains, which are defined as a part of the protein that, if separated from the main body, would maintain the function and 3D structure independently. Another part of the fold is the Motif, also known as Supersecondary Structure. They are made of a few secondary structural elements, but are not structurally independent.

The last level in the protein structure classification is the Quaternary Structure, which is made of several polypeptide chains associated to form a multimeric structure. They could be either heteromers, where different polypeptides come together, or homomers, where the same polypeptide chains are associated together. The different folds that form the quaternary structure can function independently, as stated before, or cooperatively, where the function of one subunit depends on that of the others. In this way, the activity of enzymes, or their interactions with ligands or other proteins are changed (Nelson and Cox, 2008; Branden and Tooze, 1999). All the levels of protein structure are shown in figure 1.2.

### 1.1.2. Protein Folding Theory

Protein folding process is one of several mysteries of molecular biology that has fascinated the scientists for more than half a century. It started with the elucidation of the first protein structure, that of Myoglobin by Perutz and Kendrew in 1958 (Kendrew et al. 1958). The cornerstone of protein folding is the Anfinsen's Hypothesis which states that: "The three-Dimensional structure of a native protein is determined by the totality of interatomic interactions and hence by the amino acid sequence, in a given environment..."( Frangsmyr, 1993).


Figure 1.2: The Structural Organization of Proteins: The structural hierarchy is labeled in the figure. (Lodish et al., Molecular Cell Biology, $5^{\text {th }}$ Edition)

Protein folding can be defined as the process by which polypeptide chains selfassemble in a spontaneous and rapid way to form a 3D conformation that has a biological function (Jahn et al. 2008). The folding process is represented by landscape model as shown in figure 1.3.

At the top of the figure, the protein is in a state of high energy and high entropy. As the folding progresses down the path, entropy and energy will both decrease, forming more favorable interactions between the side chain and protein backbone atoms. More details regarding the processes will be given in the next subsections. Protein folding is complicated not only by very high number of possible space configurations of the residues, but also by inter-residue biophysical interactions, and the interactions of residues with their surrounding environment.


Figure 1.3: The Landscape Model of Protein Folding. (Nelson at al. Lehninger Principles of Biochemistry, $5^{\text {th }}$ ed., W.H. Freedman and Company, 2008, p. 143)

There are many such interactions, but the most important ones are represented by the formula below (Conn, 2008).

$$
\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{ss}}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{es}}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{hb}}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{dip}}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{rep}}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{ps}}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{pc}}
$$

$\mathrm{E}_{\text {ss }}$ is the side chain - side chain energy which may be attractive or repulsive; $\mathrm{E}_{\text {es }}$ is the side chain electrostatic (salt bridge) energy; $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{hb}}$ are the hydrogen bond interactions between the backbones; $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{dip}}$ is the backbone dipole interaction energy; $\mathrm{E}_{\text {rep }}$ is the repulsion energy when two atoms come very close to each other; $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{ps}}$ represents the probability that some residues will form individual secondary structures, lowering the energy; and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{pc}}$ is the cooperative lowering of the energy if two adjacent amino acids assume the same secondary structure. To explain how all these complicated energy interactions form the final native structures, two major views on the folding process have been proposed: The "Classical View", and the "New View" (Hart et al. 2009).

### 1.1.3. The Classical Model

Classical Model was based on Levinthal Paradox, where Levinthal argued that since the number of the possible conformations for a protein are astronomical, then proteins must fold by specific "Folding Pathways" (Levinthal, 1968; Dill, 1997). This was because of the contradicting phenomena of the global energy minimum and the speed of protein folding, since for a 100 -residue protein to fold on its own it would take $1.6 \times 10^{27}$ years. In other words, a protein which has so many conformational possibilities has to "find" its correct conformation, and do so in a fraction of a millisecond. To make these two ideas compatible, Levinthal introduced the protein folding pathway in the folding landscape, according to which there must be a "well-defined sequence of events following one another" (Levinthal, 1968). According to the model, in the folding landscape there is a Kinetic Control and a Thermodymanic Control over protein folding. Thermodynamic control means that the final native structure of the protein is independent of the pathway, since thermodynamics is concerned only about the initial and the final energy states and not the pathway, but this process is very slow. Kinetic control on the other hand means that folding process is quick because it is pathway-dependent, but the protein can reach only local minimal energy. The combination of the two controls will give global energy minima, and a very quick folding. This model proposes that for large proteins there are multiple intermediates, while for small proteins there is only one intermediate state, as shown in the equations below, where N is the native state, X is the completely unfolded state, and I are the intermediate states.

Small Proteins: $\mathrm{X} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{I}_{1} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{~N}$

Large Proteins: $\mathrm{X} \mathrm{I}_{1} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{I}_{2} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{~N}$

When the folding of the proteins is shown in the energy funnel, large proteins are shown to fold according to a pathway, while small proteins fold in a smooth funnel due to their folding being single-step (Figure 1.4 a and b ).


Figure 1.4: The Protein's Folding Energy Funnels. (a) Levinthal "folding pathway" for large proteins. (b) The smooth energy funnel for small proteins according to both the classical and the new view. (c) The rugged funnel for large proteins according to the new view (Dill et al.,1997).

### 1.1.4. The "New View"

According to the new view, folding is seen as a diffusion-like process with asynchronous individual chain movements which are continuously hit by the Brownian forces of other sequences (Bryngelson et al. 1995). They will all together find the way to the final native structure through the energy landscape. The new view is based mostly on statistical models. The folding process is analogue to water trickles flowing through mountain sides, and getting down to a main river. As the protein folds and goes down the funnel, it lowers both energy and the entropy, causing the narrowing of the funnel space. This narrowing could be the result of two different phenomena: An initial collapse of the extended polypeptide chain bringing distant sequences together and causing the formation of an initial tertiary structure. This causes a significant lowering of entropy because it decreases the freedom of movement of large chains. It is then followed by specific secondary structure elements formation and the more specific 3D native contacts. The other proposed mechanism is by forming the secondary structure before the 3D, which will lower the chain entropy and narrow the funnel width by restriction of individual residue movements. Then the 3D native structure formation is followed by the correct arrangement of the secondary structure chains. According to this view, a small
protein will fold quickly and it will have a smooth landscape (Figure 1.4.b), while large proteins will fold more slowly and their landscape will be rough (figure 1.4.c). In the landscape (figure 1.4.c) the hills represent energetic barriers and the deep ravines represent metastable but not native 3D structure of the protein.

After the "Classical View" was proposed by Levinthal, major research was directed towards the aim of finding the folding pathways of the proteins, but with no success. The latest research backed by the latest physical methods and computational biology favor the "New View". Especially in the last decade scientists found out that for the protein to fold, it is not left on its own, but rather the cell has a whole protein machinery dedicated to this task, that of Molecular Chaperones (Hartl, 1996; Hartl et al. 2009).

### 1.2.Molecular Chaperones and Proteostasis

### 1.2.1. The Important Role of Molecular Chaperones in Protein Folding.

Molecular chaperones are essential for protein folding and maintenance in the cell for many reasons. As it was explained in the section of protein folding theory, the folding process is not an easy matter, and in the highly crowded cellular environment it becomes even more complicated (Herbst et al. 1997; Kubelka et al. 2004). Firstly, although it was shown that folding is helped in a crowded environment because of concentration drive, the intracellular environment is mostly colloidal, made of possibly millions of different biological macromolecules. The total protein concentration in the cell is $300-400 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$. This means that unwanted interactions are highly probable if the estimation that a mammalian cell expresses more than 10.000 different polypeptides is taken into account (Ellis et al. 2006). To further complicate the problem, recent studies have found a new class of proteins called Intrinsically Disordered Proteins (IDPs) which in physiological conditions are highly dynamic ensembles rapidly interconverting from one form of secondary structure to another. Sometimes they even convert from a disordered molten globule to an ordered secondary structures to carry out their functions. Findings in Eukaryotes suggest that
$25-30 \%$ of their proteins are mostly disordered, more than $50 \%$ have a long region of disorder, and that more than $70 \%$ of proteins involved in cellular signaling and cancer have long disordered regions (Parry et al. 2005; Xie et al. 2007; Xie et al. 2007; Vucetic et al. 2007). These proteins are involved in almost all biological functions, especially in regulation, control and signaling pathways in which low affinity but high specificity interactions are required, and also in post-translational modification and proteolytic cleavage sites (Dunker et al. 2005). These proteins are bound by molecular chaperones to maintain their structure and interact with their substrates, after which they assume their functional 3D conformation. The best example are the steroid receptors, which are bound by molecular chaperones, but when the ligands are available, they cause the dissociation of molecular chaperones and bind themselves to the receptors' hydrophobic pockets, causing receptor dimerization or multimerization, and as a result making possible their binding to the target gene promoters (Galigniana et al. 2010). Research in recent times has also shown that many polypeptides require molecular chaperones to fold in the cell (Dunker et al. 2008).

Secondly, the rate of protein synthesis in a bacterial ribosome is 20 amino acids per second and in Eukaryotic one 5-9 amino acids per second. The exit channel of the large ribosomal subunit has a length of $80-100 \AA$, with a diameter of $10 \AA$ at the narrowest and $20 \AA$ at the widest region. This would prevent protein folding inside the ribosome channel except for alpha-helices formation. As a result, 30-60 amino acids, depending whether they are in an extended conformation or have formed alpha helixes at the C-terminal region, cannot form long-range interactions which are essential for protein folding. Given this information, it is understandable that the translation of a 300 residue protein, which is the mean polypeptide length would take 15-75 seconds. During this time, the partially folded nascent chains would be exposed to the cellular environment. For larger multi-domain proteins, the time is substantial. That would make them prone to non-native interactions in the cellular environment and as a result aggregation. To prevent such harmful effects, molecular chaperones interact with the nascent chain, rescuing it from unwanted interactions


Figure 1.5: The Role of Molecular Chaperones in Protein Folding Funnels. (Hartl at al. 2011)
until the correct secondary structures and the long-range interactions are formed (Elcock, 2006; Kaiser, 2006).

The last but not least is the case when the chaperone system fails due to mutations or ageing. In such cases, many protein aggregation disorders start to show up. They have different clinical manifestations, from mild disease states, to even lethal ones. These will be explained later in their relevant sections (Morimoto, 2008; Balch et al. 2008). The role of molecular chaperones in the protein folding is shown in a simplified manner in figure 1.5 .

As was stated above, the folding funnels are rugged for large proteins where there are kinetic barriers shown by hills separating the deep valleys. The valleys are metastable conformations of the proteins, but not the native structure. When proteins are entrapped in such valleys they need external energy to get uphill, and this energy is provided by molecular chaperones which interact with the entrapped protein and
bring it uphill to enter the funnel again on its route to the native conformation. These hills can be compared to the activation energy of chemical reactions. Another implication is that of protein aggregation that occurs as a result of mutations or some external stress causing protein misfolding and exposure of hydrophobic chains. These proteins will tend to aggregate, forming again metastable structures such as Amorphous Aggregates or Amyloid Fibrils which are toxic to the cell. Molecular Chaperones bind to these proteins before aggregation and tend to fold them in their correct native structure, and in case they cannot, they are sent to the degradation pathways (Hartl, 1996; Hartl et al. 2009; Mymrikov et al. 2011).

### 1.2.2. The Major Classes of Molecular Chaperones and their Properties

A Molecular Chaperone is defined as any protein that interacts with another protein, stabilizing it's native structure or help it to acquire its correct 3D conformation, without being part of the final structure (Hartl, 1996; Hartl et al. 2009). As this definition implies, the number of molecular chaperones should be vast, and indeed it was found to be the case. Such proteins are involved in almost all cellular functions such as de novo folding, protein structure maintenance, protein trafficking, signal transduction, cytoskeleton maintenance, and response to all kinds of cellular stress to mention just a few (Mymrikov et al. 2011). Their Classification is based on their molecular weight, and so the main classes are Hsp40, Hsp60, Hsp70, Hsp90, Hsp100 and the small HSPs (sHSP). HSP stands for Heat-Shock Proteins, because they were first identified in D.melanongaster and were correlated with increased expression after a mild increase in body temperature (Tissieres et al. 1974). Later they were found to be involved in all types of stress, but the conventional definition of HeatShock Proteins was not changed (Mymrikov et al. 2011). All these chaperone classes except sHSPs have an ATPases subunit which uses ATP to change the structure of the chaperone which then will be used to refold the misfolded or newly synthesized proteins. On the other hand, sHSPs are ATP-independent, and act generally as "Holdases" of misfolded proteins, providing the cell with a buffering capacity against the misfolded and potentially toxic proteins until they are correctly refolded by the ATP-dependent chaperones or sent to the degradation pathways (Frydman et
al. 1994; Hartl, 1996; Hartl et al. 2009). To have a better appreciation of protein folding in vivo, it is better to take a look at the whole process of a protein's life, from its biogenesis in ribosome, up to its degradation.

### 1.2.2.1.Protein Folding in Ribosome

As was stated in section 1.2.1., protein folding may start co-translationally in the ribosome exit channel, but this is not enough due to the low speed of translation and the crowded environment. So there are chaperones that interact directly with the ribosome exit channel to guide the newly-synthesized protein towards its native conformation. There are differences between Prokaryotes and Eukaryotes on the mechanism of interaction at this stage, and Archaea seems to be more closely-related to Eukaryotes than Bacteria. Bacteria use the Chaperone Trigger Factor (TF), while Eukaryotes use the Hsp70-J-protein system together with heterodimeric Nascent Polypeptide-Associated Complex (NAC). The best understood system is the Bacterial TF, and its action mechanism is shown in figure 1.6 (Kramer et al. 2002; Maier et al. 2003; Kaiser et al. 2006; Hartl et al. 2009; Kim et al. 2013).


Figure 1.6: Trigger Factor Action Mechanism. The names of the structure are shown by the same color code.

Briefly, TF is in equilibrium between monomer and dimmer. When a nascent chain gets out of the ribosome, TF dissociates into monomer and binds the new protein. When the chain is elongated further, a second TF may bind to it. The possible number of TFs that bind to a polypeptide chain is not known, and to date there is not found any correlation between TF number and polypeptide chain length. If the protein acquires its native structure, then TF dissociates from it and is re-assembled into its dimeric form to bind another protein.

If the protein doesn't acquire its native structure, then it is transferred to the downstream ATP-dependent chaperone network to help it fold correctly. It is worth noting that about $70 \%$ of bacterial proteins after passing by TF acquire their native structure, and under normal conditions need no more help by the chaperones, while the rest $30 \%$ of larger size require the help of other chaperone classes, (Kaiser et al. 2006; Kim et al. 2013).

On the other hand, Eukaryotic and Archaeal systems are closely related to each other. The model system for Eukaryotes is Yeast, which has a system made of Ssb/Ssz/Zuotin, and NAC. Another component is the Prefoldin, which is mostly involved in the folding of Actin and Tubulin. Experiments have shown that deletion of any of the components of NAC/ Ssb/Ssz/Zuotin system causes cellular defects, meaning that both of them are essential (Gautschi et al. 2002; Hundley et al. 2002; Wegrzyn et al. 2005). The Eukaryotic system is still poorly understood mechanistically, and major efforts are under way for its elucidation. Recently a major interest has arisen for the Archaeal system also, which is the least understood of all (Hundley et al. 2002; Kim et al. 2013).

### 1.2.2.2.Hsp40/Hsp70 System

Hsp70 proteins are constitutively expressed. In bacteria they are also called DnaK, and they function in close association with Hsp40 (DnaJ). They work also in cooperation with a Nucleotide Exchange Factor (NEF) which catalyzes the ADP/ATP exchange to make the proteins available for additional cycles. The binding and release by Hsp70 is regulated by allosteric coupling of a conserved N -terminal

ATPase domain with a C-terminal Peptide-Binding Domain, which contains a betasandwich subdomain and an alpha-helical lid. Beta sandwich recognizes $\sim 7$ amino acid hydrophobic-enriched polypeptide segments, which occur every 50-100 residues in proteins. The alpha-helical lid and conformational changes in beta-sandwich regulate the protein's affinity for the target in an ATP-dependent manner (Zhu, 1996; Rudiger et al. 1997; Mayer et al. 2000; Young et al. 2003). So, starting from TF (figure 1.6), when the protein is unable to fold, either because of its length, or mutation, or some stress conditions, it is transferred to DnaK, which will then make it enter the cycle shown in figure 1.7.

First, Hsp40 binds the protein and delivers it to the ATP-bound Hsp70. Another function of Hsp40 is to accelerate the hydrolysis of ATP to ADP, causing the alphahelical lid closure and as a result tight binding of the substrate to Hsp70. After this Hsp40 is phosphorylated and dissociates. Then NEF will cause the dissociation of ADP bound to Hsp70, and that position will then be occupied by ATP, which will open the lid again and as a result the substrate will be released. At this stage, the substrate may be folded to its native state. If it is not folded correctly, it will be recycled once again in the Hsp70 cycle, and if after a certain number of cycles the number of which is not known it cannot gain its native structure, then it is sent to Chaperonin system (Hartl et al. 2009; Kim et al., 2013).

Bukau et al. (2006) proposed a Kinetic Partition process for the way Hsp70 prevents aggregation: Its binding to non-native substrates prevents aggregation by shielding the hydrophobic regions transiently, and at the same time reducing the aggregateprone substrates concentration. The release will then permit fast-folding substrates to bury the hydrophobic region, whereas those that need longer folding time will be rebound by Hsp70 once again to prevent aggregation (Langer et al. 1992; SchieneFischer et al. 2002).


Figure1. 7: Mechanism of Hsp40/Hsp70 System. (Hartl at al. 2009)

### 1.2.2.3.The Chaperonins

Chaperonins are large double-ring protein complexes of $800-900 \mathrm{kDa}$ molecular weight. They form a big cage inside which target proteins are enclosed and isolated from the surrounding environment. Chaperonins are divided in two groups (Horwich et al. 2007). Members of group I also called Hsp60 are found in Bacteria, Mitochondria and Chloroplasts. In bacteria they are called GroEL and are made of 7membered rings. To function, they interact with Hsp10, in bacteria known as GroES. Their action mechanism is very complex, but here a summarized version is given (figure 1.8). First, the substrate protein is transferred to GroEL/GroES complex from DnaJ/DnaK after the latter's failure to re-fold it. ATP is used to move the domains of GroEL causing stretching of bound substrate and make it undergo local unfolding. Then GroES binds and encapsulates the GroEL, to form the complex GroEL/GroES. The substrate is allowed to fold in the chaperonine cage for some time. At the end of the cycle, if the protein is folded correctly it is released from the chaperonine cage, otherwise it is resent once again for another cycle of refolding inside the cage.


Figure 1.8: (a) Chaperonine Crystal Structure and (b) Action Mechanism. (Hartl et al. 2009)

Group II chaperonins are present in Eukaryotes and Archaea, and they are called as TRiC/CCT and Thermosomes, respectively. They consist usually of eight-membered rings, but sometimes it may be nine as well. In contrast to group I they are independent of Hps 10 because the lid function of this chaperone is intrinsically built in some alpha-helical extensions in the chaperonin rings. Their mechanism is still a matter of debate and not much is known about their kinetics (Hartl et al. 2009).

In E.coli, GroEL interacts and help to fold correctly more than 250 cytosolic proteins, most of them $20-50 \mathrm{kDa}$ of molecular weight, and complex $\alpha / \beta$ or $\alpha+\beta$ domain topologies, like the TIM Barrel fold (Gromiha et al. 2004). These proteins are stabilized by long range interactions, and as a result, populate kinetically-trapped folding intermediates, making it difficult to achieve the native structure. Enclosing inside the cage is thought to be beneficial for folding since it would isolate the substrate from the outside environment. Studies have shown that enclosure of the substrate increases the folding rate by 10 -fold compared to spontaneous folding. Structural analyses have also shown that inside the cage are some essential polar residues. Molecular Dynamics simulations have suggested that the polar residues recruit and order water molecules around themselves, creating a polar local environment, and as a result forcing the substrate to bury its hydrophobic regions (England et al. 2008).

### 1.2.2.4. HSP90 Chaperone System

HSP90 is at the center of Protein homeostasis network (Proteostasis) involved in controlling many signaling pathways, such as Apoptosis, Telomere maintenance, signal transduction, and especially targeted protein degradation pathways (Taipale et al. 2010). It acts downstream of the Hsp40/Hsp70 complex, and is involved mostly in regulation of many signal transduction proteins like kinases and steroid receptors. At the same time, the action mechanism and kinetics of Hsp90 are highly regulated by co-factors. The crystal structure was determined recently for both the main protein and some of its regulatory factors (Ali et al. 2006; Shiau et al. 2006; Wandinger et al. 2008). In contrast to other chaperones, the kinetics of Hsp 90 are not well understood, but progress is continuing towards that goal, especially after its suspected important role in cancer (Neckers, 2007). Based on experimental data, an action mechanism is proposed for it, and summarized in figure 1.9.


Figure 1.9: Hsp90 Action Mechanism. (Taipale et al. 2010)

First, the Hsp40/Hsp70 system transfers its bound client protein to Hsp90 by the help of HOP regulatory protein. ATP binds to the ATPase domain (orange color), causing the closure of the lid, and as a result Hsp90 dimerization occurs. Then ATP is hydrolyzed, causing the opening of the lid, and as a result of structural changes of Hsp90, the client protein bound to it mostly by means of hydrophobic regions is destabilized and then allowed to refold correctly. After this cycle, the substrate is released, and Hsp 90 is once again free to bind another substrate.

### 1.2.2.5.Hsp100 Chaperones

Hsp100 is the Eukaryotic name for the bacterial homolog Clp. This is a whole protein family, which is part of the AAA+ ATPase Superfamily. The distinguishing feature of them is the presence of a basic core of 200-250 amino acids which forms an alpha-helical domain and a Walker-type Nucleotide Binding Domain. Hsp100/Clp is divided into two groups (figure 1.10) (Hanson et al., 2005).


Figure 1.10: Action Mechanism of Hsp100. (a) Hsp100/Clp binds to the aggregated substrate and causes its unfolding by passing through its central channel so that it can be refolded again. (b) Hsp100/Clp in conjuction with a Protease component forces the aggregated substrate through its channel, unfolding it, and then it is degraded in the proteolytic component. (Doyle at al. 2008)

Group 1 consists of proteins with two AAA+ modules, and its members include Hsp104, ClpB, mitochondrial Hsp78 and plant Hsp101. Group 2 consist of protein with one AAA+ module, such as ClpX and HslU . These chaperones have the ability to solubilize any protein that is aggregated after severe cellular stress condition by using ATP in conjunction with Hsp40/Hsp70 system (Wickner et al. 1994). The mechanism is still not settled, but there appear to be two action mechanism, one for substrate refolding (figure 1.10.a), and the second for substrate degradation (figure 1.10.b), depending whether Hsp100/Clb interacts with a proteolytic component or not (Hanson et al. 2005). The mechanism proposes that exposed hydrophobic patches of aggregated protein are recognized by the system and are unfolded by passing through the channel of the complex in a reaction dependent on ATP hydrolysis. Then the polypeptides are released to refold again, and if they cannot, they are sent to Hsp40/Hsp70 system. If the polypeptides are bound by Hsp100/Clp which is interacting with a protease component, the protein is passed through the channel and this time it is degraded. The way of choosing one of these pathways is not known (Sauer et al. 2004; Doyle et al. 2008).

### 1.2.2.6.Small Heat Shock Proteins (sHSPs).

Small Heat Shock Proteins are members of the chaperone Superfamily, but they are ATP-independent. These are small proteins varying in molecular weight from 12-43 kDa . Their defining feature is the Alpha-Csystallin Domain (ACD) which is flanked by an N - and C-terminus of varying length between different organisms. sHSPs are accepted not to be involved directly in protein folding, though there are reports for such occurrences (Basha et al. 2011; Mchaurab et al. 2009). They act mainly as "Reservoirs" of mislfolded proteins, binding to them and keeping them in that state under stress, preventing aggregation. Then they interact with Hsp70 system, which uses ATP to refold the misfolded proteins (Narberhaus, 2002). More detailed information for sHSPs will be given in the next section.

### 1.2.2.7.Protein Degradation in Proteasome and Autophagy

Although Proteasomes and Autophagy are not related to Molecular Chaperones functionally, they are a part of the Protein Quality Control (PQC) system and the Proteostasis Network, which maintains the proteome of the cell (Tyedmers et al. 2010; Winkler et al. 2012). As a critical component of this network, proteasomes are large molecular machines involved in protein degradation. It is found in all three domains of life, but the basic understanding of its mechanism was elucidated by using the Archaeal Thermoplasma acidophilum as a model. Subsequently, detailed structural and assembly studies were carried out in Bacteria and Eukaryotes. The exact mechanism of how proteins are directed to proteasome, especially in Bacteria and Archaea that have no ubiquitin system is not known. In Eukaryotes, proteins to be degraded are tagged by a certain code of Ubiquitin, which destines them for degradation (Hershko et al. 1998; Schubert et al. 2000; Finley, 2009).

Autophagy is another degradation pathway that is less selective than proteasome but more bulky (Lee et al. 2013). It is a lysosomal degradation pathway essential for survival, differentiation, development, and homeostasis. In autophagy, membraneous structures called Autophagosomes are formed around organelles or protein aggregates, engulfing them into membraneous structures which latter fuse with cellular lysosomes to form an Autolysosome. The cargo inside the autolysosome will be degraded as in any other lysosomal degradation (Boya et al., 2013). In addition to damaged cellular organelles, clearing of protein aggregates by autophagy has turned out to be very essential, and the cross-talk between autophagy and proteasome systems is extensive (Kon et al. 2010; Arias et al. 2011), so that when one is blocked, the others efficiency is increased to deal with the accumulating damaged proteins (Kopito, 2000; Kaganovich et al. 2008; Tyedmers et al. 2010; Arndt et al. 2010). Now that almost all major components of the Proteostasis network, were described, a brief explanation of their integration and cooperation in maintaining the cellular physiology can be made.

### 1.2.2.8.The Proteostasis Network.

PQC acts like a "check-up" system that the proteins are folded correctly. If some proteins fail to be folded, or are misfolded as a result of stress or mutations, this system is activated. It is best understood in Rough Endoplasmic Reticulum (RER) where a large part of protein synthesis takes place in Eukaryotes. It is an elaborate system of molecular chaperone and signal transduction pathways (Buchberger et al. 2010). Proteostasis is the Proteome Homeostasis, or proteome maintenance system of the cell (Kim et al. 2013). It is made of approximately 1300 cellular proteins in mammals, of which 400 are involved in protein biogenesis, 300 in protein conformational maintenance, and about 700 in protein degradation pathways, with about 100 of them being common to more than one of these systems (Haynes et al. 2010). This system integrates molecular chaperones for correct protein folding and transport, with the machinery of disaggregation i.e., oligomeric AAA+ proteins, and degradation of misfolded proteins, i.e., Ubiquitin-Proteasome System (UPS) and Autophagy. The huge number of components shows the importance of this system in maintaining the cellular physiology. Integrated in the network pathways are the Cytosolic Heat-Shock Response, Unfolded Protein Response (UPR) in Endoplasmic Reticulum, UPR in Mitochondria, and other cellular stress pathways such as oxidative stress, inflammation and nutrient starvation, including cellular ageing and longeivity pathways (Morley et al. 2004; Cohen et al. 2006; Ben-Zvi et al. 2009; Cohen et al. 2009; David et al. 2010). About 700 proteins involved in degradation include the components of Ubiquitin-Proteasome System (UPS) and components of Autophagy (Walter, 2011). A summarized version is shown in figure 1.11.

Recent studies have shown the importance of the proteostasis network by demonstrating that in Eukaryotes, approximately half of the proteins synthesized in ER do not satisfy the requirements of Protein Quality Control System, and for some others, the folding success rate is even lower (Schubert et al. 2000). On the other hand, deficiencies in proteostasis, either as a result of mutations, or age-related decline in its capacity to deal with proteome control (Morley et al. 2002), have been shown to be related to various diseases such as neurodegeneration, lysosomal storage


Figure 1.11: Proteostasis Network. (Kim et al. 2013)
disease, cystic fibrosis, cancer and cardiovascular diseases (Forman et al. 2004; Olzscha et al. 2011).

### 1.3.The Small Heat Shock Proteins

### 1.3.1. General Characteristics of small HSPs.

Small HSPs are a versatile class of molecular chaperones first detected to be expressed after Drosophila was subjected to mild heat stress (Tissieres et al. 1974). They are organized into multimers to carry out their actions, but this is not always the case. The molecular weight of a sHSP monomer ranges from 12-43 kDa, and the majority are between 14-27 kDa (Haslebeck et al. 2008; Mchaourab et al. 2009, Basha et al. 2011). The sequence homology of these proteins between organisms, and even between different classes of the same organism is very low (Waedick et al. 2009). Despite this, structure determination of different sHSP classes from different
domains of life has shown a general structural conservation of the $\alpha$-Crystallin Domain (ACD), which is the "flagship" of the sHSPs (Basha et al. 2011).

The ACD is located C-terminally and is made up of an average of 90 amino acid residues. It is contains seven antiparallel $\beta$-strands forming a $\beta$-sandwich resembling an immunoglobulin fold. The ACD is found between an N -terminal and a C-terminal region. The N -terminus is a relatively long sequence compared to the C -terminus, and both its length and sequence conservation is very low. It is generally amphipathic in nature. The C-terminus is far shorter, and its sequence identity is low as well (Haslebeck et al. 2008; Mchaourab et al. 2009, Clark et al. 2011, Basha et al. 2011). The only conserved region of it, in at least $95 \%$ of sHSPs, is the I/L-X-I/L motif near the end (Waedick et al. 2009). Moreover, in some archaeal species, a tenth $\beta$-strand is found at the middle of C -terminus, thought to be important in multimer formation (Bertz et al. 2010).

Structurally, sHSPs may be divided into three categories, depending on their sequence lengths and chaperone activity: The first category is the scope of this study. The ACD of these sHSPs is in the middle flanked by N - and C-termini and forms higher oligomeric structures with chaperone activity (Haslebeck et al. 2008). The second category is that of some sHSPs found in C.elegans which have the shortest N terminal region and are generally in dimeric form. They have no chaperone activity and were found to be induced in a development-dependent manner (Kokke et al. 1998: Kokke et al. 2001). The third category is that of Tapeworms, which have two ACDs in a single polypeptide, joined by a modified C- and N-Terminus in between. They are found to be tetramers under oxidizing conditions and dimmers under reducing conditions. They have chaperone function, and their interaction is different from the classical chaperones (Kappe et al. 2004).

### 1.3.2. Small Heat Shock Proteins in the Three Domains of Life

Eukaryotes are the organisms containing the highest number of sHSPs, and this is not surprising given the complexity of multicellular organisms and their demands for maintenance of the cellular and tissue physiology (Waedick et al. 2009). The
organism with the lowest sHSPs number is S.cerevisiae, a unicellular eukaryote, which has only two sHSPs: Hsp26 (Franzman et al. 2005; Franzman et al. 2008; Benesch et al. 2010) and Hsp42, while the organisms with the highest number of sHSP genes are plants. The genome of A.thaliana which is the best studied plant species, encodes 19 sHSPs. The land plants contain 11 families of sHSPs, and each family has a number of paralogs and orthologs. Of those, six families encode proteins targeted for cellular organelles like nucleus, chloroplasts, mitochondria, peroxisomes and endoplasmic reticulum, while five stay in cytosol. Such organelle-targeted sHSPs are found uniquely in plants with the exception of D.melanogaster, which contains one sHSP targeted for mitochondria (Waedick et al. 2009).

Humans on the other hand have 10 sHSP genes, named HSPB1-HSPB10 (Mymrikov et al. 2011). Their molecular mass varies between 17-28.4 kDa. Some of them are expressed in all organs, like HSPB1, HSPB5, HSPB6 and HSPB8, while the rest are expressed in certain tissue types. The best known among them are HSPB4, which is the standard name of $\alpha \mathrm{A}$-Crystallin, and HSPB5, which is the standard name of $\alpha \mathrm{B}$ Crystallin. All members of the HSPB have chaperone activity, and some of them seem to even have specific substrates. In addition to their chaperoning function during physiological stress, they are involved in a range of physiological functions, such as maintenance of cytoskeleton, muscle contraction, platelet function, insulindependent metabolism regulation in muscles, cell survival and apoptosis, carcinogenesis, and even in the immunity response (Horowitz, 2000; Akerfelt et al. 2010; Mymrikov et al. 2011; Clark et al. 2012).

Small HSPs are also present in almost all the bacteria studied to date with few exceptions. The general trend is having one or two sHSP genes only, but there are cases where there is none, or cases with 3 , 4 or even 10 sHSP genes (Narberhaus, 2002). A question arises as to what makes some bacteria have none, or so many sHSP genes. The answer is not known, but certain speculations can be made. Some microorganisms with no sHSP genes have a common characteristics of possessing a very small genome, because such organisms devote their genetic information to essential functions only (Fraser et al. 1995). However, rather than genome size, the
lifestyle of each microorganism appears to be the more important determinant of the presence or absence of sHSPs (Shigenobu et al. 2000). This is supported by the observation that some microorganisms have sHSP genes although they have small genomes. These organisms live in insects whose body temperature fluctuates with that of the ambient, and so they are essential to deal with heat/cold shock. On the other hand, microorganisms of similar genome size that live as parasites in humans or other homeothermic animals have no such genes, because the temperature of the host is constant (Read et al. 2000). There is also the other group of organisms that contain 4-10 sHSP genes. They are generally plant-root inhabitant species, and to date no plausible explanation can be suggested for the presence of such a high number of sHSP genes in their genomes (Natera et al. 2000).

According to the present data, all the archaea domain species contain one or two and sometimes three sHSP genes with the exception of Halobacterium sp. strain NRC-1 which has five such genes. Given the extreme conditions in which Archaea live it seems puzzling for them to have such proteins, especially for some who also lack homologs of Hsp40/70, Hsp90 and Hsp100, which in other organisms seem to be more important in protein folding. However, recent research suggests that the chaperonin system (also known as thermosome), has a more essential role in Archea than in other organisms (Large et al. 2009). Or alternatively, there may be other unexplored chaperone systems unique to Archaea (Lund, 2011).

### 1.3.3. Transcriptional Regulation of the Heat Shock Response.

It is very important for the cell to control the heat shock response at the transcriptional level, otherwise higher or low activation of this response would compromise the protein homeostasis and the energetic balance of the cell (Spriggs et al. 2010; de Nadal et al. 2011). The best understood regulatory mechanisms are those of Eukaryotes. Invertebrates have only one Heat Shock Factor (HSF) which acts as the transcription factor activating the cellular response to stress, while the vertebrates and plants have four of them, HSF1-HSF4 (Akerfelt et al. 2010). The master regulator is HSF-1, while the others are involved also in other cellular functions like
development and cellular lifespan. HSP transcription is controlled by Heat Shock Elements (HSEs) found upstream of the hsp genes in multiple copies. Under normal physiological conditions, HSF-1 is kept in a monomeric form by interacting with Hsp90, and according to recent evidences also with Hsp40/70 system of chaperones (Guo et al. 2001). It is thought to be phosphorylated in the monomeric form, or after trimerization on the DNA (Shamowsky et al. 2006). When cells are under stress conditions, Hsp90 chaperones release the HSFs because they are needed to deal with the unfolded proteins that accumulate in the cell, so HSFs are free to form trimer (Shi et al. 1998). There is also another contributing factor of a Ribonucleoprotein complex made of eukaryotic Elongation Factor-1A (eEF1A) and Heat-Shock RNA-1 (HSR-1), a noncoding RNA species with a thermosensing capacity, which upon heat stress undergoes a conformational change, and together with eEF1A facilitates HSF1 trimerization (Shamowsky et al. 2006). After trimerization, HSF1 DNA-Binding Domain (DBD) is exposed, it enters the nucleus, and binds to HSEs. There it causes the release of a preinitiated paused RNA Polymerase II complex, causing a rapid cellular response to the damaged proteins in the cell. When the cellular response to stress is finished, it is bound again by Hsp90 and it is also acetylated in certain residues (Petesch et al. 2008). The mechanism is shown in figure 1.12.

Once the heat shock response is activated, there are major changes in the cell to cope with the danger of unfolded and aggregated proteins (Spriggs et al. 2010). The main effectors are the Eukaryotic Translation Initiation Factor 4E (eIF4E), eIF2a, eIF4G family members and the stress granules, which are cytoplasmic RNA-protein complexes containing non-translating mRNAs, translation initiation components and other additional proteins that affect mRNA function (Buchan et al. 2009). They are activated by stress and affect mRNA translation and stability. Translation Initiation Factors are phosphorylated in certain residues, and so protein synthesis is halted, while the stress granules are activated. The net result is a transient decrease in the biogenesis of growth-related proteins, and an increase in the synthesis of stressrelated proteins (Lopez-Maury et al. 2008; de Nadal et al. 2010; de Nadal et al. 2011).


Figure 1.12: HSF1 Activation. (Akerfelt at al. 2010)

There are indications that pre-formed transcription complexes, and translation of existing transcripts in stress granules, generates proteins more rapidly than would de novo transcriptional induction, making it crucial for the initial adaptive response to stress. Simultaneously with the induction of HSP gene transcription, there is an increase in the efficiency of HSP mRNA translation, but a decrease in the global rates of protein synthesis (de Nadal et al. 2011).

Transcriptional regulation of Heat Shock Response in Bacteria is done by at least two different mechanisms: The first one is Positive Regulation, in which a specific Sigma Factors, in E.coli particularly Sigma Factor $\sigma^{32}(\mathrm{RpoH})$, upregulates the expression of its target genes during heat shock. $\sigma^{32}$ factor is negatively regulated by DnaJ/K machinery which recruits it in normal physiological conditions. During heat shock, or other stress conditions, the misfolded proteins bind to $\mathrm{DnaJ} / \mathrm{K}$ complex so $\sigma^{32}$ factor is released and it will direct RNA Polymerase to at least 30 of its target genes involved in heat shock response and express them. The $\sigma^{32}$ factor upregulation is done by increased transcription rate of rpoH mRNA and by stabilization of the transcript. After the stress is over, $\sigma^{32}$ factor is again bound by DnaJ/K complex and it is sent to FtsH protease for degradation (Gross, 1996; Yura et al. 2000).

The second mechanism is the Negative Regulation, which depends on a repressor protein or a cis-acting element binding to the promoter region of the target genes (Narberhaus, 1999). When temperature is increased, the DNA-Repressor interaction is abolished, and the promoter site is open for interaction with RNA Polymerase, and as a result the heat shock genes are induced. One example is the Controlling Inverted Repeat of Chaperone Expression (CIRCE), which acts as a binding site for a repressor protein HrcA, and also promotes rapid mRNA turnover by forming a destabilizing secondary structure (Zuber et al. 1994). HrcA depends on GroEL/ES chaperonins to maintain it in the correct conformation, and during heat shock, the chaperonin is recruited to help correct folding of other proteins. This deprives HrcA from attaining its correct 3D structure, and as a result its release from the promoter regions of the target genes and bound mRNAs, taking off the brakes for heat shock response (Babst et al. 1996; Mogk et al. 1997).

There are also other emerging mechanisms involving short mRNAs, especially in the Rhizobium genus. These short RNAs attain a secondary structure and bind to the mRNA's 5'-UTR region, masking the Ribosome Binding Site (RBS) and as a result blocking translation. During heat shock, the secondary structure of this short RNA is destabilized, and as a result it is released, relieving the heat shock response genes (Naberhaus et al. 1996; Naberhaus et al. 1998; Nocker et al. 2001). There are also other mechanisms, but these three, and a combination of positive and negative repression are the best understood to date (Narberhaus, 2002).

The least understood mechanisms of Heat Shock Response are those of Archaea. The main problem is that Archaea have transcriptional machinery homologous to that of eukaryotes, including the TATA-Binding Protein (TBP), TFIIB, named TFB in Archaea, and an RNA Polymerase II (Bell et al. 1998; Soppa, 1999). On the other hand, the transcriptional regulators are homologous to the bacterial ones. Since they do not have any $\sigma$-like factors similar to bacteria, or Heat Shock Factors (HSFs) and Heat Shock Elements (HSEs) like Eukaryotes, their heat shock response mechanisms are not clear (Thompson et al. 1998; Thompson et al. 1999).

One of the best studied mechanisms is the heat shock response of Pyrococcus furiosus Archaeon. These are hyperthermophilic organisms growing optimally at about $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Their heat shock response is regulated by the heat shock regulator Phr. In a study to find out the minimal promoter sequence of HSR in Pyrococcus furiosus, they found that the promoter of AAA+ ATPase and other heat shock proteins had a typical TATA-box at -25 followed by a purine-rich BRE element, while the cisacting elements are poorly defined. A consensus sequence was defined where Phr regulator binds. The way in which heat response is activated however is different from Eukaryotes and Prokaryotes: Phr binding to this consensus sequence prevents RNA polymerase recruitment at target gene promoters under optimal growth conditions. During stress response, the protein is released and as a result transcription is initiated (Keese et al. 2010).

In another study in Haloferax and Halobacteria sp. NRC-1, Lu et al. (2008) studied the transcription mechanism of the heat-induced $h s p 5$ gene. They found the essential heat-shock response elements to be confined at the region between TATA box and BRE. However, the way transcription is activated is different from that of Pyrococcus furiosus. In these species, under heat shock, some heat-inducible general transcription factors such as TFB2 and TFBb associate with different TBPs in an analogous way to the Bacteria's alternative $\delta$-factors to modulate the heat-shock response.

Hyperthermophiles, in addition to induction of HSP genes, accumulate compatible solutes such as di-myo-inositol phosphate (DIP) as much as 20 -folds or terhalose (Santos et al. 2002). These are what are currently known for the Archaea heat shock response, and it is clear that major research is required in this field.

### 1.3.4. Structural Characteristics of Small Heat Shock Proteins

As stated in the previous sections, the Small Heat Shock Proteins are very diverse in their primary sequence and their oligomerization. In figure 1.13 a sequence alignment of the proteins whose structures are determined is shown.

| HSPB1_HUMAN | MTERRVPFSLLRGPSW--- | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HSPB6_RAT | -MEIRVPVQPSWLRR | 14 |
| CRYAB_HUMAN | -MDIAIHHPWIRR | 12 |
| CRYAA BOVINE | --MDIAIQHPWFKR | 12 |
| Q8UUZ $\overline{6}$ _ ZEBRAFISH | --MDIAIQHPWFRR | 12 |
| HSPS_METJA | -MFGRD | 5 |
| Q970-59_SULTO | -MYYLG | 5 |
| HSP26_YEAST | MSFNSPFFDFFDNINNEVDAFNRLLGEGGLRGYAPRRQLANTPAKDSTGKEVARPNNYAG | 60 |
| HS16B_WHEAT | MSIVRRTNVFD- | 11 |
| Q8PNC2_XANAC | MNIVRYPQFPTH | 12 |
| HSPB1_HUMAN | --DPFRDWYPHSRLFDQAFGLPRLPEEWSQWLGGSSWPGYVRPLPPAAIESPAVAAPAYS | 74 |
| HSPB6_RAT | ASAPLPGFSTPGRLFDQRFGEGLLEAELASLCPAAIAPYYLR---------------APS | 59 |
| CRYAB HUMAN | PFF---PFHSPSRLFDQFFGEHLLESDLFPTSTSLSPFYLRP----------------PSE | 54 |
| CRYAA BOVINE | TLG---PF-YPSRLFDQFFGEGLFEYDLLPFLSSTISPYYRQ---------------- | 53 |
| Q8UUZ $\overline{6}$ _ ZEBRAFISH | TLG------YPTRLFDQFFGEGLFDYDLFPFTTSTVSPYYRH---------------SLE | 51 |
| HSPS_METJA | PFD-----SLFERMFKEFFATPMTGTTMIQ--SSTGIQISGK | 40 |
| Q970-59_SULTO | KEL-----QK | 22 |
| HSP26_YEAST | ALY-----DPRDETLDDWFDNDLSLFPSGFGF | 90 |
| HS16B_WHEAT | PFA-----DLWADPFDTFR----SIVPAISGGGSET---AAF | 41 |
| Q8PNC2_XANAC | ALQ-----NEIKHVFDRFF----EQ----NGDTDESAVVTAQ | 41 |
|  |  |  |
| HSPB1_HUMAN | RALSRQLSSGVSEIRHTADRWRVSLDVNHFAPD---ELTVKTKDG-VVEITGKHEE---- | 126 |
| HSPB6_RAT | VAL------PTAQVPTDPGYFSVLLDVkhFSPE---EISVKVVGD-HVEVHARHEE---- | 105 |
| CRYAB_HUMAN | LRAPSWFDTGLSEMRLEKDRFSVNLDVKHFSPE---ELKVKVLGD-VIEVHGKHEE---- | 106 |
| CRYAA BOVINE | RTVLD---SGISEVRSDRDKFVIFLDVKHFSPE---DLTVKVQED-FVEIHGKHNE---- | 102 |
| Q8UUZ ${ }^{\text {_ }}$ _ ZEBRAFISH | RNILDSSNSGVSEVRSDREKFTVYLDVKHFSPD---ELSVKVTDD-YVEIQGKHGE---- | 103 |
| HSPS_METJA | ---G-FMPISIIEGDQHIKVIAWLPGVNKE---DIILNAVGD-TLEIRAKRSPL-MI | 88 |
| Q970D9_SULTO | ---V-YPPVDMYEEGGYLVVVADLAGFNKE---KIKARVSGQNELIIEAEREITEP- | 71 |
| HSP26_YEAST | --V-AVPVDILDHDNNYELKVVVPGVKSKKDIDIEYHQNKNQ-ILVSGEIPSTLNE | 142 |
| HS16B_WHEAT | --A-NARMDWKETPEAHVFKADLPGVKKE---EVKVEVEDGNVLVVSGERTK-EKE | 90 |
| Q8PNC2_XANAC | ------W-VPRVDIKEEVNHFVLYADLPGIDPS---QIEVQMDKG-ILSIRGERKS-ESS | 89 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| HSPB1_HUMAN | -RQDEHGYIS----RCFTRKYTLPPG--VDPTQVSSSISPEGTLTVEAPMPKLA--TQSN | 177 |
| HSPB6_RAT | -RPDEHGFIA----REFHRRYRLPPG--VDPAAVTSALSPEGVLSIQATPASAQAS---- | 154 |
| CRYAB_HUMAN | -RQDEHGFIS----REFHRKYRIPAD--VDPLTITSSLSSDGVLTVNGPRKQ----VSGP | 155 |
| CRYAA BOVINE | -RQDDHGYIS----REFHRRYRLPSN--VDQSALSCSISADGMLTFSGPKIPSGVDAGHS | 155 |
| Q8UUZ $\overline{6}$ _ ZEBRAFISH | -RQDDHGYIS----REFHRRYRLPSN--VDQSAITCTISADGLITLCGPKT-SGIDAGRG | 155 |
| HSPS_METJA | TESERIIYSEIPEEEEIYRTIKLPAT--VKEENASAKFEN-GVLSVILPKAESSIKKG-- | 143 |
| Q970 ${ }^{\text {D }}$ _SULTO | ----GVKYLTQ-R-PKYVRKVIRLPYNVAKDAEISGKYEN-GVLTIRIPIAGTSV----- | 119 |
| HSP26 YEAST | ESKDKVKVKES-SSGKFKRVITLPDYPGVDADNIKADYAN-GVLTLTVPKLKPQKDGKNH | 200 |
| HS16B_WHEAT | DKNDKWHRVER-SSGKFVRRFRLLEDA--KVEEVKAGLEN-GVLTVTVPKAEVKKPEVKA | 146 |
| Q8PNC 2 _XANAC | TETERESRIER-RYGSFHRRFALPDSA--DADGITAAGRN-GVLEIRIPKRPAATPRR-- | 143 |
|  | - |  |
| HSPB1_HUMAN | EITIPVTFESRAQLGGPEAAKSDETAAK 205 |  |
| HSPB6_RAT | ---LPSPPAK----------------- 162 |  |
| CRYAB_HUMAN | ERTIPITREEKPAVTAAPKK-------- 175 |  |
| CRYAA_BOVINE | ERAIPVSREEKPSSAPSS---------- 173 |  |
| Q8UUZ $\overline{6}$ _ZEBRAFISH | DRTIPVTREDKSNSGSSS---------- 173 |  |
| HSPS METJA | ---INIE--------------------- 147 |  |
| Q970-D9_SULTO | ---IKI---------------------- 123 |  |
| HSP26_Y ${ }^{\text {P }}$ ESST | VKkIEVSSQESW--GN------------ 214 |  |
| HS16B_WHEAT | IQISG-------------------- 151 |  |
| Q8PNC2_XANAC | --IQV-G----NGQN-TS--GSTVQ-- 158 |  |

Figure 1.13: Sequence Alignment of Structurally Resolved sHSPs. Residues comprising $\beta$-strands are in colored. The ACD comprises $\beta 2-\beta 9$ strands. It is marked by the line. The conserved I/L-X-I/L motif in the C-terminal extension is inside the box. Abbreviations for organism names: Human HSPB1 and HSPB6; Rat, Rattus rattus; Bovine, Bos taurus ; Zebrafish, Danio rerio; Metja, Methanocaldococcus janaschii; Sulto, Sulfolobus tokodaii; Yeast, Saccharomyces.cerevisiae; Wheat, Triticum aestivum; Xanac, Xanthomonas axonopodis. Alignment was generated using ClustalW, and then the C-terminal region was adjusted to align the $\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{L}-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{L}$ motif for some sequences.

The flagship of sHSPs is the ACD, whose topology is conserved with some minor differences. The human ACD contains seven $\beta$-strands forming the $\beta$-sheet, while the invertebrate sHSPs have eight such strands. The difference is as a result of the human's $\beta-6$ and $\beta-7$ coming together and forming a single strand called $\beta 6+7$, which forms an interface for dimerization (Laganowsky et al. 2010; Bagneris et al. 2009; Clark et al. 2011; Baranova et al. 2011; Jehle et al. 2010). On the other hand, the invertebrates have two distinct $\beta$-strands, and their dimerization mode is different. Instead of a dimer interface, the monomers are held together by the interaction of $\beta-6$ strand of one monomer mainly with $\beta-2$ and $\beta-3$ of the other monomer. There are also other interactions involved, but these are the best established to date (Kim et al. 1998; van Montfort et al. 2001, Takeda et al. 2011; Basha et al. 2011; Mchaurab et al. 2009). A whole list of interactions was published by Kim et al. (1998) for the MjHsp 16.5 protein, and they can be extended to others as well. Representative structures for the two modes of dimerization are shown in figure 1.14.

There are some small differences apparent from figure 1.14. One of them is that MjHsp 16.5 contains an additional $\beta$-strand, called $\beta$-1. It is still a matter of debate whether this strand is part of the N -terminus or part of $\alpha$-Crystallin (Kim et al., 1998, Haslbeck et al. 2008, Machourab et al. 2008, Bertz et al. 2010, Mchourab et al. 2012). Since MjHsp16.5 was the first resolved structure, and in the latter resolved sHSPs structures no $\beta 1$ strand was found, the conventional naming of the strands starts from $\beta 2$ (Horwitz, 2009, Machourab et al. 2009). Another difference is that StHsp14.0 has in one monomer a short 3-residue $\beta 10$ strand, and also a short other strand between $\beta 7$ and $\beta 8$. It is though that the later is an artifact of experimental conditions, while for the former there are indications that it is be real due to secondary structure predictions (Takeda et al., 2011; Hanazono et al. 2012).

The human $\alpha \mathrm{B}$-Crystalline structure does not have a $\beta 2$ strand according to the current experimental data even though a $\beta$-strand secondary structure is predicted (Jehle et al. 2011; Braun et al. 2011). The reason for not being able to resolve it is the high degrees of freedom of the residues in the region.


Figure 1.14: The Two Main Modes of sHSP Dimerization. (a) M.janaschii MjHsp16.5 dimer, PDB 1SHS (Kim et al., 1998). (b) T.aestivum WtHsp16.9, PDB 1GME (van Montfort et al., 2001). (c) Human aB-Crystallin, PDB 2KLR (Jehle et al., 2010). (d) S.tokodaii StHsp14.0, PDB 3AAB (Takeda et al., 2011). N-terminal region when present is colored dark blue. The C-terminal region is colored cyan. $\alpha$-Cryltallin is colored magneta and dark grey for each monomer. The $\beta-6$ strand though it is part of $\alpha$-Cryltallin it is colored red for clarity. Note that is not shown in (a) due to the structure being unrefined in PDB, though it is stated in the original article (Kim et al., 1998; Quinlan et al. 2013), while in (c) it is $\beta 6+7$. All the images were generated and modified by UCSF Chimera.

Recently Jehle et al. (2011) and used structural data together with modeling to determine the secondary structure and even the 3D structure of the N -terminal region of human $\alpha \mathrm{B}$-Cryltallin. They showed that it is composed of two $\alpha$-helices and two $\beta$-strands. Up to date, two modes of dimerization have been observed in the resolved structures of sHSPs, and based on this, sHSPs are divided into two classes. Class I includes the mammals, specifically humans (Laganowsky et al. 2010a; Jehle et al. 2010), bovine (Laganowsky et al. 2010b), rat (Bagneris et al. 2009), and also zebrafish which is a Eukaryote (Laganowsky et al. 2010b). As stated above, they have an extended $\beta$-strand including $\beta 6$ and $\beta 7$, and so it is called $\beta 6+7$. These extended $\beta 6+7$ strands run in antiparallel directions in the two monomers. There are two important salt bridges formed between residues that hold the monomers together in human $\alpha$ B-Crystallin between R120-D109 and D80-R107 from each monomer
(Jehle et al. 2010). Since the orientation is antiparallel, four such salt bridges are found in each dimer interface. These interactions are conserved among mammalain sHSPs. These proteins are polydisperse in nature and for this reason there are no full 3D structures of them. Despite this, Mass Spectrometry and Cryo-Electron Microscopy (Cryo-EM) have shown many structural details and possible action mechanisms (McDonald et al. 2012; Stengel et al. 2010; Jaya et al. 2009; Benesch et al. 2010), as will be explained in the coming sections.

Class II includes the archaeal (Kim et al. 1998; Takeda et al. 2011; Hanazono et al. 2012), wheat (van Montfort et al. 2001), and yeast sHSPs, though the last one's structure is not solved neither by X-Ray nor by NMR, but it is relayed on structure prediction and Cryo-EM data (White et al. 2006; Benesch et al. 2010). Their dimmerization, as shown in figure 1.14 is different. It occurs mainly through swapping of the $\beta 6$ strand of one monomer to an interface created mostly by $\beta 2$ and $\beta 3$ of the other monomer. There are also additional interactions detected by NMR for the WtHsp16.9 (van Montfort et al., 2001) like the salt bridge between E100 and R108, which are the counterparts of Human R120 and D109, though the studies on this area are not complete. This is thought to be the main contributor of the regular monodisperse structure for these sHSPs (Haslbeck et al. 2008), making it possible for them to be crystallized and resolved in multimeric forms. Another support for it are the Cryo-EM images obtained when these sHSPs are alone or with substrates, and in both cases they are observed as round regular structures (Haslbeck et al. 1999; Shi et al. 2006; Haslbeck et al. 2008; Benesch et al. 2010).

### 1.3.5. Packing of the Dimmers to Form Higher Oligomeric Assemblies

The only assemblies which have been studied by high-resolution methods are the ones for monodisperse, class II sHSPs. For the other class no high-resolution, multimeric assembly structures exist to date except for EM and Cryo-EM images (Lee et al. 1997; Stengel et al. 2010; Jehle et al. 2009). The two best understood monodisperse structures are MjHsp16.5 (Kim et al., 1998) and WtHsp16.9 (van Montfort et al., 2001), which despite similarities have also differences. They have in
common the interaction of a conserved motif at the edge of C-terminal tail made of I/L-X-I/L residues, which interact with a groove formed by $\beta 4$ and $\beta 8$ strands at the edge of $\alpha$-Cryltallin of the adjacent dimer. This motif is conserved in $95 \%$ of sHSPs studied to date. What is thought to form different assemblies is the angle of a hinge linking the C -terminus to $\alpha$-Cryltallin domain. A $30^{\circ}$ angle difference is thought to make MjHsp 16.5 form 24-mer spherical oligomers while WtHsp16.9 forms two hexameric discs to assemble in a final dodecameric structure (Kim et al., 1998; van Montfort et al. 2001). Another contributor to the oligomeric assembly is the Nterminus, but not in all of them. In WtHsp16.9 this region seems to be essential, since the two hexameric discs are knotted to each other through this particular region. In MjHsp16.5 deletion of this region does not change the size of oligomers. This shows that each class of sHSPs have their own specific oligomerization properties (Bertz et al. 2010; Mchaurab et al. 2010; Basha et al. 2011).

As for the polydisperse sHSPs, there are no full high-resolution structures (Basha et al. 2011). By using a combination of methods such as NMR, EM, SAXS and Molecular Modeling they have started to elucidate important interactions and build plausible models of how these proteins come together and function (Jehle et al. 2011; Braun et al. 2011). Jehle et al. (2010) described the first such model of $\alpha$ BCsystallin. By using homology modeling and data from Solid-State NMR they determined the missing 65 N -terminal residues from the high resolution structure. The proposed secondary structure is made up of two $\alpha$-helixes and two antiparallel $\beta$ strands. Further investigation showed that three dimers come together to form a hexamer, through interactions of the C-terminus with the groove formed by $\beta 4 / 8$ of one of the monomers in the adjacent dimer. Than four of such triangular hexamers were fitted into an $\alpha \mathrm{B}$-Crystallin EM density map, forming four three-fold axis and three two-fold axis (Figure 1.15.a). Then they carried out further NMR studies to determine the distance between certain residues in the N -terminal region so that their 3D arrangement could be decided. After that the predicted structure of 65 residues was fitted in the EM density map together with the rest of the resolved $\alpha B$-Crystallin (Figure 1.15.b).


Figure 1.15: The Construction of Polydisperse Human $\boldsymbol{\alpha B}$-Crystallin. (a) An EM map and the dimmers resolved by NMR fit inside the shell. (b) Addition of modeled 1-65 residues to the N-terminus of the structure and filling of the empty cavity in the middle of the shell. (c) Addittion of C-terminal residues with half of them being oriented to the outside. (Jehle at al. 2011)

The Cryo-EM is an empty shell, and this empty region was filled by inserting the predicted region of the first 65 residues. This is in agreement with other Cryo-EM models from other sHSP proteins, but due to the hight flexibility of the $\alpha$-chains at the N-terminus, no such density could be obtained for the human sHSPs (Peschek et al. 2009). Based on mutagenesis studies, it was found that $\alpha B$-Crystallins lacking residues 1-68 fail to form oligomers, while those lacking the first 35 residues form large oligomers comparable to the wild-type protein (Augusteyn, 1998; Kundu et al. 2004). This led the authors conclude that the second half of the N -terminus is the essential region for oligomerization (Jehle et al. 2011). Further investigation of the C-terminal residues showed that as a result of the different orientation of the ACD which is reflected to the C-terminus, half of the monomeric subunits have C-terminus facing the inside of the shell, and the other half facing the outside of it (Figure 1.15.c). Moreover, residues $1-44$ are very flexible, showing that they are highly dynamic due to the exchange of the subunits among the multimeric ensembles. Then they increased the number of multimeric subunits by fitting dimmers in the "windows" formed from the hexamers, forming higher oligomeric structures.

This model was the first study in such details of a polydisperse sHSP and it found some very important interactions involved in every level of sHSPs multimerization. Thus, ACD are responsible for dimer formation, the C-terminus is responsible for defining the hexameric units, which are the base to form higher oligomers, which in turn interact together by second half of the N -terminus. In a later study by Mchaourab et al. (2012) proposed that the N-terminus may actually be a determinant of polydispesity. They base their hypothesis on experiments where the middle domain of the N-terminus from Hsp27, a human polydisperse sHSP, was transferred to the N -terminus of the monodisperse MjHsp 16.5 and caused this protein to form oligomers of 48 subunits.

### 1.3.6. Chaperone Activity Assays

The most widely used assay for chaperone activity is substrate protection from aggregation measured by light scattering. In this assay, the substrate together with sHSPs is heated at a temperature it normally aggregates, and in this way the protection efficiency of sHSPs is measured. They have found that different ratios of substrate/sHSP are sufficient to prevent aggregation, and this depends on the substrate. The suppression of light scattering reflects the competition of the substrate with itself resulting in aggregation, and the chaperone which will protect it from aggregating (Das et al. 1999; Ehrnsperger et al. 1997; Lee et al. 1997; Stromer et al. 2003; Shashidharamurthy et al. 2005; Haslbeck et al. 2008; Basha et al. 2011). What has turned out to be the rule is that the larger the molecular weight of the substrate, the higher molar ratio of sHSPs is needed to prevent aggregation (Stromer et al. 2003; Hasleck et al. 2008; Machaurab et al. 2009; Basha et al. 2011). Another method is Size-Exclussion Chromatography (SEC), where the oligomers size can be observed, either alone or with substrate. It is used widely to measure the size and distribution of oligomers depending on the substrate/sHSP molar ratio, or on temperature. The regularity observed is that complexes become smaller as the ratio of sHSPs to substrate increases, which makes sense because higher sHSP concentration practically would prevent substrate aggregation (Lee et al. 1997;

Berengian et al. 1999; Haslbeck et al. 1999; Giese et al. 2002; Stromer et al. 2003; Shi et al. 2006; Cheng et al. 2008; Jaya et al. 2009; Takeda et al. 2011). A third method is substrate activity protection assay. Here, the substrate's activity is measured at higher temperatures than its optimal, generally at denaturing temperatures together with sHSPs at different molar ratios. Then enzyme activity is measured in the classical way, and in this manner, the protection efficiency of sHSPs is measured (Kocabiyik et al. 2012; Quinlan et al. 2013). This method is the most effective one to measure the chaperone activity, but is not very widespread due to the difficulty and the stringent conditions to carry out enzyme assay.

### 1.3.7. Chaperone Action Mechanisms of sHSPs.

Although the importance of sHSPs in proteostasis is well established, their exact role and action mechanism are still unknown. One model proposes that under extreme stress conditions, sHSPs provide an energy-independent mechanism to buffer the unfolding proteins (Koteiche et al. 2006). This energy-independence is crucial since during stress the energy reserves of the cell are generally depleted (Nadal et al. 2011). So they act as reservoirs for non-native proteins to be refolded back to their native state by the other ATP-dependent chaperones or degraded to avoid their toxic effects (Koteiche et al. 2006; Hasslbeck et al. 2008; Mchaourab et al. 2009; Basha et al. 2011).

The mechanism by which sHSPs interact with the substrate has been a long dispute, and two models are proposed for it: the model that proposes sHSP oligomer dissociation during stress, here called "Dissociation Model", and the other model proposes that sHSP oligomer binds to the substrate without dissociation, here called "Non-Dissociation Model".

The recent research with Mass Spectrometry and FRET seem to favor the dissociation model (Giese et al. 2002; Giese et al. 2004; Shashidharamurthy et al. 2005; Mchaourab et al. 2009; Stengel et al. 2009; Jehle et al. 2010; Benesch et al. 2010). According to it, the original oligomeric structure, for example 12-mer for wheat and 24-mer for M.janaschii serve as reserves of sHSPs and at the same time
protects them from unwanted interactions with native proteins. When temperature is increased, or a protein is destabilized by stress or mutations, sHSPs will be activated. In the case of high temperature, chaperone activity can be explained by thermosensitivity of sHSPs and as a result their dissociation to bind the non-native proteins and re-associate back into big multimeric units. However, in the case of a substrate protein destabilized by mutation, the way in which sHSPs are activated is not known (Shashidharamurthy et al. 2005; Koteiche et al. 2006; Mchaourab et al. 2009).

To explain this, two mechanisms are proposed: One mechanism proposes that that the "windows" in the shell of the oligomer, or the C-termini facing the outside of the shell (figure 1.15 c ) would leave open hydrophobic pockets, especially the $\beta 4 / \beta 8$ groove, which in turn will be used by the substrate to bind and induce sHSPs activation and subsequent dissociation (Jehle et al. 2011; Braun et al. 2011). The second mechanism proposes that in normal physiological conditions, sHSPs are not all in a regular multimeric form, but rather they are in a dynamic equilibrium where multimers of different subunits associate and dissociate in a continuous manner. These free units serve as sensors of destabilized proteins, bind them, and then associate into big oligomeric subunit with the substrate to protect them from aggregation. Then by a concentration-dependent mechanism, the majority "reserve" sHSPs will dissociate and bind more of the destabilized substrate proteins to protect them from aggregation, shifting the equilibrium towards dissociation of unbound chaperones and then re-association with the substrate (Giese et al. 2004; Shashidharamurthy et al. 2005) (Figure 1.16). The first model is based on results from Size-Exclussion Chromatography (SEC), where a single peak is observed, however this peak is very broad, suggesting a range of oligomeric species are present in the cell (Lee et al. 1997; Horwitz, 2003; Stromer et al. 2003; Kappe et al. 2004; Cheng et al. 2008). The second mechanism is based on results from fluorescence label experiment that monitor the subunit exchange of oligomers during physiological and stress temperature.


Figure 1.16: Proposed Mechanism of sHSPs Binding to Their Substrates. (a) sHSPs during normal conditions. There is a sHSP reserve in high oligomeric form, and a small percentage of it is dissociated into smaller oligomers, starting from dimers and more. (b) During stress, the equilibrium shifts to the right, with the "reserve" sHSPs dissociating, and then associating with the unfolded substrate to form large sHSP-Substrate complexes.

Experimental evidence suggests that the exchange speed increases when temperature is increased, but exchange continues in a steady state even in physiological conditions (Franzman et al. 2005; Benesch et al. 2010). Another support for the second model is from experimetns performed with Mass Spectrometry, where a range of subunits are detected in the cell and quantified. Results suggested that such species are present in the cell, but their low concentration and the low resolution of mass spectrometry prevent their quantification. They are proposed to be the sensors of destabilized proteins in the cell (Benesch et al. 2010; Stengel et al. 2009; Jehle et al. 2011). Research by Ghosh et al. (2005) based on computational studies and pin array assays to determine different regions of the human $\alpha \mathrm{B}$-Crystalline involved in interactions with different substrate proteins also supports the second model. According to their data, different regions of the sHSP protein interact with different
substrates with different affinities, explaining at the same time the differential expression of sHSPs in different organs in higher eukaryotes (Ghosh et al. 2005; Ghosh et al. 2006). This substrate preference for buried regions of the $\alpha \mathrm{B}$-Crystalline is difficult to be reconciled with the multimer binding the destabilized substrate without exposing buried hydrophobic regions of the chaperone. Dissociation of the sHSP multimer is required to expose these substrate binding sites. Whatever the substrate-binding mechanism, the sHSPs after binding the substrate will try to keep it from aggregating, and sent it for refolding to the chaperones that use ATP, especially Hsp40/70 system. If these systems cannot refold the misfolded protein, then it is sent to the degradation pathway.

The non-dissociation model was proposed by Franzmann at al. (2005) for yeast ScHSP26. They found that this sHSP showed chaperone activity even after chemical cross-linking, meaning that it is not necessary for the sHSP to dissociate for binding and protecting the substrate. Further investigation revealed a very different mechanism from the dissociation model discussed above: Yeast Hsp26 cannot act as a chaperone unless it is activated by heat, and moreover they found a region at the N terminus that served as a thermosensor. The activity of this sHSP is dependent on global changes in the secondary and tertiary structure of the protein, while its oligomeric assembly is left intact. In this protein, subunit exchange is independent of the chaperone activity, and even though it is continuously occurring in the cell, unless the cells are exposed to heat treatment, there is no interaction with the substrate, even in cases when it is heat-denatured before incubated with the Hsp26 (Franzman et al. 2008). However, the latest reports by Benesch at al. (2010) based on high resolution Mass Spectrometry (MS) cast some doubts on it. They used MS to quantify the oligomeric distribution of yeast HSP26, and found that at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the main assembly is the 24-mer, while with the increasing of the temperature higher dispersed oligomers are formed, the majority of them being 40 -mers. This would imply temperature-dependent dissociation of the reserve 24-mer and re-association into larger 40-mers together with the substrate (Benesch et al. 2010). But still a distinction of ScHsp26 is its inability to bind heat-denatured proteins unless heat-
treated, making it unfeasible to bind and protect proteins destabilized by mutations, because its thermosensor domain in the N-terminus is activated only by heat (Franzman et al. 2008). The way by which yeast deals with proteins destabilized by mutation is thought to be by using the second sHSP, Hsp42, which is not characterized yet (Benesch et al. 2010). This new mechanism shows once more the structural and mechanistic versatility of sHSPs and supports the idea that there cannot be a unifying principle for all of them.

Researchers have also tried to figure out the kinetics of sHSP-substrate interactions, and to date many mechanisms were proposed, but the most plausible seems to be the Minimalist Model proposed by Koteiche et al. (2006). Before explaining it, it is worth mentioning the methodology. They did not do the experiments in high temperatures because such extreme in vitro conditions may compromise the function of sHSPs under study, and also create a pool of substrate misfolded states, making a precise mechanism impossible. In other words, when a substrate protein is heated, there will be a whole spectrum of misfolded states, and the results obtained will be only the average of all of them (Koteiche et al. 2006). Instead they used mutant variants of the T4 lysoszyme (T4L) that had been studied before for their stability and whose structures were determined by x-ray crystallography. The variants used had different internal stabilities, meaning some were more stable than the others at a specific temperature, but their 3D structures were the same (Mathews, 1995; Mathews 1996). Based on this, the logic of the experiments was that the less stable T4L variant will bind with a higher affinity to sHSP proteins, and this binding energy could be calculated. Then based on it, a mechanism could be proposed. The whole model is based on three equations, which take into account both the substrate and the chaperone:
(1) $\mathrm{N} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{I}_{1} \rightleftharpoons \ldots \ldots . . \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{I} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{U}$
(2) $\mathrm{sHSP} \rightleftharpoons(\mathrm{sHSP})_{\mathrm{a}}$
$(3)(\mathrm{sHSP})_{\mathrm{a}} \rightleftharpoons{ }_{U}^{I 1} \rightleftharpoons{ }_{C i}^{C 1}$
Equation (1) describes the states of substrate, from native (N), to different levels of
partial unfoding ( $\mathrm{I}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ), to the unfolded state (U). The second equation describes the state of sHSPs, from their inactive condition (sHSP) to high affinity and/or high capacity active state (sHSP) $)_{\mathrm{a}}$. The third equation stands for sHSP and unfolded states of the substrate (Koteiche et al. 2006). As explained above for the mechanisms of sHSP-substrate interaction, experimental data have shown that the sHSPs of some organisms dissociate into smaller oligomers or even mono- or dimers, while those of some other organisms are proposed to stay in their complex structure without dissociating when they bind the misfolded or denatured substrates (Franzman et al. 2005; Haslbeck et al. 2008; Franzman et al. 2008; Mchaourab et al. 2009; Stengel et al. 2009; Benesch et al. 2010; Bertz et al. 2010; McDonald et al. 2012). The importance of the Minimalist Model is that it can explain both of the cases, because according to it the sHSPs in the multimeric form do not need to dissociate, but only be activated. This activation can occur by exposing their substrate binding domains in the environment. As a result, equations (1) and (2) are coupled together, where the activated sHSPs bind to partially unfolded or completely unfolded substartes. Under steady-state conditions, binding reflects an energy preference of the unfolded substrate to interact with chaperones and form different substrate-sHSP complexes ( $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{Ci}$ ). The importance of this mechanism, in contrast to the ones proposed in experiments where the chaperone is first heat-activated and then its substrate protection is measured by light scattering (Franzman et al. 2008) lies in the fact that for a substrate to bind to sHSPs, it is enough for it to be destabilized even by mutations, which is mostly the case in vivo and disease conditions, and the high temperature factor to activate the chaperone does not have to be present (Koteiche et al. 2006; Mchaourab et al. 2009).

### 1.3.8. Structural Rearrangements of the Bound Substrate

Another research area of the sHSP-substrate interaction focuses on the manner the substrate binds to the sHSP oligomers and is protected from aggregation. This was studied by focusing on the structural rearrangement that the substrate undergoes when it is bound to sHSPs. There are reports showing that a whole range of substrate states from destabilized to less misfolded to molten globule bind to sHSPs (Carver et
al. 2002; Stromer et al. 2003; Claxton et al. 2008; Cheng et al. 2008). The question is whether a model can be proposed for the manner of sHSP oligomer-substrate interaction. There is no agreement in the results of experiments that followed different approaches. Based on spin-label experiments and secondary-structure studies, it was found that $\alpha$-Lactalbumin has extensive loss in the secondary structure suggesting extensive unfolding of the protein when it was bound to $\alpha$-Crystallin (Bettelheim et al. 1999; Carver et al. 2002). In contrast, the same experimental approach with $\beta$ - and $\gamma$-Crystallins and rhodanese substarates showed them to retain most of the secondary structure when bound to $\alpha$-Crystallin (Das et al. 1996; Das et al. 1999). In another experiment performed by monitoring substrate HydrogenDeuterium exchange for Malate Dehydrogenase, it was found that this exchange was limited. It means that the substrate when bound to TaHsp16.9 (Wheat) and PsHsp18.1 (P.sativum) is either partially folded or some of its regions are protected in an unfolded way inside the chaperone complex, making them inaccessible to deuterium in solution (Cheng et al. 2008). The most accurate studies in this area seem to be those of Claxton et al. (2008) who used the unstable variant of T4 Lysozyme (T4L) mentioned in the section above together with spin-labeling and energy calculations to determine the level of substrate unfolding when bound to sHSP. Energy calculations based on thermodynamic studies showed two energetically different populations of the substrate, suggesting that either the T4L binds the sHSP complex in two structurally different forms, or it is bound in two thermodynamically different environments. To find out which of these possible interactions is true, spin label was used to measure distances between certain residues. It was found that the distances of specific residues between domains and those at the active sites had increased. At the same time, an increase of the characteristic distance of the residues in the $\alpha$-helix was observed, suggesting a loss in the substrate native structure. Further investigation showed that C-terminus of T4L which is hydrophobic was buried in the low-accessibility region of the chaperone complex, while the N -terminal region is exposed to the environment and has highbackbone dynamics. These results suggest that rather than being two different
conformations of T4L, the two energetically different populations are associated with topologically different binding sites in the sHSP complex (Claxton et al. 2008).

Based on the results of the experiments above, two models of sHSP-substrate interactions were proposed: the Low Affinity and the High Affinity models (Claxton et al. 2008). According to the former, the substrate binds on the surface of the sHSP complex in specific substrate-binding sites, especially the $\beta 4 / 8$ groove where the L/I-X-L/I motif binds. According to the later, the substrate is buried deep inside the sHSP oligomer. This binding may be substrate-specific, as shown above, and as a result unfolding was observed, or condition specific, with less misfolded substrate binding in the low-affinity mode, and the more misfolded one binding in the highaffinity mode (Figure 1.17.a). Cryo-EM studies also support the proposal of two substrate binding environments because they have observed two main oligomeric states of the sHSP complexes (White et al. 2006; Quinlan et al. 2013).


Figure 1.17: The Substrate-sHSP Interaction Mode and sHSPs Oligomers. (a): the two proposed binding modes are shown, the upper figure for high affinity, and the lower lower figure for low affinity model. (b): the two structural models observed by Cryo-EM. The upper structure is the predominant form at stress temperature, while the lower structure is the predominant form at physiologic conditions. ((a) Claxton at al. 2008; (b) Haslbeck at al. 2008).

According to the studies of M.janaschii Hsp16.5, A.fulgidus Hsp20.2 (Haslbeck et al. 2008) and Yeast Hsp26 (White et al. 2006), there are two different complexes of the sHSPs: One is a complex of smaller diameter but with protein density at the center, proposed to be the N -terminus of the sHSPs, while the second complex is bigger in size with no protein density at the center, but rather an empty shell (Figure 1.17.b).

However data from Quinlan et al., (2013) contradict the findings of Haslbeck et al. (2008) on the difference in particle size for the two different complexes of M.janaschii Hsp16.5, finding them equal in size, but proving that one model has a protein density at the center while the other is empty. Haslbeck at al. (2008) proposed that the two multimeric forms of sHSPs observed by Cryo-EM represent two different populations of the chaperone during physiological and stress conditions, based on quantification studies of the two structures in physiological and stress conditions, but the results are not conclusive (Haslbeck et al. 2008).

Regarding the sites of substrate binding to the molecular chaperone oligomer, there is no consensus to date. The first approach to find such sites was used with ANS, and latter its derivative Bis-ANS and UV-Crosslinking, first in GroEL/GroES system and then in sHSPs (Das et al. 1996) Both of them are hydrophobic probes that bind to hydrophobic patches in the proteins and as a result their emission spectra changes. This change can be monitored by fluorescence spectroscopy. Making use of this fact, Seale et al. (1995) used Bis-ANS and then did UV-Crosslinking experiments to form a covalent bond of the probe to the residues it had bound when sHSPs were incubated at high temperature. Then the proteins were sequenced and the binding sites found. Latter chemical cross-linking experiments of sHSPs and substrate proteins performed by other researchers produced different sites, especially in $\alpha$ Crystallin domain and the N-terminus (Ahrman et al. 2007; Ghosh et al; 2006; Jaya et al. 2009). A consensus seems to be formed about the N-Terminus based on sitedirected mutagenesis and deletion. When the complete N -terminal region is deleted in yeast Hsp26, the chaperone activity is abolished altogether, but when shorter patches of it are removed, the chaperone activity falls accordingly (Haslbeck et al. 1999; Stromer et al. 2003). In other studies of M.janaschii Hsp16.5, when the N-
terminal region is deleted, the chaperone activity falls accordingly with the region removed, but when it is removed in its entirety, still there is chaperone activity, in contrast to yeast Hsp26 (Usui et al. 2004). Other studies with human $\alpha$-crystallins have found similar results: When the N -terminus is extended, chaperone activity increases, and when it is deleted, decreases (Shashidharamurthy et al. 2005). In yet another study they have found a correlation of chaperone activity and the hydrophobicity of the N -terminus introduced by site-directed mutagenesis, giving further proof that this region is essential for binding the substrates (McDonald et al. 2012). There are also reports suggesting that the $\beta 4 / 8$ groove where the C-terminal tail I/L-X-I/L motif binds, is also an important substrate-binding site. A competition mechanism is proposed between the substrate and the I/L-X-I/L motif which causes the oligomer dissociation and as a result its binding to the unfolded proteins (Pasta et al. 2004; Jehle et al. 2010; Takeda et al. 2011; Quinlan et al. 2013). If everything is put into perspective, the emerging picture is that there is not a single substratebinding site. This is supported by the experiments of Ghosh et al. (2005) as explained above, where they found different regions of the $\alpha$-Crystallin interacting with different substrate proteins. Even though to date the $N$-terminus and $\alpha$ Crystallin seem to be the major substrate binding sites, for each molecular chaperone of different organisms there are differences and there doesn't seem to be a single substrate binding site (Basha et al. 2011).

### 1.3.9. The Importance of sHSPs in Diseases

Small HSPs are indispensable for proper cellular function (Bukau et al. 2006; Hartl et al. 2011; Kim et al. 2013). Many cellular proteins are targets of sHSPs for their proper folding and function, including cytoskeleton, amyloid fibrils, growth factors and steroid receptors to mention a few (Basha et al. 2011). sHSPs are involved in a number of diseases. The best and most famous example is lens cataract, where mutations in the $\alpha$-Crystallins or post-translation modifications lead to lens crystalline and cytoskeleton aggregation, destroying lens opacity and causing cataract (Clark et al. 2000; Graw, 2009; Horwitz, 2009; Clark et al. 2012). Hsp27 and $\alpha \mathrm{B}$-Crystalline are associated with many neurodegenerative diseases in human

Central Nervous System. These include Parkinson, Alzheimer, Familial Amyloidotic disease, Creutzfeldt-jacob, Amyloid Laterla Schlerosis, Charcot-Marie-Tooth, and Alexander Diseases (Irobi et al. 2004; Selkoe et al. 2004; Simon et al. 2007; Muchowsky et al. 2005; Chaundry et al. 2006; Brown et al. 2007; Wang et al. 2007; Mymrikov et al. 2011).

Small HSPs are also involved in cancer, whose role is thought to be mostly protective against chemotherapy and also against hypoxic stress during the growth of tumor cells (Kamada et al. 2007). Hsp27 was found to be an important regulator of cellular proliferation and apoptosis, making it a strong candidate for carcinogenesis. Its expression is elevated in a number of tumors like astrocytic brain tumors (Assimakopoulou et al. 1997) and Breast cancer (Ciocca et al. 1992). Similar protective results were reported for Hsp22, whose overexpression in Stomach tumors, and proliferating keratinocytes, as well as rat pheochromocytoma (PC12) cells protected them against chemotherapy damage and its overexpression is correlated with aggressive tumorogenesis (Gober et al. 2005), however, in Melanoma, Prostate cancer and Ewing Sarcoma, overexpression of this sHSP induced apoptosis, and as a result protection from tumorogenesis (Gober et al. 2003). Similarly, $\alpha$-Crystallin acted as a negative regulator of tumor in pancreatic cancer (Deng et al. 2010). These results indicate that different classes of sHSPs have different effects from each other, and even the same sHSP class has different effects in different tissues, showing that the effect of sHSPs in tumorogenesis depend largely on the environment they are found (Mymrikov et al. 2011).

Small HSPs are also thought to be involved in diabetes as a result of Hyperglycemic stress, but the exact link is not found yet. In a recent study in diabetic rat, an upregualtion of $\alpha \mathrm{A}-, \alpha \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Crystallins}$ and Hsp22 were found in the model organism's retina, and also phosphorylation of specific residues linked to chaperone activation (Reddy et al. 2013). Last but not least is the protective role of sHSPs in cardiac tissue, especially after ischemic stress. When the hearts of Hsp20 Transgenic mice are put under ischemic stress ex vivo, they show decreased myocyte cell death, smaller infarct size, and improved contraction compared to the hearts of wild-type
organisms. This appears to be the result of the important role Hsp20 has in autophagy activation, which is critical in dealing with ischemic injury (Fan et al. 2005; Qian et al. 2009). Similar results were obtained for Hsp22 and ab-Crystalline transgenic mice (Ray et al. 2001; Depre et al. 2006; Sui et al. 2009). When mice models lacking $\alpha$ B-Crystalline and Hsp27 were challenged with ischemic stress, they showed 2-fold decrease of contractile recovery, and at the same time an increase in apoptosis and necrosis (Ray et al. 2001). However they develop normally under non-stress conditions, indicating that $\alpha \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Crystalline}$ and Hsp27 are not essential for heart development, but rather, they are essential for heart protection during stress (Morrison et al. 2004).

### 1.4.Aim of the Study

The aim of this study was to carry out site-directed mutagenesis and characterize the Archeal small heat shock protein Hsp14.3 from Thermoplasma volcanium.

Firstly, bioinformatics tools were used to characterize the primary structure of Tpv Hsp14.3 protein by comparative sequence analysis and alignment. The protein's secondary and three-dimensional structures were also predicted. After that, based on structure comparison with other sHSPs whose structures were resolved by NMR and X-Ray Crystallography, certain amino acid residues were selected for mutation.

The positions, their counterparts in orthologs, and possible interactions they may be involved in were deduced by superimposition of the predicted models of Tpv Hsp14.3 with resolved 3D structures of different sHSPs.

Then site-directed mutagenesis studies were carried out to make amino acid substitutions at the target sites. The changes introduced by mutagenesis were later confirmed by DNA sequencing.

This was followed by expression of each protein variant in E.coli and purification.
Finally, enzyme activity protection assay was carried out to study the chaperone function of each mutant sHSP as compared to the wild-type protein. Dynamic Light

Scattering spectroscopy was used to observe the alterations in oligomere dynamics at different temperatures.

## CHAPTER 2

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1. Materials

### 2.1.1. Chemicals, Enzymes and Kits

All the chemicals used in these experiments were of molecular biology grade and highest purity possible.

Agarose gel, Ampicllin, Ammonium Persulfate, Ammonium chloride $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}\right)$, Tetracycline, Ethylenediaminetetraacetic Acid (EDTA), Ethidium Bromide (Et-Br), Citrate Synthase (Pig Heart), Glutamate Dehydrogenase (Bovine liver) and Tetramethylethylenediamine (TEMED), purchased from Sigma Chemical Company, Spruce St. St. Louis, Missouri, USA.

Agar was purchased from Acumedia, Baltimore, USA.
Yeast extract was purchased from Difco, Detroit, USA.

Absolute ethanol was from Reidel de Häen, Germany.

TRIS, sodium chloride ( NaCl ), sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), $\beta$-mercaptaethanol, sodium hydroxide $(\mathrm{NaOH})$, $\alpha$-D-Glucose, sodium dihydrogenphosphate $\left(\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)$ magnesium sulfate $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, potassium dihydrogen phosphate $\left(\mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)$, and tryptone were purchased from Merck, Darmstadt, Germany.

Primers used in RT-PCR experiments were synthesized by TIB MOLBIOL GmbH, Berlin, Germany.

Restriction endonucleases SalI and PstI, HindIII, BamHI, SmaI and their buffers were purchased from Fermentas UAB, Vilnuis, Lithuania.

MboII and BamHI were purchased from New England Biolabs Inc. US.

Wizard® Plus SV Minipreps DNA Purification Kit was purchased from Promega Coorperation, Madison, WI, USA.

QIAprep Spin Miniprep Kit and QIAexpressionist kit for protein purification kits were purchased from Qiagen, Hilden, Germany.

Transformer ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit was purchased from Clontech, Takara, Japan.

Stratagene QuikChange ${ }^{\circledR}$ Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit was purchased from Agilent Technologies, USA.

### 2.1.2 Buffers and Solutions

The compositions of the buffers and solutions used in these experiments are given in Appendix A. All buffers and solutions preparations in the lab were done in ultrapure water and sterilized in autoclave (ALP Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan).

### 2.1.3 Molecular Size Marker and Ladders

The molecular size markers for DNA were $\lambda$ DNA/ EcoRI+HindIII Marker; GeneRuler DNA Ladder Mix, Ready-to-Use (100-10,000 bp) and GeneRuler 50 bp DNA Ladder. Molecular size markers for protein was Ready-to-Use PageRuler Prestained Protein Ladder. All of them were purchased from Fermentas UAB, Vilnuis, Lithuania. They are shown in Appendix B.

### 2.2. Media and Strains

### 2.2.1. Bacterial Strains

The plasmid source for all purification, mutagenesis, transformation and sequencing experiments was the recombinant $E$. coli $\mathrm{pQE}-31 / 775$ strain, previously constructed in our laboratory (Kocabiyik et al. 2012).

The bacterium strain E. coli BMH 71-18 mutS was used in mutagenesis experiments by Transformer ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit. (Clontech Takata, Japan)

The bacterial strain E.coli XL1-Blue Supercompetent cells were used in mutagenesis experiments by QuikChange ${ }^{\circledR}$ Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit. (Startagene Agilent Companies, Germany)

### 2.2.2. Cultures and Media

The recombinant E.coli $\mathrm{pQE}-31 / 775$, and E. coli BMH 71-18 mutS cells were grown on LB agar medium ( $1.5 \%$ ) with ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) ampicillin by incubating overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then kept at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The recombinant E.coli XL1-Blue Supercompetent cells were grown on LB agar (2\%) initially, and then their stocks were maintained at $1.5 \%$ LB agar medium. The renewal of media was done regularly every month.

### 2.3. Experimental Methods

### 2.3.1. Plasmid Isolation from Recombinant E.coli $\mathrm{pQE}-31 / 775$ cells

The recombinant $p Q E-3 / 775$ vector containing Thermoplasma volcanium GSS1 tvn0775 gene was isolated from recombinant E.coli pQE-3/775 cells. For this purpose, cells were picked from the plate and transferred into bottles containing 10 ml LB broth with $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ Ampicillin antibiotic. They were incubated overnight by shaking at 207 rpm and $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in Heidolph Unimax 1010 Shaking Incubator (Heidolph Instruments GmbH, Kelheim, Germany). The following day, cells were harvested by centrifugation for 15 minutes at 10.000 g in an IEC Cliniclal Centrifuge (Damon/IEC Division, USA), and the pellet was used for plasmid isolation by two different kits.

### 2.3.1.1. Plasmid Isolation by Wizard® Plus SV Minipreps DNA Purification System Kit

To check the purity of the plasmid, Wizard® Plus SV Minipreps DNA Purification System Protocol was used. The cell pellet was re-suspended in $250 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of Cell Resuspension Solution and then vortexed until all of the pellet was re-suspended. After that, $250 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of Cell Lysis Solution was added and the tubes were inverted 4-6 times each. Then $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of Alkaline Protease Solution was added and the tubes were inverted another 4-6 times. After addition of $350 \mu 1$ of Neutralization Solution the tubes were again inverted 4-6 times. Then the tubes were centrifuged for 10 minutes in Eppendorph Centrifuge at 13000 rpm . The clear cell lysate was transferred in the spin column and was centrifuged at the maximum speed for 1 minute, so that the DNA would bind to the column. The flow-through was discarded and the column was reinserted into the collection tube. Then $750 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of Wash Solution was added. The spin column in the tube was centrifuged at top speed for 1 minute, the flowthrough discarded and the column was inserted into the same collection tube. Then $250 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of Wash Solution was added for a second time and it was centrifuged at the maximum speed for 2 minutes to wash the column thoroughly. Finally, the spin column was transferred into a sterile 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube, and $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of Nuclease-Free Water was added to it. It was then centrifuged at maximum speed for 1 minute at room temperature. Finally, the column was discarded and the eluted DNA sample was stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for further use.

### 2.3.1.2. Plasmid Isolation by QIAprep Spin Miniprep Kit

The plasmids isolated with this Kit were used for mutagenesis experiments and sequencing. The cell pellet was resuspended in $250 \mu \mathrm{l}$ P1 buffer, into which RNase and LyseBlue were added according to the Kit instructions, and then it was transferred in a microcentrifuge tube. $250 \mu 1$ of P2 buffer was added, and tubes were mixed by inverting them 4-6 times. They were then incubated at room temperature for 5 minutes and the color of the lysate turned into homogenous blue. After that, $350 \mu \mathrm{l}$ N 3 buffer was added and the tubes were mixed again by inverting them 4-6 times and incubated in ice for 2 minutes. By the end of this time the blue color had dissapeared. The tubes were then centrifuged for 10 minutes at 13000 rpm in Eppendorph Centrifuge. Then $850 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of supernatant was transferred into QIAprep
spin column by pipetting and centrifuged for 1 minute at 13000 rpm in a microcentrifuge. The flow-through was discarded and $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ PB buffer was added to wash the column and it was centrifuged again 1 minute at 13000rpm. Again, the flowthrough discarded. Then the spin column was washed with $750 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of PE buffer by centrifugation for 1 minute at 13000 rpm and the flow-through was discarded. Centrifugation was repeated once more at 13000 rpm for 1 minute to remove any remaining residual buffer. Then the column was transferred into a sterile 1.5 ml micro-centrifuge tube and DNA was eluted by adding $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ EB buffer and centrifugation for 1 minute at 13000 rpm . The flow-through was then stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for further use.

### 2.3.2. Plasmid Digestion with Restriction Endonucleases

The recombinant pQE-3/775 plasmid purified by one of the methods explained in section 2.3. was digested with restriction enzymes to check for presence of the cloned gene and its characterization. Single digestions were performed with HindIII or SmaI, and double digestions with SalI and PstI. All enzymes were from Fermentas and digestions were done according to the Manufacturer's protocol. The total volume of a digestion solution was $20-30 \mu$. The incubations were done in a Multi-block heater (Lab-Line), for 2 hours for single digests, and 3 hours for the double digests.

### 2.3.3. Agarose Gel Electrophoresis

For plasmid DNA characterization agarose gel electrophoresis (AGE) was used. A submarine agarose gel Mini Sub ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ DNA Cell BioRad Apparatus (BioRad, Richmond, CA, U.S.A.) was used to run the samples. The gel was prepared with 1X TAE buffer ( pH 8.20 ) and $0.8 \%$ agarose with $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ Ethidium Bromide. Then samples were loaded in volumes between $10-20 \mu$ l. Electrophoresis was performed at 70 volts, 70mAmp currency using a BioRad Power Supply 200/2.0 (BioRad, Richmond, CA, U.S.A.). After electrophoresis, visualization and photographing were done in a Vilber Lourmat TFP-M/WL (Marne La Vallee, Cedex 1, France).

### 2.3.4. Site Directed Mutagenesis

### 2.3.4.1. Mutagenesis by Transformer ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit

The principle behind this kit is using two different primers: a selection primer, by which a restriction enzyme cut site in the recombinant plasmid is changed, so that it will not be recognized in the newly synthesized plasmid in vitro and as a result the plasmid will not be linearized, and a Mutagenic Primer, which is designed to change the desired site in the clonned gene. After the plasmid is amplified in vitro, it is then digested with the restriction enzyme whose site is changed by the mutagenic primer. As a result, the parental plasmids will be cut and linearized, but the mutagenic ones will not. This has two advantages: The linear plasmid has a transformation efficiency of about $1 / 100$ of the parental plasmid, and the probability of having both mutations simultaneously is very high. Thus, the chances of transforming the cells with the mutant plasmids increase. For mutagenesis, the protocol in the Kit's manual was followed with slight modifications. Since the procedure is elaborate and involves many steps simultaneously, it will be broken down into subsections.

Preparation of competent cells: First, the cells were prepared: E. coli BMH 71-18 mutS cells were grown on a $1.5 \%$ LB agar plate containing $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ tetracycline antibiotic. They were incubated overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the plate. The next day, a single isolated colony was picked up and transferred in a bottle containing 5 ml of LB broth provided with $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ tetracycline and grown overnight by shaking at 220 rpm and $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ temperature in a Heidolph Unimax 1010 Shaking Incubator (Heidolph Instruments GmbH , Kelheim, Germany). The next day, $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of these cells were transferred into a 250 ml flask containing 50 ml of LB medium without antibiotic. They were incubated by shaking at 220 rpm for about 3 hours, and the absorbance was taken in regular intervals at $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ in a Shimadzu 1601 UV Visible Spectrophotometer (Shimadzu Analytical Co., Kyoto, Japan) until they reached an absorbance of $0.5 \pm 0.03$ as instructed by the Kit. When this value was reached, the cells were chilled in ice for 20 minutes and transferred in centrifuge tubes to be centrifugated for 5 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ temperature at 1200 g in a Sigma 3 K 30 Centrifuge
(Sigma, Germany). The pellet was resuspended in TSS solution and distributed into eppendorph tubes in aliquots. Some of the cells were kept at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for further use.

Preparation of Plasmids and Primers: Both, selection and mutagenic primers were prepared by dilution to $0.5-0.6 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ final concentration. The wild-type plasmid samples were diluted to a final concentration of $0.6-0.7 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mu \mathrm{l}$. The Primers were designed according to the kits instructions, with a length of 18-20 nucleotides for single mutations, and 28 nucleotides for double mutation. The sequence length of selection primers was 26 nucleotides long. Their sequences are shown in the table 2.1. The mutagenic site is bold and underlined.

Plasmid Denaturation and Primer Annealing to the Plasmid: The aim of this step is to seperate the strands of the plasmid and to anneal the primers to their desired sites. The preparations were done as specified in the Kit with appropriate volumes and dilutions. The 10X Annealing buffer (provided by the Kit), Plasmid DNA, the

Table 2.1: Primer Sequences for Transformer Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit

| No. | Primer Name | Primer Sequence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Selection Primers |  | 5'-CTGCAGCCAAGATTAATTAGCTGAGC-3' |
| 1 | HindIII |  |
| 2 | SmaI | 5'-GCTCGGTACCCCAGGTCGACCTGCAG-3' |
| Mut | agenic Primers | 5'-CGATCAGAAGGTTGAC-3' |
| 3 | R81K |  |
| 4 | R81E | 5'-CGATCAGGAGGTTGAC-3' |
| 5 | R81M | 5'-CGATCAGATGGTTGAC-3' |
| 6 | R69K | 5'-GTGCGGAGAAGAAGAGAG-3' |
| 7 | R89E | 5'-GTGCGGAGGAGAAGAGAG-3' |
| 8 | R69M | 5'-GTGCGGAGATGAAGAGAG-3' |
| 9 | QR(80-81)EL | 5'-GTATATATCGATGAGCTCGTTGACAAAG-3' |
| 10 | K87R | 5'-GTGTATAGAGTAGTTAAGC-3' |
| 11 | K87E | 5'-GTGTATGAAGTAGTTAAGC-3' |
| 12 | K87I | 5'-GTGTATATAGTAGTTAAGC-3' |

Selection Primer for restriction enzyme cut site, and Mutagenic Primer for changing the desired site were mixed to form a total volume of $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$, which was then incubated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ pre-boiling water for 3 min . Then, it was immediately chilled in ice for 5 minutes and then briefly centrifuged to collect the solution at the bottom of the tube.

Synthesis of the Mutant DNA Strand: The aim of this step is to synthesize the mutant strand of the plasmid by extending the two primers annealed to the plasmid. To the reaction solution formed previously, Synthesis Buffer, T4 DNA Polymerase and T4 DNA Ligase (all of them provided by the kit), were added. Lastly the final volume was made up to $30 \mu \mathrm{l}$ by adding $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ double-distilled water. After mixing and brief centrifugation, the tubes were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours in a heat-block. Then, the reaction was stopped by heating the tube containing the solution at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes to inactivate the enzymes.

The Primary Selection by Restriction Digestion: The aim of this step is to linearize the plasmids which were not mutated at the restriction enzyme cut sites, since with such plasmids the transformation efficiency will be 100X low in comparison to the circular plasmid. For digestion, $2 \mu$ l HindIII ( 10 units/ $\mu$ l, Roche) was added to the solution described in the "Synthesis of the Mutant DNA Strand" and incubated for an additional 2 hours so that digestion shall take place. After that time period, the reaction was stopped by transferring it into water bath at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to deactivate the restriction enzyme for 5 minutes.

The First Transformation in Bacteria: The aim of this step is to transfer the plasmids into bacteria for in vivo amplification. In each eppendorph, $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ competent cells prepared as described in "Preparation of competent cells" section and $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of digestion solution were added, and then chilled on ice inside refrigerator for 20 minutes. Then they were transferred for 1 min at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ heat block, and immediately transferred into glass tubes containing 1 ml LB medium with no antibiotic. They were incubated for 1 hour at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by shaking at 220 rpm .

Cell Culture and Colony Counting: The last step of the procedure was that of culturing and colony counting. An aliquot ( $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) from each tube containing
transformed cells were transferred it into LB agar plates with ampicilin ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) and tetracycline $(50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})$. The plates were incubated overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the colonies were counted the next day to calculate CFU and transformation efficiency by the formula:

Number of colonies / $\mu \mathrm{g}$ of transferred DNA
Then, from randomly selected colonies, plasmids were isolated as described in section 2.3.1.2 and digested with specific restriction enzymes (section 2.3.2) to find out whether the intended sites had been changed by AGE (Section 2.3.3).

Characterization of Mutants by Restriction Digestion: For characterization of putative mutants created by Transformer ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit, the plasmids were purified by QIAprep Spin Miniprep Kit and a Microcentrifuge, to be used in restriction digestion. After changing the target sites, newly-created restriction sites for BamHI, MboII and BseRI were identified. BamHI digestion was done according to the instructions of the Manufacturer (Fermentas), while digestion with MboII and BamHI was done according to New England Biolabs instructions.

### 2.3.4.2. Transformation by QuikChange ${ }^{\circledR}$ Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit

This kit makes use of the methylation properties of Bacterial DNA. Bacterial and plasmid DNA in E.coli are methylated at every G nucleotide of 3'-GACT-5' sequence and as a result they are recognized as self and protected from digested by the restriction enzymes of the host. On the other hand, DpnI restriction enzyme recognizes and cuts the fully- or hemi-methylated DNA, while it does not digest the unmethylated one. Taking advantage of this enzymes property, the kit selects the mutant plasmid DNA which is synthesized in vitro in the presence of the primers, PfuTurbo DNA polymerase, and DNA ligase. The non-mutant wild-type (wt) plasmid DNA is methylated when it is isolated from bacteria. After cycles of in vitro amplification there will be both parental and mutant plasmids in reaction mixture. When the amplification products are treated with DpnI enzyme, the enzyme will digest the methylated parental DNA and leave the mutant plasmids intact. The latter
are the desired plasmids for transformation, in this way increasing the transformation efficciancy drastically.

All the experiments were performed according to the kit instructions. The primers used in these experiments are shown in table 2 with the mutagenic sites being bold and underlined.

The reaction setup was done accroding to the kit instructions. Briefly, primers at a concentration of $50 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ and double-strand wt DNA plasmid containing the gene of interest at a concentration $15-16 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ were used. The reaction mixture contained $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 10 X reaction buffer, $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of double-stranded plasmid DNA, $2.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of forward and

Table 2.2: Primer Sequences for QuikChange® Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit

| No. | Primer Name | Primer Sequence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R69M(f) | 5'-GTGCGGAGATGAAGAGAG - ${ }^{\text {' }}$ |
| 2 | R69M(r) | 5'-CTCTCTTCATCTCCGCAC - 3' |
| 3 | R69E(f) | 5'-GTGCGGAGGAGAAGAGAG - ${ }^{\prime}$ ' |
| 4 | R69E(r) | 5'-CTCTCTTCTCCTCCGCAC - 3' |
| 5 | R69K(f) | 5'-GTGCGGAGAAGAAGAGAG - ${ }^{\prime}$ ' |
| 6 | R69K(r) | 5'-CTCTCTTCTTCTCCGCAC - 3' |
| 7 | R81K(f) | 5'-GTATATATCGATCAGAAGGTTGACAAAGTG-3' |
| 8 | R81K(r) | 5'-CACTTTGTCAAC CTTCTGATCGATATATAC-3' |
| 9 | R81E(f) | 5'-GTATATATCGATCAG GAGGTTGACAAAGTG-3' |
| 10 | R81E(r) | 5'-CACTTTGTCAACCACCTGATCGATATATAC-3' |
| 11 | R81M(f) | 5'-GTATATATCGATCAGATGGTTGACAAAGTG-3' |
| 12 | R81M(r) | 5'-CACTTTGTCAACCATCTGATCGATATATAC-3' |
| 13 | K871(f) | 5'-CAAAGTGTATATAGTAGTTAAGCTGCCCG-3' |
| 14 | K87I(r) | 5'-CGGGCAGCTTAACTACTATATACACTTTG-3' |
| 15 | K87R(f) | 5'-CAAAGTGTATAGAGTAGTTAAGCTGCCCG-3' |
| 16 | K87R(r) | 5'-CGGGCAGCTTAACTACTCTATACACTTTG-3' |
| 17 | K87E(f) | 5'-CAAAGTGTATGAAGTAGTTAAGCTGCCCG-3' |
| 18 | K87E(r) | 5'-CGGGCAGCTTAACTACTTCATACACTTTG-3' |
| 19 | QR(80-81)EL(f) | 5'-GTATATATCGATGAGCTCGTTGACAAAG-3' |
| 20 | QR(80-81)EL(r) | 5'-CTTTGTCAACGAGCTCATCGATATATAC-3' |

Table 2.3: PCR Parameters for Site-Directed Mutagenesis.

| Segment | Cycles | Temperature | Time |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 sec. |
| 2 | 16 | $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 sec. |
|  | $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 min. |  |
|  |  | $68^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 4 min. |

reverse primers, $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of dNTP mix and $37 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of double-distilled $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in a final volume of $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$. After addittion of $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of PfuTurbo DNA polymerase $(2.5 \mathrm{U} / \mu \mathrm{l})$, PCR amplification was performed in a conventional Techgene thermal cycler (Techne Inc. NJ, USA) with the parameters given in table 2.3. Then the samples were incubated in ice for 2 minutes to cool to room temperature and $1 \mu \mathrm{l}(10 \mathrm{U} / \mu \mathrm{l})$ of DpnI enzyme provided by the Kit was added into the reaction mixture, and incubated for 1 hour at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for digestion of parental DNA.

Then, the transformation step was carried out. E.coli XL1-Blue supercompetent cells were gently thawed on ice, and $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ aliquots were added to each tube prechilled in ice. The $14-\mathrm{ml}$ BD Falcon polypropylene round-bottom tubes were used for this purpose. Then, $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of Dpn I-treated DNA from each sample reaction was added to aliquots of supercompetent cells. The cells were incubated for 30 minutes in ice and then pulse-heated at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 seconds followed by incubation in ice for an additional 2 minutes. Then 0.5 ml supplemented $\mathrm{NZY}^{+}$Broth was added and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by shaking at 250 rpm for 1 hour. Finally, the transformed cells were spread onto LB Agar plates and incubated overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in incubator. The next day, colonies were counted and transformation efficiency was calculated as explained in section 2.3.4.1. For each mutation site, 10-20 colonies were picked up and their stock cultures were prepared for further characterization.

### 2.3.5. DNA Sequencing

To confirm the nucleotide substitutions at the target sites, plasmids were isolated from 2-4 mutant colonies for each mutation for DNA sequencing by Microsynth

Company. Plasmid isolation was performed as described in section 2.3.1.2 and the concentrations were adjusted as required by the company in our laboratory.

### 2.3.6. Protein Purification

### 2.3.6.1. Cell Lysate Preparation

Cell Lysate was prepared according to the previously optimized conditions in our laboratory (Kocabiyik at al. 2012). Protein from mutants obtained by QuikChange ${ }^{\circledR}$ Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit and confirmed by sequencing were purified. The cell cultures were prepared in 100 ml LB media provided with $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ampicillin in 500 ml flasks and grown for $105-140 \mathrm{~min}$ at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with vigorous shaking at 200 rpm until they reached $\mathrm{OD}_{600} 0.6 \pm 0.05$ as measured by spectroscopy (Shimadzu Analytical Co. Kyoto, Japan). When the intended OD values were reached, cultures were induced with IPTG provided by the kit and allowed to continue growing for an additional 4-5 hours in the same conditions. Then the cells were then harvested by centrifugation at 4000 g for 20 minutes in a Sigma 3 K 30 Centrifuge (Sigma, Germany). The supernatant was discarded and the pellet was resuspended in 1/20 volume Lysis buffer prepared according to the kit instructions. Then, $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ final concentration of Lysozyme (Sigma) was added and the cells were incubated in ice for cell lysis to occur. After that, cells were sonicated in a Sonicator VC 100 (Sonics and Materials, CT, U.S.A) on ice and the cell lysate was centrifuged at 10.000 g in a Sigma 3K30 Centrifuge for 20 minutes. Then the supernatant was stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for further studies.

### 2.3.6.2. Protein Purification

Protein purification was carried out by Qia Expressionist Kit (QIAGEN Inc. Valencia, USA.). This was based on QIAGEN technology that uses NickelNitrilotriacetic Acid (Ni-NTA) agarose binding to the 6 -His tag proteins. The procedure followed was as described in the Kit manual. The lysate-Ni-NTA mixture was loaded into the column, and the flow-through was collected in eppendorph tubes, approximately equally based on judgment. Then the column was washed twice with
wash buffer and the wash fractions were collected in eppendorph tubes. The 6-His tagged protein elution was achieved by loading 4 times elution buffer ( 0.5 ml each time), and elution fractions collected in 4 different tubes. After that, the columns were washed, and kept at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for further use as instructed by the Manufacturer. The collected samples were stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for further analysis.

We also carried out purification for one mutant tpv-Hsp14.3 using Fast-Ni-NTA column. The columns were pre-filled with resin, which was resuspended, and then 5 ml of protein extract was loaded and allowed to settle together with the resin in the column. Than the flow-through fractions were collected in eppendorph tubes. After that, the column was washed twice with wash buffer and collected into eppendorph tubes. Finally elution was acheived by loading 1 ml elution buffer two times and collecting the elution fractions in two separate eppendorph tubes.

### 2.3.7. Heat Treatment of the Cellular Extract

The cell extract was thawed on ice and then incubated at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a Kottermann water bath (Labortechnik, Germany) for 10 minutes. Then the tubes were incubated in ice for the denatured proteins to precipitate. Then the pellet was removed by centrifugation in a Sigma 3 K 30 Centrifuge (Sigma, Germany) at 12000 rpm and $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 90 minutes. The supernatant was stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to be used in purification as described in section 2.3.6.2 or run directly into SDS-Polyacrylamide gel.

### 2.3.8. Protein Ultrafiltration and Concentration

For this purpose, a method developed in our lab before and optimized for the tpvHsp14.3 protein was used. First, the concentration tubes with filters were loaded with $900-1000 \mu \mathrm{l}$ sample and centrifuged at 4000 g in a Clinical Centrifuge (Labofuge 200, Heraeus Sepatech, Germany) until about half of the solution had passed the filter. Centrifugation was repeated at least two times, each time by adding $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ lysis buffer. The filtrate of the last centrifugation was discarded and the protein sample in the concentration tube was saved and stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until used.

### 2.3.9. SDS- Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis

SDS-Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) was performed according to the Laemeli procedure. 5 \% stacking gel and 12.5 \% separating gels were prepared according to the optimized conditions. As a molecular marker PageRuler ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Prestained Protein Ladder from Fermentas (UAB, Vilnuis, Lithuania) was used. The running system was that of Bio-Rad (Bio-Rad, Richmond, CA, U.S.A) and the apparatus assembly and running conditions as described by the Instruction Manual.

The procedure of sample preparation was: $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ sample mixed with 2 X tracking dye containing 5\% SDS and incubated in boiling water bath for 5 minutes to denature the proteins. Then the protein sample was kept in ice for about 2 minutes. Besides protein samples, $7 \mu$ of standard marker was also loaded. After the electrophoresis process, the gel was stained with Coomasie brilliant blue and then destained with destaining solution. The photo was taken in Vilber Laurmat Gel Imaging and Analysis System (Marne La Vallee Cedex 1, France.Vilber Lourmat) under white light.

### 2.3.10. Determination of Chaperone Activity by Enzyme Protection Assay

In these experiments pig heart Citrate Synthase (CS) was used as the model enzyme (Sigma). It catalyzes the reaction between Acetyl-CoenzymeA (acetyl-CoA) and Oxaloacetic acid (OAA) to form their product Citric Acid (Srere et al. 1963).

The hydrolysis of the thioester of acetyl CoA results in the formation of CoA with a thiol group (CoA-SH). The thiol reacts with the DTNB in the reaction mixture to form 5-thio-2-nitrobenzoic acid (TNB). This yellow product (TNB) is observed spectrophotometrically by measuring absorbance at 412 nm . The reaction is summarized below:
(1) Acetyl CoA + Oxaloacetate $\rightarrow$ Citrate $+\mathrm{CoA}-\mathrm{SH}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
(2) CoA-SH + DTNB $\rightarrow$ TNB + CoA-S-S-TNB

The Activity Protection Assay was performed based on previous studies in the laboratory (Kocabiyik et al. 2012). The experimental design was as follows: CS alone at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (its optimal temperature), CS incubated in water bath for 10 minutes at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (it loses its activity at this temperature), and CS incubated in water bath for 10 minutes at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of the wild-type and each mutant chaperone variants. In the reactions the same amount of each chaperone variant and CS were used. First, CS in $99 \mu \mathrm{l}$ assay buffer was incubated at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ when its activity was measured at optimal conditions or at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ when its activity under increased temperature was measured for 10 minutes. Then it was added into a quartz cuvvete which contained DTNB in Assay Buffer pre-incubated at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 min . After that oxalacetate and Acetyl-CoA were added and the absorbance was measured at 412 nm to determine the enzyme activity at optimal (positive control) and suboptimal temperatures (negative control). For CS heat protection assays, the enzyme activity was measured after preincubation of the enzyme with the purified chaperone at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. Afterwards, the the enzyme activity was measured as described above.

### 2.3.11. Studying Chaperone Dynamics by Dynamic Light Scattering

To determine the dynamics of molecular chaperone complex formation as a function of temperature and also the differences between mutant variants in this respect, Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) Spectroscopy was used. Before describing the experimental procedure, some details of this method will be given. This technique measures particle sizes from nanometers to microns by analyzing fluctuations of scattering data caused by Brwonian motions (random motions of particles in fluid resulting from their random collisions with the molecules of the liquid) of the particles in the solution. It measures the light scattering of a solution as a function of time at a certain angle, than the data are analyzed by the Autocorrelation Function (ACF). The mathematical principles of the method are explained by Berne \& Pecora (2006). Here some simple practical principles will be given only (Figure 2.1). There is a laser source which sends the light to the sample and the scattered light is collected by a detector at a certain wavelength, in this case at 632.8 nm (Figure 2.1.a.). The moving particles in the solution create time versus intensity fluctuations
in the order on micro-seconds (Figure 2.1.b.). Then the time/intensity fluctuation data are processed by the software using autocorrelation, which gives the ACF (Figure 2.1.c.). Finally, the processing of the ACF gives the diffusion coefficient (D).

Then, using the Einstein-Stokes Equation, the Hydrodynamic Radius ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{h}}$ ) of the particles is found. Finally, by means of Laplace Transformation Equation, the distribution of particle size can be determined, as shown in figure 2.1.d. It should be kept in mind that $R_{h}$ is not a real radius, since many factors can affect its size, like the shape of the protein being globular or extended, the ionic strength of the medium, other proteins that interact with it, the stability of the protein, and the crowdness of the solution. Also water molecules which interact with the protein form a hydration shield around it, like in the case of ions in solution, and in DLS the particles are assumed to be perfect spheres. All these factors generally make the particles measured by DLS appear bigger than their real size.


Figure 2.1: Principles of Dynamic Light Scattering. (Retreived from http://lnbio.cnpem.br/spectroscopyandcalorimetry/files/2012/08/LightScattering.pdf on January 29th, 2013).

In DLS experiments, the proteins purified by the method described in section 2.3.6.2 were used. The two best elution samples as judged by SDS Gel photo were combined and their concentration was measured by absorbance spectroscopy by the formula Conc. $=\left[\mathrm{OD}_{235}-\mathrm{OD}_{280}\right] / 2.51 \mathrm{X}$ Dilution Factor (Whitaker et al. 1980). Then the samples were further diluted to reach the same protein concentration for each experiment so that comparison would be possible. Experiments were carried out at different temperatures with 10 minute incubation and light scattering measurement. The instrument was Light Scattering Electronics \& Multiple Tau Digital Correlator ALV/LSE-5003 with ALV/CGS-3 Compact Ganiometer System (Malvern Technologies). The parameters used were the viscosity of the buffer 0.6473, the refractive index 1.332 (we measured it by Schmidt + Haensch ATRW2 refractometer), the absorbance angle: $90^{\circ}$, and the absorbance wavelength 632.8 nm .

We set up two more experiments where the wild type chaperone was incubated with pig heart Citrate Synthase (Sigma) or bovine Glutamate Dehydorgenase (Sigma) for 10 minutes at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $51^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ respectively, at which temperatures the enzymes loose their activity and aggregate. Then measurements were done for the chaperone itself or for chaperone plus substrate as described above.

### 2.4. Computation Methods

### 2.4.1. Sequence Alignments

Sequence alignments, both local and global were performed with Clustal Omega program available at the European Bioinformatics Institute website (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/). The default parameters were used for all alignments with three iterations. Local pairwise sequence alignments were performed with ClustalW program available at the same website with the default parameters.

### 2.4.2. Secondary Structure Determination

With the advancement of technology and knowledge of protein structure, the power of protein secondary structure prediction increased. The recommended approach for the current state is to combine the results of different approaches on what is called
the Meta-Servers (King et al. 2000). Such combination includes Advanced Machine Learning approaches such as Artificial Neural Networks (ANN), Decision Trees, Voting, Linear Discrimination or Consensus (Albrecht et al. 2003). Such an approach was first successfully implemented in JPRED metaserver (Cuff et al. 1998). It combines the results of different "second generation" secondary structure approaches such as PHD, NNSSP, DSC and PREDATOR, all of them using different prediction strategies and emphasizing different features of secondary structure. Moreover, the server predicts the Solvent Accessibility based on J-NET approach (Cuff et al, 2000) besides transmembrane helices and coiled-coil regions of the protein (Cole et al. 2008).

In this study, to predict the secondary structure of the protein tpv-Hsp14.3, J-PRED program was used. This was shown by the Critical Assessment of Techniques for Protein Structure Prediction (CASP) studies (http://predictioncenter.org/) to be the best program for secondary structure prediction. What follows explains the basis of this method in brief details: the server starts first by implementing an algorithm that generates several MSA, then it generates a consensus sequence for all. The server uses also experimental data from protein databanks plus statistical methods to generate a secondary structure of the query. When all the data are averaged, an "optimal" secondary structure with approximately $80 \%$ accuracy is predicted (Cuff et al, 2000).

### 2.4.3.Three-Dimenssional Structure Prediction

The protein's three dimensional structure (3D) is it's biologically functional form. As technology developed and computation power increased, coupled with the increase in the number of proteins with resolved 3D structures in the protein structure database, predicting the 3D structures of the proteins has become one of the most important goals of computational biology.For this there are three main approaches:

### 2.4.3.1.Comparative Modeling

It is a fairly accurate approach, reaching an accuracy of approximately $80 \%$ for small proteins. It is based on two assumptions: first, that proteins with similar sequence
have similar structures, and second, that the protein 3D structure is better conserved than its sequence. It employs homology search and sequence alignment of the query to already resolved proteins. The steps of the method are: Fold Recognition (FR), where the amino acid sequence of the query is compared in protein databases for similar folds. Then comes the step of Template Identification and Selection, where the best templates are selected, to go in the third step, that of Target-Template Alignment. Then the model is built upon the selected templates, followed by refinement to reduce the global potential energy of the model, as proposed by Anfinsen Hypothesis. After these steps, the Loop and Side-chain Modeling can be done for certain region, and finally Model Quality Assessment step is performed to give the most accurate model based on energy minimizations. A summary of these steps is shown in figure 2.2.


Figure 2.2: Steps of Homology Modeling. (Bujnicki, 2009)

For each of these steps there are certain programs which are beyond the scope of this study (Pawloski et al. 2008). Although the method seems very straight, there are certain requirements that must be considered always so that the accuracy of the model will be reasonable. The first is the conventional threshold sequence identity required for accurate models, and that has a cut-off value of $30 \%$. According to the studies, identities lower than this value give inaccurate results (Ohlson et al., 2004, Soding, 2005).

The other important point where caution must be taken is modeling the gap left from sequence alignment, especially in the loop regions, and for this database search has to be performed to fill it from similar sequences of short peptide three-dimensional structures found in the relevant databases (Wallner et al., 2005, Xiang et al., 2007). To be more sure for the accuracy of the model, Molecular Dynamics, Conformation Space Annealing and Monte Carlo Simulations are highly preferred to simulate the model and reduce the global energy further (Levitt et al., 1975, Wales et al., 1999, Li et al., 1987). Another advanvantage of the simulation programs is that they increase the chances of getting the lowest potential energy, and at the same time decrease the probability of the model being stuck in some metastable states of the energy funnel, as explained in section 1.1.2. and shown in figure 1.5 (Rohl et al. 2004; Bradley et al. 2005; Summa et al. 2007).

### 2.4.3.2.Template-Free Modeling

This approach is also called $A b$ Initio modeling, and it is used to predict the 3D protein structure directly from the amino acid linear sequence without any reference structure. It is based on Anfinsen's Hypothesis as explained in the protein folding section 1.1.2. To achieve reliable models in a reasonable time scale, the approach employs different algorithms and inter-atomic forces. It uses Quantum Mechanics, Empirical Force Fields, Knowledge-Based Force Fields, and other calculations which are complex statistical and mathematical models beyond the scope of this thesis (Bujnicki, 2009). Then it uses Molecular Dynamics and Monte Carlo Simulations to reduce the total energy and refine the model (Levitt et al., 1975, Wales et al., 1999,

Li et al., 1987). The accuracy of De Novo modeling is not as high as that of Homology Modeling, but it is a good substitute for structure prediction when no homologue structures have been resolved for a query. One major disadvantage of $A b$ Initio is the size limitation of the proteins due to the high conformational space and computation limit (Bujnicki, 2009).

### 2.4.3.3.Protein Threading (Fold Recognition)

This category is the composite of Ab Initio and Homology Modeling, thus giving the best result of both approaches (Bujnicki, 2009). When the sequence identity of a query to one or some targets whose structures have been resolved is very low, this approach uses the sequence homology and de novo prediction for the absent region to form a backbone structure. Literally the sequence of the query is threaded on a consensus backbone generated by the target structures, and then the absent regions are modeled by using rotamer databases as it was done in comparative modeling method for loop and gap modeling steps (Wallner et al., 2005, Xiang et al., 2007). Finally, Molecular Dynamics and Monte Carlo Simulations are used to lower the total energy and give the final structure. This approach is also very accurate, sometimes even more accurate than homology modeling since it overcomes the sizelimitation of Ab Initio, and the problem of gaps and loops of Homology Modeling (Kolinski et al., 2005).

In this study, to predict the three-dimensional structure of T.volcanium Hsp14.3, ITASSER Metaserver (Zhang, 2007), which has ranked as the best structure predictor according to Critical Assessment of Techniques for Protein Structure Prediction (CASP) experiments (http://predictioncenter.org/), and Modeller version 9.2 (Eswar, 2006) were used. The first is a meta-server using a protein threading approach, and the second uses homology modeling approach. In the case of I-TASSER, the sequence of each variant was loaded to the database, and the results were obtained after some time, depending on the load of the server. A simplified flow protocol of ITASSER is shown in figure 2.3.


Figure 2.3: A Simplified I-TASSER protocol. (Zhang, 2007)

The program starts first with loading of the query sequence, which is then threaded on already resolved protein structures in databases based on sequence homology. After that the fragments of the protein whose structures were predicted based on threading are assembled and the gaps are predicted by Ab Initio modeling. At the same time, secondary structure prediction is performed by different programs to give a consensus secondary structure. Then a pool of structures is formed which are simulated by Monte Carlo simulations and clustered.

Finally, a cluster centroid is obtained which is simulated once again to remove any structural restraint and give the lowest possible E-score. The best structures were selected for further studies, as judged by their scores. The confidence scores (CScores) of the models varied from 0.32 to 0.89 . The C-scores are typically in the range of $[-5,2]$. A C -score of higher value means a high confidence for the model. Based on this, the models with the highest scores were chosen while the rest four were discarded. Another way we used to generate the 3D models was using Modeller program (Eswar, 2006), which is structured on homology approach only. But after
further examination, it was found that the models generated by Modeller had many interatomic clashes, which was not experienced in the models generated by ITASSER. For this reason, we preferred I-TASSER throughout the study for 3D structure predictions. The models' energies were further lowered by using the Chimera's energy minimization option but no differences were observed in RMSD values, meaning that the models are quite accurate, as judged by the available computation power.

## CHAPTER 3

## RESULTS

### 3.1. Bioinformatics Analysis and Structure Prediction

### 3.1.1. Multiple Sequence Alignments

For mutagenesis studies a top-down approach was followed, meaning that the residues to be changed were deduced after many different types of sequence alignments and protein structure predictions (Stotz et al. 2006). Based on the structure modeling, the important residues to be mutated in T.volcanium TpvHsp14.3 were deduced by comparing their positions to the already studied residues in structurally determined proteins. The best way to find homologs for proteins whose sequences are not conserved but their structures are, such as sHSPs, is to use the Position Specific Iteration Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (PSI-BLAST), because the algorithm it uses is based on the principle of iteration of sequence alignment several times until the "optimal" alignment is found. This makes PSIBLAST a perfect tool for less homologous proteins (Altschul et al. 1997). PSIBLAST was re-iterated three times using the automatically adjusted parameters until no more new sequence appeared in the screen for the best one hundred matching sequences to the query. Moreover, the hypothetical and redundant sequences were discarded, except in the case of strain differences. From these results, proteins with $30 \%$ sequence identity and more were selected, making up a total number of 64 sequences.

The reason of choosing percentage identity as a criterion is that the scores differ for different matrices used, while sequence identity makes more biological sense (Altschul et al. 1990). All of the sequences are from species of Bacteria and Archaea, and only one among them has a resolved 3D structure, which is that of S.tokadii (accession number Q970D9). With the exception of the Archaeon T.acidophilum (accession number NP_394323.1) which had a sequence identity of $76 \%$, the identity of the rest of the sequences dropped to $46 \%$ and below, with most of them between $30-35 \%$. The species' names corresponding to each accession number are given in the figure legend (figure 3.1). After Selection of the sequences, Multiple Sequence Alignment (MSA) was performed using Clustal Omega program (Thompson et al. 1997) version 1.2.0, and to increase the accuracy of the alignment, three iterations were performed (Thompson et al. 2000). The sequence coloring was automatically done by Clustal Omega program.

As is obvious from the MSA (figure 3.1), the conservation of the residues varies from identical to less conserved. However, there is a difference in the sequence length between Bacterial and Archaeal proteins by 30-40 residues, especially at the N - and C-termini, and also at the loops of $\alpha$-crystallin. Based on this observation, it would make more sense to align only the Archaeal sequences for better results, since the main purpose of the MSA algorithm is to reduce the number of gaps due to the high gap penalty, and prefer residue mismatches to give the highest possible alignment score (Thompson et al. 2000).

In figure 3.2 alignment results for the Archaeal sHSP sequences are shown.The alignment parameters were the same as the ones for figure 3.1 and coloring was done automatically by Clustal Omega. This sequence alignment yielded more "highlyconserved" residues than the alignmentof sHSPs sequences from different groups of organisms (figure 3.1). Among the most conserved residues are Q80, R81, P92, Y104 and G107, by taking as reference the T.volcanium sHSP sequence.
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13

MYYLGEIG -MSFTYVIEKELG 12 MGLIKSMAREMA 12

MGLVKEVI 8
MGLVKEVI 8
MGLVKSLAKEMI 12 -MGLVKSMAKEMI 12 -MGLVKSMAKEMI 12 MGVGQFMAKEFI 12 --------------------------------------MRTNTFDDIDRLFDRMNRFAG 21 -------------------------------------MAEFTWDIQEELRRIEDRMNRMFG 24 -_-_-_-_-_-MSVRDLIPWNRIGGPAP IALSNHANPFLILHREVNRLFD 41 -------------------------------------MLARRNYFDPFVELQREIDRLFE 23 -MLLGRREDIFRPFRELQREIDRLFD 25 MLLGRREDIFRPFRELQREIDRLFD 25 MTRKKFGNDFHGVMDMTTEMNRISE 25 MAPRKFNNPFHGVVDMITEMNRMSD 25 MTPKRFNNPFHGVVDMITEMNRISD 25 MASRRFHNPFHGVVDMITEMNRISD 25 MASRRFHNPFHGVVDMITEMNRISD 25 ------------MPLPTGSTSSWLQN 14

26

## 41

 24 24
## 24

33 24 24

## 23

24 24

27


Figure 3.1: Multiple Sequence Alignment of TvShsp14.3 with Proteins Having 30\% or More Sequence Identity.
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Figure 3.1: Multiple Sequence Alignment of TvShsp14.3 with Proteins Having 30\% or More Sequence Identity. (Continued)
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NVLTISAERKREY-------STVYIDQRVDKVYKVVKLPVEIEQ-QDI--SAKYSEGILT 110 NVLTLRAERKREY-------RSVYIDQRVDKVFKVVRLPVDVDQ-ASI--SAKYQDGLLT 110 NAIVIRADREIKP------EGTVFENQRPEKVFKRMSLPMEVDTEQDF--SAKYNDGVLS 112 SYVVINASREINK------GGTVFENQRPSKVFKRIQLPGEPDKNADV--SAKYEDGVLH 112 GVLRIEARRDVEQ------AGIKHVTQRPSRLSREFKLPVKVPKDAEV--EGKYENGVLT 109 NELIINAEREIQY------IGTKYTTQRPLKIHKVIRLPVKIKRDSQV--TAKYDNGVLT 110 NELIINAEREIQY------IGTKYATQRPLKIHKVIRLPVKVKRDSQV--TAKYENGVLT 110 NELIIEAEREITE------PGVKYLTQRPKYVRKVIRLPYNVAKDAEI--SGKYENGVLT 109 NELIIEAEREISE------PGIKYLTQRPKYIRRTIKLPINVAKDAEV--AGKYENGVLT 112 NILHISAERRAC-------HGRAVCAQRPKLIDKSIRLPIYVKRDEEPVAPAKYEDGVLT 112 NVLSINAEKKDAV------NGRTIMKQRPRVIDKKMRLPITVKEGEEKVNSAKYSDGVLT 113 DILSINAKKIIDE----KESEFLISNQRPNVIDKKIRLPIEIKQGEEKIKSAKYENGILT 111 DILSIQSCKKVDE----KESESLILKQRPNIIDKKIRLPIDIKQGEEKIDSAKYEDGVLT 111 DILSIQACKEVDE----KESESLISKQRPNIIDKKIRLPIDIKQGEEKIDSAKYEDGVLT 111 NILSIQACKEISD----EKNHNMICNQRPNIIDKKMKLPVEIDDGG-EVSSAKYVDGVLT 114 NILSIQACKEISD----EKNHNMICNQRPNIIDKKMRLPVEIDDAE-KVNSAKYVDGVLT 11 NILSINASKEISE----EKNRNIICNQRPNVIDKKIRLPIELREGENGMSSAKYDNGVLT 115 NILSIQACKEISE----D-KHNMICNQRPNIIDKKIRLPIELKDGEESVSSAKYENGVLK 114 NVLSISAKRGQEE-----ALGTVYYRHRPVRIEKKVLIPISVKEEDKVVGKATYENGVVT 114 DILSIKAKRTAEG----LDFTTVHYMQRPMQVEKRIPLPISITDEENINSKATYVNGVVT 115 DQLTIAASHQVDAEETDEERSYVHRERASRTVRRSISLPVEVDAE-GA--SAAYSNGVLT 12 NILEISAQKRTEME--KREEGFIRHERGYTGYYRSITLPAPVDRS-GA--SARYNNGVLE 127 HVLRLTSSKKSEK--TPEGKKALVRERYYKEFERSFSLPEDIDEE-AI--EGEFADGILT 121 GVLTLRGEKRAEN--TD--KARGYSERSYGRFERVIALPFPVEEE-KV--EASFRNGVLT 145 DLLTISAEKKESD--EVKRGNVYRRERYFGRIERTIRLPEYIDKD-KI--KAEYENGVLK 127 NVLTIKGERKFNR--EDKGKNYKIIERAEGYFERSEGLPEYVDVE-KI--KAKFNDGVLT 123 NILKISGEKKVER--EQKGKNYYYVERSAGKFERAIRLPDYVDAE-KI--KAEYKNGVLT 127 NILKISGEKKLER--EQKGKNYYYVERSAGKFERAIRLPDYVDVE-KI--KAEYKNGVLT 127 NILKISGEKKLER--EQKGKNYYYVERSAGKFERAIRLPDYVDVE-KI--KAEYKNGVLT 127 RTLTVSGERRAEQ------EAEGLREVRYGSFHRTVTLPQEVSSE-AI--RADYDAGVLT 129 NVLTISGQRRRDE----DDVVYYSSERYMGAFRRDISLPENIGDE-DV--EATYGEGLLE 132 GVLTISGERRRDE----EDVVYYSAERFMGTFRREISLPDKVQEE-DI--EAGYGEGLLE 132 GILTISGERRRDE----DDVVYYSSERFMGTFRREISLPDDVDEE-HI--EASYGEGLLV 132 GILTVSGERRRDD----DDVIYYSSERFMGTFRREISLPEAVDED-DI--EASYGEGLLE 132 GILTISGERRRDG----DDVVYYSSERFMGTFRREISLPEAVDEE-DI--EASYGEGLLE 132 GILTISGERRRDG----DDVVYYSSERFMGTFRREISLPEAVDEE-DI--EASYGEGLLE 132 GITTISGERRRDG----DDVVYYSSERFMGTFRREISLPEAVDEE-DI--EASYGEGLLE 132 GVLNIAAEHEDET------------RSQRKTYHRRFRFPKNVEDD-DI--EAQYNNGILE 103 GLLTISGERKRPDFEMTDDTRVYADERFTGAFRRVIELPQDVDPD-KV--NARYVNGCLT 129 GLLTISGERKRPDFEMTDDTRVYADERFTGAFRRVIELPQDVDPD-KV--NARYINGCLT 129 DLVTLSGKKEKEE--RIERKDYHRVERATGAFTRTVRLPVEIAVD-RV--TAKFTEGVLE 148 DYLTISGEKKKEE--KVEKKDYYRYERSYGSFSRTFRLPVDVQTD-KA--KAKFEKGVLE 140 NMLTLEFERKQLQ---GDSLEYSRIESRYGKFKRNVALKADVEID-AI--AASYEDGILS 123 NILRLSGTRTIDY---GKNVSYHRIERNFSEFDRTLRLPFNIESE-KV--QAEYKEGLLV 124 NVLTIRGEKKAEH--MENQEDVRMNECFFGRFSRSVTLPSQIDQD-AV--EAEYKQGVLT 133 EAISISGERRYEN--TDEKPRYVRSEFRYGKFHRVLPLPAHIEND-SV--QAEYKDGILT 125 EAISISGERRYEN--TEEKPRYVRSEFRYGKFHRVLPLPAHIQND-SV--QAEYKDGILT 125 EAISISGERRYEN--IEAKSGYVRSEFRYGKFHRVLPLPARIQND-SV--QAEYKDGILT 125 EAISISGERRYEN--IEAKSGYVRSEFRYGKFHRVLPLPARIQND-SV--QAEYKDGILT 125 ESVSISGERKSET--TTEAEGLTRSEFRYGKFQRVIALPAVVDNE-KV--AAEYKDGILY 136 DSLTISGERKSEI--KTEEEGFTRTEFRYGKFHRVIPLPVQVDNN-NV--TAEYKDGILN 129 DSLTINGERKSEI--KTEEEGITRTEFRYGKFHRVIPLPVQVDNN-NV--TAEYKDGILN 129 NTLQLHGERKEER--TEDRDNYHFREAQYGAFARSFRLPGYVKPD-LA--TARYDKGVLT 126 NSLIIKGERVQEE------GKYFRQERAAGVFQRLVNLNVPVQRD-NI--SATMRNGILE 118 DVLEIKGQTSKET--ERKNQSYYLNERYYGSFERRIGLPAEVDSE-RT--TAKFENGILT 11 NRLSITGERKFES--EVKRENYHRIERSYGTFARTFTLPPTVDQD-NI--RAEYKQGVLT 132 NLLTIKGERKHES--EVKKENYHRIERYFGSFQRSFKLPATVEQE-KV--AASCEKGVLT 130 NTLTIRGERKHDQ--EVKKENYHRVERYYGSFMRSESLPTTIDRD-TV--KAVCDKGILT 128 NTLTIRGERKHEE--EVRKENYHRVERYYGSFQRSFSIPATIDQE-KV--RASSDKGVLT 128 NVLTVKGERKQEK--EEKDENYHRVERSYGSFVRSFRLPAEVESE-KV--GASYKDGILT 132 NVLTVRGERKOEK--EDKEENYHRVERSYGSFVRSLRLPAEVESD-NV--DATYKDGILM 132 NTLHIRGEKKEER--EEKTETIHRLERVYGKFERVLTLPVDVKAE-EV--KAEYKDGILE 126 GVLTVSGERKQEH--ESKEGESFRSERYFGKFHRSVTLPATVDST-KV--SASYKDGILT 156 GALVISGERKSEE--KFENAETYRAERFVGRFHRTVTLPSSVKGD-QV--KAQYKDGILT 137
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Figure 3.1: Multiple Sequence Alignment of TvShsp14.3 with Proteins Having 30\% or More Sequence Identity. (Continued)


Figure 3.1: Multiple Sequence Alignment of TvShsp14.3 with Proteins Having 30\% or More Sequence Identity. (Continued). The color code of the sequences is: Red for small hydrophobic residues, Blue for acidic residues, Magneta for basic residues, green for Hydroxyl-, sulfhydryl-, amine-containing groups and Glycine. The species names corresponding to each accession number are as shown below, while a comprehensive table with species name and protein information is shown in the Appendix E: NP_111294.1 Thermoplasma volcanium GSS1, NP_394323.1 Thermoplasma acidophilum DSM 1728, YP_008142169.1 Ferroplasma acidarmanus, NP_395730.1 Halobacterium sp. NRC-1, YP_023517.1 Picrophilus torridus DSM 9790, YP_004809151.1 halophilic archaeon DL31, YP_466070.1 Anaeromyxobacter dehalogenans 2CP-C, Q970D9 Sulpholobous Tokadii,


#### Abstract

ABZ07832.1 uncultured marine crenarchaeote HF4000_ANIW141J13, WP_017599436.1 Nocardiopsis lucentensis, YP_002841880.1 Sulfolobus islandicus Y.N.15.51, WP_010524295.1 Nesterenkonia sp. F, WP_007551556.1 Candidatus Nitrosoarchaeum koreensis, WP_010189564.1 Candidatus Nitrosoarchaeum limnia, YP_003681484.1 Nocardiopsis dassonvillei, WP_017566186.1 Nocardiopsis synnemataformans, WP_008298667.1 Candidatus Nitrosopumilus salaria, WP_006981010.1 Chthoniobacter flavus, YP_006863216.1 Candidatus Nitrososphaera gargensis, WP_007402545.1 Candidatus Nitrosoarchaeum limnia, YP_001953023.1 Geobacter lovleyi, NP_343935.1 Sulfolobus solfataricus, WP_019607970.1 Nocardiopsis sp. CNS639, WP_017604807.1 Nocardiopsis alkaliphila, WP_017571483.1 Nocardiopsis halotolerans, WP_017584932.1 Nocardiopsis ganjiahuensis, YP_001568567.1 Petrotoga mobilis SJ95, YP_003431999.1 Hydrogenobacter thermophilus TK-6, YP_005063435.1 Sphaerochaeta pleomorpha, YP_006721940.1 Geobacter metallireducens GS-15, WP_006421149.1 delta proteobacterium NaphS2, YP_001900983.1 Ralstonia pickettii 12J, WP_004628761.1 Ralstonia pickettii, NP_951596.1 Geobacter sulfurreducens PCA, YP_006776550.1 Candidatus Nitrosopumilus sp. AR2, WP_006634026.1 Microcoleus vaginatus, YP_002335217.1 Thermosipho africanus TCF52B, ABB88979.1 uncultured crenarchaeote, YP_006774607.1 Candidatus Nitrosopumilus koreensis AR1, CAJ73249.1 Candidatus Kuenenia stuttgartiensis, YP_007000777.1 Anabaena sp. 90, WP_002767035.1 Microcystis aeruginosa, WP_006633768.1 Microcoleus vaginatus, WP_007354249.1 Oscillatoria sp. PCC 6506, YP_003357413.1 Methanocella paludicola SANAE, YP_876436.1 Cenarchaeum symbiosum A, YP_001583042.1 Nitrosopumilus maritimus SCM1, WP_007418376.1 Pedosphaera parvula, YP_003197589.1 Desulfohalobium retbaense DSM 5692, YP_004112624.1 Desulfurispirillum indicum S5, WP_019488126.1 Oscillatoria formosa, WP_006421140.1 delta proteobacterium NaphS2, WP_007563476.1 Methylobacterium sp. GXF4, YP_006446048.1 Desulfomonile tiedjei DSM 6799, WP_009370651.1 Candidatus Poribacteria sp. WGA-A3, YP_001244140.1 Thermotoga petrophila RKU-1, WP_008193529.1 Thermotoga sp. EMP, NP_228185.1 Thermotoga maritima MSB8, WP_004160648.1 Microcystis aeruginosa, WP_009070347.1 Metallosphaera yellowstonensis, YP_256268.1 Sulfolobus acidocaldarius DSM 639, YP_004469872.1 Thermoanaerobacterium xylanolyticum, YP_002248210.1 Thermodesulfovibrio yellowstonii, YP_004861811.l Candidatus Chloracidobacterium thermophilum B.


In the figure 3.2 the classical $\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{L}-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{L}$ motif in the C -terminal extension is also shown in box. In contrary to the previously published literature (Waedick et al. 2009), considering many newly added sequences, the variation in this conserved motif is actually V/I/L-X-V/I/L, and all of these amino acids, are hydrophobic. Residue colors also show that the substitutions are mainly for analogous residues, and mostly they are found at the N -terminus and at the very end of the C -Terminus.
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Figure 3.2: MSA of Archaeal sHSP Species Showing 30\% Amino Acid Sequence Identity or More. Accession numbers and their respective species: NP_111294.1 Thermoplasma volcanium GSS1, NP_394323.1 Thermoplasma acidophilum DSM 1728, YP_008142169.1 Ferroplasma acidarmanus, NP_395730.1 Halobacterium sp. NRC-1, YP_023517.1 Picrophilus torridus DSM 9790, Q970D9 Sulpholobous Tokadii, ABZ07832.1 marine crenarchaeote HF4000_ANIW141J13, YP_002841880.1 Sulfolobus islandicus Y.N.15.51, WP_007551556.1 Candidatus Nitrosoarchaeum koreensis, WP_010189564.1 Candidatus Nitrosoarchaeum limnia, WP_008298667.1 Candidatus Nitrosopumilus salaria,

YP_006863216.1 Candidatus Nitrososphaera gargensis, WP_007402545.1 Candidatus Nitrosoarchaeum limnia, NP_343935.1 Sulfolobus solfataricus, YP_006774607.1 Candidatus Nitrosopumilus koreensis AR, YP_876436.1 Cenarchaeum symbiosum A, YP_001583042.1 Nitrosopumilus maritimus SCM1, WP_009070347.1 Metallosphaera yellowstonensis, YP_256268.1 Sulfolobus acidocaldarius DSM 639.

In the previous studies, it was also claimed that the residues at the core of $\alpha$ crystalline domain, and more specifically those making up the beta strands are more conserved than the rest (Basha et al. 2011, van Montfort et al. 2001). These conclusions are in agreement with our results. Another striking observation in figure 3.2 was the occurrence of most of the changes in the loop regions linking one beta strand to the other. Moreover, these loops lengths vary very much in distant species. To further confirm this notion, secondary structure prediction of the protein of interest was carried out.

### 3.1.2. Secondary Structure Prediction

The predicted secondary structure by J-PRED is shown in the figure 3.3. As is obvious from the figure, a classical $\alpha$-crystalline fold with eight $\beta$-strands is generated, flanked by an N -terminal region with $\alpha$-helical secondary structure and a short irregular C-terminus. The numbering of beta strands start from $\beta 2$ for historical reasons, so they are named as $\beta 2-10$ (Kim et al. 1998). Jnetconf values which stand for the reliability of the prediction are also the highest for the secondary structures involving regular alpha-helix and beta strands secondary structures, while for the loops they are lower. This confirms the structural and also sequence alignment studies that the most conserved regions are the regular secondary structures, while the loops length and sequences are highly divergent, even between organisms of the same class.

Figure 3.3: Secondary Structure Prediction of Tpv-Hsp14.3: The Abbreviations in the figure stand for: Jnetpred: Final secondary structure prediciton for the query. JnetCONF: Jnet prediction reliability with accuracy range $0-9$, bigger number means higher accuracy.

$5 \%$ solvent accessibility. JNETSOL0: Jnet prediction of residue burial, $0 \%$ expossure. JNETHMM: Jnet Hidden Markov Model (HMM) profile. JNETPSSM: Jnet PSI-BLAST Position-Specific Scoring Matrix (PSSM).

This predicted secondary structure is used as the reference in all the other studies involving sequence alignment and secondary structure annotation in the coming sections. The most reliable results of J-PRED are the secondary structure prediction, while the prediction of the buried residues by this program is not that much reliable, for which validation of the data with other algorithms will be required (Cuff et al, 2000). The better results for such residues are gained by 3D structure prediction, as will be discussed in later section.

### 3.1.3. Tpv-Shsp14.3 Sequence Alignment with well-characterized sHSPs.

Since the aim of the study is to find well-conserved and potential functionally important residues, the query must be compared with structurally and functionally characterized homologous proteins. A search in the database revealed ten such proteins. Nine of them have X-Ray or NMR resolved structures with different resolutions, while one (yeast sHSP) has been studied by electron microscopy. However, structural modeling of yeast Hsp26 based on the structure of Wheat Hsp16.9 and fitting into the shell generated by Cryo-Electron microscopy and substrate-binding studies have shown some important residues involved in proteinsubstrate interaction (White et al., 2006). The proteins with resolved 3D structures are: Human $\alpha \mathrm{A}$-Crytstallin (Laganowsky et al., 2010), Human $\alpha$ B-Crystallin (Jehle et al., 2010), Rat Hsp20 (Bagneris et al., 2009), Wheat Hsp16.9 (van Montfort et al., 2001), Bovine $\alpha A$-Crytstallin (Laganowsky et al., 2010), Zebrafish $\alpha$ A-Crytstallin (Laganowsky et al., 2010b), Xanthomonas HspA (Hilario et al., 2011), M.janaschii Hsp16.5 (Kim et al., 1998), S.tokadii Hsp14.0 (Takeda et al., 2011). Though at first glance this seems straight forward, aligning these sequences is challenging. The big challenge lies in the sequence length difference between Archaeal sHSPs which are approximately of 120 amino acids length, and eukaryotic ones (the yeast sHSP is composed of 214 residues and the others are about 170 residues). This length discrepancy reduces the power of sequence alignment because the aim of any algorithm is to give the maximum score by introducing the least number of gaps (Thompson et al. 2000). If the sequence length is very significant, then gaps must be
introduced, but to keep their number as small as possible, many sequence mismatches are permitted by the algorithm. By using different Multiple Sequence Alignment programs, like T-COFFEE, MUSCLE, ClustalW2 and Clustal Omega in European Bioinformatics Institute (EBI) webpage (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/), the alignment with the least number of gaps at the region of alpha crystalline was obtained by Clustal Omega program (figure 3.4) (Thompson et al. 1990). This choice was based on the already published literature which has proven that the greatest sequence divergence between sHSPs is in the N - and C -terminal regions and in the loops between the beta strands of the $\alpha$-crystalline.

In figure 3.4, the $\alpha$-crystalline domain is the region under the line. Human HSPB, HSPB5 and Rat Hsp20 do not have a $\beta 2$ strand revealed by structural studies to date. However, it is disputable and may be an artifact of experimental conditions for structure determination (Laganowsky et al., 2010, Jehle et al., 2010, Bagneris et al., 2009). Moreover, human, bovine, rat and zebrafish sHSPs have a single, long $\beta 6+7$ strand that forms an interface with the same strand of the other monomer to form the dimer as shown in figure 1.14. This is thought to be the dimerization mode in higher eukaryotes, especially the vertebrates (Basha et al., 2011). The sHSP sequences of archaea and plants have two separate $\beta 6$ and $\beta 7$ strands and their mode of monomer interaction to form dimers is shown in figure 1.14 and is explained in details in section 1.3.4. In the sequence alignment it is also apparent that the V/I/L-X-V/I/L motif (inside the box) is found in most of the sHSPs with the exception of rat protein. The ultimate aim of the strategy is to find the most conserved residues of the sequences mentioned above, and this can be best acheived by local sequence alignment. The reason is that local sequence alignment programs concentrate on the most conserved "seed" regions and discard the highly divergent sequences (Thompson et al. 2000). Since the focus is $\alpha$-crystalline, and it is the most conserved domain, the results would be more reliable by this method. There is a debate regarding the bounrdies of the $\alpha$-crystalline with no consensus reached yet. However, in published literature the researchers use certain boundries, and in this study, it was relied upon them. (Basha et al. 2011).
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Figure 3.4: MSA of Tpv-Hsp14.3 and Characterized sHSPs. Sequences by order: Human HSPB1 (Hsp27), Rat HSPB6 (Hsp20), Human HSPB5 ( $\alpha$ B-Crystalline, Hsp20), CRYAA Bovine ( $\alpha \mathrm{A}$-Crystalline), Z.fish (Zebrafish $\alpha \mathrm{A}$-Crystalline), M.janaschii (MjShsp16.5), S.tokadii (StShsp14.0), T.vol (T.volcanium TvShsp14.3), Yeast (Hsp26), Wheat (WtHsp16.9), Xanthom. (Xanthomonas Hsp18). Except for M.janaschii, all the rest have $9 \beta$ strands. TvHsp14.3 secondary structure was based on JPRED prediction. MSA generated by Clustal Omega (EBI).

As it is seen in figure 3.5, the accuracy of sequence alignment when only the $\alpha$ crystalline domains of the proteins are aligned increases. In the published literature, there are no extensive functional analysis on the residues involved in sHSPs dimerization, but structural analysis have indicated certain important amino acids (Basha et al., 2011, Mchaurab et al., 2009).

According to the published literature for human $\alpha B$-Crystalline (CRYAB) 3D structure, the important residues involved in dimer formation are as follows: D80, D109, R116, F118 and R120 residues from one $\beta 6+7$ strand interact with the residues of the opposite $\beta 6+7$ strand to form a dimer. D109 forms a salt bridge with R120 and R107 forms a salt bridge with D80 in both sides of the dimer (Jehle et al., 2010, Baldwin et al., 2011, Jehle et al., 2009, Clark et al., 2011, Baldwin et al., 2011). (These interactions are shown in the figure 3.8). As for the other residues, the experimental data are not conclusive, and moreover no hydrogen bond could be computed by Chimera software. Regarding the residues involved in higher oligomeric structure Jehle et al. (2010) studied the changes in the oligomer interaction coupled to changes in pH in human $\alpha \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Crystalline}$. that the residues of the I/L-X-I/L motif at C-terminus of one dimer may interact with a hydrophobic pocket formed between $\beta 4$ and $\beta 8$ strands from another dimer in different geometries to give different higher oligomeric structures such as 12 -mers or 24-mers. The residues forming this pocket are T132, I133 and T134 from $\beta 4$ and T158, I159, I161 and T162 from $\beta 8$ (Jehle et al., 2010).

The loops between the beta strands change the position of the residues in sequence alignment and reduce the alignment accuracy. To increase the accuracy of the query residues matching critical amino acids possibly involved in function, the next step has been to determine their position in secondary structure, or to predict the three dimensional structure of tpv-Hsp14.3 query protein and then superimpose it to the already resolved sHSP structures. This approach is explained in the next section.


[^0]Figure 3.5: MSA for Alpha Crystalline Domain of Tpv-Hsp14.3 and the Characterized sHSPs. Sequences by order: Rat HSPB6 (Hsp20), Human HSPB1 (Hsp27), Human HSPB5 ( $\alpha$ B-Crystalline, Hsp20), CRYAA Bovine ( $\alpha$ ACrystalline), Z.fish (Zebrafish $\alpha$ A-Crystalline), Yeast (Hsp26), Xanthomonas (sHsp18), Wheat (WtHsp16.9), M.janaschii (MjShsp16.5), S.tokadii (StShsp14.0), T.volcanium (TvShsp14.3), TvHsp14.3 secondary structure was based on JPRED prediction. MSA generated by Clustal Omega (EBI).

### 3.1.4. The Three-Dimensional Structure of Wild Type Protein

The 3D structure generated for the wild type tpv-Hsp14.3 by I-TASSER is shown in figure 3.6. The tpv-Hsp14.3 models generated had all the signatures of the conventional $\alpha$-Crystalline domain, with the exception of $\beta 6$ strand which was not shown in the graphic form. This strand was predicted by the secondary structure prediciton program JPRED as shown in figure 3.3 and also by I-TASSER. The reason why it was not shown graphically by Chimera and PhyMol (not shown here) is not known.

However, it can be speculated that the reason why $\beta 6$ was not shown graphically may be the fact that it is not a stable strand in Class II sHSPs. Studies in other sHSPs suggest that it is transient state, i.e., the secondary structure changing from $\beta$-strand to loop according to the conditions of substrate binding or multimerization (Kim et al. 1998; Basha et al. 2011).

Another important feature of the predicted Tpv-Hsp14.3 structure is that it dimerizes not like the vertebrate sHSPs, but like the Class II sHSPs, which are found and characterized in plants and Archea. Such a dimerization mode is shown in figure 1.14. A possible dimerization model of tpv-Hsp14.3 is shown in figure 3.7. It should be noted that this is only a predicted dimeric structure formed by superimposing the tpv-Hsp14.3 monomers one at a time on StHsp14.0 structure of S.tokadii, which is the closest in sequence identity to T.volcanium. The dimeric structure could not be refined to reduce the global energy due to computational constraints. However it serves the purpose of showing how the TpvHsp14.3 monomers may interact with each other and form the basic functional unit of the sHSPs which is the dimer

Figure 3.6: The Tpv-Hsp14.3 Three-Dimensional Structures Generated by I-TASSER Metaserver. The protein model is shown from four different angles. Blue color represents N -Terminal region, Grey and red colors represent the $\alpha$-crystalline, and the pink color represents the C-terminus. Beta strands are labeled according to the conventional way, starting from $\beta 2$. The predicted $\beta 6$ was not represented in the model generated by I-TASSER, but it was predicted as a secondary structure and is present in the other homologs, so it is highlighted with red color. Images generated by UCSF Chimera.


Figure 3.7: A Possible Dimerization Model of Tpv-Hsp14.3. The dimer complex is shown from four different angles. Each monomer is shown in magneta and cyan colors respectively. The numbers of $\beta$-strands are given according to the conventional way of sHSPs starting from $\beta 2 . \beta 6$ strand is not shown for the reasons explained previously. Images generated by UCSF Chimera software

### 3.1.5. Comparison of Tpv-Hsp14.3 Model Structure with Already Resolved sHSP Structures.

After structure prediction, the models can be superimposed on already resolved structures of the proteins mentioned in section 3.1.3. The best characterized sHSP to date is the Human $\alpha \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Crystalline}$. However, there is a drawback in the structure superposition with this protein because Human sHSP dimerization mode is different from the query since the former is Class I and the latter Class II sHSPs. A structure of this protein and its important residues involved in dimer formation are shown in figure 3.8 (Jehle et al., 2010). These residues include: D80, D109, R116, F118, R120 and R123. To see which residue of Tpv-Shsp14.3 is the counterpart of any one of these residues in human $\alpha \mathrm{B}$ Crystalline, local pair-wise sequence alignment was performed by ClustalW (figure 3.9). The reason of choosing local sequence alignment is the fact that it will give better results by getting rid of the unnecessary gaps introduced as a result of longer sequences of human, as expalined in section 3.1.


Figure 3.8: Human $\boldsymbol{\alpha B}$-Crystallin Dimer Interaction Sites. All the residues mentioned above are not shown for reasons of clarity. Hydrogen bonds are shown and marked by arrows. The same bonds are formed between the same residues on the other side of the protein but they were not shown again for reasons of clarity. Image generated by Chimera. Human structure accession number is 2KLR (Jehle et al., 2010).

```
THEVO 6 KFFTNEMIK-NVSNTVKEVSSF-IYPPVTLYQDS------SDLVLE---- 43
    :||...::: ::..|...:|.| :.||..|...| |::.||
HUMAN 26 QFFGEHLLESDLFPTSTSLSPFYLRPPSFLRAPSWFDTGLSEMRLEKDRF 75
THEVO 44 ---AEMAGFDKKNIKVSVNKNVLTISA---ERKREYSTVYIDQRVDKV-YK }8
    .::..|..:.:||.|..:|:.:.. ||:.|:. :|. |...:.|:
HUMAN 76 SVNLDVKHFSPEELKVKVLGDVIEVHGKHEERQDEHG--FIS-REFHRKYR }12
THEVO 88 VVKLPVEIEQQDI-SAKYSEGILTVRMKTKNIKNVE 122
    : |.:::...| |:..|:|:|||....|.:...|
HUMAN 124 I---PADVDPLTITSSLSSDGVLTVNGPRKQVSGPE 156
```

Figure 3.9: Pairwise Local Sequence Alignment Between Tpv-Hsp14.3 and Human $\alpha B$ Crystallin. Important residues in human protein and their similar or identical counterparts in T.volcanium are bold and underlined. Sequence alignment generated by ClustalW.

The local sequence alignment shows four residues of tpv-Hsp14.3 matching four of the important residues of human $\alpha$ B-Crystalline. They are E45 matching with D80, R69 matching with R107, I78 with I114, R81 with R120, and K87 with R123. Glutamic Acid matching with Aspartic Acid and Lysine with Arginine are not surprising given their similar biochemical properties and their interchangeable nature.

The next step to refine the results even more and to obtain position-specific sequence alignment, is to superimpose the human sHSP and T.volcanium sHSP structures on each other, and detect the positions of the query that match with the functionally important residues of the human protein. This is done by UCSF Chimera imposing the predicted PDB query structure on the PDB structure found in the protein databank. When the structure of tpv-Hsp14.3 model was superimposed on human $\alpha \mathrm{B}$-Crystalline structure, the results turned out to be different as shown in figure 3.10. Except for tpv-Hsp14.3 E45 positions matching human D80, all the rest of highlighted residues in figure 3.9 were different from the matches in pair-wise sequence alignment. Moreover, a new position turned out to match the critical human R120 which is involved in dimerization and whose mutation causes many diseases (Clark et al., 2011). It matches with T.volcanium K87 position. The reason for this is the "greedy" nature of sequence alignment to reduce the number of gaps which


Figure 3.10: Tpv-Hsp14.3 Superimposed on Human $\boldsymbol{\alpha} B$-Crystalline. The query model structure is shown in orange and human structure in blue color. The residues are also labeled, with H standing for human, and T standing for T.volcanium before each amino acid residue. Image generated by UCSF Chimera. Human protein accession number is 2KLR (Jehle et al., 2010)
would be many when T.volcanium and Human proteins are compared due to their differences in loop lengths.

Keeping in mind that the dimerization modes of human and T.volcanium proteins are very different from each other, attention was then turned to Wheat sHSP16.9 structure. It is the only fully-determined structure to date but few potential residues involved in dimer formation are studied experimentally (von Montfort et al. 2001). One of them is R108 which is the counterpart of human R120. It is proposed to interact with E100, but such an interaction is not conserved in other sHSPs. Two other important interactions for dimer formation based on computational studies with Chimera are R101 and R85 forming two salt bridges with D60 (figure 3.11).


Figure 3.11: Important Interactions for Wheat sHSP16.9 Dimerization. The bonds are marked by arrows and the residues are named. Image generated by UCSF Chimera. Accession number of the structure is 1GME.

Following the same logic as with the human $\alpha \mathrm{B}$-Crystalline, first local sequence alignment between wheat and T.volcanium sHSP sequences was carried out. The results are shown in figure 3.12.

```
THEVO }12\mathrm{ MIKNVSNTVKEVSSFIYPPVTLYQDSSDLVLEAEMAGFDKKNIKVSVNK- 60
        ::..:|....|.::| . . .: . . : . . ..|.:|:: .| . .|:. .||.| ..
WHEAT 27 IVPAISGGGSETAAFANARMDWKETPEAHVFKADLPGVKKEEVKVEVEDG }7
THEVO 61 NVLTISAERKREYSTVY-----IDQRVDKVYKVVKLPVEIEQQDISAKYS 105
            |||.:|.||.:|..... :::...|..:..:|..:.:.:::.|...
WHEAT 77 NVLVVSGERTKEKEDKNDKWHRVERSSGKFVRRFRLLEDAKVEEVKAGLE 126
THEVO 106 EGILTVRMKTKNIKNVEIE 124
    .|:|||.:....:|..|::
WHEAT 127 NGVLTVTVPKAEVKKPEVK 145
```

Figure 3.12: Pairwise Local Sequence Alignment between Tpv-Hsp14.3 and Wheat sHSP16.9. Important residues in wheat protein and their similar or identical counterparts in T.volcanium are bold and underlined. Sequence alignment generated by ClustalW.

The local alignment shows matches between R69 with R85, R81 with R101, and K87 with R108 from T.volcanium and Wheat sHSPs respectively. T.volcanium R81 is not an exact match for wheat R101 but it can be assumed to be given the nature of sequence alignment. Moreover, E45 from T.volcanium matches D60 from wheat sHSPs, and these two residues have similar chemical properties.

Continuing with the same methodology as the one used for human sHSP structural comparison, a similar comparison was done with wheat, where the T.volcanium and wheat sHSP structures were superimposed on each other to see what residues of the query matched with the wheat sHSP16.9 residues proposed to be important in dimer formation. These structures superpositions are shown in figures 3.13 and 3.14.


Figure 3.13: T.volcanium and Wheat sHSPs Structure Superposition. Wheat structure is grey and T.volcanium cyan. Wheat residues are named with letter W followed by their oneletter code and number, while T.volcanium residues by V followed by their one letter code and number Image generated by UCSF Chimera. Accession number of the wheat sHSP16.9 structure is 1GME.


Figure 3.14: Model Structure Superposition on Wheat WtShsp16.9. Wheat structure is grey and T.volcanium is cyan. Wheat residues are named with letter W followed by their one-letter code and number, while T.volcanium by V followed by their one letter code and number Image generated by UCSF Chimera. Accession number of the wheat Shsp16.9 structure is 1GME.

Figure 3.13 shows that T.volcanium sHSP14.3 residues match well with some of the wheat conserved residues. Of them, VR69 matches WR85, VE45 matches WD60 and VR81 matches WR101, but the orientations of the last pair are opposite in 3D space.

Figure3.14 shows that VK87 matches with WR108 and VD79 with WE100. Again the orientation in 3D space of the second residue is not as in wheat, but it may be a structure prediction artifact. So to summarize, VE45 matches with WD60, VR69 with WR81, VD79 with WE100, VR81 with WR101, and VK87 with WR108. These results also confirmed the results of local sequence alignment in figure 3.12.

Another superimposition is between the structures of S.tokadii sHSP (closely related to Tpv-Hsp14.3) and wheat sHSP structure. This is done to find similar residues in S.tokadii sHSP protein by comparing it to better characterized wheat sHSP because no functional studies exist to date for the former except its 3D structure (Takeda et al. 2011). As figure 3.15 shows, there is not a perfect fit of both monomers when S.tokadii and wheat 3D structures are compared with each other. This is as a result of a difference in dimerization angle that the monomers of each protein form when they come together to form a dimer.

This angle is proposed to be important in the number of monomers involved in the formation of the multimeric structure, as explained in section 1.3.5. For this reason, one pair of monomers from each protein match very well but the other pair does not fit.


Figure 3.15: Wheat and S.tokadii sHSPs 3D Structure Superpositions. Wheat structure is pink and S.tokadii is cyan. Wheat residues are named with letter W followed by their oneletter code and number, while S.tokadii by S followed by their one letter code and number Image generated by UCSF Chimera. Accession number of S.tokadii Shsp14.0 structure is 3AAB and that of wheat Shsp 16.9 id 1GME.

The figure shows $\beta$-sandwich which is the core of $\alpha$-Crystalline domain fits perfectly between the two proteins, with the exceptions of the wheat loops which are very long. The residues SR66 matches with WR85, SR79 with WR101, SD41 with WE60, SK85 with WR108 and SQ78 matches with WE100. The residues are almost exactly the same as the matches of T.volcanium, with the exception of SQ78 instead of VD79, and SD41 instead of VE45, but these two residues have similar properties and therefore exhangable.

Then, pairwise local sequence alignment was performed between T.volcanium and S.tokadii sHSPs to see whether these residues matches can be observed at sequence level.These results in figure 3.16 show a perfect match between them.

```
THEVO 7 FFTNEMIKNVSNTVKEVSSFIYPPVTLYQDSSDLVLEAEMAGFDKKNIKV 56
        :...|:.|......:.....:|||| .:|::...||:.|::|||:|:.||.
SULTO 3 YLGKELQKRSEELSRGFYELVYPPVDMYEEGGYLVVVADLAGFNKEKIKA 52
THEVO 57 SVN-KNVLTISAERK-REYSTVYIDQRVDKVYKVVKLPVEIEQQ-DISAK 103
        .|: :|.|.|.|||: .| . ..|:.||...|.||::|| . .:. . . :||.|
SULTO 53 RVSGQNELIIEAEREITEPGVKYLTQRPKYVRKKIRIPYNVAKDAEISGK 102
THEVO 104 YSEGILTVRMKTKNIKNVEIE 124
    | . | | ||:|:.......:: ||
SULTO 103 YENGVLTIRIPIAGTSVIKIE 123
```

Figure 3.16: Pairwise Local Sequence Alignment between T.volcanium and S.tokadii sHSPs. Important residues in S.tokadii protein and their similar or identical counterparts in T.volcanium are bold and underlined. Sequence alignment generated by ClustalW.

Next, structure comparison between tpv-Hsp14.3 model and S.tokadii sHSP14.0 was performed by structure superposition and checked wheather the above residues match with each other or not. For clarity, a structure of S.tokadii sHSP14.0 is shown alone in figure 3.17.


Figure 3.17: 3D Structure of S.tokadii sHSP14.0. $\beta$-strands are numbered according to the conventional way. Image was generated by Chimera.

This figure shows the structure of S.tokadii sHSP14.0 which resembles a conventional Class II sHSPs with an N-terminal region, the $\alpha$-Crystalline domain made of eight $\beta$-strands, and a short C-terminus, in which a shorter $9^{\text {th }}$ strand called $\beta 10$ strand is found. However, whether this $\beta 10$ is unique to S.tokadii sHSP14.0 or its an experimental artefact is a matter of dispute and it was discussed in section 1.3. The structure is shorter than the original protein because it was not possible to obtain crystal data from the full-length protein and as a result, some residues of the N terminal region are not shown (Takeda et al. 2011).

Figure 3.18 shows the structure superposition of S.tokadii and T.volcanium sHSPs, and the results are in agreement with local sequence alignment in figure 3.16. VE45 matches with SD41, and Glu and Asp have similar biochemical properties, both being acidic and able to form hydrogen bonds. SR66 matches with VR69, SQ78 with VQ80, SR79 with VR81, and SK85 with VK87. The positions of the residues of T.volcanium protein in 3D space do not fit with S.tokadii residues due to the fact that


Figure 3.18: Structure Superposition of Tpv-Hsp14.3 Model on S.tokadii sHSP14.0. S.tokadii structure dimer is grey, while for the model, one monomer is yellow and the second green. S.tokadii residues are named with letter S followed by their one-letter code and number, while T.volcanium by V followed by their one letter code and number. The N terminus of the proteins were removed to make the view clear. Image generated by UCSF Chimera. Accession number of S.tokadii sHSP14.0 structure is 3AAB.
the protein is a model, but their location in similar positions is a strong argument in the favor of their importance in sHSPs dimerization.

The last structure to be compared is that of another archeon, M.Janaschii. A figure of the dimeric structure is shown in figure 3.19. This is also a class II sHSP, making it's structure very relevant to this particular study. However, structural studies have shown that it has ten $\beta$-strands, not nine like most of Class I chaperons. For this reason the numbering of $\beta$-strands starts from 2 in sHSPs because this was the first sHSP to be crystallized and whose structure was resolved (Kim et al. 1998).


Figure 3.19: 3D Structure of Mjanaschii sHSP16.5. Arrows show the locations of $\beta 6$ strands. Accession code is 1SHS. Image was generated by Chimera.

A structural comparison between M.janaschii sHSP16.5 and wheat sHSP16.9 revealed common residues like WR85 and MR83, WE100 and ME98, WR108 and MR107, but there were also differences in MW41 as equivalent of WD60, and MI99 as equivalent of WR101 (figure 3.20). These last two residues are very different from each other. Searching for hydrogen bonds in MjHsp16.9 by chimera didn't reveal any interactions between the residues shown in figure 3.20. This means that a different set of interactions are involved in M.janaschii. Structural studies by Kim et al. (1998) who crystallized this protein revealed interaction for multimer formation but were not concerned with interactions involved in dimer formation.

Keeping in line with the previous comparisons, pairwise local sequence alignement for M.janaschii and T.volcanium sHSPs sequences was performed and results shown in figure 3.21 .


Figure 3.20: Wheat sHSP16.9 and M.janaschii Shsp16.5 Structure Superposition. Wheat structure is cyan and M.janaschii is yellow color. Wheat residues are named with letter W followed by their one-letter code and number, while M.janaschii by M followed by their one letter code and number. Some parts of the wheat N-terminus was deleted for clarity. Image generated by UCSF Chimera. Accession number of M.Janaschii sHSP16.5 structure is 1SHS and wheat sHSP16.9 is 1GME.
$\begin{array}{lrl}\text { THEVO } & 17 & \text { SNTVKEVSSFIYPPVTLYQDSSDLVLEAEMAGFDKKNIKVSVNKNVLTIS }\end{array} \quad 66$
Figure 3.21: Pairwise Local Sequence Alignment between T.volcanium and M.janaschii sHSPs. Residues in M.janaschii protein matching with the residues designated as important in T.volcanium are bold and underlined. Sequence alignment generated by ClustalW.

In this case, VE45 matched with MW53, R69 with MR83, and VK87 with MR107. The region VQ80 and VR81 did not match, and these results are in agreement with structure comparison of wheat and M.janashcii sHSPs shown above. To refine the results, T.volcanium model structure was superposed on M.janaschii sHSP structure as shown in figure 3.22.

As in the case of wheat, there is MI99 in instead of VR81 and MW41 instead of VE45, while VK87 is the counterpart of MR107 and VQ80 the counterpart of ME98.
These results are also in agreement with pairwise sequence alignment results, except for residues VQ80 and VR81.


Figure 3.22: Structure Superposition of Tpv-Hsp14.3 Model on M.janaschii sHSP14.5. M.janaschii sHSP16.5 is yellow while the T.volcanium sHSP14.3 is cyan color. T.volcanium resiues are named with letter V followed by their one-letter code and number, while M.janaschii by M followed by their one letter code and number. Image generated by UCSF Chimera. Accession number of M.Janaschii sHSP16.5 structure is 1SHS.

After these studies, it was decided to change four sites in the $\alpha$-crystalline domain of T.volcanium tpv-Hsp14.3 sequence. The sites were decided by relying mostly on local sequence alignments and verified by structure comparison. These sites are R69, R81 and K87 as single mutations, and QR80-81 as a double mutation. Since all the sites were positively charged, with the exception of Q80, which is polar positive but uncharged amino acid, it was reasoned that the best way was to change each single site by an analogous residue, a negatively charged residue, and by a hydrophobic residue. So R69 was changed into R69K, R69E and R69M. R81 was changed into R91K, R81E and R81M. K87 was changed into K87R, K87E and K87I, and the double mutant $\mathrm{QR} 80-81$ was changed into $\mathrm{QR}(80-81) \mathrm{EL}$.

For all the mutant variants structures, were predicted as well in the same way as the wild type. Based on the principles of structure prediction by threading, there is not expected any significant change in the overall structure of any of the mutants as compared to the wild-type. To further prove this assumption, the structures of wildtype and each mutant variants were superposed on each other and the root-meansquare deviation (RMSD) values were calculated by Chimera software. RMSD is a standard measurement used in protein structure evaluation. It measures the average distance between the backbone atoms of superposed proteins (Maiorov et al. 1994). RMSD values for one-to-one structure comparisons of wild-type and each mutant were $0.6-0.9$. In another case, the 3D structures all mutant variants for a mutated position were compared to each other and the wild-type structure and their RMSD values were calculated. These values were between 0.9-1.2. All these values are below the threshold RMSD value of 2 , which is the standard value for a good fit between protein structures. Based on these values, it can be claimed there are no gross changes in the structures when a residue or two are mutated.

### 3.2. Plasmid Isolation and Restriction Digestion

The recombinant tun0775 gene was previously expressed heterologously in E.coli cells in our laboratory (Kocabiyik et al. 2012). Digestion of recombinant plasmid PQE3I/775 with SmaI or HindIII linearizes the vector, while double digestion with


Figure 3.23: Agarose Gel Electrophoresis of the Recombinant PQE31/775 vector. Single and double digestions are shown on the left. Vector digested with (1) SmaI, (2) SalI and PstI (3) GeneRuler 50bp DNA Ladder (Fermentas, Lithuania). In the right, schematic diagram of the expression vector construct and inserted gene position.

SalI and PstI excises the gene. The original sequence of the gene is 372 nucleotides, but together with addittionl sequences from the multiple cloning site (MCS) of the vector, the size of the subcloned fragment became 447 nucleotides. The expression vector size with the gene is about 3.9 kb : 3463 b plasmid plus 447 b of the inserted gene. This vector has a lac Operon and ampicillin resistance gene as selection marker. The results of single and double digestions carried out to confirm the presence of the cloned gene are shown in figure 3.23.

In the figure, both recombinant plasmid digestion and vector construct are shown. When the plasmid is digested with SalI only, it is linearized, and when it is digested with $\mathrm{SmaI} /$ PstI enzymes, the gene is excised as shown by the lower band in the
second well with a length of approximately 500 base pairs. This confirms the presence of the gene in the recombinant plasmid.

### 3.3. Site Directed Mutagenesis

Five target sites for mutagenesis were selected based on sequence alignment analysis explained in section 3.1. The logic of the mutagenesis was to change the sites in three different ways, an analogue of the original residue with similar physico-chemical properties, and two others would be very different: one would be acidic instead of the original basic residue, and the other would be hydrophobic, since all the selected residues except Gln were charged. So, Arginine-69 (R69), a basic residue, was converted it into its analogue Lysine (K) (R69K), into Glutamic Acid (E) (R69E), which is an acidic residue, and into Methionine, a hydrophobic residue. The next selected site was Arg81, which was again changed into Lys (R81K), Glu (R81E) and the bulky hydrophobic residue Met (R81M). Another residue was Lys87 which was changed into Arg (K87R), Glu (K87E) and Ile (K87I). The last mutagenesis was a double mutation at positions $\operatorname{Gln} 80$ and $\operatorname{Arg} 81$. These two amino acids were simultaneously changed into Glu80 and Leu81, respectively. The original and mutated sequences for each case are shown in the figures 3.24-3.26.

```
gtactcactataagtgcggagagaaagagagaatactctaccgtatatatcgatcagcgc 243 Wild-type
```



```
gtactcactataagtgcggagaTGaagagagaatactctaccgtatatatcgatcagcgc 243 R69M
    V I T T I S S A Fllllllllllllllllllll
gtactcactataagtgcggagGAaaagagagaatactctaccgtatatatcgatcagcgc 243 R69E
```



```
gtactcactataagtgcggagaAGaagagagaatactctaccgtatatatcgatcagcgc 243 R69K
```



Figure 3.24: Part of Tpv-Hsp14.3 Gene and its Rrotein Sequence for R69 Mutants. Both DNA and protein sequences show the flanking regions of R69 residue (colored). The changed nucleotides in the codons are capitalized.


Figure 3.25: Part of Tpv-Hsp14.3 Gene and its Protein Sequence for R81 and QR(8081)EL Mutants. Both DNA and protein sequences show the flanking regions of residues 8081 (colored). The changed nucleotides are capitalized.


Figure 3.26: Part of Tpv-Hsp14.3 Gene and its Protein Sequence for K87 Mutants. Both DNA and protein sequences show the flanking regions of residue K87 (colored). The changed nucleotides are capitalized.

### 3.3.1. Mutagenesis with Transformer ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit

First, mutagenesis experiments were carried out with Transformer ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Clontech, Takara Japan), and Kit's instructions were followed. After the first transformation with $p U C 19 M$ vector, (a 2686 bp plasmid), the transformation efficiency was $3 \times 10^{5}$ and the percentage of blue cells was in the range of $65 \%$, both of them lower than the expected $1 \times 10^{6}$ and $70-90 \%$. The transformation efficiency when the amount of plasmid DNA was doubled turned out to be $1 \times 10^{5}$. This might be related to the competence state of the host cells.

For the mutagenesis experiments with $p Q E 321 / 775$ plasmid, the first two sites selected were R69M and R69E. The primers used were as described in the chapter of "Materials and Methods", and the amount of plasmid was $0.1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ in all mutation reactions. Selection was done by cutting the plasmid in mutagenesis mixture with $2 \mu 1$ of $10 \mathrm{U} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ HindIII enzyme (Roche, Zwitzerland). Transformation efficiency for R69E mutation was $1 \times 10^{3}$, while that of R69M was $3 \times 10^{3}$. When primers concentration was quadrupled, the transformation efficiency for R69E was $4 \times 10^{3}$ and that of R69M was $3 \times 10^{3}$. These efficiencies are 100 -fold lower than the experiments performed with control plasmid.

To further elaborate on the cause of the low efficiency, the same cells under the same conditions were transformed with pUC19 vector, which has a size of 2686 bp. When the cells were transformed with $0.25 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of this vector, the efficiency was $1 \times 10^{5}$ that is close to the one obtained with test plasmid. When the cells were transformed with wild-type PQE31/775 recombinant vector, the number of cells transformed with linear plasmid was $3-4 \%$ (higher than expected) of those transformed with undigested plasmid. This result indicated that the transformation efficiency with the recombinant plasmid would be low. According to the troubleshoting section of the Kit, this high ratio of cells transformed with linear plasmid as compared to the circular one may be due to inefficient digestion, but it was shown that HindIII enzyme digests the plasmid completely (figure 3.40). The only explanation for this seems to be the size of the plasmid, since the PUC vectors with a size of $\sim 2.7 \mathrm{~kb}$ transformed with a higher efficiency than our vector with a size of $\sim 3.9 \mathrm{~kb}$. The claim that low plasmid purity decreases the transformation efficiency is also rejected, because the same plasmid was used with the second kit for mutagenesis, and it showed very good results. Additionally, the same method was used to isolate plasmid for DNA sequencing, prooving that the plasmid is pure.

### 3.1.1.1. Characterization of mutants by Agarose Gel Electrophoresis after Restriction Digestion

When the sequences were changed according to the desired mutations, the insert within the recombinant vector were scanned for new or lost restriction sites due to

Table 3.1: Restriction Enzyme Cut Sites after Mutation at Specific Sites.

| Site | RE | Recognition | Freq. | Cut Positions | Fragment Length |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WT | MboII | GAAGA | 8 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1283,1896,2687,2758, \\ & 3513,3591,3700,3896 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathbf{1 2 9 5}, 613,791,71, \\ 755,78,109,196 \end{array}$ |
| R69M | MboII | GAAGA | 9 | $\begin{gathered} 397,1283,1896,2687, \\ 2758,3513,3591, \\ 3700,3896 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathbf{4 0 9 , ~ 8 8 6 , ~ 6 1 3 , ~ 7 9 1 , ~} \\ 71,755,78,109,196 \end{array}$ |
| R69E | MboII | GAAGA | 9 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 397,1283,1896,2687, \\ 2758,3513,3591, \\ 3700,3896 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{4 0 9 , ~ 8 8 6 , 6 1 3 , 7 9 1 ,} \\ 71,755,78,109,196 \end{gathered}$ |
| R69K | MboII | GAAGA | 10 | $\begin{gathered} 394,397,1283,1896 \\ 2687,2758,3513, \\ 3591,3700,3896 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3 , 4 0 9 , ~ 8 8 6 , ~ 6 1 3 , ~ 7 9 1 ,} \\ & 71,755,78,109,196 \end{aligned}$ |
| WT | BseRI | GAGGAG | 1 | 115 | Linear 3890 |
| R69E | BseRI | GAGGAG | 2 | 115, 395 | 280, 3610 |
| WT | BccI | CCATC | 7 | $\begin{gathered} 137,143,702,1377, \\ 2962,3086,3373 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6,559,390,675 \\ 1585,124,287,674 \end{gathered}$ |
| R81M | BccI | CCATC | 8 | $\begin{gathered} 137,143,412,702, \\ 1377,2962,3086,3373 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6, \mathbf{2 6 9}, \mathbf{2 9 0}, 390, \\ 675,1585,124, \\ 287,674 \end{gathered}$ |

mutations. After the scan, the sites were determined and the fragment length calculated. Restriction sequence scan was done by RestrictionMapper Version 3 and the new sites formed after vector insertions are shown in table 3.1.

No restriction sites were found to be lost after mutation. The sites of class I restriction Enzymes were excluded due to their restriction site ambiguity. From the enzymes in table 3.1 only MboII and BseRI were used for verification of the mutants. The highlighted fragments are the longer fragments in the wild-type gene and the two new sub-fragments were yielded by digestion with the selected restriction enzymes after mutations. Plasmid isolation for the cells mutated in positions R69M and R69E was done by QIAprep Spin Miniprep Kit (Qiagen) as described in section 2.3.1.2. The first thing to be observed was whether the HindIII restriction site had been really changed or not, since it was expected to be mutated by the selection primer in the recombinant plasmid used in this study. HindIII digested plasmids from selected putative mutant colonies were run in 1.5\% AGE as described in section 2.3.3 and the gel photo is shown in figure 3.27.


Figure 3.27: AGE of Plasmid after Mutagenesis Digested with HindIII. The samples were run in $1.5 \%$ AGE. Sample order is: Lanes (1)-(4): plasmid isolated from colonies 2-5 for the site R69M. (5): colony 10 of R89M. (6): Lambda DNA/EcoRI+HindIII marker (Fermentas, Lithuania). (7): Wild-type plasmid. (8) and (9): plasmids from colonies M11 and M14 respectively. (10) and (11): plasmids from colonies 1 and 4 of R69E mutants. The sizes for the four bands of the marker are shown in the right of the figure.

All the plasmids digested with HindIII enzyme at one site were linearized, meaning that HindIII cut site was not mutated. However, plasmids from colonies 8 and 11 (lanes 5 and 8 ) were not digested complitely, or may be that two plasmids fused to form a concatamer. This result shows the inefficiency of mutation at the selection site.

### 3.3.1.2. Plasmid Isolation from Mutant Cells and AGE Characterization.

Since the mutation of HindIII enzyme cut site was independent of the mutation at R69 site, among the putative mutant colonies some were randomly selected for characterization by restriction enzyme digestion targeting the mutant forms of the vector when R69 residue was changed into R69M and R69E. The expected result for

R69M is the loss of a long 1295bp band because it would be cut by MboII into two bands of lengths 409 and 886 base pairs (table 3.1). As for R69E, the plasmid is supposed to give two bands after digesting it with BseRI enzyme of lenghts 280bp and 3610 bp respectively. On the other hand, the wild-type would only be linearized. The gel photo for R69M putative mutant colonies is shown in figure 3.28.


Figure 3.28: AGE of Plasmid Isolated from R69M Colonies. 1.5\% Agarose Gel Electrophoresis run for 10 different plasmids isolated from single cell colonies. Lanes (1)(5): colonies 1-5. (6): GeneRuler 50bp DNA Ladder(Fermentas, Lithuania). (7): GeneRuler DNA Ladder Mix 10000-100 (Fermentas, Lithuania). (8): colony 7. (9): colony 8. (10): colony 10. (11): colony 11. (12): Colony 14. These colonies are all from the first transformation. The boxed bands in the marker lanes stand for the underlined fragment length to the right of the photo.

The large number of fragments formed by the many cut sites of MboII restriction endonuclease makes the discretion of the bands almost impossible. The volume of the plasmid loaded was also increased from 10 to $15 \mu$ l with the intention of increasing band intensity, but still the results did not change (figure 3.29).


Figure 3.29: AGE of Plasmid Isolated from R69M Colonies. 1.5\% Agarose Gel Electrophoresis run for 5 selected putative mutant R69M colonies. Lanes (1): colony 2. (2): Colony 5. (3): colony 10. (4): colony 14. (5): wild-tupe. (6) GeneRuler DNA Ladder Mix 10000-100 (Fermentas, Lithuania). These colonies are all from the first transformation. The boxed bands in the marker lanes stand for the underlined fragment length to the right of the photo.

Discretion of the mutant R69E would be relatively easier, since two bands are expected to be formed instead of a linear wild-type recombinant vector. But there is only one band after digestion, meaning that the sire R69 was not mutated (figure 3.30).


Figure 3.30: AGE of Plasmid Isolated from R69E Colonies. 1.5\% Agarose Gel Electrophoresis run from 6 different R69E plasmids isolated from putative mutant single cell colonies. Lanes (1)-(3): Colonies 1-3. (4): GeneRuler 50bp DNA Ladder (Fermentas, Lithuania). (5): GeneRuler DNA Ladder Mix 10000-100 (Fermentas, Lithuania). (6)-(8): 46 colonies. These colonies are all from the first transformation

Another mutagenesis experiment performed for R69E and R69M substitutions yielded three promissing mutant colonies, namely colonies 3 and 4 (R69M 1/3 and R69M 1/4) for R69M mutant, shown in lanes 3 and 4 in figure 3.31, and colony 3 for R69E mutant from the second transformation (R69E 2/3) (figure 3.32). Since bands of R69M $1 / 3$ and R69M $1 / 4$ were different than the rest, these two plasmids from R69M mutants and R69E 2/3 were sent for sequencing.

Some plasmids have bigger size than the original vector, and some bands are bigger than expected, as shown in figures 3.27 and 3.31. This may be due to mutations or plasmids forming concatamers. The sequences were shown to be mutated where there is a deletion in the plasmid, at least in the sequenced region (Appendix C), because it was not sequenced completely.


Figure 3.31: AGE of Plasmid Isolated from R69M Colonies. 1.5\% Agarose Gel Electrophoresis run for 14 different plasmids isolated from single cell colonies. Lane (1): R69M 1/1 colony. (2) R69M 1/2. (3) R69M 1/3. (4) R69M 1/4. (5) R69M 2/2. (6) R69M 2/3. (7): R69M2/4 (8) Lambda DNA/EcoRI+HindIII marker (Fermentas, Lithuania). (9): R69M 2/5. (10): R69M 2/9. (11): R69M 2/10 (12): R69E 1/1. (13): R69E 1/3. (14): R69E 1/5. (15) wild-type undigeste plasmid. (Colonies with numbers $1 /$ are from the first transformation, and colonies with number $2 /$ from the second transformation).


Figure 3.32: AGE of Plasmid Isolated from R69E Colonies. 1.5\% Agarose Gel Electrophoresis run from 7 different R69E plasmids isolated from putative mutant single cell colonies. Lane (1): R69E1/6 colony, (2) R69E1/7. (3): R69E2/1. (4): R69E2/3. (5) Lambda DNA/EcoRI+HindIII marker (Fermentas, Lithuania). (6): R69E2/5. (7): R69E2/7. (8): R69E2/8. (Colonies with numbers $1 /$ are from the first transformation, and colonies with number $2 /$ from the second transformation).

Based on the low transformation efficiency, and in the inability to change the sites into the desired mutation as shown by AGE in figures 3.40-3.45, this kit was seen as unsuitable and the mutagenesis was not continued any more with it. Whatever the reason of the failure may be, three samples R69E 2/3, R69M $1 / 3$ and R69M $1 / 4$ were sent for sequencing as described in section 2.3.5 and the results showed an internal deletion in one sequence, no change in another one, and a mutated sequence in the third sample (Appendix C). However, when the flanking sequences outside the gene region were analyzed, there were several other mutations, including deletions. Since the Kit did not work with the plasmid system used in this study, it was decided to continue with another mutagenesis kit.

### 3.3.2. Site-directed Mutagenesis by QuikChange ${ }^{\circledR}$ Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit

The optimization experiments were carried out with the pWhitescript 4.5bp control plasmid as described in the protocol, and the transformation efficiency obtained was $1 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{CFU} / \mu \mathrm{g}$ DNA. The blue colonies made up $98.7 \%$ of the total colonies that grew after transformation. These are the required results according to the kit manual, meaning that the procedure is working fine. The mutagenesis experiments were carried out with $p Q E 31 / 775$ recombinant plasmid. The best results were obtained by using a total of $\sim 31 \mathrm{ng}$ plasmid DNA for transformation, with efficiencies in the order of $10^{6}-10^{7} \mathrm{CFU} / \mu \mathrm{g}$ DNA, while with a total amount of 20ng DNA no colonies were obtained. When the total plasmid amount was increased to 36 ng and 40 ng the efficiencies were of the orders of $10^{4} \mathrm{CFU} / \mu \mathrm{g}$ DNA. So based on these rsults, all the experiments were carried as described in the section 2.3.4.2 with a plasmid amount of 31 ng . The transformation efficiencies were calculated by the formula of CFU $/ \mu \mathrm{g}$ of DNA and the results are shown in the table 3.2. Among the putative mutant colonies, 2-4 of them were selected for each mutation and the plasmids were isolated from them as described in section 2.3.1.2. Purified plasmids were then sent for sequencing, and after obtaining the results, the sequences were analyzed for the mutated sites. To check whether they had any mutation in the flanking regions, pairwise sequence alignments with ClustalW software of EBI were performed for the

Table 3.2: Transformation Efficiencies in the Mutagenesis Experiments.

| No. | Mutation | $\mu$ l plated | Total Col. No. | CFU | Trans. Eff. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | R69K | $550 \mu 1$ | 914 | 1662 | $3 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | R69E | $545 \mu 1$ | 620 | 1138 | $2 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | R69M | $540 \mu 1$ | 2260 | 4185 | $7 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{R 8 1 K}$ | $520 \mu 1$ | 332 | 638 | $1 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{R 8 1 E}$ | $500 \mu 1$ | 239 | 478 | $8 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | R81M | $550 \mu 1$ | 1548 | 2815 | $5 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | K87R | $550 \mu 1$ | 3646 | 7012 | $1 \times 10^{7}$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | K87E | $550 \mu 1$ | 3268 | 5942 | $1 \times 10^{7}$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | K87I | $520 \mu 1$ | 3768 | 7246 | $1 \times 10^{7}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | QR(80-81)EL | $500 \mu 1$ | 633 | 1266 | $2 \times 10^{6}$ |

available sequence region. Such sequence analysis for each mutation revealed that all the sites had changed, except three cases: In two cases, the expected K87R was K87I and vice versa. This may also be due to a labeling error. In the third case the expected R69K was changed into Asparagine instead of Lysine codon. All the sequences and their sequence alignments with the wild type gene are shown in Appendix C.

### 3.4. Expression of the Engineered sHSPs and Their Purification.

All the mutant $s H S P$ genes in $p Q E 31$ expression vector were expressed under the control of lacZ promoter/operator upon IPTG induction. After obtaining the cellular extracts, for each variant protein, two samples were prepared for examination by gel electrophoresis, one heat-treated and the other not treated. Since T.volcanium is a thermophilic Archaeon, it was expected that by heat treatment at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, its proteins will not be affected, while those of E.coli will be denatured and thus can be precipitated by centrifugation at high speed. The benefit of this is the increased purity of the extract as most of the proteins will be removed by centrifugation. Then the rest when applied to column will be better purified and used for biochemical characterization.


Figure 3.33: SDS-PAGE of Cell Extracts of the Wild-Type and Mutant E.coli Cells. Lanes: (1) R69K mutant after heat treatment. (2) R69K mutant not heat treated. (3) R69E mutant not heat treated. (4) R69E mutant after heat treatment. (5) Protein ruler PageRuler ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Prestained Protein Ladder (Fermentas, Lithuania). (6) R69M mutant not heat treated. (7) R69M mutant after heat treatment. (8) Wild-type not heat treated. (9) Wild type after heat treatment.

As figure 3.33 shows, after heat treatment most of the bands for mutant Tpv-Hsp14.3 get weaker except the band corresponding to the wild-type Tpv-Hsp14.3 and R69K mutant. The loss in band intensity was significant for R69M and R69E. This may be explained by decreased heat stability of these variants as a result of specific mutations.

Figure 3.34 shows that the mutant variants of tpv-Hsp14.3 at position K 87 are more stable than those of R69 as judged by band loss after heat treatment. The change of Lys for Arg and Glu at position 87 does not cause any change in the band strength after heat treatment. The double mutant $\mathrm{QR}(80-81) \mathrm{EL}$ is also not affected much,
while the K87I mutant is very unstable. For the latter, even the band of unheated cellular extract is quite weak, and after heating, it is almost lost. This suggests that K87I variant may be very unstable.


Figure 3.34: SDS-PAGE of Cell Extracts for Mutant E.coli Cells. Lanes: (1) K87E mutant untreated. (2) K87E mutant after heat treatment. (3) K87R mutant not heat treated. (4) K87R mutant after heat treatment. (5) Protein ruler PageRuler ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Prestained Protein Ladder (Fermentas, Lithuania). (6) K87I mutant not heat treated. (7) K87I mutant after heat treatment. (8) $\mathrm{QR}(80-81) \mathrm{EL}$ mutant not heat treated. (9) $\mathrm{QR}(80-81) \mathrm{EL}$ mutant after heat treatment.

Figure 3.35 shows that changing of R81 for Lys and Met resulted in no significant effect on the band strength after heat treatment, but when it is changed for Glu, some loss is observed.


Figure 3.35: SDS-PAGE of Cell Extracts for Mutant E.coli Cells. Lanes: (1) R81K mutant not heat treated. (2) R81K mutant after heat treatment. (3) R81E mutant not heat treated. (4) R81E mutant after heat treatment. (5) Protein ruler PageRuler ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Prestained Protein Ladder (Fermentas, Lithuania). (6) R81M mutant not heat treated. (7) R81M mutant after heat treatment.

### 3.5. Protein Column Purification

All of the eleven protein variants (ten mutants and one wild-type) were purified by Ni-NTA affinity column chromatography under native conditions, except one mutant protein which was purified using Fast-Ni-NTA column. For Ni-NTA column chromatography, either heat-treated or untreated cell extracts were used depending on sHSPs concentration judged by band strength shown in figures 3.36-3.47.

Figures 3.49-3.60 show the purification steps for wild-type and mutant variants of tpv-Hsp14.3 protein. In all purifications, usually second and third elutions samples yielded unique and discrete bands of the sHSP. These samples were used for enzyme assay and DLS experiments because of their high purity.


Figure 3.36: SDS-PAGE of R81E Mutant Variant of $T p v$-Hsp14.3 Purified by Fast-NiNTA Column Chromatography. Lanes: (1) FT-5. (2) FT-2. (3) W2-1. (4) W1-4. (5) W1-1. (6) Protein ruler PageRulerTM Prestained Protein Ladder (Fermentas, Lithuania). (7) W2-4. (8) E1. (9) E2. (10) Cellular extract. (The abbreviations used are; FT: Flow Through; W1: First Wash; W2: Second Wash; E: Elution)


Figure 3.37: SDS-PAGE of Wild-Type Tpv-Hsp14.3 Protein Purified By Ni-NTA Column Chromatography. Lanes: (1) FT-1. (2) FT-4. (3) W1-1. (4) W1-4. (5) E1. (6) E2. (7) Protein ruler PageRuler ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Prestained Protein Ladder (Fermentas, Lithuania). (8) E3. (9) E4. (The abbreviations used are; FT: Flow Through; W1: First Wash; W2: Second Wash; E: Elution)


Figure 3.38: SDS-PAGE of R69K Mutant Variant of Tpv-Hsp14.3 Purified by Ni-NTA Column Chromatography. Lanes: (1) FT-1. (2) FT-4. (3) W1-1. (4) W1-4. (5) W2-4. (6) Protein ruler PageRuler ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Prestained Protein Ladder (Fermentas, Lithuania). (7) E1. (8) E2. (9) E3. (10) E4. (The abbreviations used are; FT: Flow Through; W1: First Wash; W2: Second Wash; E: Elution)


Figure 3.39: SDS-PAGE of R69E Mutant Variant Of Tpv-Hsp14.3 Purified By Ni-NTA Column Chromatography. Lanes: (1) FT-1. (2) FT-4. (3) W1-1. (4) W2-4. (5) E1. (6) E2. (7) Protein ruler PageRuler ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Prestained Protein Ladder (Fermentas, Lithuania). (8) E3. (9) E4. (10) W1-1. (The abbreviations used are; FT: Flow Through; W1: First Wash; W2: Second Wash; E: Elution)


Figure 3.40: SDS-PAGE of R69M Mutant Variant of $\boldsymbol{T p} \boldsymbol{v}$-Hsp14.3 Purified by Ni-NTA Column Chromatography. Lanes: (1) FT-1. (2) FT-4. (3) W1-1. (4) W1-4. (5) W2-4. (6) Protein ruler PageRuler ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Prestained Protein Ladder (Fermentas, Lithuania). (7) E1. (8) E2. (9) E3. (10) E4. (The abbreviations used are; FT: Flow Through; W1: First Wash; W2: Second Wash; E: Elution)


Figure 3.41: SDS-PAGE of R81E Mutant Variant of Tpv-Hsp14.3 Purified by Ni-NTA Column Chromatography. Lanes: (1) FT-1. (2) FT-4. (3) W1-1. (4) W1-4. (5) E1. (6) E2. (7) Protein ruler PageRuler ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Prestained Protein Ladder (Fermentas, Lithuania). (8) E3. (9) E4. (The abbreviations used are; FT: Flow Through; W1: First Wash; W2: Second Wash; E: Elution).


Figure 3.42: SDS-PAGE of R81K Mutant Variant of $\boldsymbol{T p} \boldsymbol{v}$-Hsp14.3 Purified by Ni-NTA Column Chromatography. Lanes: (1) FT-1. (2) FT-4. (3) W1-1. (4) W1-4. (5) W2-4. (6) Protein ruler PageRuler ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Prestained Protein Ladder (Fermentas, Lithuania). (7) E1. (8) E2. (9) E3. (10) E4. (The abbreviations used are; FT: Flow Through; W1: First Wash; W2: Second Wash; E: Elution).


Figure 3.43: SDS-PAGE of R81M Mutant Variant of $\boldsymbol{T} \boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{v}$-Hsp14.3 Purified by Ni-NTA Column Chromatography. Lanes: (1) FT-4. (2) E1. (3) E2. (4) E3. (5) E4. (6) W1-1 (7) Protein ruler PageRuler ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Prestained Protein Ladder (Fermentas, Lithuania). (8) W1-4. (The abbreviations used are; FT: Flow Through; W1: First Wash; W2: Second Wash; E: Elution).


Figure 3.44: SDS-PAGE of QR(80-81)EL Double-Mutant Variant of Tpv-Hsp14.3 Purified by Ni-NTA Column Chromatography. Lanes: (1) FT-1. (2) FT-4. (3) W1-1. (4) W1-4. (5) W2-4. (6) E1. (7) E2. (8) Protein ruler PageRuler ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Prestained Protein Ladder (Fermentas, Lithuania). (9) E3. (10) E4. (The abbreviations used are; FT: Flow Through; W1: First Wash; W2: Second Wash; E: Elution).


Figure 3.45: SDS-PAGE of K87R Mutant Variant of Tpv-Hsp14.3 Purified by Ni-NTA Column Chromatography. Lanes: (1) FT-1. (2) FT-4. (3) W1-4. (4) W1-1. (5) W2-4. (6) E1. (7) E2. (8) Protein ruler PageRuler ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Prestained Protein Ladder (Fermentas, Lithuania). (9) E3. (10) E4. (The abbreviations used are; FT: Flow Through; W1: First Wash; W2: Second Wash; E: Elution).


Figure 3.46: SDS-PAGE of K87E Mutant Variant of $\boldsymbol{T p} \boldsymbol{v}$-Hsp14.3 Purified by Ni-NTA Column Chromatography. Lanes: (1) FT-1. (2) FT-4. (3) W1-1. (4) E1. (5) E2. (6) E3. (7) E4. There was no marker used in this gel due to some technical problems. (The abbreviations used are; FT: Flow Through; W1: First Wash; W2: Second Wash; E: Elution).


Figure 3.47: SDS-PAGE of K87I Mutant Variant of Tpv-Hsp14.3 Purified by Ni-NTA Column Chromatography. Elution purification from two experimsnts. Lanes: (1) E2. (2) E3. (3) E4. (4) Protein ruler PageRuler ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Prestained Protein Ladder (Fermentas, Lithuania). (5) E4. (6) E3. (7) E2. (8) Protein ruler PageRuler ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Prestained Protein Ladder (Fermentas, Lithuania). Lanes (1)-(3) from first purification, and lanes (5)-(7) from second purification. E stands for Elution.

### 3.6. Chaperone Activity of the Engineered Tpv-Hsp14.3

The model enzyme used in these experiments was pig heart Citrate Synthase (CS) (Sigma, Alrdich) which carries the reaction described in section 2.3.10. Enzyme activity was measured under optimal and denaturing temperature in four different settings: First, the model enzyme itself in the reaction mixture at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, which is its optimal temperature. Second, CS activity in the same reaction mixture but at the temperature of $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. At this temperature CS loses its activity (negative control). Third, activity measurement when CS was incubated with the wild-type tpv-Hsp14.3 in the reaction mixture at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, which serves as a positive control to compare the protection activity of all the mutants. And finally, activty measurement when CS was incubated with each mutants one at a time at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The protein amount of all the sHSP variants was kept at $34-35 \mu \mathrm{~g}$. The experiments were run in replicates. Figure 3.48 shows the absorbance spectra of CS alone and in the presence of the wild-type tpv-Hsp14.3 chaperone.


Figure 3.48: Citrate Synthase Activity Measured Under Different Conditions: ( $\rightarrow$ ) Citrate synthase alone incubated at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .(-)$ Citrate synthase alone incubated at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\left(-\right.$ ) Citrate Synthase incubated at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of the wild-type molecular Chaperone.

As the figure shows, when CS was incubated alone at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, its activity was $823 \pm 1.27 \Delta \mathrm{mAbs} / \mathrm{min}$. When CS was incubated alone at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, its activity was $18.1 \pm 0.1 \Delta \mathrm{mAbs} / \mathrm{min}$, a 45 -fold fall in as compared to its activity at optimal temperature. However, when CS was incubated with the Wild-Type tpv-Hsp14.3, its activity was $160.1 \pm 0.032 \Delta \mathrm{mAbs} / \mathrm{min}$, a 5 -fold decrease as compared to CS activity at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, but 9 -fold higher compared to CS activity at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. These results indicate an efficient heat protective activity of the wild-type tpv-Hsp14.3 chaperone.

Heat protection effects of the R69 mutants are shown in figures 3.49.


Figure 3.49: Citrate Synthase Activity Measured Under Different Conditions: ( $\rightarrow$ )
Citrate synthase alone incubated at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .(-)$ Citrate synthase alone incubated at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\left(-\right.$ ) Citrate Synthase incubated at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of the wild-type molecular Chaperone. ( - ) Citrate Synthase incubated at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of R69E molecular Chaperone variant. ( - ) Citrate Synthase incubated at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of R69M molecular Chaperone variant. (- ) Citrate Synthase incubated at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of R69K molecular Chaperone variant.

The activity of CS when incubated at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ togather with R69E mutant of tpvHsp14.3 is $302.4 \pm 0.9 \Delta \mathrm{mAbs} / \mathrm{min}$, 1.9-fold higher than CS activity when incubated with the wild-type sHSP under the same conditions. Similarly, the presence of R69K mutant sHSP, CS activity was $293.5 \pm 0.2 \Delta \mathrm{mAbs} / \mathrm{min}$, a 1.8 -fold higher as compared to its activity when the enzyme was incubated with the wild type sHSP at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. When CS was incubated with the R69M variant its activity was $141.3 \pm 0.02$, showing a 1.1-fold decrease as compared to CS activity when incubated with the wild type sHSP CS at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Heat protection effects of the R81 mutants are shown in figures 3.50.


Figure 3.50: Citrate Synthase Activity Measured Under Different Conditions: ( $\rightarrow$ )
Citrate synthase alone incubated at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .(-)$ Citrate synthase alone incubated at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\left(-\right.$ ) Citrate Synthase incubated at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of the wild-type molecular Chaperone. ( - ) Citrate Synthase incubated at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of R81E molecular Chaperone variant. ( - ) Citrate Synthase incubated at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of R81M molecular Chaperone variant. ( $\neg-$ ) Citrate Synthase incubated at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of R81K molecular Chaperone variant.

As the figure shows, heat protection activity of tpv-Hsp14.3 decreases most drastically in the mutants of R81 position. The activity of CS incubated with R81E mutant variant at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is $20 \pm 0.01 \Delta \mathrm{mAbs} / \mathrm{min}$, an 8 -fold decrease as compared to its activity when incubated with the wild-type sHSP. The activity of CS incubated with R81K mutant variant at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is $103.3 \pm 0.3 \Delta \mathrm{mAbs} / \mathrm{min}$, a 1.5 -fold decrease as compared to its activity when incubated with the wild-type sHSP under the same conditions. The activity of CS incubated with R81M mutant variant at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is $15.5 \pm 0.2 \Delta \mathrm{mAbs} / \mathrm{min}$, a 10.3 -fold decrease as compared to its activity when incubated with the wild-type sHSP under same conditions. As these results show, R81K variant has still chaperoning activity, although slightly less than of the wild type sHSP. On the other hand, R81E and R81M mutants have lost their chaperoning activity completely.

Heat protection effects of the double mutant $\mathrm{QR}(80-81) \mathrm{EL}$ are shown in figures 3.51. As the figure shows, the activity of CS incubated with $\mathrm{QR}(80-81) \mathrm{EL}$ double mutant at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is $194 \pm 0.1 \Delta \mathrm{mAbs} / \mathrm{min}$, a 1.2 -fold higher as compared to its activity when incubated with the wild-type tpv-Hsp14.3, although single mutants of position R81 generally exhibited lowered chaperone activity.

The original residues Gln and Arg were exchanged with Glu and Leu respectively. A double mutant exchanging one partially-positive (Gln) and one basic residue into an acidic (Glu) and hydrophobic (Leu) residues would be expected to have drastic effects, but it was not the case. This could be explained by the fact that the new sequence is changed almost to the sequence of M.janaschii sHSP16.5 in this particular region, which is E98 and I99 as shown by structure superposition in figure 3.22. Since Leu is an analogue of Ile, not much difference between the two variants can be expected.


Figure 3.51: Citrate Synthase Activity Measured Under Different Conditions: $(\rightarrow-)$ Citrate synthase alone incubated at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .(-)$ Citrate synthase alone incubated at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $(\sim)$ Citrate Synthase incubated at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of the wild-type molecular Chaperone. (--) Citrate Synthase incubated at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of the QR80-81EL molecular Chaperone. The activity for each case is given in the chart.

Heat protection effects of the K87 mutants are shown in figures 3.52. All the mutations at position K87 caused an increase in CS activity when they were incubated with the enzyme at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as compared to the wild-type sHSP under the same conditions. The activity of CS incubated with K87R mutant variant at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is $412.1 \pm 0.2 \Delta \mathrm{mAbs} / \mathrm{min}$, a 2.5 -fold higher as compared to its activity when the enzyme was incubated with the wild type sHSP at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The activity of CS incubated with K87E mutant variant at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is $212.3 \pm 0.05 \Delta \mathrm{mAbs} / \mathrm{min}$, a 1.3 -fold higher as compared to its activity when the enzyme was incubated with the wild type sHSP at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Lastly, the activity of CS incubated with K87I mutant variant at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is $429.1 \pm 0.2 \Delta \mathrm{mAbs} / \mathrm{min}$, a 2.7 -fold higher as compared to its activity when the enzyme was incubated with the wild type sHSP at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 3.52: Citrate Synthase Activity Measured Under Different Conditions: $(\rightarrow)$ Citrate synthase alone incubated at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .(-)^{-}$Citrate synthase alone incubated at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $(\sim)$ Citrate Synthase incubated at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of the wild-type molecular Chaperone. ( * ) Citrate Synthase incubated at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of K87I molecular Chaperone variant. (_-) Citrate Synthase incubated at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of K87E molecular Chaperone variant. ( $\rightarrow$ ) Citrate Synthase incubated at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of K87R molecular Chaperone variant.

The combined results for heat protection of pig heart CS by the wild-type and each one of the mutant tpv-Hsp14.3 at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ are shown in figure 3.53.


Figure 3.53: CS Activity Protection in the Presence of Wild-Type and Mutant Variants of Tpv-Hsp14.3. Summary of CS activities incubated with different tpv-Hsp14.3 variants. In the sample axis, the number stand for the position and the letter for the residue introduced. WT stand for the wild-type tpv-Hsp14.3, and CS stand for CS enzyme at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The activity values are shown on top of each bar.

### 3.7. Dynamic Light Scattering Studies.

The original experimental data obtained in DLS studies are given in appendix E. In this section, the data are represented by charts. Some data that had very small values can not be shown in the charts, but they are found in Appendix D. The data shown in these charts are of the Simple Fit Distribution for 10 seconds. In DLS, the particle size is assumed by the software to be the diameter of a "perfect sphere", and this sphere represents a multimer of sHSPs. The monomers interact with each other to form large protein assemblies.

### 3.7.1. Optimization of DLS measurement.

In our study, early DLS experiments were run mostly for optimization purposes. DLS measurements at different temperatures for 30 seconds give single peaks and maximum peak values are shown in figure 3.54.


Figure 3.54: DLS Measurements of the Wild-Type Tpv-Hsp14.3 during 30 Seconds. The amount of sHSP protein was 1.88 mg . The peak size for each temperature and are given in the chart. The number 2 in parenthesis after temperature stands for the second measurement of light scattering at the same temperature.

In contrast, measurements for 10 seconds give more peaks (figure 3.55), which was judged to be more realistic for sHSPs given their dynamic nature. This dynamism is emphasised even more at higher temperatures, and for this reason, the rest of experiments were done for 10 second measuring time. Oligomeric size distribution for the wild-type tpv-Hsp14.3 at different temperatures are: at $13.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 98.9 \%$ of the
particles had size of 1985 nm diameter, $0.4 \% 34.5 \mathrm{~nm}$ and $0.7 \% 0.1 \mathrm{~nm}$. At $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $94.9 \%$ of the particles had size of $1121 \mathrm{~nm}, 4.6 \% 65.6 \mathrm{~nm}$ and $0.5 \% 0.1 \mathrm{~nm}$. At $44^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $99.8 \%$ of particles' size was 1406 nm and the remaining $0.2 \%$ was 0.9 nm . At $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $89.3 \%$ of the particles had size of 764.2 nm , while $9.8 \% 10910 \mathrm{~nm}$ and $0.9 \%$ were at a diameter of 0.2 nm .



Figure 3.55: DLS Analysis of the Wild-Type Chaperone Measured for 10 Seconds. Pannel A: Multimer size vs. temperature. Pannel B: Percent size distribution of oligomers at pannel A for different temperatures. The sHSP amount in this DLS experiment was 1.88 mg . Measurements were taken for 10 seconds at the temperatures shown in the bar diagram.

### 3.7.2. DLS Measurements for the Wild-Type Tpv-Hsp14.3 in the Presence and Absence of Protein Substrate.

The first set of experiments was performed with pig heart Citrate Synthase incubated with tpv-Hsp14.3 (figure 3.56). When 1 mg of wild type tpv-Hsp14.3 protein was incubated at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes, $46.6 \%$ of the protein is found in complexes at a size of 8000 nm diameter, $51.5 \%$ of 519 nm , and $1.8 \%$ of 0.14 nm diamter. When $15 \mu \mathrm{CS}$ is added and incubated with the chaperone at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 38.2 \%$ of the particles have size of 2660 nm diameter, $61.6 \%$ of 218.2 nm , and $0.2 \%$ of 0.14 nm diameter. The first peak may be an experimental artifact.

The second set of experiments were performed with Bovine Glutamate Dehydrogenase (GDH) enzyme incubated with 0.85 mg tpv-Hsp14.3. GDH (Sigma, Aldrich) is a mesophilic enzyme, whose optimal assay activity is at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and its activity is lost rapidly at $56^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. As the bars in figure 3.57 show, at $53^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 99.2 \%$ of the particles are at a size of 627.5 nm diameter and $0.8 \%$ of 0.16 nm diameter. When GDH was added and incubated for 10 min at $53^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the complex gave a single peak representing particles with size 587.3 nm diameter.


Figure 3.56: DLS Data of the Wild-Type Tpv-Hsp14.3 Alone and With CS. Pannel A: Multimer size vs. temperature. Pannel B: Percent size distribution of oligomers at pannel A. WT stands for wild-type sHSP, and WT+CS for wild-type sHSP plus $15 \mu \mathrm{CS}$. Incubations were done for 10 minutes at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 3.57: DLS data of the Wild-Type Tpv-Hsp14.3 Alone and with GDH. Pannel A: Multimer size vs. temperature. Pannel B: Percent size distribution of oligomers at pannel A. WT stands for 0.85 mg wild-type sHSP, and WT+GDH for 0.85 mg wild-type sHSP plus $15 \mu \mathrm{l}$ GDH enzyme. Incubations were done for 10 minutes at $53^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 3.7.3. DLS Measurements for the Different Mutant Variants of Tpv-Hsp14.3

## Chaperone

The concentrations of sHSPs in figures $3.58-3.68$ are $0.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$. The Oligomer size distribution of wild-type tpv-Hsp14.3 is shown in figure 3.58


Figure 3.58: Wild-type Tpv-Hsp14.3 Oligomer Size and their Percent Distribution. Pannel A: Multimer size vs. temperature. Pannel B: Percent size distribution of oligomers at pannel A vs. temperature. The values are shown at the top of each bar.

The figure shows that at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 55.6 \%$ of the particles have a size of 630.2 nm diameter, and $43.7 \%$ of 96.4 nm . and $0.1 \%$ of size 5.7 nm diameter. At $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 41.3 \%$ are of a size of 1071 nm diameter, $57.3 \%$ of 94.8 nm and $1.3 \%$ have a size of 7.1 nm diameter. At $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ there is a dramatic shift, with $97.9 \%$ of particles having a size of 178 nm diameter, and $0.5 \%$ a size of 3.6 nm diameter. The last size of 0.1 nm diameter is irrelevant and ignored. At $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 98.7 \%$ are of a size of 189.4 nm diameter, and $0.2 \%$ have a diameter of 2.9 nm . The 0.15 nm size is also discarded.

Oligomeric size distribution of R69K mutant of tpv-Hsp14.3 is given in figure 3.59. The figure shows that at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ almost all the particles have a size of 2730 nm diameter, because the 0.1 nm particle size can be ignored. At $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 91.2 \%$ have size of 2053 nm diameter, $1.6 \%$ have size of 40.3 nm and a small $0.1 \%$ have size of 1 nm diameter. At $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the main peak of $87.2 \%$ has a size of 1555 nm diamter, $4.1 \%$ a size of 68.9 nm and $8.6 \% 0.1 \mathrm{~nm}$ diameter. At $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 83.8 \%$ have a size of 857.6 nm diameter, $1 \% 33 \mathrm{~nm}, 0.4 \% 3.1 \mathrm{~nm}$, and $14.8 \%$ had a size of 0.1 nm diameter, not shown in the bar because such a small size is irrelevant for even a monomer of a chaperone.

Oligomeric size distribution of R69E mutant of tpv-Hsp14.3 is given in figure 3.60. The figure shows that at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 95.6 \%$ of the particles are of size 3014 nm diameter, $1.3 \%$ size of 38.9 nm and a $2.1 \%$ size of 0.6 nm diameter. At $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the $97.7 \%$ were 944 nm diameter, $9.2 \% 0.8 \mathrm{~nm}$ and the rest were negligible. At $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 90.8 \%$ were of a size of 863 nm diameter and $9.2 \% 0.5 \mathrm{~nm}$. Finally at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 92.3 \%$ had size of 1074 nm diameter, $2.7 \% 1.1 \mathrm{~nm}$ and a $5 \% 0.1 \mathrm{~nm}$ diameter.


Figure 3.59: R69K Mutant Tpv-Hsp14.3 Oligomer Size and their Percent DistributioN. Pannel A: Multimer size vs. temperature. Pannel B: Percent size distribution of oligomers at pannel A vs. temperature. The values are shown at the top of each bar.


Figure 3.60: R69E Mutant Tpv-Hsp14.3 Oligomer Size and their Percent Distribution. Pannel A: Multimer size vs. temperature. Pannel B: Percent size distribution of oligomers at pannel A vs. temperature. The values are shown at the top of each bar.

Oligomer size distribution of R69M mutant of tpv-Hsp14.3 is given in figure 3.61. The figure shows that at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 97.2 \%$ of particles are of size of 3576 nm diameter, and $0.4 \% 32.4 \mathrm{~nm}$ and $2.3 \%$ have size of 0.1 nm diameter. At $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 95.1 \%$ are of size 2920 nm diameter, $2.7 \% 165.4 \mathrm{~nm}$ and $2.2 \% 1.4 \mathrm{~nm}$ diameter. At $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 96.1 \%$ are of size 1434 nm diameter, $0.8 \%$ of 8.7 nm and $3.2 \% 0.2 \mathrm{~nm}$ diameter. Finally at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $93.5 \%$ are of size 1353 nm diameter, $1.7 \% 41.5 \mathrm{~nm}$ and the rest $4.9 \%$ have size 0.4 nm diameter.

Oligomer size distribution of R81K mutant of tpv-Hsp14.3 is given in figure 3.62. The figure shows that at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 95.6 \%$ of the particles have diameter of 3026 nm , $2.1 \% 95.5 \mathrm{~nm}$ and $0.2 \% 1.5 \mathrm{~nm}$ diameter. At $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 97.9 \%$ have a size of 2218 nm diameter, $1 \% 61 \mathrm{~nm}$ and the rest $1 \% 0.4 \mathrm{~nm}$ diameter. At $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 92.9 \%$ were 2373 nm diameter, $5.4 \% 188.1 \mathrm{~nm}$ and the rest $1.7 \% 0.1 \mathrm{~nm}$ diameter. Finally at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 93.2 \%$ had a size of 1975 nm diameter, $4.8 \% 151.4$ and the rest $0.1 \% 0.7 \mathrm{~nm}$ diameter.


Figure 3.61: R69M Mutant Tpv-Hsp14.3 Oligomer Size and their Percent Distribution. Pannel A: Multimer size vs. temperature. Pannel B: Percent size distribution of oligomers at pannel A vs. temperature. The values are shown at the top of each bar.


Figure 3.62: R81K Mutant Tpv-Hsp14.3 Oligomer Size and their Percent Distribution. Pannel A: Multimer size vs. temperature. Pannel B: Percent size distribution of oligomers at pannel A vs. temperature. The values are shown at the top of each bar.

Oligomer size distribution of R81E mutant of tpv-Hsp14.3 is given in figure 3.63. The figure shows that at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 99.6 \%$ of the particles are of size 3842 nm diameter and the rest $0.4 \% 0.17 \mathrm{~nm}$ diameter. At $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 93.5 \%$ had size of 1528 nm diameter, $1.4 \% 7.4 \mathrm{~nm}$ and the rest $5.1 \% 0.1 \mathrm{~nm}$ diameter. At $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 92.6 \%$ had size of 1445 nm diameter, and $7.3 \% 0.1 \mathrm{~nm}$ diameter. At $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 86.5 \%$ had size of 942.4 nm diameter, $1.1 \% 3 \mathrm{~nm}$, and $12.4 \% 0.1 \mathrm{~nm}$ diameter.

Oligomer size distribution of R81M mutant of tpv-Hsp14.3 is given in figure 3.64. The figure shows that at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 99.7 \%$ of the particles have size of 567.7 nm diameter, $0.2 \% 7.6 \mathrm{~nm}$, and $0.1 \% 0.1 \mathrm{~nm}$ diameter. At $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 37.6 \%$ had size of 1932 nm diameter, $56.5 \% 148.7 \mathrm{~nm}$, and $0.5 \% 19.7 \mathrm{~nm}$ diameter. At $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 36.4 \%$ had a size of 567.6 nm diameter, $61.6 \% 73.5 \mathrm{~nm}$, and $1.1 \% 5.7 \mathrm{~nm}$ diameter. Finally at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $54.4 \%$ had size of 535.1 nm diameter, $44.6 \% 52 \mathrm{~nm}$, and $0.2 \% 0.3 \mathrm{~nm}$ diameter. The first peak at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ may be an experimental artifact, as such cases occur in DLS for short measurement times.


Figure 3.63: R81E Mutant Tpv-Hsp14.3 Oligomer Size and their Percent Distribution.
Pannel A: Multimer size vs. temperature. Pannel B: Percent size distribution of oligomers at pannel A vs. temperature. The values are shown at the top of each bar.


Figure 3.64: R81M Mutant Tpv-Hsp14.3 Oligomer Size and their Percent Distribution. Pannel A: Multimer size vs. temperature. Pannel B: Percent size distribution of oligomers at pannel A vs. temperature. The values are shown at the top of each bar.

Oligomer size distribution of $\mathrm{QR}(80-81) \mathrm{EL}$ mutant tpv-Hsp14.3 show in figure 3.65. The figure shows that at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 66.6 \%$ of the particles have size of 1816 nm diameter, $28.7 \% 197 \mathrm{~nm}, 3.6 \% 31.6 \mathrm{~nm}$ diameter, and a small percentage was at the range of $10^{-2} \mathrm{~nm}$. At $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 67.8 \%$ had a size of 1264 nm diameter, $29.4 \% 107 \mathrm{~nm}$, and $2.8 \%$ 16.3 nm diameter. At $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ there is a shift, with $99 \%$ of the proteins having size of 420 nm diameter, and the rest $1 \% 1.5 \mathrm{~nm}$. Finally at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 98.9 \%$ of the proteins had size of 287.5 nm diameter, and $1.1 \%$ a size of 0.4 nm diameter.

Oligomer size distribution of K87R mutant of tpv-Hsp14.3 is given in figure 3.66. The figure shows that at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 88.7 \%$ of particles were of a size 1230 nm diameter, $11 \%$ of size 82.2 nm and $0.3 \%$ of size 0.1 nm diameter. At $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 75.9 \%$ had size 1241 nm diameter, 22.7 size of 121.8 nm , and $1.1 \%$ size of 15.8 nm diameter. At $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ there is a shift towards a single pick with $99.5 \%$ of proteins having size 575.3 nm diameter, $0.2 \%$ size of 1.3 nm and $0.3 \% 0.2 \mathrm{~nm}$ diameter. At $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 98.3 \%$ had size of 362.4 nm diameter, $0.2 \% 0.3 \mathrm{~nm}$, and $1.5 \% 0.1 \mathrm{~nm}$ diameter.



Figure 3.65: QR(80-81)EL Mutant Tpv-Hsp14.3 Oligomer Size and their Percent Distribution. Pannel A: Multimer size vs. temperature. Pannel B: Percent size distribution of oligomers at pannel A vs. temperature. The values are shown at the top of each bar.


Figure 3.66: K87R Mutant Tpv-Hsp14.3 Oligomer Size and their Percent Distribution. Pannel A: Multimer size vs. temperature. Pannel B: Percent size distribution of oligomers at pannel A vs. temperature. The values are shown at the top of each bar.

Oligomer size distribution of K87E mutant of tpv-Hsp14.3 is given in figure 3.67. The figure shows that at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 65.6 \%$ of particles were of a size of 1878 nm diameter, $33.9 \%$ with size 120.2 nm and $0.5 \%$ with size 0.1 nm diameter. At $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 96.7 \%$ had size of 327.3 nm diameter, $2.3 \% 8.7 \mathrm{~nm}$, and $0.1 \% 0.1 \mathrm{~nm}$ diameter. At $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 98.7 \%$ had size of 254.9 nm diameter, $0.3 \% 2.2 \mathrm{~nm}$, and $1.1 \% 0.1 \mathrm{~nm}$ diameter. An finally at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 99 \%$ had size of 185.5 nm diameter, $0.1 \% 1.2 \mathrm{~nm}$ and $0.9 \% 0.3 \mathrm{~nm}$ diameter.

Oligomer size distribution of K87I mutant of tpv-Hsp14.3 is given in figure 3.68. The figure shows that at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the size distribution of particles was $62.6 \%$ of size 4272 nm diameter, $33.1 \% 258.3 \mathrm{~nm}, 3.3 \% 44.1 \mathrm{~nm}$ and the rest $1 \%$ were of a size of 0.07 nm diameter. At $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 68 \%$ had size of 1431 nm diameter, $30.7 \%$ size of 116.9 nm , and $0.4 \%$ size of 7.6 nm diameter. At $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 98.4 \%$ of the proteins had size of 441.1 nm diameter, $1.1 \%$ size of 4.4 nm and $0.6 \%$ size of 0.1 nm diameter. Finally, at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 99.9 \%$ were of a size of 406.7 nm diameter and the rest $0.1 \%$ of size 0.1 nm diameter.



Figure 3.67: K87E Mutant Tpv-Hsp14.3 Oligomer Size and their Percent Distribution. Pannel A: Multimer size vs. temperature. Pannel B: Percent size distribution of oligomers at pannel A vs. temperature. The values are shown at the top of each bar.


Figure 3.68: K87I Mutant Tpv-Hsp14.3 Oligomer Size and their Percent Distribution. Pannel A: Multimer size vs. temperature. Pannel B: Percent size distribution of oligomers at pannel A vs. temperature. The values are shown at the top of each bar.

## CHAPTER 4

## DISCUSSION

Molecular chaperones are indispensable for maintaining cellular homeostasis. They are found in all domains of life, from unicellular organisms to humans, but there are differences in the number of genes, as well as the classes not only between different domains of life, but even between different classes of organisms (Waedick et al. 2009; Kim et al. 2013). They are involved in protein folding during synthesis, maintenance of proteins in a folded state during cellular stress, refolding after misfolding by stress or mutations, and directing protein aggregates to degradation to save the cell from the toxic effects of misfolded and aggregated proteins (Hartl et al. 2011). Their importance was noticed by the scientists due to the strong correlations found between mutations in chaperone genes and the diseases. This led to an explosion of research in this area, and to date it is found that molecular chaperones are involved practically in almost all the diseases, from hereditary diseases, to cancers, cardiovascular diseases, diabetes and neurodegeneration (Simon et al. 2007; Mymrikov et al. 2011; Kim et al. 2013). Indispensible components of this molecular chaperone network are the small heat shock proteins (sHSPs), for which less is known regarding the function and action mechanisms as compared to the other chaperone variants (Bukau et al. 2006; Kim et al. 2013).

In this study, the sHSP of a moderate thermophilic Archeon Thermoplasma volcanium Tpv-Hsp14.3 was investigated by a post-genomic approach. First, the proteins sequence retrieved from protein databank was used for sequence alignment to find well-conserved residues. These selected residues were further proven to be
important after secondary and tertiary structure predictions of the query protein. The 3D structure of the query was superposed on already resolved 3D structures from other organisms sHSPs and the locations of residues matching important amino acids involved in the function of sHSPs in other organisms were found. The mutated proteins were expressed in E.coli, and then purified for further investigations. After that, wild type and mutant Tpv-Shsp14.3 variants' enzyme activity protection assay was carried out to compare their chaperoning capacity. Finally, Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) Spectroscopy was used to observe the oligomer sizes formed at different temperatures for both wild-type and mutant variants of the protein.

To date, only two proteins of hyperthermophilic Archaea have been thoroughly characterized, with their structures being determined by high resolution X-ray Crystallography, M.janaschii (Kim et al., 1998) and S.tokadii (Takeda et al., 2011). All other structurally and functionally characterized sHSPs are from Eukaryotes (van Montfort et al. 2001; Bagneris et al., 2009; Jehle et al., 2010; Laganowsky et al., 2010; Laganowsky et al., 2010; Hilario et al., 2011). This study is unique for a number of reasons: First, Tpv-Hsp14.3 is not only the first moderate thermophilic Archaeal sHSP protein mutated and characterized to date, but also the first sHSP systematically mutated in the $\alpha$-Crystalline domain. Second, a number of diverse methods were used for the protein characterization, especially the innovative methods of bioinformatics and computation biology to deduce and then mutate functionally important sites. Third, enzyme protection activity assay was used to assess the chaperoning function of the protein variants. This is more reliable than the most commonly used method of light scattering (Basha et al. 2011), since the latter method assumes that the protein is aggregated and has lost its function. But this may not be the case, as shown by Quinlan et al. (2013) who incubated Citrate Synthase (CS) with M.janaschii MjShsp16.5 R107G mutant, (the equivalent of human R120D mutant involved in cataract) and no significant change in enzyme activity was observed, although light scattering spectroscopy was indicating substrate aggregation. Last but not least, DLS Spectroscopy was used because it gives more reliable results regarding the dynamics of the chaperones in solution as compared to chromatographic methods. (Haslebeck et al. 2008).

Protein sequence analysis are in agreement with previous results, where a high sequence divergence is observed at the N - and C-termini, while the $\alpha$-Crystalline domain is better conserved. Another important result is the conserved I/L-X-I/L motif at the C-terminal end (Waedick et al. 2009), which is defined this protein as V-X-I, and the same sequence is found in many other hyperthermophilic Archaea. The motif actually is I/L/V/-X/I/L/V as yielded by a comprehensive sequence alignment. At the beginning only eukaryotic and mainly bacterial sequences were used in sHSPs studies, and as a result the motif was mainly defined as I/L-X-I/L. For this reason, the conventional name is I/L-X-I/L. These three amino acids are all hydrophobic and interchangeable so the variation is expected. On the other hand, the possibility of valine being the residue of choice for hyperthermophiles should not be ruled out. Whether it is peculiar to hyperthermophiles should be investigated further as more sHSP sequence data added to gene and protein data banks for Archaea.

Our protein's secondary and tertiary structure prediction results are in agreement with the general structure of class II sHSPs (Haslebeck et al. 2008; Mchaourab et al. 2009; Basha et al. 2011). Eight $\beta$-strands, four on one side and three on the other side constituting a sandwich form an immunoglobulin-like domain. One strand called $\beta 6$ is found in the middle of a long loop linking these two $\beta$-sheets together to form the " $\alpha$-Crystalline domain" (ACD), the "flagship" of the sHSPs. ACD is flanked by a relatively long N -terminal region, mostly comprised of an $\alpha$-helical structure and a shorter C -terminus which is coiled-coil.

After the 3D structures of the wild-type and mutant tpv-Hsp14.3 were predicted with I-TASSER and validated, they were compared to observe whether there was any gross structural change or not. We observed no significant change. This was expected since the modelling program only predicts the structure of the query based on the templates backbone, so it is not possible to predict structural changes for point mutations (Zhang, 2007). Then the structures of each mutant were compared to the wild-type protein structure by superposing them on each other. The RMSD values for these pairwise structure comparisons were $0.6-0.8$, meaning there are no significant overall structural differences between the structures since the
conventional cut-off RMSD value is 2.0. RMSD values <2 show no significant differences between the structures being compared, and bigger values show significant structural differences (Maiorov et al. 1994). Next, another comparison was made for all three mutants and the wild type protein structures for a specific mutated residue, and the RMSD values varied from 0.9-1.2. In this case, the differences grow, but still they are smaller than 2.0.

The plasmid carrying the gene tvn0775 encoding Tpv-Hsp14.3 with a 6-His Tag fusion was constructed previously in our lab and transformed to E.coli (Kocabiyik et al. 2012). The change of the intended sites was carried out successfully with QuickChange Site Directed Mutagenesis Kit, giving efficiencies of $10^{6}-10^{7}$, while our attempt for mutagenesis with Transformer Kit (Chlontech) failed. The main reason seems to be the length of our plasmid, since in experiments with $p U C$ vectors the efficiency was high. The replacement of the target nucleotides by the desired ones were further confirmed by DNA sequencing. Sequencing results showed that QuickChange kit had very good mutation efficiency, while the putative mutants from Transformer Kit showed internal deletions and changes of unintended sites.

Heat treatment of the cellular extract at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then running them in SDS-PAGE yielded some hints of the difference of the variants' stabilities. We observed roughly two classes of sHSP bands on the gel: In one class, there was no significant loss of the tpv-Hsp14.3 band as compared to that of samples not heated. In the second class, there was a significant loss of the tpv-Hsp14.3 band as compared to that of untreated sample. The only exception was K87I mutant whose band was very weak even before heat treatment and almost lost after that. These results may indicate that mutant sHSPs whose bands were weak after heating may be very unstable, but physical methods must be used to confirm this. The column purification of the chaperones from cellular extract was done according to these results. The samples whose bands were weakened by heat treatment were not treated by heat at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before column purification, and these included R69E, R69M, R81M, R81E and K87I. The rest of the chaperone samples were purified after the cellular extract was heated to aggregate and precipitate most of the E.coli proteome by centrifugation.

Enzyme activity protection assay is an accurate method to measure the chaperoning activity of sHSPs (Kocabiyik et al. 2012). Several model enzymes have been used to carry out in vitro activity assays. Their activity was measured at elevated temperatures, in which it would be lost if the model protein was alone. After addition of sHSP in the solution and incubation for a certain time, the enzyme activity was protected. The elevated temperature in the experiments with Glutamate Dehydrogenase was performed at $56^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the temperature at which the enzyme loses its activity very rapidly. In the presence of Pyrococcus furiosus sHSP, the enzyme was kept in a soluble form for an extended period of time, and its activity was protected, but it showed a decline with time (Laksanalamai et al. 2001). In another study, experiments were carried out with Citrate Synthase (CS) and Thermococcus sHSPs protected CS activity at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. There were no direct evidences for this conclusion which was decided by quantifying the solubility of the substrate after heating (Usui et. al., 2001).

In this study we performed heat protection assays with sHSPs purified by column chromatography and checked by SDS-PAGE. Pig heart CS enzyme was used as the chaperone substrate. The enzyme's activity was measured first, under its optimal temperature without chaperone. Then, activity measurements were done at the temperature of $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ both in absence and in the presence of each chaperone mutant. As the results in table 4.1 indicate, the activity of enzyme differed for each residue substitution. In position 69, when Arg was changed to its equivalent Lys, the activity was almost twice higher. The same result was obtained for R69E mutant, despite Glu being negatively charged while Arg positive. When Met, a hydrophobic residue, was the substituting amino acid, the activity was about the same as the wild-type.

The results obtained by X-Ray Crystallography and NMR show an important hydrogen bond between the counterparts of tpv-Hsp14.3 R69-E45 pair in other organisms: R107 interacts with D80 in humans (Jehle et al. 2010), R85 with D60 in wheat (van Montfort et al. 2001), and R66 interacts with D41 in S.tokadii (the interaction of the first two rely on experimental data, while the last relies on computational studies by Chimera) (Takeda et al. 2011).

Table 4.1: The Change in CS Activity in Presence of Tpv-Hsp14.3 Mutant Variants with Respect to the Activity Under Optimal Conditions and in the Presence of the Wild-Type sHSP.

| Name | Sample Activity | CS Activity at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C} /$ CS+ sHSP Activity | Activity/WT sHSP <br> + CS Activity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CS_35 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 823 | 1 | 5.0 |
| CS_ $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 18.1 | 45 | 0.1 |
| WT+ CS_ $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 160.1 | 5 | 1.0 |
| R69E+ CS_47 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 302.412 | 2.7 | 1.9 |
| R69K+CS_47 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 293.5 | 2.9 | 1.8 |
| R69M+ CS_47 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 141.3 | 5.8 | 0.9 |
| R81E+ CS_ $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20.01 | 41 | 0.1 |
| R81K+CS_47 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 103.345 | 8 | 0.6 |
| R81M+ CS_47 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 15.47 | 53 | 0.1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { QR(80-81)EL+ } \\ & \text { CS_47} \mathrm{C} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 194 | 4.2 | 1.2 |
| K87E+ CS_47 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 212.3 | 3.9 | 1.3 |
| K87R+CS_47 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 412.108 | 2 | 2.6 |
| $\mathbf{K 8 7 I}+$ CS_ $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 429.135 | 1.9 | 2.7 |

The results here indicate that the chaperone activity is not affected even from very drastic mutations, such as replacing Arg with a hydrophobic residue in this position. This may be because of two reasons: either such a bond is not as important in T.volcanium sHSP as in the other organisms, which may be quite possible given the fact that such a bond is not found in M.janaschii, and/or such an amino acid exchange may cause a local structural disturbance which enhances the chaperone activity.

When position R81 is mutated in the same manner as position R69, the results are quite different: R81E and R81M have significantly reduced chaperoning activity as compared to the wild type. On the other hand, when Arg is changed into its equivalent Lys ( R 81 K ), the activity drops to almost half of the wild-type. It is important to note that R81 of T.volcanium is the equivalent of Wheat R101 which in turn is thought to be important in dimer formation by forming a hydrogen bond with D60 of the other monomer (van Montfort et al. 2001). R81 is also the equivalent of

R116 in human $\alpha \mathrm{B}$-Crystalline, which is suggested to be one of the important residues in dimer formation by interacting with F118 (Laganowsky et al. 2010). It is also the equivalent of S.tokadii R79 which according to hydrogen bond computations by Chimera software interacts with D41. In wheat and S.tokadii the equivalents of T.volcanium R81 in (R101 in wheat and R79 in S.tokadii) and T.volcanium R69 (R85 in wheat and R66 in S.tokadii) interact with D60 in wheat and D41 in S.tokadii, which are the equivalents of E45 in T.volcanium. Since Asp and Glu are equivalent residues with very similar biochemical properties, and found at the same positions in 3D structures of the three organisms, the idea of T.volcanium E45 being the counterpart of wheat D60 and S.tokadii D41 is plausible. But why changing R69 did not cause such a drastic decrease in chaperoning activity as caused the changes in R81 is not known, and it needs more detailed structural studies. The results of the double mutant showed an increase in chaperoning activity. It was changed at positions 80 and 81 from Gln to Glu and Arg to Leu, respectively. This is a drastic sequence change, but the results can be explained by the similarity of the mutant sequence at these positions to that of M.janaschii. According to 3D structure superposition, the sequence of M.janaschii sHSP at this position is $\mathrm{EI}(98-99)$ (Kim et al. 1998). T.volcanium E80 is the equivalent of M.janaschii E98 and T.volcanium L81 is equivalent of M.janaschii 199 , although less hydrophobic.

The best studied position to date is K87, whose equivalents in other organisms are found to be important residues in chaperone function and structure. Its equivalent in human is R120, in wheat R108, in M.janaschii R107 and in S.tokadii K85. Most of the studies, both functional and structural were carried out with human $\alpha \mathrm{B}$ Crystalline by which R120 was found to form a network of hydrogen bonds, and the mutant form of it is involved in many diseases (Clark et al. 2000; Graw, 2009; Horwitz, 2009; Clark et al. 2012). High resolution studies have shown that the R120G mutant has an altered crystal structure enclosing the groove in the $\alpha$ Crystalline domain since Gly cannot form hydrogen bonds with any residue (Clark et al. 2011; Baranova et al. 2011). However, when the same mutation was introduced to M.janaschii MjsHsp16.5 the crystal structure of the mutant R107G did not show any
difference from the wild type (Quinlan et al. 2013). Also protection of CS activity by wild-type and mutant MjShsp16.5 proteins was almost same, but the mutant did not prevent $\beta$-crystalline aggregation at elevated temperature. This result may be explained as follows: First, the sHSP may show substrate preference, protecting some better than others (Das et al. 1996; Bettelheim et al. 1999; Das et al. 1999; Carver et al. 2002), or it may bind the substrate protein with a higher affinity, and it falsely appears to be aggregating by light scattering spectroscopy (Claxton et al. 2008). But to date there are no studies where the enzyme is tested for its activity after light scattering to show whether the apparent aggregated enzyme substrate has activity or not. The Cryo-EM studies of MjShsp16.5 showed a difference in the oligomerization of R107G as compared to wild-type protein at elevated temperature. Since the oligomeric structure is the one that binds and protects the substrate, this may be reflected in the chaperones function and disease cases (Quinlan et al. 2013). The reason why there is no structural changes in MjHsp 16.5 R 107 G as in human R120G mutant may be because of their differences in dimerization, with the latter forming an interface between $\beta 6+7$ strands, while the former dimerizing mostly by $\beta 6$ strand swapping as discussed before (Quinlan et al. 2013).

The enzyme assay results Tpv-Hsp14.3 at position K87 were quite unexpected. All the substitutions resulted in an increased chaperoning activity as compared to wildtype. K87E had a 1.3 -fold higher activity, as the replacing amino acid has different biochemical properties than Lys. On the other hand, K87R and K87I had similar chaperone activity, despite the significant chemical differences between Arg and Ile, the former basic and the latter highly hydrophobic. The higher activity of K87R may be explained by the fact that Arg is the residue in this position for all the chaperones whose structure have been determined except S.tokadii StHsp14.5. This may be attributed to a higher chaperoning activity of the sHSPs with Arg instead of Lys, but there is no study comparing enzyme activity protection by different sHSPs under the same conditions to date. As for K87I, the explanation doesn't seem very straight forward, but one explanation may be a higher substrate binding capacity. The explanation of increased hydrophobicity being correlated with increased chaperone
activity seems tempting. However, in the other positions where hydrophobic residues replaced the original basic residues chaperone activity of the sHSP variants was decreased. Moreover K87R mutant had similar activity with K87I mutant, even though Arg is a positively charged amino acid. Since mutation at equivalent positions in human and M.janaschii showed very different results, it may be possible for sHSPs of different organisms, mutations at this position may have different effects, making it impossible to make plausible inferences by comparisons. A good way to observe what happens to the multimeric form of this sHSP when mutated at this position is a detailed structural analysis by techniques such as Cryo-EM.

Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) Spectroscopy has been used previously to monitor the prevention of protein aggregation by chaperones. In one study Bettelheim et al. (1999) monitored Dithiothreitol-denatured $\alpha$-Lactalbumin that was complexed complex with $\alpha$-Crystalline in a period of 24 hours. They were able to see discrete bands by DLS, which showed different chaperone-substrate complexes. DLS is the method of choice to monitor protein aggregation (Schuler et al. 1999; Kumar et al. 2007). But there are very few reports on monitoring sHSPs dynamics by DLS to date (Haslebeck et al. 2008).

The idea behind using DLS is that as the temperature of the solution is increased, the chaperones will form higher oligomeric complexes, increasing particle size (Basha et al. 2011). This change in particle size is simultaneously quantified by the spectroscopy, which gives both, particle size in diameter, and their distribution in the entire solution. The time of measurement was chosen to be 10 seconds, meaning the light scattering was measured during a 10 seconds period by sending the light from the laser and measuring it's scattering. This is reasonable regarding sHSPs rapid association/dissociation properties (Benesch et al. 2010; Haslebeck et al. 2008; Mchaourab et al. 2009). When DLS measurement was taken for 30 seconds, there was a single peak of a broad diameter range from 600-1400nm (Fig.3.54). The principle of DLS is to measure the light scattering and transform it into averaged quantitative data, and this result show that the predominant form of the chaperones in solution is in large protein complexes. When DLS measurement was taken for 10
seconds, the results showed a decrease in complex diameter with the increasing temperature (Fig. 3.55) which was not always smooth, but taken into account the principles of DLS explained in section 2.3.11 it can be assumed to be a regular trend of tpv-Hsp14.3 as will be shown latter.

To study sHSP oligomerization dynamics in the presence of substrate protein we used two model enzymes, pig heart citrate synthase (CS) and bovine Glutamate Dehydrogenase (GDH). The enzymes were incubated with tpv-Hsp14.3 at temperatures they are normally denatured. As is expected from the sHSPs, with increased temperature they will associate with themselves and the substrate to give larger complexes as compared to low temperatures. In figure 3.56 the trend is not exactly like this, but there is a shift in the peak sizes from very big ones to smaller peaks. This can be explained in the following way: At $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ sHSPs are associated into big complexes with each other giving two main peaks. The large peak size is mainly because of the high sHSP concentration in solution and also the "perfect sphere" assumption of DLS. When CS is added, it will disturb the equilibrium of sHSPs in solution, forcing them to dissociate and re-associate again with the enzyme substrate under stress conditions, and free sHSPs in solution. But since the CS concentration compared to sHSPs is very low, not all sHSPs are associated with the enzyme. sHSPs will form regular complexes binding strongly to the enzyme, giving smaller peaks. In the case of GDH (Fig. 3.57) there is no significant change in peak size after incubation with the enzyme, but in this case the sHSPs concentration is lower as compared to the previous case. It may also reflect substrate preferences, as reported for other sHSPs (Claxton et al. 2008).

The last DLS measurements were carried out for all the mutant variants of tpvHsp14.3 and the wild-type under the same temperature and the same concentration. Generally 3-4 peaks are obtained by DLS, but only the three peaks that have a percentage of at least $0.1 \%$ of the entire solution are shown. Of these only two peaks have diameters that theoretically represent at least a sHSP dimmer, which is the basic unit of sHSPs (Benesch et al. 2010; Basha et al. 2011).

The general pattern for oligomere size distribution in all sHSP variants was a decrease in diameter size (Panels A) and shifting of the particle size distribution toward a single main peak as shown by the percent distribution (Panels B) in figures 3.58-3.68. Given the DLS assumptions, it is impossible to see minor size differences between the complexes, and sometimes they may be of very close size, but if the general pattern of the peaks is judged, a decrease in particle diameter with increasing temperature is observed. The only exception from this are the peaks for R81M mutant (Fig. 3.64), which generally shows two main peaks of almost equal distribution, and a general pattern of decreasing oligomere size was not observed. SDS results for this mutant protein showed no difference between heat-treated and untreated samples, as judged by band intensities, but chaperone activity was very low.

Since DLS measurements were done between $20-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, it can be argued that bigger size at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and subsequent decrease towards $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is as a result of cold shock. This is what some researchers have argued (Haslebeck et al. 2008), since cold is also a physiological stress condition for organisms other than psychrophiles. T.volcanium grows optimally at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and its growth range is $33-67^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, fitting this explanation. Haslebeck et al (2008) used Cryo-EM to determine the multimeric structure MjHsp 16.5 and quantified the results. They found that the high-binding mode (shown in figure figure 1.17) of MjHsp 16.5 was distributed almost equally in both heat and cold-stress temperatures, by being the major component of sHSP complexes, while the low-binding mode of the chaperone was found to be the major component in optimal temperatures.

Given the short time of scattering measurement, the dynamics of sHSPs in solution and the way DLS assumes a perfect sphere, the DLS results of Tpv-Hsp14.3 can be explained as shown in figure 4.1.


Figure 4.1: DLS Peak Distribution Related to Temperature. (a) sHSPs dynamics during cold/heat shock. (b) sHSPs behavior as the temperature approaches the organisms optimal growth conditions. The oval shapes do not represent sHSP monomers or dimmers, but they are shown only for visual purpose. The dashed circle shows the perfect sphere assumed by DLS for any particle in solution. Arrows show the direction of sHSP association /dissociations.

Since scattering is measured in 10 seconds time periods, many random interactions are measured and averaged by the software to show them as peaks. Given also the assumption of DLS that the particle is an ideal sphere, loose protein interactions are detected by the spectrometry to form real complexes of such big sizes, as may be the case with some large peaks in figures $3.55,3.58$ and 3.64 . This is the possible explanation for the very large diameters of the protein complexes.

At low temperatures, which can be considered as stress for T.volcanium cells, the equilibrium is shifted towards large complexes which represent the stress mode of sHSPs (Figure 4.1.a). As the temperature is increased, the solution approaches more to the optimal conditions for T.volcanium cells, so the chaperones shift from stress mode into physiological mode. This phenomenon is accompanied by an equilibrium shift towards the "native" multimeric structure of the sHSPs, so the peaks decrease in size and accumulate in a single major peak. To accomplish this, the large sHSP
complexes will dissociate and re-associate into multimers resembling the complex in the physiological state of the organism (figure 4.1.b). However, given the high protein concentration in the solution still random interactions cannot be avoided. Adding here the hydration effect, this may explain the smaller size of particles with increasing temperature. However, for the reasons given above, particles diameters are bigger than their real sizes.
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## APPENDIX A

## BUFFERS AND SOLUTIONS

T.volcanium Medium (1000 ml) PH: 2.3 (Adjust with 98\% H2SO4)
$\left(\mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)$ ..... 3g
$\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ ..... 1 g
$\left(\mathrm{CaCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$. ..... 0.25 g
$\left(\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ ..... 0.2 g
LB Agar for XL1 Cell Growth (1000ml) PH 7.0 (Adjust with 5 N NaOH )
NaCl . ..... 10 g
Tryptone ..... 10 g
Yeast Extract (Bacto) ..... 5 g
Agar ..... 20 g
Double-Distilled $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ Complete to 1L
LB Agar Medium For Cell Plating (1000 ml) Adjust pH to 7.4 with 10 M NaOH
Tryptone medium ..... 18 g
Yeast Extract ..... 5 g
NaCl ..... 2 g
Agar ..... 15 g
Distilled $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ Adjust to 11.
Stock YE Solution (5\%)Yeast Extract.2.5 g in $50 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
Stock Glucose Solution (25\%)
Glucose ..... 12 .5 g in $50 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
NZY ${ }^{+}$Broth (1000ml) For Cell Growth after Transformation.
NZ Amine (Casein Hydrolysate). ..... 10 g
Yeast Extract (Bacto) ..... 5 g
NaCl ..... 5 g
$\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{M})$ ..... 12.5 ml
Double-Distilled $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ .Complete to 1 L and Autoclave. Then add the
followings after filter sterilization:
$\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}(1 \mathrm{M})$2 .5 ml
Glucose (2M) ..... 10 ml
SDS Gel Running Buffer (500ml) pH: 8.7.
Tris ..... 1.51 g
Glycin ..... 7.2 g
SDS ..... 0 .5 g
50x TAE Buffer ( 1000 ml )
Tris Base ..... 242 g
Glacial Acetic Acid. ..... 57 .1 ml
EDTA(0.5M- pH: 8.0). ..... 100 ml

SDS-PAGE (12.5\%) Gel

| Chemicals | Seperating Gel | Stacking Gel |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Acrylamide/ Bisacrylamide (30\%) | 2.5 ml | $330 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| dH2O | 1.1 ml | $870 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| Tris (pH 8.9) | $1.2 \mathrm{ml}(1.88 \mathrm{M})$ | $400 \mu \mathrm{l}(0.625 \mathrm{M})$ |
| SDS (0.5\%) | 1.2 ml | $400 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| APS (10\%) | $30 \mu \mathrm{l}$ | $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| TEMED | $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ | $3 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |

## Agarose Gel Running buffer (1X)

50x TAE Buffe........................... 6 ml

Distilled Water 300 ml

## Agarose Gel (8\%)

Agarose................................. 0.32 g
Running buffer. 40 ml

Etidium Bromide $.5 \mu$

## Buffers for Protein Purification

Lysis buffer: ( 50 ml ) 10mM Imidazole ( pH 8.0 adjusted with 0.5 M NaOH )
$\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . . \ldots \mathrm{mm}$
NaCl ..... 300 mM
Imidazole ..... 10 mM
Wash buffer: (50ml) 30mM Imidazole (PH 8.0)
$\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ..... 50 mM
NaCl ..... 300 mM
Imidazole ..... 30 mM
Elution buffer: (50ml) 250mM Imidazole
$\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ..... 50 mM
NaCl ..... 300 mM
Imidazole ..... 250 mM

## APPENDIX B

## MARKERS AND LADDERS



Figure B1: Lambda DNA/EcoRI+HindIII marker (Fermentas, Lithuania)


Figure B2: GeneRuler ${ }^{\text {TM }} 50$ bp DNA Ladder ( $50-1000 \mathrm{bp}$ ) (Fermentas, Lithuania)


Figure B3: GeneRuler ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ DNA Ladder Mix (10000-100) (Fermentas, Lithuania)


Figure B4: PageRuler ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Prestained Protein Ladder (Fermentas, Lithuania)

## APPENDIX C

## SEQUENCING RESULTS

Wild-Type sequence is shown at the beginning of every position. The wild-type and mutated sequences are underlined and bold.

## R69 Position.

Wild-Type tpv-Hsp14.3 Gene Sequence
ATGTATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGAATACTGTGAAAGAG GTCTCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATATCAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGTATTGGAAGCAGA AATGGCCGGGTTTGACAAGAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGC GGAGAGAAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATCACGCGTTGACAAAGTGTATAAAGTAGTT AAGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATTCTGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTA GAATGAAAACCAAGAACATAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAA

The Sequence AGA is to be changed into ATG for R69M change.
Sequence 1
AGTGCTCATCATTGGAAAACGTTCTTCGGGCGAAAACTCTCAAGGATCTTACCGCTGTTGAGATCCA GTTCGATGTAACCCACTCGTGCACCCAACTGATCTTCAGCATCTTTTACTTTCACCAGCGTTTCTGGG TGAGCAAAAACAGGAAGGCAAAATGCCGCAAAAAAGGGAATAAGGGCGACACGGAAATGTTGAAT ACTCATACTCTTCCTTTTTCAATATTATTGAAGCATTTATCAGGGTTATTGTCTCATGAGCGGATACA TATTTGAATGTATTTAGAAAAATAAACAAATAGGGTTCCGCGCACATTTCCCCGAAAAGTGCCACCT GACGTCTAAGAAACCATTATTATCATGACATTAACCTATAAAAATAGGCGTATCACGAGGCCCTTTC GTCTTCACCTCGAGAAATCATAAAAAATTTATTTGCTTTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTATAATAGATTC AATTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTTCACACAGAATTCATTAAAGAGGAGAAATTAACTATGAGAGGATC TCACCATCACCATCACCATACGGATCCGCATGCGAGCTCGGTACCCCGGGTCGACGAATTCAGATTG TATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGAATACTGTGAAAGAGGTC TCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATATCAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCAGAAA TGGCCGGGTTTGACAAGAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGG AGATGAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATCAGCGCGTTGACAAAGTGTATAAAGTAGTTA AGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATCTGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTA GAATGAAAACCAAGAACATAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAAAATCATTTTTAATAATAATATATAT AAAAGA

Sequence Alignment

| WT | 1 GTATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGA | 50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| R69M | 1 GTATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGA | 50 |
| WT | 51 ATACTGTGAAAGAGGTCTCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATAT | 100 |
|  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| R69M | 51 ATACTGTGAAAGAGGTCTCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATAT | 100 |


| WT | 101 | CAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCAGAAATGGCCGGGTTTGACAA | 150 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| R69M | 101 | CAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCAGAAATGGCCGGGTTTGACAA | 150 |
| WT | 151 | GAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGG | 200 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| R69M | 151 | GAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGG | 200 |
| WT | 201 | AGAGAAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATCAGCGCGTTGACAAA | 250 |
|  |  | \|||..||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| R69M | 201 | AGATGAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATCAGCGCGTTGACAAA | 250 |
| WT | 251 | GTGTATAAAGTAGTTAAGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATC | 300 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| R69M | 251 | GTGTATAAAGTAGTTAAGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATC | 300 |
| WT | 301 | TGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTAGAATGAAAACCAAGAACA | 350 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| R69M | 301 | TGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTAGAATGAAAACCAAGAACA | 350 |
| WT | 351 | TAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAA 373 |  |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| R69M | 351 | TAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAA 373 |  |

Sequence 2
AGTGCTCATCATTGGAAAACGTTCTTCGGGCGAAAACTCTCAAGGATCTTACCGCTGTTGAGATCCA GTTCGATGTAACCCACTCGTGCACCCAACTGATCTTCAGCATCTTTTACTTTCACCAGCGTTTCTGGG TGAGCAAAAACAGGAAGGCAAAATGCCGCAAAAAAGGGAATAAGGGCGACACGGAAATGTTGAAT AСТСАТАСТСТТССТТТТСАATATTATTGAAGCATTTATCAGGGTTATTGTCTCATGAGCGGATACAT ATTTGAATGTATTTAGAAAAATAAACAAATAGGGTTCCGCGCACATTTCCCCGAAAAGTGCCACCT GACGTCTAAGAAACCATTATTATCATGACATTAACCTATAAAAATAGGCGTATCACGAGGCCCTTTC GTCTTCACCTCGAGAAATCATAAAAAATTTATTTGCTTTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTATAATAGATTC AATTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTTCACACAGAATTCATTAAAGAGGAGAAATTAACTATGAGAGGATC TСАССАТСАССАТСАССАТАСGGATCCGCATGCGAGCTCGGTACCCCGGGTCGACGAATTCAGATTG TATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGAATACTGTGAAAGAGGTC TCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATATCAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCAGAAA TGGCCGGGTTTGACAAGAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGG AGATGAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATCAGCGCGTTGACAAAGTGTATAAAGTAGTTA AGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATCTGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTA GAATGAAAACCAAGAACATAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAAAATCATTTTTAATAATAATATATAT AAAAGA

Sequence Alignment

| WT |  | GTATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGA | 50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| R69M | 1 | GTATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGA | 50 |
| WT | 51 | ATACTGTGAAAGAGGTCTCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATAT | 100 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| R69M | 51 | ATACTGTGAAAGAGGTCTCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATAT | 100 |
| WT | 101 | CAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCAGAAATGGCCGGGTTTGACAA | 150 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| R69M | 101 | CAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCAGAAATGGCCGGGTTTGACAA | 150 |
| WT | 151 | GAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGG | 200 |
|  |  |  |  |
| R69M | 151 | GAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGG | 200 |



## The Sequence AGA is to be changed into GAG for R69E change.

## Sequence 1

AGTGCTCATCATTGGAAAACGTTTTCGGGGCGAAAACTCTCAAGGATCTTACCGCTGTTGAGATCCA GTTCGATGTAACCCACTCGTGCACCCAACTGATCTTCAGCATCTTTTACTTTCACCAGCGTTTCTGGG TGAGCAAAAACAGGAAGGCAAAATGCCGCAAAAAAAGGGAATAAGGGCGACACGGAAATGTTGAAT ACTCATACTCTTCCTTTTCAATATTATTGAAGCATTTATCAGGGTTATTGTCTCATGAGCGGATACAT ATTTGAATGTATTTAGAAAAATAAACAAATAGGGGTTCCGCGCACATTTCCCCGAAAAGTGCCACC TGACGTCTAAGAAACCATTATTATCATGACATTAACCTATAAAAATAGGCGTATCACGAGGCCCTTT CGTCTTCACCTCGAGAAATCATAAAAAATTTATTTGCTTTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTATAATAGATT CAATTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTTCACACAGAATTCATTAAAGAGGAGAAATTAACTATGAGAGGAT CTCACCATCACCATCACCATACGGATCCGCATGCGAGCTCGGTACCCCGGGTCGACGAATTCAGATT GTATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGAATACTGTGAAAGAGGT CTCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATATCAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCAGAA ATGGCCGGGTTTGACAAGAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCG GAGGAGAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATCAGCGCGTTGACAAAGTGTATAAAGTAGTT AAGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATCTGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTT AGAATGAAAACCAAGAACATAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAAAATCATTTTTTAATAATAATATAT TAAAAAGA

| Sequence Alignment |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WT |  | GTATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGA | 50 |
| R69M | 1 | gTATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGA | 50 |
| WT | 51 | ATACTGTGAAAGAGGTCTCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATAT <br>  | 00 |
| R69M | 51 | ATACTGTGAAAGAGGTCTCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATAT | 100 |
| WT | 101 | CAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCAGAAATGGCCGGGTTTGACAA <br>  | 50 |
| R69M | 101 | CAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCAGAAATGGCCGGgTttgacai | 150 |
| WT | 151 | GAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGG <br>  | 200 |
| R69M | 151 | GAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGG | 200 |
| WT | 201 | AgAGAAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATCAGCGCGTTGACAA <br>  | 249 |
| R69M | 201 | AGGAGAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATCAGCGCGTtGACAA | 249 |
| WT | 250 | AGTGTATAAAGTAGTTAAGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATAT | 299 |
|  |  |  |  |
| R69M | 250 | AGTGTATAAAGTAGTTAAGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATAT | 299 |


| WT | 300 | CTGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTAGAATGAAAACCAAGAAC |  | 349 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||| | \||| |  |
| R69M | 300 | CTGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATAC | ACA | 349 |
| WT | 350 | ATAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAA | 373 |  |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||| |  |  |
| R69M | 350 | ATAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAA | 373 |  |

Sequence 2
AGTGCTCATCATTGGAAAACGTTTTCGGGGCGAAAACTCTCAAGGATCTTACCGCTGTTGAGATCCA GTTCGATGTAACCCACTCGTGCACCCAACTGATCTTCAGCATCTTTTACTTTCACCAGCGTTTCTGGT GAGCAAAAACAGGAAGGCAAAATGCCGCAAAAAAGGGAATAAGGGCGACACGGAAATGTTGAAT AСТСАТАСТСТТССТTTTCAATATTATTGAAGCATTTATCAGGGTTATTGTCTCATGAGCGGATACAT ATTTGAATGTATTTAGAAAAATAAACAAATAGGGGTTCCGCGCACATTTCCCCGAAAAGTGCCACC TGACGTCTAAGAAACCATTATTATCATGACATTAACCTATAAAAATAGGCGTATCACGAGGCCCTTT CGTCTTCACCTCGAGAAATCATAAAAAATTTATTTGCTTTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTATAATAGATT CAATTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTTCACACAGAATTCATTAAAGAGGAGAAATTAACTATGAGAGGAT СТСАССАТСАССАТСАССАTACGGATCCGCATGCGAGCTCGGTACCCCGGGTCGACGAATTCAGATT GTATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGAATACTGTGAAAGAGGT CTCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATATCAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCAGAA ATGGCCGGGTTTGACAAGAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCG GAGGAGAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATCAGCGCGTTGACAAAGTGTATAAAGTAGTT AAGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATCTGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTT AGAATGAAAACCAAGAACATAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAAAATCATTTTTTAATAATAATATAT TAAAAAGA


## The Sequence AGA is to be changed into AAG for R69K change.

Sequence 1
AGTGCTCATCATTGGAAAACGTTTTCGGGCGAAAACTCTCAAGGATCTTACCGCTGTTGAGATCCAG TTCGATGTAACCCACTCGTGCACCCAACTGATCTTCAGCATCTTTTACTTTCACCAGCGTTTCTGGGT GAGCAAAAACAGGAAGGCAAAATGCCGCAAAAAAGGGAATAAGGGCGACACGGAAATGTTGAAT ACTCATACTCTTCCTTTTCAATATTATTGAAGCATTTATCAGGGTTATTGTCTCATGAGCGGATACAT ATTTGAATGTATTTAGAAAAATAAACAAATAGGGTTCCGCGCACATTTCCCCGAAAAGTGCCACCT GACGTCTAAGAAACCATTATTATCATGACATTAACCTATAAAAATAGGCGTATCACGAGGCCCTTTC GTCTTCACCTCGAGAAATCATAAAAAATTTATTTGCTTTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTATAATAGATTC AATTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTTCACACAGAATTCATTAAAGAGGAGAAATTAACTATGAGAGGATC TCACCATCACCATCACCATACGGATCCGCATGCGAGCTCGGTACCCCGGGTCGACGAATTCAGATTG TATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGAATACTGTGAAAGAGGTC TCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATATCAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCAGAAA TGGCCGGGTTTGACAAGAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGG AGAAGAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATCAGCGCGTTGACAAAGTGTATAAAGTAGTTA AGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATCTGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTA GAATGAAAACCAAGAACATAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAAAATCATTTTTAATAATAATATATAT AAAAGA

| Sequence Alignment |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WT |  |  |  | 50 |
| R69K | 1 | GTATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGA |  | 50 |
| WT | 51 | ATACTGTGAAAGAGGTCTCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATAT <br>  |  | 100 |
| R69K | 51 | ATACTGTGAAAGAGGTCTCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATAT |  | 100 |
| WT | 101 | CAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCAGAAATGGCCGGGTTTGACAA <br>  |  | 150 |
| R69K | 101 | CAAGAtAGCTCTGATCTGGTATtGGAAGCAGAAATGGCCGGGtttgacai |  | 150 |
| WT | 151 | GAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGG |  | 200 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| R69K | 151 | GAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGG |  | 200 |
| WT | 201 | AGAGAAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATCAGCGCGTTGACAAA |  | 250 |
| R69K | 201 | AGAAGAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATCAGCGCGTTGACAAA |  | 250 |
| WT | 251 | GTGTATAAAGTAGTTAAGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATC |  | 300 |
| R69K | 251 | GTGTATAAAGTAGTTAAGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATC |  | 300 |
| WT | 301 | TGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTAGAATGAAAACCAAGAACA <br>  |  | 350 |
| R69K | 301 | TGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTAGAATGAAAACCAAGAACA |  | 350 |
| WT | 351 | TAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAA 373 <br>  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| R69K | 351 | TAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAA 373 |  |  |

Sequence 2
AGTGCTCATCATTGGAAAACGTTCTTCGGGGCGAAAACTCTCAAGGATCTTACCGCTGTTGAGATCC AGTTCGATGTAACCCACTCGTGCACCCAACTGATCTTCAGCATCTTTTACTTTCACCAGCGTTTCTGG GTGAGCAAAAACAGGAAGGCAAAATGCCGCAAAAAAGGGAATAAGGGCGACACGGAAATGTTGA ATACTCATACTCTTCCTTTTTCAATATTATTGAAGCATTTATCAGGGTTATTGTCTCATGAGCGGATA CATATTTGAATGTATTTAGAAAAATAAACAAATAGGGTTCCGCGCACATTTCCCCGAAAAGTGCCA CCTGACGTCTAAGAAACCATTATTATCATGACATTAACCTATAAAAATAGGCGTATCACGAGGCCCT TTCGTCTTCACCTCGAGAAATCATAAAAAATTTATTTGCTTTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTATAATAGA TTCAATTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTTCACACAGAATTCATTAAAGAGGAGAAATTAACTATGAGAGG

ATCTCACCATCACCATCACCATACGGATCCGCATGCGAGCTCGGTACCCCGGGTCGACGAATTCAG ATTGTATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGAATACTGTGAAAGA GGTCTCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATATCAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCA GAAATGGCCGGGTTTGACAAGAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGT GCGGAGAAGAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATCAGCGCGTTGACAAAGTGTATAAAGTA GTTAAGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATCTGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACA GTTAGAATGAAAACCAAGAACATAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAAAATCATTTTTAATAATAATAT ATATAAAAGA

## Sequence Alignment



The intended site was changed into Asparigine codon instead of Lysine as the codon AAT stands for Asn.

[^1]TATCTGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTAGAATGAAAACCAAGAACATAAAGAACGTAG AAATAGAATAAAATCATTTTTAATAATAATATATATAAAAGA


## The R81 Position

## The Sequence AGA is to be changed into AAG for R81K change.

Sequence 1
AGTGCTCATCATTGGAAAACGTTCTTCGGGGCGAAAACTCTCAAGGATCTTACCGCTGTTGAGATCC AGTTCGATGTAACCCACTCGTGCACCCAACTGATCTTCAGCATCTTTTACTTTCACCAGCGTTTCTGG GTGAGCAAAAACAGGAAGGCAAAATGCCGCAAAAAAGGGAATAAGGGCGACACGGAAATGTTGA ATACTCATACTCTTCCTTTTTCAATATTATTGAAGCATTTATCAGGGTTATTGTCTCATGAGCGGATA CATATTTGAATGTATTTAGAAAAATAAACAAATAGGGTTCCGCGCACATTTCCCCGAAAAGTGCCA CCTGACGTCTAAGAAACCATTATTATCATGACATTAACCTATAAAAATAGGCGTATCACGAGGCCCT TTCGTCTTCACCTCGAGAAATCATAAAAAATTTATTTGCTTTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTATAATAGA TTCAATTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTTCACACAGAATTCATTAAAGAGGAGAAATTAACTATGAGAGG ATCTCACCATCACCATCACCATACGGATCCGCATGCGAGCTCGGTACCCCGGGTCGACGAATTCAG ATTGTATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGAATACTGTGAAAGA GGTCTCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATATCAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCA GAAATGGCCGGGTTTGACAAGAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGT GCGGAGAGAAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATCAGAAGGTTGACAAAGTGTATAAAGT AGTTAAGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATCTGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTAC AGTTAGAATGAAAACCAAGAACATAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAAAATCATTTTTAATAATAATA TATATAAAAGA


Sequence 2
GTGCTCATCATTGGAAAACGTTCTTCGGGGCGAAAACTCTCAAGGATCTTACCGCTGTTGAGATCCA GTTCGATGTAACCCACTCGTGCACCCAACTGATCTTCAGCATCTTTTACTTTCACCAGCGTTTCTGGG TGAGCAAAAACAGGAAGGCAAAATGCCGCAAAAAAGGGAATAAGGGCGACACGGAAATGTTGAAT ACTCATACTCTTCCTTTTCAATATTATTGAAGCATTTATCAGGGTTATTGTCTCATGAGCGGATACAT ATTTGAATGTATTTAGAAAAATAAACAAATAGGGTTCCGCGCACATTTCCCCGAAAAGTGCCACCT GACGTCTAAGAAACCATTATTATCATGACATTAACCTATAAAAATAGGCGTATCACGAGGCCCTTTC GTCTTCACCTCGAGAAATCATAAAAAATTTATTTGCTTTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTATAATAGATTC AATTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTTCACACAGAATTCATTAAAGAGGAGAAATTAACTATGAGAGGATC TCACCATCACCATCACCATACGGATCCGCATGCGAGCTCGGTACCCCGGGTCGACGAATTCAGATTG TATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGAATACTGTGAAAGAGGTC TCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATATCAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCAGAAA TGGCCGGGTTTGACAAGAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGG AGAGAAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATCAGAAGGTTGACAAAGTGTATAAAGTAGTTA AGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATCTGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTA GAATGAAAACCAAGAACATAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAAAATCATTTTTAATAATAATATATAT AAAAGTA


```
WT 101 CAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCAGAAATGGCCGGGTTTGACAA 150
R81K 101 CAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCAGAAATGGCCGGGTTTGACAA 150
WT }151\mathrm{ GAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGG 200
R81K 151 GAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGG 200
WT 201 AGAGAAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATCAGCGCGTTGACAAA
    ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||...|||||||||
R81K 201 AGAGAAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATCAGAAGGTTGACAAA
WT 251 GTGTATAAAGTAGTTAAGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATC 300
    ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
R81K 251 GTGTATAAAGTAGTTAAGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATC
WT 301 TGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTAGAATGAAAACCAAGAACA 350
    ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
R81K 301 TGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTAGAATGAAAACCAAGAACA 350
WT 351 TAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAA 373
    |||||||||||||||||||||||
R81K 351 TAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAA 373
```

Sequence 3
CTTCAGCATCTTTTACTTTCACCAGCGTTCTGGGTGAGCAAAAACAGGAAGGCAAAATGCCGCAAA AAAGGGAATAAGGGCGACACGGAAATGTTGAATACTCATACTCTTCCTTTTTCAATATTATTGAAGC ATTTATCAGGGTTATTGTCTCATGAGCGGATACATATTTGAATGTATTTAGAAAAATAAACAAATAG GGGTTCCGCGCACATTTCCCCGAAAAGTGCCACCTGACGTCTAAGAAACCATTATTATCATGACATT AACCTATAAAAATAGGCGTATCACGAGGCCCTTTCGTCTTCACCTCGAGAAATCATAAAAAATTTAT TTGCTTTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTATAATAGATTCAATTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTTCACACAGAAT TCATTAAAGAGGAGAAATTAACTATGAGAGGATCTCACCATCACCATCACCATACGGATCCGCATG CGAGCTCGGTACCCCGGGTCGACGAATTCAGATTGTATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGAT GATAAAAAACGTATCGAATACTGTGAAAGAGGTCTCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATAT CAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCAGAAATGGCCGGGTTTGACAAGAAAAACATAAAGGTC TCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGGAGAGAAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATC GATCAGAAGGTTGACAAAGTGTATAAAGTAGTTAAGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATA TCTGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTAGAATGAAAACCAAGAACATAAAGAACGTAGAA ATAGAATAAAATCATTTTTTAATAATAATATATAAAAAGTATG

| Sequence | Alignment 3 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| WT | 1 | GTATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGA |$\quad 50$

```
WT 251 GTGTATAAAGTAGTTAAGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATC 300
    ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
R81K 251 GTGTATAAAGTAGTTAAGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATC 300
WT 301 TGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTAGAATGAAAACCAAGAACA }35
    ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
R81K 301 TGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTAGAATGAAAACCAAGAACA 350
WT 351 TAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAA 373
    |||||||||||||||||||||||
351 TAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAA}37
```

The Sequence AGA is to be changed into AAG for R81E change.
In this sequence, there is a short insertion shown in itallics and left as gaps in sequence alignment. For this reason, this colony was discarded.

Sequence 1 (Insertion)
GGAAAACTCTCAAGGATCTTACCGCTGTTGAGATCCAGTTCGATGTAACCCACTCGTGCACCCAACT GATCTTCAGCATCTTTTACTTTCACCAGCGTTTCTGGGTGAGCAAAAACAGGAAGGCAAAATGCCGC AAAAAAGGGAATAAGGGCGACACGGAAATGTTGAATACTCATACTCTTCCTTTTTCAATATTATTGA AGCATTTATCAGGGTTATTGTCTCATGAGCGGATACATATTTGAATGTATTTAGAAAAATAAACAAA TAGGGTTCCGCGCACATTTCCCCGAAAAGTGCCACCTGACGTCTAAGAAACCATTATTATCATGACA TTAACCTATAAAAATAGGCGTATCACGAGGCCCTTTCGTCTTCACCTCGAGAAATCATAAAAAATTT ATTTGCTTTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTATAATAGATTCAATTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTTCACACAGA ATTCATTAAAGAGGAGAAATTAACTATGAGAGGATCTCACCATCACCATCACCATACGGATCCGCA TGCGAGCTCGGTACCCCGGGTCGACGAATTCAGATTGTATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAG ATGATAAAAAACGTATCGAATACTGTGAAAGAGGTCTCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTAT ATCAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCAGAAATGGCCGGGTTTGACAAGAAAAACATAAAGG TCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGGAGAGAAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATA TCGATCAGGAGGTTGACAAAGTGTATATATCGATCAGGAGGTTGACAAAGTGTATAAAGTAGTTAAGC TGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATCTGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTAGAA TGAAAACCAAGAACATAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAAAATCATTTTTAATAATAATATATATA AAAAGA

| Sequence Alignment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WT | 1 GTATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGA | 50 |
|  |  |  |
| R81E | 1 GTATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGA | 50 |
| WT | 51 ATACTGTGAAAGAGGTCTCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATAT | 100 |
|  |  |  |
| R81E | 51 ATACTGTGAAAGAGGTCTCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATAT | 100 |
| WT | 101 CAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCAGAAATGGCCGGGTTTGACAA | 150 |
|  |  |  |
| R81E | 101 CAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCAGAAATGGCCGGGTTTGACAA | 150 |
| WT | 151 GAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGG | 200 |
|  |  |  |
| R81E | 151 GAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGG | 200 |
| WT | 201 AGAGAAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATCAG | 238 |
|  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| R81E | 201 AGAGAAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATCAGGAGGTTGACAAA | 250 |
| WT | 239 ----------CG-------CGTTGACAAAGTGTATAAAGTAGTTAAGCTG | 271 |
|  |  |  |
| R81E | 251 GTGTATATATCGATCAGGAGGTTGACAAAGTGTATAAAGTAGTTAAGCTG | 300 |

```
WT 272 CCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATCTGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCAT
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
R81E 301 CCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATCTGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCAT
WT 322 ACTTACAGTTAGAATGAAAACCAAGAACATAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAAT
R81E 351 ACTTACAGTTAGAATGAAAACCAAGAACATAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAAT 400
WT 372 AA 373
R81E 401 AA 402
```

Sequence 2
AAAGTGCTCATCATTGGAAAACGTTCTTCGGGCGAAAACTCTCAAGGATCTTACCGCTGTTGAGATC CAGTTCGATGTAACCCACTCGTGCACCCAACTGATCTTCAGCATCTTTTACTTTCACCAGCGTTTCTG GGTGAGCAAAAACAGGAAGGCAAAATGCCGCAAAAAAGGGAATAAGGGCGACACGGAAATGTTG AATACTCATACTCTTCCTTTTTCAATATTATTGAAGCATTTATCAGGGTTATTGTCTCATGAGCGGAT ACATATTTGAATGTATTTAGAAAAATAAACAAATAGGGTTCCGCGCACATTTCCCCGAAAAGTGCC ACCTGACGTCTAAGAAACCATTATTATCATGACATTAACCTATAAAAATAGGCGTATCACGAGGCC CTTTCGTCTTCACCTCGAGAAATCATAAAAAATTTATTTGCTTTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTATAATA GATTCAATTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTTCACACAGAATTCATTAAAGAGGAGAAATTAACTATGAGA GGATCTCACCATCACCATCACCATACGGATCCGCATGCGAGCTCGGTACCCCGGGTCGACGAATTC AGATTGTATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGAATACTGTGAAA GAGGTCTCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATATCAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAG CAGAAATGGCCGGGTTTGACAAGAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAA GTGCGGAGAGAAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATCAGGAGGTTGACAAAGTGTATAAA GTAGTTAAGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATCTGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTT ACAGTTAGAATGAAAACCAAGAACATAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAAAATCATTTTTAATAATAA TATATATAAAG


## Sequence 3

AAGTGCTCATCATTGGAAAACGTTCTTCGGGGCGAAAACTCTCAAGGATCTTACCGCTGTTGAGATC CAGTTCGATGTAACCCACTCGTGCACCCAACTGATCTTCAGCATCTTTTACTTTCACCAGCGTTTCTG GGTGAGCAAAAACAGGAAGGCAAAATGCCGCAAAAAAGGGAATAAGGGCGACACGGAAATGTTG AATACTCATACTCTTCCTTTTCAATATTATTGAAGCATTTATCAGGGTTATTGTCTCATGAGCGGATA CATATTTGAATGTATTTAGAAAAATAAACAAATAGGGTTCCGCGCACATTTCCCCGAAAAGTGCCA CCTGACGTCTAAGAAACCATTATTATCATGACATTAACCTATAAAAATAGGCGTATCACGAGGCCCT TTCGTCTTCACCTCGAGAAATCATAAAAAATTTATTTGCTTTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTATAATAGA TTCAATTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTTCACACAGAATTCATTAAAGAGGAGAAATTAACTATGAGAGG ATCTCACCATCACCATCACCATACGGATCCGCATGCGAGCTCGGTACCCCGGGTCGACGAATTCAG ATTGTATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGAATACTGTGAAAGA GGTCTCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATATCAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCA GAAATGGCCGGGTTTGACAAGAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGT GCGGAGAGAAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATCAGGAGGTTGACAAAGTGTATAAAGT AGTTAAGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATCTGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTAC AGTTAGAATGAAAACCAAGAACATAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAAAATCATTTTTAATAATAATA TATAAAAAGA


The Sequence AGA is to be changed into ATG for R81M change.
Sequence 1
AAGTGCTCATCATTGGAAAACGTTCTTCGGGGCGAAAACTCTCAAGGATCTTACCGCTGTTGAGATC CAGTTCGATGTAACCCACTCGTGCACCCAACTGATCTTCAGCATCTTTTACTTTCACCAGCGTTTCTG GGTGAGCAAAAACAGGAAGGCAAAATGCCGCAAAAAAAGGGAATAAGGGCGACACGGAAATGTTG AATACTCATACTCTTCCTTTTCAATATTATTGAAGCATTTATCAGGGTTATTGTCTCATGAGCGGATA CATATTTGAATGTATTTAGAAAAATAAACAAATAGGGTTCCGCGCACATTTCCCCGAAAAGTGCCA CCTGACGTCTAAGAAACCATTATTATCATGACATTAACCTATAAAAATAGGCGTATCACGAGGCCCT TTCGTCTTCACCTCGAGAAATCATAAAAAATTTATTTGCTTTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTATAATAGA TTCAATTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTTCACACAGAATTCATTAAAGAGGAGAAATTAACTATGAGAGG

ATCTCACCATCACCATCACCATACGGATCCGCATGCGAGCTCGGTACCCCGGGTCGACGAATTCAG ATTGTATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGAATACTGTGAAAGA GGTCTCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATATCAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCA GAAATGGCCGGGTTTGACAAGAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGT GCGGAGAGAAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATCAGATGGTTGACAAAGTGTATAAAGTA GTTAAGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATCTGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACA GTTAGAATGAAAACCAAGAACATAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAAAATCATTTTTAATAATAATAT ATAAAAAGA

| Sequence Alignment |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WT | 1 GTATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGA |  |  | 50 |
| R81M | 1 | GTATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGA |  | 50 |
| WT | 51 | ATACTGTGAAAGAGGTCTCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATAT <br>  |  | 100 |
| R81M | 51 | ATACTGTGAAAGAGGTCTCATCCTtTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATAT |  | 100 |
| WT | 101 | CAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCAGAAATGGCCGGGTTTGACAA <br>  |  | 150 |
| R81M | 101 | CAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATtGGAAGCAGAAATGGCCGGGTttgacai |  | 150 |
| WT | 151 | GAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGG <br>  |  | 200 |
| R81M | 151 | GAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGG |  | 200 |
| WT | 201 | AgAgAAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATCAGCGCGTTGACAAA <br>  |  | 250 |
| R81M | 201 | AGAGAAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATCAGATGGTTGACAAA |  | 250 |
| WT | 251 | GTGTATAAAGTAGTTAAGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATC <br>  |  | 300 |
| R81M | 251 | GTGTATAAAGTAGTTAAGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATC |  | 300 |
| WT | 301 | TGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTAGAATGAAAACCAAGAACA <br>  |  | 350 |
| R81M | 301 | tGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTAGAATGAAAACCAAGAACA |  | 350 |
| WT | 351 | 1 TAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAA 373 |  |  |
|  |  | 111111111111111111111 |  |  |
| R81M | 351 TAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAA 373 |  |  |  |

Sequence 2
GTGCTCATCATTGGAAAACGTTCTTCGGGGCGAAAACTCTCAAGGATCTTACCGCTGTTGAGATCCA GTTCGATGTAACCCACTCGTGCACCCAACTGATCTTCAGCATCTTTTACTTTCACCAGCGTTTCTGGT GAGCAAAAACAGGAAGGCAAAATGCCGCAAAAAAGGGAATAAGGGCGACACGGAAATGTTGAAT AСТСАТАСТСТТССТTTTTCAATATTATTGAAGCATTTATCAGGGTTATTGTCTCATGAGCGGATACA TATTTGAATGTATTTAGAAAAATAAACAAATAGGGTTCCGCGCACATTTCCCCGAAAAGTGCCACCT GACGTCTAAGAAACCATTATTATCATGACATTAACCTATAAAAATAGGCGTATCACGAGGCCCTTTC GTCTTCACCTCGAGAAATCATAAAAAATTTATTTGCTTTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTATAATAGATTC AATTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTTCACACAGAATTCATTAAAGAGGAGAAATTAACTATGAGAGGATC TCACСATCACCATCACCATACGGATCCGCATGCGAGCTCGGTACCCCGGGTCGACGAATTCAGATTG TATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGAATACTGTGAAAGAGGTC TCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATATCAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCAGAAA TGGCCGGGTTTGACAAGAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGG AGAGAAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATCAGATGGTTGACAAAGTGTATAAAGTAGTTA AGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATCTGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTA GAATGAAAACCAAGAACATAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAAAATCATTTTTAATAATAATATATAT AAAAAGA

| Sequence Alignment |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WT | 1 GTATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGA <br>  |  |  | 50 |
| R81M | 1 | GTATACACCCATAAAGTTCTtTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGA |  | 50 |
| WT | 51 | ATACTGTGAAAGAGGTCTCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATAT <br>  |  | 100 |
| R81M | 51 | ATACTGTGAAAGAGGTCTCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATAT |  | 100 |
| WT | 101 | CAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCAGAAATGGCCGGGTTTGACAA <br>  |  | 150 |
| R81M | 101 | CAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCAGAAATGGCCGGGTTTGACAA |  | 150 |
| WT | 151 | GAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGg |  | 200 |
| R81M | 151 | GAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGG |  | 200 |
| WT | 201 | AGAGAAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATCAGCGCGTTGACAAA |  | 250 |
| R81M | 201 |  |  | 50 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| WT | 251 | GTGTATAAAGTAGTTAAGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATC <br>  |  | 300 |
| R81M | 251 | GTGTATAAAGTAGTTAAGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATC |  | 300 |
| WT | 301 | tgCtangtatagtgangacatacttacagttagantgananccanganca <br>  |  | 350 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| R81M | 301 | TGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTAGAATGAAAACCAAGAACA |  | 350 |
| WT | 351 | taAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAA 373 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| R81M | 351 | TAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAA 373 |  |  |

Sequence 3
AAAGTGCTCATCATTGGAAAACGTTCTTCGGGGCGAAAACTCTCAAGGATCTTACCGCTGTTGAGAT CCAGTTCGATGTAACCCACTCGTGCACCCAACTGATCTTCAGCATCTTTACTTTCACCAGCGTTTCTG GGTGAGCAAAAACAGGAAGGCAAAATGCCGCAAAAAAGGGAATAAGGGCGACACGGAAATGTTG AATACTCATACTCTTCCTTTTTCAATATTATTGAAGCATTTATCAGGGTTATTGTCTCATGAGCGGAT ACATATTTGAATGTATTTAGAAAAATAAACAAATAGGGTTCCGCGCACATTTCCCCGAAAAGTGCC ACCTGACGTCTAAGAAACCATTATTATCATGACATTAACCTATAAAAATAGGCGTATCACGAGGCC CTTTCGTCTTCACCTCGAGAAATCATAAAAAATTTATTTGCTTTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTATAATA GATTCAATTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTTCACACAGAATTCATTAAAGAGGAGAAATTAACTATGAGA GGATCTCACCATCACCATCACCATACGGATCCGCATGCGAGCTCGGTACCCCGGGTCGACGAATTC AGATTGTATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGAATACTGTGAAA GAGGTCTCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATATCAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAG CAGAAATGGCCGGGTTTGACAAGAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAA GTGCGGAGAGAAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATCAGATGGTTGACAAAGTGTATAAAG TAGTTAAGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATCTGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTA CAGTTAGAATGAAAACCAAGAACATAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAAAATCATTTTTAATAATAAT ATATTAAAAGA

| Sequence Alignment |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WT |  | GTATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGA <br>  | 50 |
| R81M | 1 | GTATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGA | 50 |
| WT |  | ATACTGTGAAAGAGGTCTCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATAT <br>  | 100 |
| R81M | 51 | TGTGAAAGAGGTCTCATCCtttatatatccaccagtcacgttatat | 10 |


| WT | 101 | CAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATT | GAAGCAGAAATGGCCGGGTTTGACAA | 150 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||| | \||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| R81M | 101 | CAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATT | GAAGCAGAAATGGCCGGGTTTGACAA | 150 |
| WT | 151 | GAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAA | TAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGG | 200 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||| |  |  |
| R81M | 151 | GAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAA | TAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGG | 200 |
| WT | 201 | AGAGAAAGAGAGAATACTCTACC | ATATATCGATCAGCGCGTTGACAAA | 250 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||| | \||||||||||||| . . ||||||||| |  |
| R81M | 201 | AGAGAAAGAGAGAATACTCTACC | TATATATCGATCAGATGGTTGACAAA | 250 |
| WT | 251 | GTGTATAAAGTAGTTAAGCTGC | GTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATC | 300 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||| | \|||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| R81M | 251 | GTGTATAAAGTAGTTAAGCTGCC | GTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATC | 300 |
| WT | 301 | TGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATAC | TACAGTTAGAATGAAAACCAAGAACA | 350 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||| | \||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| R81M | 301 | TGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATAC | TACAGTTAGAATGAAAACCAAGAACA | 350 |
| WT | 351 | TAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAA | 373 |  |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||| |  |  |
| R81M | 351 | TAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAA | 373 |  |

## The QR(80-81) Position

The Sequence CAGCGC is to be changed into GAGCTC for $\mathbf{Q R}(80-81) E L$ change.

Sequence 1
AGTGCTCATCATTGGAAAACGTTTTCGGGGCGAAAACTCTCAAGGATCTTACCGCTGTTGAGATCCA GTTCGATGTAACCCACTCGTGCACCCAACTGATCTTCAGCATCTTTTACTTTCACCAGCGTTTCTGGG TGAGCAAAAACAGGAAGGCAAAATGCCGCAAAAAAGGGAATAAGGGCGACACGGAAATGTTGAAT AСТСАТАСТСТТССТТТТСААТАТТАТТGAAGCATTTATCAGGGTTATTGTCTCATGAGCGGATACAT ATTTGAATGTATTTAGAAAAATAAACAAATAGGGTTCCGCGCACATTTCCCCGAAAAGTGCCACCT GACGTCTAAGAAACCATTATTATCATGACATTAACCTATAAAAATAGGCGTATCACGAGGCCCTTTC GTCTTCACCTCGAGAAATCATAAAAAATTTATTTGCTTTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTATAATAGATTC AATTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTTCACACAGAATTCATTAAAGAGGAGAAATTAACTATGAGAGGATC TCACCATCACCATCACCATACGGATCCGCATGCGAGCTCGGTACCCCGGGTCGACGAATTCAGATTG TATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGAATACTGTGAAAGAGGTC TCATCСTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATATCAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCAGAAA TGGCCGGGTTTGACAAGAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGG AGAGAAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATGAGCTCGTTGACAAAGTGTATAAAGTAGTTA AGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATCTGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTA GAATGAAAACCAAGAACATAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAAAATCATTTTTAATAATAATATATTA AAAAGA

## Sequence Alignment

| WT | 1 GTATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGA |  | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| QR (80-91) EL | 1 | GTATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGA | 50 |
| WT | 51 | ATACTGTGAAAGAGGTCTCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATAT | 100 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| QR (80-91) EL | 51 | ATACTGTGAAAGAGGTCTCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATAT | 100 |
| WT | 101 | CAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCAGAAATGGCCGGGTTTGACAA | 150 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| 80-91) EI |  | CAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCAGAAATGGCCGGGTTTGACAA |  |


| WT | 151 | GAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGG | 200 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| QR (80-91) EL | 151 | GAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGG | 200 |
| WT | 201 | AGAGAAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATCAGCGCGTTGACAAA | 250 |
|  |  |  |  |
| QR (80-91) EL | 201 | AGAGAAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATGAGCTCGTTGACAAA | 250 |
| WT | 251 | GTGTATAAAGTAGTTAAGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATC | 300 |
|  |  |  |  |
| QR (80-91) EL | 251 | GTGTATAAAGTAGTTAAGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATC | 300 |
| WT | 301 | TGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTAGAATGAAAACCAAGAACA | 350 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| QR (80-91) EL | 301 | TGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTAGAATGAAAACCAAGAACA | 350 |
| WT | 351 | TAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAA 373 |  |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| QR (80-91) EL |  | TAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAA 373 |  |

Sequence 2
AAGTGCTCATCATTGGAAAACGTTTTCGGGGCGAAAACTCTCAAGGATCTTACCGCTGTTGAGATCC AGTTCGATGTAACCCACTCGTGCACCCAACTGATCTTCAGCATCTTTACTTTCACCAGCGTTTCTGGG TGAGCAAAAACAGGAAGGCAAAATGCCGCAAAAAAGGAATAAGGGCGACACGGAAATGTTGAATA СТСАТАСТСТTССТTTTCAATATTATTGAAGCATTTATCAGGGTTATTGTCTCATGAGCGGATACATA TTTGAATGTATTTAGAAAAATAAACAAATAGGGTTCCGCGCACATTTCCCCGAAAAGTGCCACCTG ACGTCTAAGAAACCATTATTATCATGACATTAACCTATAAAAATAGGCGTATCACGAGGCCCTTTCG TCTTCACCTCGAGAAATCATAAAAAATTTATTTGCTTTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTATAATAGATTCA ATTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTTCACACAGAATTCATTAAAGAGGAGAAATTAACTATGAGAGGATCT CAССАТСАССАТСАССАТACGGATCCGCATGCGAGCTCGGTACCCCGGGTCGACGAATTCAGATTGT ATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGAATACTGTGAAAGAGGTCT CATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATATCAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCAGAAAT GGCCGGGTTTGACAAGAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGGA GAGAAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATGAGCTCGTTGACAAAGTGTATAAAGTAGTTAA GCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATCTGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTAG AATGAAAACCAAGAACATAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAAAATCATTTTTAATAATAATATATTAA AAGA

## Sequence Alignment



```
WT 301 TGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTAGAATGAAAACCAAGAACA 350
    ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
QR(80-91) EL 301 TGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTAGAATGAAAACCAAGAACA 350
WT 351 TAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAA 373
    |||||||||||||||||||||
QR(80-91)EL 351 TAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAA 373
```

Sequence 3
AGTGTCATCATTGGAAAACGTTCTTCGGGGCGAAAACTCTCAAGGATCTTACCGCTGTTGAGATCCA GTTCGATGTAACCCACTCGTGCACCCAACTGATCTTCAGCATCTTTTACTTTCACCAGCGTTTCTGGT GAGCAAAAACAGGAAGGCAAAATGCCGCAAAAAAGGGAATAAGGGCGACACGGAAATGTTGAAT AСТСАТАСТСТТССТТТТСАATATTATTGAAGCATTTATCAGGGTTATTGTCTCATGAGCGGATACAT ATTTGAATGTATTTAGAAAAATAAACAAATAGGGTTCCGCGCACATTTCCCCGAAAAGTGCCACCT GACGTCTAAGAAACCATTATTATCATGACATTAACCTATAAAAATAGGCGTATCACGAGGCCCTTTC GTCTTCACCTCGAGAAATCATAAAAAATTTATTTGCTTTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTATAATAGATTC AATTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTTCACACAGAATTCATTAAAGAGGAGAAATTAACTATGAGAGGATC TCACCATCACCATCACCATACGGATCCGCATGCGAGCTCGGTACCCCGGGTCGACGAATTCAGATTG TATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGAATACTGTGAAAGAGGTC TСАТССТТТАТАТАТССАССАGTCACGTTATATCAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCAGAAA TGGCCGGGTTTGACAAGAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGG AGAGAAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATGAGCTCGTTGACAAAGTGTATAAAGTAGTTA AGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATCTGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTA GAATGAAAACCAAGAACATAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAAAATCATTTTTAATAATAATATATAT AAAAGA

## Sequence Alignment

```
WT
    1 ~ G T A T A C A C C C A T A A A G T T C T T T A C G A A T G A G A T G A T A A A A A A C G T A T C G A ~ 5 0 ~
    ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
QR(80-91)EL 1 GTATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGA 50
WT 51 ATACTGTGAAAGAGGTCTCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATAT 100
    |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
QR(80-91)EL 51 ATACTGTGAAAGAGGTCTCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATAT 100
WT 101 CAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCAGAAATGGCCGGGTTTGACAA 150
    ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
QR(80-91)EL 101 CAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCAGAAATGGCCGGGTTTGACAA 150
WT 151 GAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGG 200
    |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
QR(80-91) EL 151 GAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGG 200
WT 201 AGAGAAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATCAGCGCGTTGACAAA 250
    |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||.|||.|||||||||
QR(80-91)EL 201 AGAGAAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATGAGCTCGTTGACAAA 250
WT 251 GTGTATAAAGTAGTTAAGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATC 300
    |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
QR(80-91)EL 251 GTGTATAAAGTAGTTAAGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATC 300
WT 301 TGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTAGAATGAAAACCAAGAACA 350
    ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
QR(80-91) EL 301 TGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTAGAATGAAAACCAAGAACA 350
WT 351 TAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAA 373
    |||||||||||||||||||||||
QR(80-91)EL 351 TAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAA 373
```


## The Position K87

The Sequence AAA is to be changed into ATA for K87I change.
Sequence 1
AGTGCTCATCATTGGAAAACGTTTTCGGGGGAAAACTTCAAGGATCTTACCGCTGTTGAGATCCAGT TCGATGTAACCCACTCGTGCACCCAACTGATCTTCAGCATCTTTTACTTTCACCAGCGTTTCTGGGTG AGCAAAAACAGGAAGGCAAAATGCCGCAAAAAAGGGAATAAGGGCGACACGGAAATGTTGAATA СТСАТАСТСТТССТТTTCAATATTATTGAAGCATTTATCAGGGTTATTGTCTCATGAGCGGATACATA TTTGAATGTATTTAGAAAAATAAACAAATAGGGTTCCGCGCACATTTCCCCGAAAAGTGCCACCTG ACGTCTAAGAAACCATTATTATCATGACATTAACCTATAAAAATAGGCGTATCACGAGGCCCTTTCG TCTTCACCTCGAGAAATCATAAAAAATTTATTTGCTTTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTATAATAGATTCA ATTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTTCACACAGAATTCATTAAAGAGGAGAAATTAACTATGAGAGGATCT CACCATCACCATCACCATACGGATCCGCATGCGAGCTCGGTACCCCGGGTCGACGAATTCAGATTGT ATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGAATACTGTGAAAGAGGTCT CATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATATCAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCAGAAAT GGCCGGGTTTGACAAGAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGGA GAGAAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATCAGCGCGTTGACAAAGTGTATATAGTAGTTAA GCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATCTGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTAG AATGAAAACCAAGAACATAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAAAATCATTTTTAATAATAATATATTAA AAAGA

Sequence Alignment

| WT | 1 | GTATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGA | 50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| K87I | 1 | GTATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGA | 50 |
| WT | 51 | ATACTGTGAAAGAGGTCTCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATAT | 100 |
|  |  | \|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| K87I | 51 | ATACTGTGAAAGAGGTCTCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATAT | 100 |
| WT | 101 | CAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCAGAAATGGCCGGGTTTGACAA | 150 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| K87I | 101 | CAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCAGAAATGGCCGGGTTTGACAA | 150 |
| WT | 151 | GAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGG | 200 |
|  |  | \||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| |  |
| K87I | 151 | GAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGG |  |

WT 201 AGAGAAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATCAGCGCGTTGACAAA 250
K87I 201 AGAGAAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATCAGCGCGTTGACAAA 250
WT 251 GTGTATAAAGTAGTTAAGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATC 300
|||||||.|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
K87I 251 GTGTATATAGTAGTTAAGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATC 300
WT 301 TGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTAGAATGAAAACCAAGAACA 350
K87I 301 TGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTAGAATGAAAACCAAGAACA 350

WT 351 TAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAA 373
|||||||||||||||||||||
K87I 351 TAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAA 373
In this sequence, the R81I codon was actually K87R. This may be a labeling error or a mutation as well.

## Sequence 2

AGTGCTCATCATTGGAAAACGTTCTTCGGGCGAAAACTCTCAAGGATCTTACCGCTGTTGAGATCCA GTTCGATGTAACCCACTCGTGCACCCAACTGATCTTCAGCATCTTTTACTTTCACCAGCGTTTCTGGG

TGAGCAAAAACAGGAAGGCAAAATGCCGCAAAAAAGGGAATAAGGGCGACACGGAAATGTTGAAT AСТСАТАСТСТТССТTTTTCAATATTATTGAAGCATTTATCAGGGTTATTGTCTCATGAGCGGATACA TATTTGAATGTATTTAGAAAAATAAACAAATAGGGTTCCGCGCACATTTCCCCGAAAAGTGCCACCT GACGTCTAAGAAACCATTATTATCATGACATTAACCTATAAAAATAGGCGTATCACGAGGCCCTTTC GTCTTCACCTCGAGAAATCATAAAAAATTTATTTGCTTTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTATAATAGATTC AATTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTTCACACAGAATTCATTAAAGAGGAGAAATTAACTATGAGAGGATC TCACCATCACCATCACCATACGGATCCGCATGCGAGCTCGGTACCCCGGGTCGACGAATTCAGATTG TATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGAATACTGTGAAAGAGGTC TCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATATCAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCAGAAA TGGCCGGGTTTGACAAGAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGG AGAGAAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATCAGCGCGTTGACAAAGTGTATAGAGTAGTTA AGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATCTGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTA GAATGAAAACCAAGAACATAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAAAATCATTTTTAATAATAATATATAT AAAAGA

Sequence Alignment


## The Sequence AAA is to be changed into AGA for K87R change.

In this sequence, the K87R codon was actually K87I. This may be a labeling error, as backed by the previous sequence, or a mutation as well.

Sequence 1 (It is Ile Codon)
AGTGCTCATCATTGGAAAACGTTTTCGGGGCGAAAACTCTCAAGGATCTTACCGCTGTTGAGATCCA GTTCGATGTAACCCACTCGTGCACCCAACTGATCTTCAGCATCTTTACTTTCACCAGCGTTTCTGGGT GAGCAAAAACAGGAAGGCAAAATGCCGCAAAAAAGGGAATAAGGGCGACACGGAAATGTTGAAT AСТСАТАСТСТТССТТТТСААТАТTATTGAAGCATTTATCAGGGTTATTGTCTCATGAGCGGATACAT ATTTGAATGTATTTAGAAAAATAAACAAATAGGGTTCCGCGCACATTTCCCCGAAAAGTGCCACCT

GACGTCTAAGAAACCATTATTATCATGACATTAACCTATAAAAATAGGCGTATCACGAGGCCCTTTC GTCTTCACCTCGAGAAATCATAAAAAATTTATTTGCTTTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTATAATAGATTC AATTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTTCACACAGAATTCATTAAAGAGGAGAAATTAACTATGAGAGGATC TCACCATCACCATCACCATACGGATCCGCATGCGAGCTCGGTACCCCGGGTCGACGAATTCAGATTG TATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGAATACTGTGAAAGAGGTC TCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATATCAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCAGAAA TGGCCGGGTTTGACAAGAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGG AGAGAAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATCAGCGCGTTGACAAAGTGTATATAGTAGTTA AGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATCTGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTA GAATGAAAACCAAGAACATAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAAAATCATTTTTAATAATAATATATAT AAAAGA

| Sequence | Alignment |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| WT | 1 | GTATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGA | 50

This sequence was shorter because it was obtained in the first sequencing set, so there is no sequence alignment.

Sequence 2
ATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATCAGCGCGTTGACAAAGTGTATAGAGTAGTTAAGCTGCCCGTAGA GATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATCTGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGNTAGAATGAAAACCAA GAACATAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAAAATCATTTTTTTAATAATAATATATATGAAAAGTATTGC ATCTATTGCTATAAGTATGCTTGATGTGATTGGGTGAATCACGAATTCTGGATCCGATACGTAACGC GTCTGCAGCCAAGCTTAATTAGCTGAGCTTGGACTCCTGTTGATAGATCCAGTAATGACCTCAGAAC TCCATCTGGATTTGTTCAGAACGCTCGGTTGCCGCCGGGCGTTTTTTATTGGTGAGAATCCAAGCTA GCTTGGCGAGATTTTCAGGAGCTAAGGAAGCTAAAATGGAGAAAAAAATCACTGGATATACCACCG TTGATATATCCCAATGGCATCGTAAAGAACATTTTGAGGCATTTCAGTCAGTTGCTCAATGTACCTA TAACCAGACCGTTCAGCTGGATATTACGGCCTTTTTAAAGACCGTAAAGAAAAATAAGCACAAGTT TTATCCGGNCTTTATTCACATTCTTGCCCGCCTGATGAATGCTCATCCGGAATTTCGTATGGCAATGA AAGACNGTGAGCTGGTGATATGGGATAGTGTTCACCCTTGTTACACCGTTTTCATGAGCAAACTGAA ACGTTTTCATCGCTCTGGAGTGAATACCACGACGATTCCGGCAGTTTCTACAC

## The Sequence AAA is to be changed into AGA for K87E change.

Sequence 1
AGTGCTCATCATTGGAAAACGTTTTCGGGGCGAAAACTCTCAAGGATCTTACCGCTGTTGAGATCCA GTTCGATGTAACCCACTCGTGCACCCAACTGATCTTCAGCATCTTTTACTTTCACCAGCGTTTCTGGT GAGCAAAAACAGGAAGGCAAAATGCCGCAAAAAAGGGAATAAGGGCGACACGGAAATGTTGAAT AСТСАТАСТСТТССТТТТСАATATTATTGAAGCATTTATCAGGGTTATTGTCTCATGAGCGGATACAT ATTTGAATGTATTTAGAAAAATAAACAAATAGGGTTCCGCGCACATTTCCCCGAAAAGTGCCACCT GACGTCTAAGAAACCATTATTATCATGACATTAACCTATAAAAATAGGCGTATCACGAGGCCCTTTC GTCTTCACCTCGAGAAATCATAAAAAATTTATTTGCTTTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTATAATAGATTC AATTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTTCACACAGAATTCATTAAAGAGGAGAAATTAACTATGAGAGGATC TCACCATCACCATCACCATACGGATCCGCATGCGAGCTCGGTACCCCGGGTCGACGAATTCAGATTG TATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGAATACTGTGAAAGAGGTC TCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATATCAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCAGAAA TGGCCGGGTTTGACAAGAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGG AGAGAAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATCAGCGCGTTGACAAAGTGTATGAAGTAGTTA AGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATCTGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTA GAATGAAAACCAAGAACATAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAAAATCATTTTTAATAATAATATATAT AAAAGA

Sequence Alignment

```
WT 1 GTATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGA 50
                ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
    1 GTATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGA 50
    5 1 ~ A T A C T G T G A A A G A G G T C T C A T C C T T T A T A T A T C C A C C A G T C A C G T T A T A T ~ 1 0 0 ~
    ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
    5 1 ~ A T A C T G T G A A A G A G G T C T C A T C C T T T A T A T A T C C A C C A G T C A C G T T A T A T ~ 1 0 0 ~
    1 0 1 ~ C A A G A T A G C T C T G A T C T G G T A T T G G A A G C A G A A A T G G C C G G G T T T G A C A A ~ 1 5 0 ~
        ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
    1 0 1 ~ C A A G A T A G C T C T G A T C T G G T A T T G G A A G C A G A A A T G G C C G G G T T T G A C A A ~ 1 5 0 ~
    151 GAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGG 200
        ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
K87E 151 GAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGG 200
WT 201 AGAGAAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATCAGCGCGTTGACAAA 250
    ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
K87E 201 AGAGAAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATCAGCGCGTTGACAAA }25
WT 251 GTGTATAAAGTAGTTAAGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATC 300
    |||||||.||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
K87E 251 GTGTATATAGTAGTTAAGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATC 300
WT 301 TGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTAGAATGAAAACCAAGAACA 350
    ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
K87E 301 TGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTAGAATGAAAACCAAGAACA 350
WT 351 TAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAA 373
    |||||||||||||||||||||||
K87E 351 TAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAA 373
```

The following two sequences were shorter because they were obtained in the first sequencing set, so there is no sequence alignment for them.

Sequence 2
AGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATCAGCGCGTTGACAAAGTGTATGAAGTAGTTAAGCTGCCC GTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATCTGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTAGAATGAAA

ACCAAGAACATAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAAAATCATTTTTTAATAATAATATATATGAAAAGT ATTGCATCTATTGCTATAAGTATGCTTGATGTGATTGGGTGAATCACGAATTCTGGATCCGATACGT AACGCGTCTGCAGCCAAGCTTAATTAGCTGAGCTTGGACTCCTGTTGATAGATCCAGTAATGACCTC AGAACTCCATCTGGATTTGTTCAGAACGCTCGGTTGCCGCCGGGCGTTTTTTATTGGTGAGAATCCA AGCTAGCTTGGCGAGATTTTCAGGAGCTAAGGAAGCTAAAATGGAGAAAAAAATCACTGGATATAC CACCGTTGATATATCCCAATGGCATCGTAAAGAACATTTTGAGGCATTTCAGTCAGTTGCTCAATGT ACCTATAACCAGACCGTTCAGCTGGATATTACGGCCTTTTTAAAGACCGTAAAGAAAAATAAGCAC AAGTTTTATCCGGCCTTTATTCACATTCTTGCCCGCCTGATGAATGCTCATCCGGAATTTCGTATGGC AATGAAAGACGGTGAGCTGGTGATATGGGATAGTGTTCACCCTTGTTACACCGTTTTCCATGAGCAA ACTGAAACGTTTTCATCGCTCTGGAGTGAATACCACGACGATTTCCGGCAGTTTCTACACATATATT CGCAAGATG

Sequence 3
TAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGGAGAGAAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATC AGCGCGTTGACNAAGTGTATGAAGTAGTTAAGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATCTG CTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTAGAATGAAAACCAAGAACATAAAGAACGTAGAAATA GAATAAAATCATTTTTTAATAATAATATATATGAAAAGTATTGCATCTATTGCTATAAGTATGCTTG ATGTGATTGGGTGAATCACGAATTCTGGATCCGATACGTAACGCGTCTGCAGCCAAGCTTAATTAGC TGAGCTTGGACTCCTGTTGATAGATCCAGTAATGACCTCAGAACTCCATCTGGATTTGTTCAGAACG CTCGGTTGCCGCCGGGCGTTTTTTATTGGTGAGAATCCAAGCTAGCTTGGCGAGATTTTCAGGAGCT AAGGAAGCTAAAATGGAGAAAAAAATCACTGGATATACCACCGTTGATATATCCCAATGGCATCGT AAAGAACATTTTGAGGCATTTCAGTCAGTTGCTCAATGTACCTATAACCAGACCGTTCAGCTGGATA TTACGGCCTTTTTAAAGACCGTAAAGAAAAATAAGCACAAGTTTTATCCGGCCTTTATTCACATTCT TGCCCGCCTGATGAATGCTCATCCGGAATTTCGTATGGCAATGAAAGACGGTGAGCTGGTGATATG GGATAGTGTTCACCCTTGTTACACCGTTTTCCATGAGCAAACTGAAACGTTTTCATCGCTCTGGAGT GAATACCACGACGATTTCCGGCAGTTTCTACACATATATTCGCAAGATGTGGCGTGTTACGGTGAAA ACCTGGCCTATTTCCCTAAAGGGTTTATTGAGAATATGTTTTTCGTCTCAGCCAATCCCTGGGTGAGT TTCACCAGTTTTGATTAAACGTGGCC

This was one of the promising colonies obtained by Transformer Site Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Clontech). The sequence was changed but there is an internal deletion of four nucleotides.

[^2]Sequence Alignment

| W | 1 GTATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGA | 50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| R69M | 1 GTATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGA | 50 |
| WT | 51 ATACTGTGAAAGAGGTCTCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATAT | 100 |
|  |  |  |
| R69M | 51 ATACTGTGAAAGAGGTCTCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATAT | 100 |



The othere sequences were out of range due to sequencing primer position and are not shown here.

## APPENDIX D

## DLS GRAPHS AND RAW DATA

The DLS graphs for all the experiments are shown in this appendix. After the graphs, the tables of the data for each experiment are shown.


Figure D1: DLS graph for 30 second measurement at $13.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Figure D2: DLS graphs for $\mathbf{3 0}$ Seconds measurements. (a): First DLS measurement for $25.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (b) Second DLS measurement for $25.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (c) First DLS measurement for $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (d) Second DLS measurement for $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$


Figure D3: DLS graphs for 30 Seconds measurements. (a): First DLS measurement for $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (b)
Second DLS measurement for $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (c) First DLS measurement for $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Figure D4: DLS graphs for 10 Seconds measurements. (a) DLS measurement at $13.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (b) DLS measurement at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (c) DLS measurement for $44^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (d) DLS measurement for $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Figure D5: DLS graphs for Wild-Type Tpv-Hsp14.3 incubated with CS and GDH Enzymes. (a) 1mg total sHSPs incubated at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. (b) 1 mg sHSP plus $15 \mu \mathrm{CS}$, incubated for 10 minutes at $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (c) 0.85 mg total sHSPs incubated at $53^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. (b) 0.85 mg sHSP plus $15 \mu \mathrm{GDH}$, incubated for 10 minutes at $53^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Figure D6: DLS Graphs for the Wild-type Tpv-Hsp14.3: (a) sHSP incubated at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. (b) sHSP incubated for 10 minutes at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (c) sHSP incubated at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. (d) sHSP incubated for 10 minutes at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure D9: DLS Graphs for the R69M Mutant Variant Tpv-Hsp14.3: (a) sHSP incubated at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. (b) sHSP incubated for 10 minutes at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (c) sHSP incubated at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. (d) sHSP incubated for 10 minutes at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Figure D10: DLS Graphs for the R81K Mutant Variant Tpv-Hsp14.3: (a) sHSP incubated at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. (b) sHSP
incubated for 10 minutes at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (c) sHSP incubated at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. (d) sHSP incubated for 10 minutes at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure D12: DLS Graphs for the R81M Mutant Variant Tpv-Hsp14.3: (a) sHSP incubated at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. (b) sHSP
incubated for 10 minutes at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (c) sHSP incubated at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. (d) sHSP incubated for 10 minutes at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Figure D13: DLS Graphs for the QR(80-81)EL Mutant Variant Tpv-Hsp14.3: (a) sHSP incubated at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. (b)
sHSP incubated for 10 minutes at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (c) sHSP incubated at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. (d) sHSP incubated for 10 minutes at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Figure D14: DLS Graphs for the K87R Mutant Variant Tpv-Hsp14.3: (a) sHSP incubated at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. (b) sHSP
incubated for 10 minutes at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (c) sHSP incubated at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. (d) sHSP incubated for 10 minutes at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Figure D15: DLS Graphs for the K87E Mutant Variant Tpv-Hsp14.3: (a) sHSP incubated at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. (b) sHSP incubated for 10 minutes at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (c) sHSP incubated at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. (d) sHSP incubated for 10 minutes at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Figure D16: DLS Graphs for the K87I Mutant Variant Tpv-Hsp14.3: (a) sHSP incubated at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. (b) sHSP incubated for 10 minutes at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (c) sHSP incubated at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. (d) sHSP incubated for 10 minutes at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Table D1: DLS Peak Values of Wild-Type sHSP for 30 Seconds Measurements.

| Temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Peaks | Radius <br> (nm) | Peak. Weight | \% Peak Weight | Peak Width (nm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $13.6{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 2 | 443.2 | 2.8 | 100 | 0.22 |
|  | Entire | 443.2 | 2.8 | 100 | 0.22 |
| $25.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \text { First }$ <br> Measure | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2 | 365.4 | 3.2 | 100 | 0.27 |
|  | Entire | 365.4 | 3.2 | 100 | 0.27 |
| $25.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ <br> Second <br> Measure | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2 | 619 | 2 | 100 | 0.16 |
|  | Entire | 619 | 2 | 100 | 0.16 |
| $35^{\circ}$ C First <br> Measure | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2 | 692.7 | 7.8 | 100 | 0.55 |
|  | Entire | 692.7 | 7.8 | 100 | 0.55 |
| $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ Second <br> Measure | 1 | 0 | 0.02 | 0.85 | 0 |
|  | 2 | 950.5 | 2.8 | 99.2 | 0.23 |
|  | Entire | 880.1 | 2.86 | 100 | 0.86 |
| $44^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ First <br> Measure | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2 | 392.6 | 4.9 | 100 | 0.44 |
|  | Entire | 392.6 | 4.9 | 100 | 0.44 |
| $44^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ Second Measure | 1 | 0 | 0.03 | 1.4 | 0 |
|  | 2 | 676.3 | 2.458 | 98.6 | 0.21 |
|  | Entire | 599.9 | 2.494 | 100 | 1 |
| $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 | 0 | 0.03 | 0.3 | 0 |
|  | 2 | 1406 | 12.92 | 99.8 | 1.1 |
|  | Entire | 1381 | 12.95 | 100 | 1.1 |

Table D2: DLS Peak Values of Wild-Type sHSP for 10 Seconds Measurements.

| Temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Peaks | Radius (nm) | P eak Weight | \% of Peak Weight | Peak Width (nm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 0.06241 | 0.06 | 0.7 | 0.00 |
| $13.6{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 2 | 34.6 | 0.03 | 0.4 | 0.11 |
|  | 3 | 1985 | 7.7 | 98.9 | 0.63 |
|  | Entire | 1812 | 7.8 | 100.0 | 1.10 |
| $35.1{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.5 | 0.00 |
|  | 2 | 65.59 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 0.12 |
|  | 3 | 1121 | 6 | 94.9 | 0.57 |
|  | Entire | 938.6 | 6.3 | 100.0 | 1.00 |
| $44^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
|  | 2 | 0.92 | 0.03 | 0.3 | 0.00 |
|  | 3 | 1406 | 12.9 | 99.8 | 1.10 |
|  | Entire | 1381 | 12.9 | 100.0 | 1.00 |
| $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 | 0.19 | 0.03 | 0.9 | 0.00 |
|  | 2 | 764.2 | 3.4 | 89.3 | 0.30 |
|  | 3 | 10910 | 0.4 | 9.8 | 0.39 |
|  | Entire | 922.3 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 1.16 |

Table D3: DLS Peak Values of Wild-Type sHSP with Substrates for 10 Seconds
Measurements.

| Protein Samples | Temperature | Peaks | Radius (nm) | Peak Weight | \% Peak Weight | Peak Width (nm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{1 m g} \\ \text { sHSP } \end{gathered}$ | $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 | 0.14 | 0.09 | 1.8 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 2 | 518.9 | 2.6 | 51.5 | 0.21 |
|  |  | 3 | 7999 | 2.36 | 46.6 | 0.40 |
|  |  | Entire | 1597 | 5.1 | 100.0 | 1.89 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 1mg } \\ \text { sHSP }+ \\ 15 \mu \mathrm{~L} \\ \text { CS } \end{gathered}$ | $47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 2 | 0.1415 | 0.009 | 0.2 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 3 | 218.2 | 2.4 | 61.6 | 0.19 |
|  |  | 4 | 2660 | 1.5 | 38.2 | 0.20 |
|  |  | Entire | 556.2 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 1.30 |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{0 . 8 5 m g} \\ \text { sHSP } \end{gathered}$ | $53^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 3 | 0.1588 | 0.01 | 0.8 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 4 | 627.5 | 2.4 | 99.2 | 0.20 |
|  |  | Entire | 588 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 0.76 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 0.85mg } \\ \text { sHSP + } \\ \text { 15 } \mu \mathrm{L} \\ \text { GDH } \end{gathered}$ | $53^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 3 | 0.3265 | 0.002 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 4 | 587.3 | 6.2 | 100.0 | 0.55 |
|  |  | Entire | 586.1 | 6.2 | 100.0 | 0.56 |

Table D4: DLS Peaks Values of Tpv-Hsp14.3 Variants.

| Mut. Variant |  | Wild-Type |  |  |  | R69K |  |  |  | R69E |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Temp. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Peaks | $\begin{gathered} \text { Radius } \\ (\mathrm{nm}) \end{gathered}$ | Peak Weight | \% Peak Weight | Peak Width (nm) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Radius } \\ (\mathrm{nm}) \end{gathered}$ | Peak Weight | \% Peak Weight | Peak Width (nm) | Radius (nm) | Peak Weight | \% Peak Weight | Peak Width (nm) |
| $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 | 0.075 | 0.03 | 0.6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.076 | 0.05602 | 1.0 | 0.00 |
|  | 2 | 5.66 | 0.01 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.59 | 0.125 | 2.1 | 0.18 |
|  | 3 | 96.37 | 2.40 | 43.7 | 0.18 | 0.094 | 0.1034 | 1.8 | 0.07 | 38.87 | 0.0765 | 1.3 | 0.93 |
|  | 4 | 630.2 | 3.05 | 55.6 | 0.27 | 2730 | 5.602 | 98.2 | 0.50 | 3014 | 5.59 | 95.6 | 0.46 |
|  | Entire | 261.5 | 5.48 | 100.0 | 1.15 | 2266 | 5.705 | 100.0 | 1.46 | 2144 | 5.847 | 100.0 | 1.72 |
| $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 | 0.69 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.087 | 0.7171 | 7.1 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.01047 | 0.1 | 0.00 |
|  | 2 | 7.12 | 0.07 | 1.3 | 0.10 | 0.89 | 0.01458 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.82 | 0.2353 | 2.2 | 0.23 |
|  | 3 | 94.83 | 2.89 | 57.3 | 0.20 | 40.34 | 0.1572 | 1.6 | 0.18 | 944.3 | 10.51 | 97.7 | 0.94 |
|  | 4 | 1071 | 2.09 | 41.3 | 0.34 | 2053 | 9.143 | 91.2 | 0.74 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
|  | Entire | 249.5 | 5.04 | 100.0 | 1.30 | 929.3 | 10.03 | 100.0 | 2.73 | 802.1 | 10.76 | 100.0 | 1.42 |
| $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 | 0.083 | 0.17 | 1.5 | 0.05 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
|  | 2 | 3.64 | 0.06 | 0.5 | 0.18 | 0.09 | 0.9581 | 8.6 | 0.14 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
|  | 3 | 178 | 10.78 | 97.9 | 1.31 | 68.88 | 0.4617 | 4.1 | 0.19 | 0.51 | 1.263 | 9.2 | 0.50 |
|  | 4 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 1555 | 9.736 | 87.2 | 0.83 | 862.6 | 12.49 | 90.8 | 1.10 |
|  | Entire | 154.9 | 11.01 | 100.0 | 1.63 | 591.6 | 11.16 | 100.0 | 2.87 | 436 | 13.75 | 100.0 | 2.38 |
| $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 1.1 | 0.16 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 14.8 | 0.18 | 0.113 | 0.664 | 5.0 | 0.25 |
|  | 2 | 2.94 | 0.03 | 0.2 | 0.10 | 3.12 | 0.0415 | 0.4 | 0.00 | 1.071 | 0.3533 | 2.7 | 0.29 |
|  | 3 | 189.4 | 13.42 | 98.7 | 1.47 | 33.03 | 0.09851 | 1.0 | 0.13 | 1074 | 12.19 | 92.3 | 1.09 |
|  | 4 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 875.6 | 7.938 | 83.8 | 0.79 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
|  | Entire | 173.6 | 13.60 | 100.0 | 1.65 | 216.3 | 9.478 | 100.0 | 3.31 | 563.3 | 13.21 | 100.0 | 2.48 |

Table D4: DLS Peaks Values of Tov-Hsp14.3 Variants (continuing).

| Variants |  | R69M |  |  |  | R81K |  |  |  | R81E |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Temp. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Peaks | $\begin{gathered} \text { Radius } \\ (\mathrm{nm}) \end{gathered}$ | Peak Weight | Peak <br> Weight | Peak Width (nm) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Radius } \\ & (\mathrm{nm}) \end{aligned}$ | Peak Weight |  | Peak Width (nm) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Radius } \\ (\mathrm{nm}) \end{gathered}$ | Peak Weight |  | Peak Width (nm) |
| $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 | 0.076 | 0.1494 | 2.3 | 0.00 | 0.079 | 0.1476 | 2.0 | 0.07 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
|  | 2 | 32.41 | 0.02702 | 0.4 | 0.10 | 1.468 | 0.01788 | 0.2 | 0.11 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
|  | 3 | 3567 | 6.226 | 97.2 | 0.48 | 95.5 | 0.1569 | 2.1 | 0.10 | 0.17 | 0.03952 | 0.5 | 0.12 |
|  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 3026 | 7.124 | 95.6 | 0.55 | 3842 | 7.176 | 99.6 | 0.56 |
|  | Entire | 2721 | 6.403 | 100.0 | 1.71 | 2238 | 7.449 | 100.0 | 1.68 | 2695 | 7.207 | 100.0 | 0.91 |
| $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 | 1.411 | 0.1784 | 2.2 | 0.13 | 0.07945 | 0.01107 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
|  | 2 | 165.4 | 0.22 | 2.7 | 0.16 | 0.41 | 0.07994 | 1.0 | 0.19 | 0.099 | 0.5645 | 5.1 | 0.21 |
|  | 3 | 2920 | 7.667 | 95.1 | 0.60 | 60.84 | 0.08045 | 1.0 | 0.11 | 7.365 | 0.157 | 1.4 | 0.20 |
|  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 2218 | 7.841 | 97.9 | 0.65 | 1528 | 10.28 | 93.5 | 0.86 |
|  | Entire | 2280 | 8.066 | 100.0 | 1.34 | 1936 | 8.012 | 100.0 | 1.19 | 862.9 | 11 | 100.0 | 2.36 |
| $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 | 0.22 | 0.3275 | 3.2 | 0.26 | 0.08191 | 0.1222 | 1.7 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
|  | 2 | 8.63 | 0.08018 | 0.8 | 0.22 | 188.1 | 0.3939 | 5.4 | 0.13 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
|  | 3 | 1434 | 9.956 | 96.1 | 0.85 | 2373 | 6.794 | 92.9 | 0.58 | 0.12 | 0.7927 | 7.3 | 0.31 |
|  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 1445 | 10.07 | 92.6 | 0.85 |
|  | Entire | 1044 | 10.36 | 100.0 | 1.80 | 1744 | 7.31 | 100.0 | 1.53 | 727 | 10.87 | 100.0 | 2.59 |
| $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 | 0.35 | 0.5418 | 4.9 | 0.80 | 0.085 | 0.1687 | 1.9 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
|  | 2 | 41.54 | 0.1824 | 1.7 | 0.19 | 0.74 | 0.005864 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.1052 | 1.525 | 12.4 | 0.22 |
|  | 3 | 1353 | 10.31 | 93.5 | 0.89 | 151.4 | 0.4351 | 4.8 | 0.19 | 2.985 | 0.1394 | 1.1 | 0.17 |
|  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 1975 | 8.382 | 93.2 | 0.72 | 942.4 | 10.68 | 86.5 | 0.97 |
|  | Entire | 851.2 | 11.03 | 100.0 | 2.03 | 1437 | 8.992 | 100.0 | 1.62 | 286.8 | 12.34 | 100.0 | 3.16 |

Table D4: DLS Peaks Values of Tpv-Hsp14.3 Variants (continuing).

| Variants | 81 M |  |  |  |  | QR(80-81)EL |  |  |  | K87R |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Temp. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Peaks | Radius (nm) | Peak <br> Weight | \% Peak Weight | Peak Width (nm) | Radius (nm) | Peak Weight | $\%$ <br> Peak <br> Weight | Peak Width (nm) | Radius (nm) | Peak Weight | \% <br> Peak <br> Weight | Peak Width (nm) |
| $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.087 | 0.07141 | 1.2 | 0.12 | 0.076 | 0.01381 | 0.3 | 0.00 |
|  | 2 | 0.0755 | 0.005622 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 31.61 | 0.2204 | 3.6 | 0.10 | 82.23 | 0.4481 | 11.0 | 0.09 |
|  | 3 | 7.577 | 0.03168 | 0.2 | 0.01 | 197 | 1.778 | 28.7 | 0.21 | 1230 | 3.615 | 88.7 | 0.31 |
|  | 4 | 567.7 | 14.91 | 99.7 | 1.44 | 1816 | 4.131 | 66.6 | 0.34 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
|  | Entire | 560.6 | 14.95 | 100.0 | 1.46 | 741.5 | 6.201 | 100.0 | 1.56 | 884 | 4.077 | 100.0 | 1.05 |
| $35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 | 0.07945 | 0.05874 | 0.7 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.2906 | 0.01445 | 0.3 | 0.12 |
|  | 2 | 19.69 | 0.0449 | 0.5 | 0.18 | 16.32 | 0.2378 | 2.8 | 0.22 | 15.78 | 0.05767 | 1.1 | 0.06 |
|  | 3 | 148.7 | 4.807 | 56.5 | 0.40 | 107.3 | 2.493 | 29.4 | 0.37 | 121.8 | 1.165 | 22.7 | 0.28 |
|  | 4 | 1932 | 3.2 | 37.6 | 0.37 | 1264 | 5.754 | 67.8 | 0.48 | 1241 | 3.894 | 75.9 | 0.31 |
|  | Entire | 332.6 | 8.515 | 100.0 | 1.60 | 542.1 | 8.485 | 100.0 | 1.34 | 681.2 | 5.132 | 100.0 | 1.17 |
| $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 | 0.1411 | 0.05278 | 0.9 | 0.11 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.23 | 0.02707 | 0.3 | 0.12 |
|  | 2 | 5.67 | 0.06538 | 1.1 | 0.11 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 1.34 | 0.01527 | 0.2 | 0.15 |
|  | 3 | 73.46 | 3.567 | 61.6 | 0.30 | 1.477 | 0.2015 | 1.1 | 0.28 | 527.5 | 8.372 | 99.5 | 1.00 |
|  | 4 | 567.6 | 2.11 | 36.4 | 0.32 | 420.6 | 18.99 | 99.0 | 1.64 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
|  | Entire | 141.9 | 5.795 | 100.0 | 1.27 | 396.4 | 19.19 | 100.0 | 1.73 | 509 | 8.414 | 100.0 | 1.13 |
| $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 | 0.0854 | 0.03314 | 0.5 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.144 | 1.5 | 0.10 |
|  | 2 | 0.33 | 0.01393 | 0.2 | 0.12 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.01955 | 0.2 | 0.07 |
|  | 3 | 52.14 | 2.957 | 44.6 | 0.26 | 0.39 | 0.1866 | 1.1 | 0.21 | 362.4 | 9.448 | 98.3 | 1.10 |
|  | 4 | 535.1 | 3.608 | 54.4 | 0.29 | 287.5 | 16.12 | 98.9 | 1.44 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
|  | Entire | 175.5 | 6.632 | 100.0 | 1.36 | 266.5 | 16.3 | 100.0 | 1.59 | 315.5 | 9.611 | 100.0 | 1.49 |

Table D4: DLS Peaks Values of Tpv-Hsp14.3 Variants (continuing).

| Variants |  | K87E |  |  |  | K87I |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Temp. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Peaks | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Radius } \\ & (\mathrm{nm}) \end{aligned}$ | Peak <br> Weight |  | Peak Width (nm) | Radius (nm) | Peak <br> Weight |  | Peak Width (nm) |
| $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 | 0.09796 | 0.03038 | 0.5 | 0.07 | 0.076 | 0.08631 | 1.0 | 0.00 |
|  | 2 | 120.2 | 2.131 | 33.9 | 0.67 | 44.09 | 0.2827 | 3.3 | 0.21 |
|  | 3 | 1878 | 4.121 | 65.6 | 0.34 | 258.3 | 2.823 | 33.1 | 0.26 |
|  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 4272 | 5.334 | 62.6 | 0.41 |
|  | Entire | 704.9 | 6.282 | 100.0 | 1.52 | 1298 | 8.526 | 100.0 | 1.80 |
| $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 | 0.07948 | 0.01008 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.079 | 0.06977 | 0.9 | 0.00 |
|  | 2 | 8.667 | 0.2168 | 2.3 | 0.12 | 7.63 | 0.03651 | 0.4 | 0.04 |
|  | 3 | 327.3 | 9.21 | 96.7 | 1.15 | 116.9 | 2.493 | 30.7 | 0.23 |
|  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 1431 | 5.532 | 68.0 | 0.51 |
|  | Entire | 275.9 | 9.528 | 100.0 | 1.51 | 596.1 | 8.132 | 100.0 | 1.52 |
| $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 | 0.0819 | 0.09878 | 1.1 | 0.00 | 0.082 | 0.06865 | 0.6 | 0.00 |
|  | 2 | 2.164 | 0.02642 | 0.3 | 0.11 | 4.44 | 0.1273 | 1.1 | 0.63 |
|  | 3 | 254.9 | 9.275 | 98.7 | 1.33 | 441.1 | 11.79 | 98.4 | 1.38 |
|  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
|  | Entire | 231.2 | 9.4 | 100.0 | 1.58 | 399.9 | 11.98 | 100.0 | 1.58 |
| $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 | 0.3 | 0.1447 | 0.9 | 0.21 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
|  | 2 | 1.16 | 0.002406 | 0.0 | 0.08 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
|  | 3 | 185.5 | 15.59 | 99.0 | 1.45 | 0.085 | 0.007449 | 0.1 | 0.00 |
|  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 406.7 | 5.353 | 99.9 | 0.68 |
|  | Entire | 174.7 | 15.74 | 100.0 | 1.57 | 402 | 5.361 | 100.0 | 0.75 |

## APPENDIX E

## INFORMATION REGARDING THE PROTEINS USED IN MULTIPLE SEQUENCE ALIGNMENTS

Table E1: The 63 Best-Matching Proteins of Tpv-Hsp14.3 with Sequence Identity 30\% and Higher Used in MSA.

| No. | Name of the Organism | Accession Number | Protein Name |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Thermoplasma volcanium GSS1 | NP_111294.1 | Hsp20-Related |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Thermoplasma acidophilum DSM <br> 1728 | NP_394323.1 | Hsp20 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Ferroplasma acidarmanus | YP_008142169.1 | Hsp 20 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Halobacterium sp. NRC-1 | NP_395730.1 | Heat Shock Protease <br> Protein |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Picrophilus torridus DSM 9790 | YP_023517.1 | Hsp 20 Family sHSP |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | halophilic archaeon DL31 | YP_004809151.1 | Hsp20 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Anaeromyxobacter dehalogenans <br> 2CP-C | YP_466070.1 | Hsp20 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Sulpholobus tokadii ST1653 | Q970D9 | Hsp14.0 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | crenarchaeote <br> HF4000_ANIW141J13 | ABZ07832.1 | Hsp20 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Nocardiopsis lucentensis | WP_017599436.1 | Hsp20 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Sulfolobus islandicus Y.N.15.51 | YP_002841880.1 | Hsp20 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Nesterenkonia sp. F | WP_010524295.1 | Hsp20 |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | Candidatus Nitrosoarchaeum <br> koreensis | WP_007551556.1 | Molecular Chaperone |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Candidatus Nitrosoarchaeum <br> limnia | WP_010189564.1 | Molecular Chaperone |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | Nocardiopsis dassonvillei DSM <br> 43111 | YP_003681484.1 | Hsp20 |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | Nocardiopsis synnemataformans | WP_017566186.1 | Hsp20 |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | Candidatus Nitrosopumilus <br> salaria | WP_008298667.1 | Molecular Chaperone |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | Chthoniobacter flavus | WP_006981010.1 | Hsp20 |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | Candidatus Nitrososphaera <br>  <br> largensis Ga9.2 | YP_006863216.1 | Hsp21 |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | Candidatus Nitrosoarchaeum <br> limnia | WP_007402545.1 | Molecular Chaperone |

Table E1: The 63 Best-Matching Proteins of Tpv-Hsp14.3 with Sequence Identity $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ and Higher Used in MSA (Continuing).

| 21 | Geobacter lovleyi SZ | YP_001953023.1 | Hsp20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | Sulfolobus solfataricus P2 | NP_343935.1 | Hsp20 |
| 23 | Nocardiopsis sp. CNS639 | WP_019607970.1 | Hsp20 |
| 24 | Nocardiopsis alkaliphila | WP_017604807.1 | Hsp20 |
| 25 | Nocardiopsis halotolerans | WP_017571483.1 | Hsp20 |
| 26 | Nocardiopsis ganjiahuensis | WP_017584932.1 | Hsp20 |
| 27 | Petrotoga mobilis SJ95 | YP_001568567.1 | Hsp20 |
| 28 | Hydrogenobacter thermophilus TK-6 | YP_003431999.1 | Hsp20 |
| 29 | Sphaerochaeta pleomorpha str. Grapes | YP_005063435.1 | Molecular Chaperone |
| 30 | Geobacter metallireducens GS-15 | YP_006721940.1 | ATP-Independent Chaperone |
| 31 | delta proteobacterium NaphS2 | YP_001900983.1 | Hsp20 |
| 32 | Ralstonia pickettii | WP_004628761.1 | Molecular Chaperone |
| 33 | Geobacter sulfurreducens PCA | NP_951596.1 | ATP-Independent Chaperone |
| 34 | Candidatus Nitrosopumilus sp. $A R 2$ | YP_006776550.1 | Hsp20 |
| 35 | Microcoleus vaginatus | WP_006634026.1 | Molecular Chaperone |
| 36 | Thermosipho africanus TCF52B | YP_002335217.1 | Hsp20 |
| 37 | uncultured crenarchaeote | ABB88979.1 | Hsp20 |
| 38 | Candidatus Nitrosopumilus koreensis AR1 | YP_006774607.1 | Hsp20 |
| 39 | Candidatus Kuenenia stuttgartiensis | CAJ73249.1 | Similar To sHSPs |
| 40 | Anabaena sp. 90 | YP_007000777.1 | Hsp20 |
| 41 | Microcystis aeruginosa | WP_002767035.1 | Molecular Chaperone |
| 42 | Microcoleus vaginatus | WP_006633768.1 | Molecular Chaperone |
| 43 | Oscillatoria sp. PCC 6506 | WP_007354249.1 | Molecular Chaperone |
| 44 | Methanocella paludicola SANAE | YP_003357413.1 | Putative Shsps |
| 45 | Cenarchaeum symbiosum A | YP_876436.1 | Shsps |
| 46 | Nitrosopumilus maritimus SCM1 | YP_001583042.1 | Hsp20 |
| 47 | Pedosphaera parvula | WP_007418376.1 | Hsp20 |
| 48 | Desulfohalobium retbaense DSM 5692 | YP_003197589.1 | Hsp20 |
| 49 | Desulfurispirillum indicum S5 | YP_004112624.1 | Hsp20 |
| 50 | Oscillatoria formosa | WP_019488126.1 | Molecular Chaperone |
| 51 | delta proteobacterium NaphS2 | WP_006421140.1 | Hsp20 |
| 52 | Methylobacterium sp. GXF4 | WP_007563476.1 | Hsp20 |
| 53 | Desulfomonile tiedjei DSM 6799 | YP_006446048.1 | Molecular Chaperone |
| 54 | Candidatus Poribacteria sp. | WP_009370651.1 | Hsp20 |

Table E1: The 63 Best-Matching Proteins of Tpv-Hsp14.3 with Sequence Identity $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ and Higher Used in MSA (Continuing).

| $\mathbf{5 5}$ | Thermotoga petrophila $R K U-1$ | YP_001244140.1 | Hsp20 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{5 6}$ | Thermotoga sp. EMP | WP_008193529.1 | Hsp20 |
| $\mathbf{5 7}$ | Thermotoga maritima MSB8 | NP_228185.1 | Class I Hsp |
| $\mathbf{5 8}$ | Microcystis aeruginosa | WP_004160648.1 | Hspa |
| $\mathbf{5 9}$ | Metallosphaera yellowstonensis | WP_009070347.1 | Hsp20 |
| $\mathbf{6 0}$ | Sulfolobus acidocaldarius DSM <br> 639 | YP_256268.1 | Hsp20 |
| $\mathbf{6 1}$ | Thermoanaerobacterium <br> xylanolyticum LX-11 | YP_004469872.1 | Hsp20 |
| $\mathbf{6 2}$ | Thermodesulfovibrio yellowstonii <br> DSM 11347 | YP_002248210.1 | Hsp20 |
| $\mathbf{6 3}$ | Candidatus Chloracidobacterium <br> thermophilum B | YP_004861811.1 | Molecular Chaperone |

Table E2: The Archaeal Best-Matching Proteins of Tpv-Hsp14.3 with Sequence Identity $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ and Higher Used in MSA.

| No. | Name of the Organism | Accession Number | Protein Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Thermoplasma volcanium GSS1 | NP_111294.1 | Hsp20-Related |
| 2 | Thermoplasma acidophilum DSM 1728 | NP_394323.1 | Hsp20 |
| 3 | Ferroplasma acidarmanus | YP_008142169.1 | Hsp 20 |
| 4 | Halobacterium sp. NRC-1 | NP_395730.1 | Heat Shock Protease Protein |
| 5 | Picrophilus torridus DSM 9790 | YP_023517.1 | Hsp 20 Family sHSP |
| 6 | Sulpholobus tokadii ST1653 | Q970D9 | Hsp14.0 |
| 7 | crenarchaeote HF4000_ANIW141J13 | ABZ07832.1 | Hsp20 |
| 8 | Sulfolobus islandicus Y.N.15.51 | YP_002841880.1 | Hsp20 |
| 9 | Candidatus Nitrosoarchaeum koreensis | WP_007551556.1 | Molecular Chaperone |
| 10 | Candidatus Nitrosoarchaeum limnia | WP_010189564.1 | Molecular Chaperone |
| 11 | Candidatus Nitrosopumilus salaria | WP_008298667.1 | Molecular Chaperone |
| 12 | Candidatus Nitrososphaera gargensis Ga9.2 | YP_006863216.1 | Hsp21 |
| 13 | Candidatus Nitrosoarchaeum limnia | WP_007402545.1 | Molecular Chaperone |
| 14 | Sulfolobus solfataricus P2 | NP_343935.1 | Hsp20 |
| 15 | Candidatus Nitrosopumilus sp. AR2 | YP_006776550.1 | Hsp20 |
| 16 | uncultured crenarchaeote | ABB88979.1 | Hsp20 |
| 17 | Candidatus Nitrosopumilus koreensis ARI | YP_006774607.1 | Hsp20 |
| 18 | Cenarchaeum symbiosum A | YP_876436.1 | Shsps |
| 19 | Nitrosopumilus maritimus SCM1 | YP_001583042.1 | Hsp20 |
| 20 | Metallosphaera yellowstonensis | WP_009070347.1 | Hsp20 |
| 21 | Sulfolobus acidocaldarius DSM 639 | YP_256268.1 | Hsp20 |


[^0]:    

[^1]:    Sequence 3 (Asparigine Codon)
    GACTGGGAGTACAACCAAGTCATTTGAGAATAGTATGCGGCGACCGAGTTGTTTGCCCGGCGTCAA TACGGGATAATACCGGCCACATAGCAGAACTTTAAAAGTGCTCATCATTGGAAAACGTTTTCGGGG CGAAAACTCTCAAGGATCTTACCGCTGTTGAGATCCAGTTCGATGTAACCCACTCGTGCACCCAACT GATCTTCAGCATCTTTTACTTTCACCAGCGTTTCTGGGTGAGCAAAAACAGGAAGGCAAAATGCCGC AAAAAAGGGAATAAGGGCGACACGGAAATGTTGAATACTCATACTCTTCCTTTTTCAATATTATTGA AGCATTTATCAGGGTTATTGTCTCATGAGCGGATACATATTTGAATGTATTTAGAAAAATAAACAAA TAGGGTTCCGCGCACATTTCCCCGAAAAGTGCCACCTGACGTCTAAGAAACCATTATTATCATGACA TTAACCTATAAAAATAGGCGTATCACGAGGCCCTTTCGTCTTCACCTCGAGAAATCATAAAAAATTT ATTTGCTTTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTATAATAGATTCAATTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTTCACACAGA ATTCATTAAAGAGGAGAAATTAACTATGAGAGGATCTCACCATCACCATCACCATACGGATCCGCA TGCGAGCTCGGTACCCCGGGTCGACGAATTCAGATTGTATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAG ATGATAAAAAACGTATCGAATACTGTGAAAGAGGTCTCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTAT ATCAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCAGAAATGGCCGGGTTTGACAAGAAAAACATAAAGG TCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGTGCGGAGAATAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATA TCGATCAGCGCGTTGACAAAGTGTATAAAGTAGTTAAGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACA

[^2]:    Sequence 1. It has an internal 4-nt deletion
    AAGTGCTCATCATTGGAAAACGTTCTTCGGGGCGAAAACTCTCAAGGATCTTACCGCTGTTGAGATC CAGTTCGATGTAACCCACTCGTGCACCAACTGATCTTCAGCATCTTTTACTTTCACCAGCGTTTCTGG GTGAGCAAAAACAGGAAGGCAAAATGCCGCAAAAAAAGGGAATAAGGGCGACACGGAAATGTTGA ATACTCATACTCTTCСTTTTTCAATATTATTGAAGCATTTATCAGGGTTATTGTCTCATGAGCGGATA CATATTTGAATGTATTTAGAAAAATAAACAAATAGGGTTCCGCGCACATTTCCCCGAAAAGTGCCA CCTGACGTCTAAGAAACCATTATTATCATGACATTAACCTATAAAAATAGGCGTATCACGAGGCCCT TTCGTCTTCACCTCGAGAAATCATAAAAAATTTATTTGCTTTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTATAATAGA TTCAATTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTTCACACAGAATTCATTAAAGAGGAGAAATTAACTATGAGAGG ATCTCACCATCACCATCACCATACGGATCCGCATGCGAGCTCGGTACCCCGGGTCGACGAATTCAG ATTGTATACACCCATAAAGTTCTTTACGAATGAGATGATAAAAAACGTATCGAATACTGTGAAAGA GGTCTCATCCTTTATATATCCACCAGTCACGTTATATCAAGATAGCTCTGATCTGGTATTGGAAGCA GAAATGGCCGGGTTTGACAAGAAAAACATAAAGGTCTCGGTAAATAAGAATGTACTCACTATAAGT GCGGAGATGAAGAGAGAATACTCTACCGTATATATCGATCAGTTGACAAAGTGTATAAAGTAGTTA AGCTGCCCGTAGAGATTGAGCAGCAGGACATATCTGCTAAGTATAGTGAAGGCATACTTACAGTTA GAATGAAAACCAAGAACATAAAGAACGTAGAAATAGAATAAAATCATTTTTAATAATAATATATAT AAAAGA

