A new model for competition between many languages Çağlar Tuncay Department of Physics, Middle East Technical University 06531 Ankara, Turkey caglart@metu.edu.tr

Abstract: Time evolutions of number of cities, population of cities, world population, and size distribution of present languages are studied in terms of a new model, where population of each city increases by a random rate and decreases by a random division. World population and size distribution of languages come out in good agreement with the available empirical data.

Introduction: Many old languages, like ancient Greek and Roman may have spread in terms of colonization, where the cities were shaped by the current environmental conditions (see Viviane model, Refs.1, 2, and references therein). Yet, the cited mechanism may not cover all the relevant situations. Many medieval languages of the empires are not spoken on the same lands presently. On the other hand, Chinese and Indian languages might have spread in terms of increasing population, rather than colonization and other means. In the present contribution a new model for many languages is suggested, where city population increases by a random rate and decreases by a random division.[3,4] The model is given in the following section; applications and results are displayed in next one. The last section is devoted for discussion and conclusion.

Model: We start with some number of cities (M(t)), which varies in time (t). Each city (i) might have equal initial population $(P_i(t = 0))$ or the initial population of each may be random and equal to Pr_i , where P is some constant and r_i is a random real number $0 \le r_i < 1$, with $i \le M(0)$. We assign a random population growth rate (R_i) to each society, $R_i = Rr_i$, where R is constant. Through the evolution of history, each city at each time step with probability H gives birth to a new one where the splitting ratio of population equals S, which is assumed to be the same for all the cities. If the current population of the city (i) is $P_i(t)$, $SP_i(t)$ many citizens move away to establish a new city and $(1 - S)P_i(t)$ many remain. And, due to the present splitting, the number of cities M(t) increases by one; if two cities split at t, then M(t) increases by two, etc. Please note that, results do not change if 1 - S is substituted for S, i.e., if the moved and remained citizens are interchanged. So, the greatest value for S is 0.5, effectively.

Whenever a new city is established, her people may survive the previous language or create a new one. We assume that new conditions (geographical, etc) and lack of interactions with the home city promotes a new language. So, we take one language for each city.

Furthermore, cities may be considered as countries (states) equally well, since almost each city was a state in past. And for $t \to \infty$, these cities which have big population may obviously be taken as a state (country). In any case, the unit land (*i*), i.e., city (country, state), may be evaluated as the totality of humans speaking the same language (*i*), and our assumption of one language for one city is satisfied. So, *i* counts cities and languages.

<u>Initiation</u>: We assume M(0) many cities existed initially, and each may be assumed to have more or less the same population, at least in order of magnitude. Yet, to study the effect of small cities, we consider random initial populations too, and assign Pr_i many citizens to each, where P is some constant and r_i is a random real number $0 \le r_i < 1$, with $i \le M(0)$. The opulation growth rate (R_i) is also fixed initially, and not varied in time. (Further generation cities randomly get a new R_i during splitting, and do not change this parameter later.)

<u>Evolution</u>: We let the cities grow in time, within a process known as multiplicative noise,

$$P_i(t) = (1 + R_i)P_i(t - 1) \quad , \tag{1}$$

and if a random number is smaller than the splitting probability H the city (i) splits.

The world population (W) is,

$$W(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{M(t)} P_i(t) \quad , \tag{2}$$

and the model must predict the real data for W(t) [5,6].

Please note that, the introduced parameters have units involving time, and our time unit is arbitrary here. And, after some period of evolution in time we (reaching the present) stop the simulation and calculate the probability distribution function (PDF) for the number of cities and size.

Applications and Results: The basic parameters are: M(0) (initial number of cities), $P_i(t = 0)$ (= Pr_i , initial population of each city, and uniqueness ($r_i = 1$, for all i) or randomness of it ($0 \le r_i < 1$, for all i)), R_i (= Rr_i , population growth rate), and H (historical factor for splitting of cities, assumed to be the same for all the cities). The splitting ratio S is also considered as universal. Some of the pronounced parameters would be eliminated if we knew the real historical data. Please note that, M(0)

and P(0) define the origin of our time scale, and an increase in M(0) and in P(0) means shifting the time origin forward, and vice versa. On the other hand, R and H are defined per unit of time. So scaling of only one of them means scaling the time axis by the same factor, but inversely, where results remain invariant.

We define our time unit to be one decade (10 years), we take t = 0 at 10,000 B.C. and run simulations for 12,000 years, i.e., for 1,200 points.

<u>Pre-historic world</u>: We don't know world population (W(0)) and number of cities (M(0)) at 10,000 B.C., and R_i is also unknown. We run our simulations for various M(0), $P_i(0)$, and R_i , and tried to predict the real data for W(t) (Figure 1, where earlier portion is obtained by estimation [5]). In Fig. 1 the super-exponential behavior in W is crucial. We consider also the prediction (made by United Nations) about world population to be 6.5 billion in 2005, and to be about 10 billion in 2050 [6]. We display one of our results for W(t) in Figure 2, where super-exponential character may be observed, as explained within the caption.

In figures 2,3 we take W(0) = 500,000 by guess. Accordingly, we take M(0) = 1000 with P = 10,000 and we define $P_i(0)$ randomly. Furthermore, for splitting S may be taken about 10 %. Yet, when a country splits, S may vary from about 50 % to 10 %. Please note that, H may be considered as the rate of increasing the number of cities; variation of H changes M(t) for a given W(t).

Within the present approach, we get an exponential growth in M(t), and super-exponential growth in W(t). H and P are effective on the rate of M(t) and W(t), respectively. So, for a given initial world, one may have a variety in M(t) for a given W(t), and vice versa.

In Figure 4 is displayed the PDF for the current number of cities or languages where we assumed initially one million people, now all speaking the same language. For comparison, Figure 5 is the empirical PDF for the current number of cities or languages [7].

Within our results, (the population of the biggest city or) the number of people speaking the most wide-spread language and the current world population came out as 1.025×10^9 , and 13.3×10^9 respectively, so the ratio is 7.7 %.

In all the figures we utilized S = 0.5, which means that the cities are divided by a half. In order to see the effect of small S, i.e. fragmentation of cities, we try S = 0.1, 0.01, 0.001, and 0.0001 (not shown).

Discussion and Conclusion: Many parameters of the present formalism are not crucial for the size distribution of languages in Fig. 4; and, one of the parameters could be dropped, i.e. absorbed with the unit for time. In our runs, several sets of parameters (with minor changes) gave similar results to Figure 4, and we selected one of them for display here. What is most important for the size distribution of languages is the final population of each city. The present model and the method may be considered as a reasonable one, since the reality may be predicted. Furthermore, we do not have any contradiction with Viviane model and Schulze model [8].

References

[1] Schulze, C. and Stauffer, D., Computer simulation of language competition by physicists. In: Chakrabarti, B. K., A. Chakraborti and A. Chatterjee (eds.), Econophysics and Sociophysics: Trends and Perspectives. Weinheim: WILEY-VCH Verlag (2006); and: Recent developments in computer simulations of language competition, Computing in Science and Engineering 8 (May/June) 86-93.

[2] S. Wichmann, D. Stauffer, F.W.S. Lima, and C. Schulze C., Modelling linguistic taxonomic dynamics, accepted by Trans. Philological Soc. (2007); arXiv:physics/0604146.

[3] H.A. Simon, Models of Man, Wiley, New York 1957

[4] V. Novotny and P. Drozdz, Proc. Roy. Soc. London **B267**, 947, (2000).

[5] A. Johansen, D. Sornette, Physica A **294**, 465 (2001).

[6] URL: http://www.un.org/esa/population/publications/WPP2004/

2004Highlights_finalrevised.pdf

[7] Based on: B.F. Grimes, *Ethnologue: Languages of the World* (14th edn. 2000). Dallas, TX: Summer Institute of Linguistics.

[8] C. Schulze, D. Stauffer, Int. J. Mod. Phys. C 16, 781 (2005).



Figure 1: Real empirical data for W(t), where earlier portion is obtained by estimation (see also [5]).



Figure 2: Evolution of M(t), where the parameters are: M(0)=1000, R = 0.0024, P = 10,000, $R_i(0) = 0.01r_i$ ($0 \le r_i < 1$), $S_i = 0.5$, H = 0.0013. Please note the exponential growth as the arrow indicates within the inset.



Figure 3: Evolution of $\log(\log(W(t)))$, with the same parameters as in Fig. 3. Please note the super-exponential growing in terms of the slope, as the arrow designates.



Figure 4: PDF for the number of languages at present. Here, we have initially one million people speaking the same language. At present (in 1300 tours) the size of the biggest language is 1.025×10^9 and the world population is 13.3×10^9 ; so, the ratio is 0.07 (12.9:1). Other parameters are: H = 0.0021, S = 0.5, R = 0.02. The dashed curve demonstrates that, our distribution is a slightly asymmetric Gaussian. (See also the relevant text.)



Figure 5: Empirical PDF for the current number cities or languages [8].