

## CLASS NUMBER OF $(v, n, M)$ -EXTENSIONS

OSAMA ALKAM AND MEHPARE BILHAN

An analogue of cyclotomic number fields for function fields over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  was investigated by L. Carlitz in 1935 and has been studied recently by D. Hayes, M. Rosen, S. Galovich and others. For each nonzero polynomial  $M$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ , we denote by  $k(\Lambda_M)$  the cyclotomic function field associated with  $M$ , where  $k = \mathbb{F}_q(T)$ . Replacing  $T$  by  $1/T$  in  $k$  and considering the cyclotomic function field  $F_v$  that corresponds to  $(1/T)^{v+1}$  gets us an extension of  $k$ , denoted by  $L_v$ , which is the fixed field of  $F_v$  modulo  $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ . We define a  $(v, n, M)$ -extension to be the composite  $N = k_n k(\Lambda_M) L_v$  where  $k_n$  is the constant field of degree  $n$  over  $k$ . In this paper we give analytic class number formulas for  $(v, n, M)$ -extensions when  $M$  has a nonzero constant term.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be the finite field with  $q = p^r$  elements, where  $p$  is a prime number, and let  $k = \mathbb{F}_q(T)$  be the rational function field. To each nonzero polynomial  $M(T)$  in  $R_T = \mathbb{F}_q[T]$  one can associate a field extension  $k(\Lambda_M)$ , called the  $M^{\text{th}}$  cyclotomic function field. It has properties analogous to the classical number fields. Such extensions were investigated by Carlitz [2] and have been studied in recent years by Hayes, Rosen, Galovich, Goss and others. Hayes (in [4]) developed the theory of cyclotomic function fields in a modern language and constructed the maximal Abelian extension of  $k$ . We shall briefly review the relevant portions of Carlitz' and Hayes' theory. Let  $\bar{k}$  be the algebraic closure of  $k$  and  $\bar{k}^+$  be its underlying additive group. The Frobenius automorphism  $\Phi$  defined by  $\Phi(u) = u^q$  and the multiplication map  $\mu_T$  defined by  $\mu_T(T) = Tu$  are  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -endomorphisms of  $\bar{k}^+$ . The substitution of  $\Phi + \mu_T$  for  $T$  in every polynomial  $M(T) \in R_T$  introduces a ring homomorphism from  $R_T$  into  $\text{End}(\bar{k}^+)$  which defines an  $R_T$ -module action on  $\bar{k}$ . The action of a polynomial  $M(T) \in R_T$  on  $u \in \bar{k}$  is denoted by  $u^M$  and given by

$$u^M = M(\Phi + \mu_t)(u).$$

---

Received 27th January, 2000

---

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/01 \$A2.00+0.00.

This action preserves the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebra structure of  $\bar{k}$ , since  $u^\beta = \beta u$  for  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . Carlitz and Hayes established the following results.

- (1) If  $\deg M = d$ , then  $u^M = \sum_{i=0}^d \begin{bmatrix} M \\ i \end{bmatrix} u^{q^i}$ , where  $\begin{bmatrix} M \\ i \end{bmatrix}$  is a polynomial in  $R_T$  of degree  $(d - i)q^i$ . Moreover  $\begin{bmatrix} M \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = M$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} M \\ d \end{bmatrix}$  is the leading coefficient of  $M$ .
- (2)  $u^M$  is a separable polynomial in  $u$  of degree  $q^d$ . If  $\Lambda_M$  denotes the set of roots of the polynomial  $u^M$  in  $\bar{k}$  then  $\Lambda_M$  is an  $R_T$ -submodule of  $\bar{k}$  which is cyclic and isomorphic to  $R_T/\langle M \rangle$ .
- (3) The field  $k(\Lambda_M)$ , which is obtained by adjoining the elements of  $\Lambda_M$  to  $k$ , is a simple, Abelian extension of  $k$  with a Galois group isomorphic to  $(R_T/\langle M \rangle)^*$ . By  $\Phi(M)$  we denote the order of the group  $(R_T/\langle M \rangle)^*$ .
- (4) If  $M \neq 0$  then the infinite prime divisor  $P_\infty$  of  $k$  splits into  $\Phi(M)/(q - 1)$  prime divisors of  $k(\Lambda_M)$  with ramification index  $e_\infty = q - 1$  and residue degree  $f_\infty = 1$ .

Because of the presence of constant fields and wild ramification of the infinite prime  $P_\infty$ , the above  $M^{th}$  cyclotomic function fields  $k(\Lambda_M)$  are not sufficient to generate the maximal Abelian extension of  $k$ . To remedy this difficulty, Hayes constructed the fields  $F_v$  by applying Carlitz' theory with the generator  $1/T$  instead of  $T$  and  $(1/T)^{v+1}$  instead of  $M$  and considered the fixed field  $L_v$  of  $F_v$  under  $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ . Then the maximal Abelian extension  $A$  of  $k$  appears as the composite  $EK_T L_\infty$ , where  $E$  is the composite of all constant field extensions of  $k$ ,  $K_T$  is the composite of all cyclotomic function fields and  $L_\infty$  is the composite of all fields  $L_v$ . Thus we deduce an analogue of the Kronecker-Weber Theorem for rational function fields: Every finite Abelian extension  $K$  of  $k$  is contained in a composite of the type  $N = k_n k(\Lambda_M) L_v$ , where  $k_n$  is a constant field extension of degree  $n$ ,  $M$  is a nonzero polynomial in  $R_T$  and  $v$  is a nonnegative integer. We call such extensions  $(v, n, M)$ -extensions.

In [3], Galovich and Rosen gave an analytic class number formula for the field  $k(\Lambda_M)$  when  $M = P^a$  for some prime polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ . In this paper we give an analytic class number formula for  $(v, n, M)$ -extensions for any nonnegative integer  $v$ , positive integer  $n$  and any polynomial  $M$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$  with a nonzero constant term.

Let  $N = k_n k(\Lambda_M) L_v$  be such an extension. Then since  $k \subseteq L_v$  and  $\Lambda_M$  is a cyclic  $R_T$ -module, say  $\Lambda_M = \langle \lambda \rangle$ ,  $N = \mathbb{F}_{q^n} L_v(\lambda)$ . Hence the fields  $N$  and  $L_v(\lambda)$  have the same genus. Moreover, the class number of  $N$  is divisible by the class number of  $L_v(\lambda)$ . We shall give explicit class number formulas for both  $L_v(\lambda)$  and  $N$ . We begin by studying the decomposition of the infinite prime divisor  $P_\infty$  of  $k$  in  $L_v(\lambda)$ . Let  $G_L = \text{Gal}(L_v(\lambda)/k)$ . Then  $G_L$  is isomorphic to the direct sum of  $G_M = \text{Gal}(k(\lambda)/k) \cong (R_T/\langle M \rangle)^*$  and  $G_v = \text{Gal}(L_v/k)$  [4].

If  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L_v(\lambda)/L_v)$  then  $\sigma_{\text{res. to } k(\lambda)} \in G_M$ . Notice that  $\sigma_{1_{\text{res. to } k(\lambda)}} = \sigma_{2_{\text{res. to } k(\lambda)}}$  implies that  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$  since  $\sigma_{1_{\text{res. to } L_v}} = \sigma_{2_{\text{res. to } L_v}} = \text{identity automorphism}$ . Moreover  $|\text{Gal}(L_v(\lambda)/L_v)| = |G_M| = \Phi(M)$ . Hence  $\text{Gal}(L_v(\lambda)/L_v) \cong G_M \cong (R_T/\langle M \rangle)^*$ .

Consider the following diagrams of field extensions and prime divisors



with  $\mathfrak{X}$  being a prime divisor of  $L_v(\lambda)$  lying over the prime divisors  $\mathfrak{J}$  and  $\ell$  of the fields  $L_v$  and  $k(\lambda)$  respectively, and  $P$  being a prime divisor of  $k$  lying under both  $\mathfrak{J}$  and  $\ell$ .

Restricting automorphisms in  $\text{Gal}(L_v(\lambda)/L_v)$  to  $k(\lambda)$  makes an isomorphism between the decomposition groups  $D(\mathfrak{X}/\mathfrak{J})$  and  $D(\ell/P)$ . It is an isomorphism between the inertia groups  $I(\mathfrak{X}/\mathfrak{J})$  and  $I(\ell/P)$  as well. Thus  $e(\ell/P)$  and  $f(\mathfrak{X}/\mathfrak{J})$  equal  $f(\ell/P)$ . Therefore we can easily see the following.

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a prime divisor of  $L_v(\lambda)$  lying over the infinite prime divisor  $P_\infty$  of  $k$ . Then*

- (i)  $e(\mathfrak{X}/P_\infty) = (q - 1)q^v$
- (ii)  $f(\mathfrak{X}/P_\infty) = 1$
- (iii)  $g(\mathfrak{X}/P_\infty) = \Phi(M)/(q - 1)$
- (iv)  $N\mathfrak{X} = q$ .

Since the only finite prime divisors of  $k$  that ramify in  $k(\lambda)$  are the divisors of  $M$  and no finite prime divisor of  $k$  ramifies in  $L_v$ , the only prime divisors of  $k$  that ramify in  $L_v(\lambda)$  are the prime polynomials that divide  $M$ .

## 2. ANALYTIC CLASS NUMBER FORMULAS

In this section we develop class number formulas for the fields  $L_v(\lambda)$  and  $N$  by studying their  $L$ -functions and zeta functions. For the rest of this section the constant term of the polynomial  $M$  is assumed to be nonzero.

**THE FIELD  $L_v(\lambda)$ .** Let  $\chi$  be a character of  $G_L = \text{Gal}(L_v(\lambda)/k)$ . Then the  $L$ -functions of  $L_v(\lambda)/k$  are given by

$$L(s, \chi, L_v(\lambda)/k) = \prod_{\varphi} \left( 1 - \frac{\chi(\varphi)}{N\varphi^s} \right)^{-1}, \quad \text{Re}(s) > 1$$

where  $\varphi$  runs over all prime divisors of  $k$ , and

$$L^*(s, \chi, L_v(\lambda)/k) = \prod_P \left(1 - \frac{\chi(P)}{NP^s}\right)^{-1}, \quad \text{Re}(s) > 1$$

where  $P$  runs over all finite prime divisors of  $k$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} L^*(s, \chi_0, L_v(\lambda)/k) &= \prod_P \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^{s \deg P}}\right)^{-1} \\ &= \zeta(s, R_T) \\ &= (1 - q^{1-s})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\chi \neq \chi_0$  is a character in  $\widehat{G}_L$  then

$$L^*(s, \chi, L_v(\lambda)/k) = \prod_{\substack{Q \in \mathbb{F}_q[T], \text{prime} \\ Q \nmid M}} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(Q)}{NQ^s}\right)^{-1}, \quad \text{Re}(s) > 1.$$

By  $\chi(Q)$  we mean the value of the character  $\chi$  at the Frobenius substitution of  $L_v(\lambda)/k$  at  $Q$ . Therefore

$$\chi(Q) = \chi\left(Q + \langle M \rangle, \bar{Q} + \left\langle \left(\frac{1}{T}\right)^{v+1} \right\rangle\right), \quad \text{where } \bar{Q} = \frac{Q}{T^{\deg Q}}.$$

Hence

$$L^*(s, \chi, L_v(\lambda)/k) = \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathbb{F}_q[T], \text{monic} \\ (A, M)=1}} \frac{\chi\left(A + \langle M \rangle, \bar{A} + \left\langle \left(\frac{1}{T}\right)^{v+1} \right\rangle\right)}{NA^s}, \quad \text{Re}(s) > 1$$

where  $\bar{A} = A/T^{\deg A}$ .

Since  $NA = q^{\deg A}$  for each monic polynomial  $A$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ , we can write

$$L^*(s, \chi, L_v(\lambda)/k) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{S_i(\chi)}{q^{si}}, \quad \text{Re}(s) > 1$$

where

$$S_i(\chi) = \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathbb{F}_q[T], \text{monic} \\ (A, M)=1 \\ \deg A=i}} \chi\left(A + \langle M \rangle, \bar{A} + \left\langle \left(\frac{1}{T}\right)^{v+1} \right\rangle\right).$$

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $M$  be a polynomial in  $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$  with a nonzero constant term. If  $\deg M = m \geq 1$  and  $\chi \neq \chi_0$  in  $\widehat{G}_L$  then  $S_i(\chi) = 0$  for all  $i \geq m + v + 2$ .*

**PROOF:** Let  $i \geq m + v + 2$  and  $S_i = \left\{ \left( A + \langle M \rangle, \bar{A} + \left\langle \left( \frac{1}{T} \right)^{v+1} \right\rangle \right) : A \in \mathbb{F}_q[T], \text{ monic of degree } i \text{ with } (A, M) = 1 \right\}$ . Define  $\Theta : S_i \rightarrow G_L = \text{Gal}(L_v(\lambda)/k)$  to be the map which sends  $\left( A + \langle M \rangle, \bar{A} + \left\langle \left( \frac{1}{T} \right)^{v+1} \right\rangle \right)$  to  $\left( R_A + \langle M \rangle, \bar{A} + \left\langle \left( \frac{1}{T} \right)^{v+1} \right\rangle \right)$  where  $R_A$  is the unique polynomial in  $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$  such that  $A = M^*Q_A + R_A$ ,  $\deg R_A < \deg M$ . Clearly  $\Theta$  is well-defined. We show that  $\Theta$  is onto.

Suppose that  $R = \sum_{j=0}^i r_j T^j$  (with  $r_j = 0$  when  $j > \deg R$ ),  $M = \sum_{j=0}^m d_j T^j$ , and  $h = \sum_{j=0}^v a_j (1/T)^{v-j}$  with  $a_v = 1$  and allowing to have some of the  $a_j$ 's to equal zero. Then, with the convention that  $r_j = 0$  for all  $j$  such that  $\deg R < j < v$ , when  $\deg R < v$  the system

$$\begin{bmatrix} d_0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ d_1 & d_0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ d_2 & d_1 & d_0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ d_v & d_{v-1} & d_{v-2} & \dots & d_0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_v \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 - r_0 \\ a_1 - r_1 \\ a_2 - r_2 \\ \vdots \\ 1 - r_v \end{bmatrix}$$

has a unique solution since the constant term  $d_0$  of  $M$  is nonzero. Let  $x_0 = q_0, x_1 = q_1, \dots, x_v = q_v$  be the solution of that system and consider  $Q = \sum_{j=0}^{i-m} q_j T^j$  with  $q_{v+1}, q_{v+2}, \dots, q_{i-m-1}$  chosen arbitrarily and  $q_{i-m} = d_m^{-1}$ . (Thus we have  $q^{i-m-v-2}$  distinct choices for  $Q$ .) Take  $A = M^*Q + R$ . Then since  $(R, M) = 1$ , we have  $(A, M) = 1$ . Moreover  $A$  is monic,  $\deg A = i$  and

$$\Theta \left( A + \langle M \rangle, \bar{A} + \left\langle \left( \frac{1}{T} \right)^{v+1} \right\rangle \right) = \left( R + \langle M \rangle, h + \left\langle \left( \frac{1}{T} \right)^{v+1} \right\rangle \right).$$

This shows that  $\Theta$  is onto.

Now each  $g \in G_L$  corresponds to  $q^{i-m-v-2}$  distinct choices of  $A$ . Moreover, if  $A_1 = M^*Q_1 + R_1, A_2 = M^*Q_2 + R_2$  then

$$\left( A_1 + \langle M \rangle, \bar{A}_1 + \left\langle \left( \frac{1}{T} \right)^{v+1} \right\rangle \right) = \left( A_2 + \langle M \rangle, \bar{A}_2 + \left\langle \left( \frac{1}{T} \right)^{v+1} \right\rangle \right).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_i(\chi) &= \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathbb{F}_q[T], \text{monic} \\ (A, M)=1 \\ \deg A=i}} \chi\left(A + \langle M \rangle, \bar{A} + \left\langle \left(\frac{1}{T}\right)^{v+1} \right\rangle\right) \\
 &= q^{i-m-v-2} \sum_{g \in G_L} \chi(g) \\
 &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

The previous Theorem tells us that the  $L$ -function  $L^*(s, \chi, L_v(\lambda)/k)$  is a polynomial in  $q^{-s}$  with degree at most  $m + v + 1$  whenever  $\chi \neq \chi_0$ . We may consider  $\mathbb{F}_q^*$  to be a subgroup of  $\text{Gal}(k(\lambda)/k)$  via identifying each  $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$  with  $\sigma_a \in \text{Gal}(k(\lambda)/k)$  which maps  $\lambda$  to  $a\lambda$ . If we let  $S = \{(\sigma_a, \tau) : a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*, \tau \in G_v = \text{Gal}(L_v/k)\}$  then  $S$  is a subgroup of  $G_L = \text{Gal}(L_v(\lambda)/k)$ . Moreover,  $|S| = (q - 1)q^v$ . The subgroup  $S$  is the decomposition group of the point at infinity.

**DEFINITION 1:** A character  $\chi$  of  $\text{Gal}(k(\lambda)/k)$  is said to be real if  $\chi(a) = 1$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ , while a character  $\chi$  of  $\text{Gal}(L_v(\lambda)/k)$  is said to be real if  $\chi(s) = 1$  for all  $s \in S$ . Clearly there are  $(\Phi(M)/(q - 1)) - 1$  nontrivial real characters of each Galois group. Moreover, for any nontrivial real character  $\chi$  of  $\text{Gal}(k(\lambda)/k)$ ,  $L^*(0, \chi, k(\lambda)/k) = 0$  [3].

**THEOREM 2.** For any nontrivial real character  $\chi$  of  $\text{Gal}(L_v(\lambda)/k)$ ,  $L^*(0, \chi, L_v(\lambda)/k) = 0$ .

**PROOF:** Any nontrivial real character  $\chi$  of  $\text{Gal}(L_v(\lambda)/k)$  can be viewed as a character of  $\text{Gal}(k(\lambda)/k)$  via defining  $\chi(g) = \chi(\sigma, 1_{G_v})$ . Moreover,  $L^*(s, \chi, L_v(\lambda)/k) = L^*(s, \chi, k(\lambda)/k)$ . Hence  $L^*(0, \chi, L_v(\lambda)/k) = 0$  and the Theorem is proved. □

In light of the previous results, we may proceed to derive a class number formula for the field  $L_v(\lambda)$ . By Theorem 1 and Proposition 1 we may write the zeta function of  $L_v(\lambda)$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 \zeta(s, L_v(\lambda)) &= (1 - q^{-s})^{-\Phi(M)/(q-1)} \prod_{\chi \in \widehat{G}_L} L^*(s, \chi, L_v(\lambda)/k) \\
 &= (1 - q^{-s})^{-\Phi(M)/(q-1)} (1 - q^{1-s})^{-1} \prod_{\substack{\chi \in \widehat{G}_L \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} L^*(s, \chi, L_v(\lambda)/k).
 \end{aligned}$$

It is well known that

$$\zeta(s, L_v(\lambda)) = F(q^{-s}, L_v(\lambda)) / (1 - q^{-s})(1 - q^{1-s})$$

where  $F(q^{-s}, L_v(\lambda))$  is a polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}[q^{-s}]$  of degree  $2g$  (where  $g$  is the genus of  $L_v(\lambda)$ ). Moreover, the class number of  $L_v(\lambda)$  is  $F(1, L_v(\lambda))$  [5]. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} F(q^{-s}, L_v(\lambda)) &= (1 - q^{-s})^{(-\Phi(M)/(q-1))^{-1}} \prod_{\substack{\chi \in \widehat{G}_L \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} L^*(s, \chi, L_v(\lambda)/k) \\ &= \left( \prod_{\substack{\chi \in \widehat{G}_{L, \text{real}} \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \frac{L^*(s, \chi, L_v(\lambda)/k)}{1 - q^{-s}} \right) \left( \prod_{\substack{\chi \in \widehat{G}_L \\ \chi \text{ nonreal}}} L^*(s, \chi, L_v(\lambda)/k) \right) \\ &= \left( \prod_{\substack{\chi \in \widehat{G}_{L, \text{real}} \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{m+v+1} S_i(\chi)/q^{si}}{1 - q^{-s}} \right) \left( \prod_{\substack{\chi \in \widehat{G}_L \\ \chi \text{ nonreal}}} \sum_{i=0}^{m+v+1} \frac{S_i(\chi)}{q^{si}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2,  $L^*(0, \chi, L_v(\lambda)/k) = 0$  for each nontrivial character  $\chi$  in  $\widehat{G}_L$ . Using L'Hopital's rule to evaluate the limit of the above equation's right-hand side as  $s$  tends to 0, we derive the following class number formula:

$$h(L_v(\lambda)) = F(1, L_v(\lambda)) = \left( \prod_{\substack{\chi \in \widehat{G}_{L, \text{real}} \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \sum_{i=1}^{m+v+1} -i S_i(\chi) \right) \left( \prod_{\substack{\chi \in \widehat{G}_{L, \text{nonreal}}} \sum_{i=0}^{m+v+1} S_i(\chi) \right).$$

THE FIELD  $L_v(\lambda)\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ . Let  $G_N = \text{Gal}(N/k)$ ,  $G_v = \text{Gal}(L_v/k)$  and  $G_M = \text{Gal}(k(\lambda)/k)$ . Then  $G_N$  essentially equals the direct sum of the groups  $G_M$ ,  $G_v$  and the cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  [4]. We shall study the  $L$ -functions  $L^*(s, \chi, N/k)$  for any nontrivial character  $\chi$  of  $G_N$ . Let  $\chi \neq \chi_0$  be a character in  $\widehat{G}_N$ . Then we have one of two cases:

CASE I. The restriction of  $\chi$  to  $G_M \oplus G_v = \text{Gal}(L_v(\lambda)/k)$  is the trivial character. In this case we define the character  $\Psi$  on  $\text{Gal}(k\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$  by  $\Psi(a) = \chi((1_{G_M}, 1_{G_v}, a))$ . We identify the restriction of  $\chi$  to  $G_M \oplus G_v$  with the character  $\chi_{\text{res}}$  of  $G_M \oplus G_v$  which is defined by  $\chi_{\text{res}}((\sigma, \tau)) = \chi((\sigma, \tau, 0))$ . Notice that  $\chi((\sigma, \tau, a)) = \Psi(a)$  for each  $(\sigma, \tau, a) \in G_N$  and that  $\Psi$  is nontrivial since  $\chi_{\text{res}}$  is the trivial character. Moreover,  $\Psi$  can be viewed as a character of  $G_N$  via putting  $\Psi((\sigma, \tau, a)) = \Psi(a)$ . Hence  $L^*(s, \Psi, N/k) = L^*(s, \Psi, k\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/k)$ . That is,  $L^*(s, \chi, N/k) = L^*(s, \Psi, k\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/k)$ . Thus our problem of studying  $L^*(s, \chi, N/k)$  is reduced to studying  $L^*(s, \Psi, k\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/k)$  which equals  $\sum_{f \in \mathbb{F}_q[T], \text{monic}} \Psi(f)/q^{s \deg f}$ ,  $\text{Re}(s) > 1$ , where (see [1])

$$\Psi(f) = \Psi \left( \left[ \frac{k\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/k}{f} \right] \right) = \Psi(\deg f \pmod{n}).$$

Let  $r_{d_f}$  be the unique integer such that  $\deg f = c^*n + r_{d_f}$ ,  $0 \leq r_{d_f} < n$ . Then  $\Psi(f) = \Psi(r_{d_f})$  and

$$L^*(s, \Psi, k\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/k) = \sum_{f \in \mathbb{F}_q[T], \text{monic}} \frac{\Psi(r_{d_f})}{q^{s \deg f}}, \quad \text{Re}(s) > 1$$

where  $d_f = \deg f$ .

We can write  $L^*(s, \Psi, k\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/k)$  as  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} S_i(\Psi)/q^{si}$ ,  $\text{Re}(s) > 1$ , where  $S_i(\Psi) = \sum_{f \in \mathbb{F}_q[T], \text{monic}} \Psi(r_i)$ .

Since we have  $q^i$  possible monic polynomials in  $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$  of degree  $i$ ,  $S_i(\Psi) = q^i \Psi(r_i)$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} L^*(s, \Psi, k\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/k) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^i \Psi(r_i)}{q^{si}}, \quad \text{Re}(s) > 1 \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi(r_i)}{q^{i(s-1)}}, \quad \text{Re}(s) > 1 \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi(i)}{q^{i(s-1)}}, \quad \text{Re}(s) > 1 \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi(1)^i}{q^{i(s-1)}}, \quad \text{Re}(s) > 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \Psi(1)q^{1-s}}. \end{aligned}$$

Whence, if  $\chi$  is a nontrivial character of  $G_N$  which is trivial on  $G_M \oplus G_v$  and  $\Psi_\chi$  is the character of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  defined by  $\Psi_\chi(i) = \chi((1_{G_M}, 1_{G_v}, i))$  then

$$L^*(s, \chi, N/k) = \frac{1}{1 - \Psi_\chi(1)q^{1-s}}.$$

CASE II. The restriction of  $\chi$  to  $G_M \oplus G_v$  is not the trivial character.

Again we let  $\chi_{\text{res}}$  be the restriction of  $\chi$  to  $G_M \oplus G_v$ , that is,  $\chi_{\text{res}}((\sigma, \tau)) = \chi((\sigma, \tau, 0))$ . Then

$$L^*(s, \chi, N/k) = \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathbb{F}_q[T], \text{monic} \\ (A, M)=1}} \frac{\chi\left(\left(A + \langle M \rangle, \bar{A} + \langle (1/T)^{v+1} \rangle, r_{d_A}\right)\right)}{q^{s d_A}}, \quad \text{Re}(s) > 1,$$



where  $d_A = \deg A$ ,  $\bar{A} = A/T^{d_A}$  and  $r_{d_A}$  is the unique integer such that  $d_A = c^*n + r_{d_A}$ ,  $0 \leq r_{d_A} < n$ , [1]. If

$$S_i(\chi) = \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathbb{F}_q[T], \text{monic} \\ (A, M)=1, d_A=i}} \chi \left( \left( A + \langle M \rangle, \bar{A} + \left\langle \left( \frac{1}{T} \right)^{v+1} \right\rangle, r_{d_A} \right) \right)$$

then

$$L^*(s, \chi, N/k) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{S_i(\chi)}{q^{si}}, \quad \text{Re}(s) > 1.$$

For each  $i$ ,

$$S_i(\chi) = \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathbb{F}_q[T], \text{monic} \\ (A, M)=1, d_A=i}} \chi((1_{G_M}, 1_{G_v}, r_i)) \chi \left( \left( A + \langle M \rangle, \bar{A} + \left\langle \left( \frac{1}{T} \right)^{v+1} \right\rangle, 0 \right) \right).$$

Since  $\chi((1_{G_M}, 1_{G_v}, r_i))$  is independent of the choice of  $A$  as long as  $\deg A = i$ , we have

$$S_i(\chi) = \chi((1_{G_M}, 1_{G_v}, r_i)) \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathbb{F}_q[T], \text{monic} \\ (A, M)=1, d_A=i}} \chi \left( \left( A + \langle M \rangle, \bar{A} + \left\langle \left( \frac{1}{T} \right)^{v+1} \right\rangle, 0 \right) \right) = 0$$

because  $\chi_{\text{res}}$  is nontrivial on  $G_M \oplus G_v$ . Therefore  $S_i(\chi) = 0$  for all  $i \geq d_M + v + 2$ . Whence

$$L^*(s, \chi, N/k) = \sum_{i=0}^{d_M+v+1} \frac{S_i(\chi)}{q^{si}}.$$

To summarise we write

$$L^*(s, \chi, N/k) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1 - \Psi_\chi(1)q^{1-s}}, & \text{if } \chi_{\text{res}} \text{ is trivial on } G_M \oplus G_v \\ \sum_{i=0}^{d_M+v+1} \frac{S_i(\chi)}{q^{is}}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**DEFINITION 2:** A character  $\chi$  of  $G_N = \text{Gal}(N/k)$  is said to be real in  $\widehat{G}_N$  if  $\chi((\sigma_a, \tau, m)) = 1$  for any  $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ ,  $\tau \in G_v$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ .

Clearly we have  $(\Phi(M)/(q-1)) - 1$  nontrivial real characters in  $\widehat{G}_N$ .

**THEOREM 3.** Let  $\chi$  be a nontrivial real character in  $\widehat{G}_N$ . Then  $L^*(0, \chi, N/k) = 0$ .

**PROOF:** The character  $\chi_{\text{res}}$  is a nontrivial real character of  $G_M \oplus G_v$ . Hence

$$L^*(s, \chi, N/k) = \sum_{i=0}^{d_M+v+1} \frac{S_i(\chi)}{q^{si}}$$

where

$$S_i(\chi) = \chi((1_{G_M}, 1_{G_v}, r_i)) \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathbb{F}_q[T], \text{monic} \\ (A, M)=1, d_A=i}} \chi_{\text{res}} \left( \left( A + \langle M \rangle, \bar{A} + \left\langle \left( \frac{1}{T} \right)^{v+1} \right\rangle \right) \right).$$

Since  $\chi$  is real,  $\chi((1_{G_M}, 1_{G_v}, r_i)) = 1$ . Thus  $S_i(\chi) = S_i(\chi_{\text{res}})$ . Therefore  $L^*(s, \chi, N/k) = L^*(s, \chi_{\text{res}}, L_v(\lambda)/k)$ . The Theorem then follows from Theorem 2.  $\square$

Having studied the  $L$ -functions  $L^*(s, \chi, N/k)$ , one can give a class number formula for  $N$  via exploring the zeta function  $\zeta(s, N)$ . Let  $\ell$  be a prime divisor of  $N$  lying over the infinite prime divisor  $P_\infty$  of  $k$  and let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime divisor of  $L_v(\lambda)$  lying under  $\ell$  and over  $P_\infty$ . Then we deduce (from the theory of constant field extensions) that  $g(\ell, \mathfrak{p}) = (d_{L_v(\lambda)}(\mathfrak{p}), n) = (1, n) = 1$ . Thus, every prime divisor of  $L_v(\lambda)$  which lies over the infinite prime divisor of  $k$  has a unique extension to a prime divisor of  $N$ . Moreover, as is well known from the theory of constant field extensions, no prime divisor of  $L_v(\lambda)$  is ramified in  $N$ . Thus  $e(\ell/\mathfrak{p}) = 1$ . Hence  $f(\ell/\mathfrak{p}) = n$ . Therefore  $N\ell = N\mathfrak{p}^{f(\ell/\mathfrak{p})} = q^n$ . So

$$\zeta(s, N) = (1 - q^{-ns})^{-\Phi(M)/(q-1)} (1 - q^{1-s}) \prod_{\substack{\chi \in \widehat{G}_N \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} L^*(s, \chi, N/k).$$

Since the field of constants of  $N$  is  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  we get

$$\zeta(s, N) = \frac{F(q^{-ns}, N)}{(1 - q^{-ns})(1 - q^{n(1-s)})}$$

where  $F(q^{-ns}, N) \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{-ns}]$  and  $F(1, N) = h(N)$ ; the class number of  $N$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & F(q^{-ns}, N) \\ &= (1 - q^{-ns})^{(-\Phi(M)/(q-1))+1} (1 - q^{n(1-s)}) (1 - q^{1-s})^{-1} \prod_{\substack{\chi \in \widehat{G}_N \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} L^*(s, \chi, N/k) \\ &= (1 - q^{n(1-s)}) (1 - q^{1-s})^{-1} \left( \prod_{\substack{\chi \in \widehat{G}_N, \text{real} \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \frac{L^*(s, \chi, N/k)}{1 - q^{-ns}} \right) \left( \prod_{\chi \in \widehat{G}_N, \text{nonreal}} L^*(s, \chi, N/k) \right) \\ &= (1 - q^{n(1-s)}) (1 - q^{1-s})^{-1} \left( \prod_{\substack{\chi \in \widehat{G}_N, \text{real} \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{d_M+v+1} S_i(\chi)/q^{is}}{1 - q^{-ns}} \right) \\ &\quad \left( \prod_{\substack{\chi \in \widehat{G}_N, \text{nonreal} \\ \chi_{\text{res}} \text{ nontrivial}}} \sum_{i=0}^{d_M+v+1} \frac{S_i(\chi)}{q^{is}} \right) \left( \prod_{\substack{\chi \in \widehat{G}_N, \text{nonreal} \\ \chi_{\text{res}} \text{ trivial}}} \frac{1}{1 - \Psi_\chi(1)q^{(1-s)}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left( \prod_{\substack{\chi \in \widehat{G}_N, \text{real} \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{d_M+v+1} S_i(\chi)/q^{is}}{1 - q^{-ns}} \right) \left( \prod_{\substack{\chi \in \widehat{G}_N, \text{nonreal} \\ \chi_{\text{res}} \text{ nontrivial}}} \sum_{i=0}^{d_M+v+1} \frac{S_i(\chi)}{q^{is}} \right) (1 - q^{n(1-s)}) \\
 &\qquad \left( \prod_{\Psi \in \widehat{Z}_n} \frac{1}{1 - \Psi(1)q^{(1-s)}} \right) \\
 &= \left( \prod_{\substack{\chi \in \widehat{G}_N, \text{real} \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{d_M+v+1} S_i(\chi)/q^{is}}{1 - q^{-ns}} \right) \left( \prod_{\substack{\chi \in \widehat{G}_N, \text{nonreal} \\ \chi_{\text{res}} \text{ nontrivial}}} \sum_{i=0}^{d_M+v+1} \frac{S_i(\chi)}{q^{is}} \right) (1 - q^{n(1-s)}) \\
 &\qquad \left( \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{1 - \omega_i q^{(1-s)}} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\omega_0, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n-1}$  are the  $n$ th roots of unity,

$$= \left( \prod_{\substack{\chi \in \widehat{G}_N, \text{real} \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{d_M+v+1} S_i(\chi)/q^{is}}{1 - q^{-ns}} \right) \left( \prod_{\substack{\chi \in \widehat{G}_N, \text{nonreal} \\ \chi_{\text{res}} \text{ nontrivial}}} \sum_{i=0}^{d_M+v+1} \frac{S_i(\chi)}{q^{is}} \right).$$

By Theorem 3,  $L^*(0, \chi, N/k) = 0$  for all nontrivial real characters  $\chi \in \widehat{G}_N$ . If we evaluate the limit of the right hand-side as  $s$  tends to 0 we get the following formula for the class number  $h(N)$ :

$$h(N) = \left( \prod_{\substack{\chi \in \widehat{G}_N, \text{real} \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{d_M+v+1} -i S_i(\chi) \right) \left( \prod_{\substack{\chi \in \widehat{G}_N, \text{nonreal} \\ \chi_{\text{res}} \text{ nontrivial}}} \sum_{i=0}^{d_M+v+1} S_i(\chi) \right).$$

### 3. EXAMPLES

When we specialise our results to  $N = \mathbb{F}_q^n L_v(\lambda)$  with  $n = 1$  and  $v = 0$  we get  $N = k(\lambda)$  and

$$h(N) = \left( \prod_{\substack{\chi \in \widehat{G}_N, \text{real} \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} -i S_i(\chi) \right) \right) \left( \prod_{\chi \in \widehat{G}_N, \text{nonreal}} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{m+1} S_i(\chi) \right) \right),$$

where  $m = \text{deg } M$  and  $S_i(\chi) = \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathbb{F}_q[T], \text{monic} \\ \text{deg } A = i}} \chi(a + \langle M \rangle)$ .

That is exactly the result obtained by Galovich and Rosen [3]. In the following examples we apply the class number formula mentioned above for the special cases when  $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{Z}_3$  and for specific prime polynomials  $M(T) \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ .

**EXAMPLE 1.**

Let  $k = \mathbb{Z}_2(T)$  and  $M(T) = T^3 + T + 1$ . Then  $[N : k] = \Phi(M) = 2^3 - 1 = 7$ . Thus  $G_N \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2[T]/\langle T^3 + T + 1 \rangle)^*$  is cyclic of order 7. Hence the character group  $\widehat{G}_N$  is cyclic of the same order. The element  $[T]$  in  $(\mathbb{Z}_2[T]/\langle T^3 + T + 1 \rangle)^*$  could be identified with a generator for  $G_N$ . Let  $\chi$  be a generator for the group  $\widehat{G}_N$  and assume that  $\chi([T]) = \zeta$ , then  $\zeta$  is a primitive 7<sup>th</sup> root of unity. Since  $\mathbb{F}_q^* = \mathbb{Z}_2^* = \langle 1 \rangle$ , any character of  $G_N$  is real. Moreover  $S_4(\psi) = S_3(\psi) = 0$  for each  $\psi \in \widehat{G}_N$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} h(N) &= \prod_{\substack{\psi \neq \chi_0 \\ \psi \in \widehat{G}_N}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^2 (-iS_i(\psi)) \right) \\ &= \prod_{n=1}^6 \left( \sum_{i=1}^2 (-iS_i(\chi^n)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(\chi^n) &= \chi^n([T]) + \chi^n([T]^3) \\ &= \zeta + \zeta^{3n} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S_2(\chi^n) &= \chi^n([T]^6) + \chi^n([T]^5) + \chi^n([T]^4) + \chi^n([T]^2) \\ &= \zeta^{6n} + \zeta^{5n} + \zeta^{4n} + \zeta^{2n}. \end{aligned}$$

The number  $\zeta$  could be any primitive 7<sup>th</sup> root of unity, in particular  $e^{2\pi i/7}$ . Substituting this value of  $\zeta$  in the class number formula yields  $h(N) = 71$ .

**EXAMPLE 2.** In this example we consider  $k = \mathbb{Z}_3(T)$  and  $M(T) = T^2 + 1$ . Clearly  $G_N = (\mathbb{Z}_3[T]/\langle T^2 + 1 \rangle)^*$  is cyclic of order  $\Phi(M) = 3^2 - 1 = 8$ . The element  $[T + 1]$  is a generator for  $G_N$ . Let  $\chi$  be a generator for  $\widehat{G}_N$ . Then  $\chi([T + 1])$  is a primitive 8<sup>th</sup> root of unity, let us say  $\chi([T + 1]) = \zeta = e^{\pi i/4}$ . A character  $\chi^n$  is real if and only if  $n \in \{0, 2, 4, 6\}$ . Therefore

$$h(N) = \left( \prod_{n=1}^3 \sum_{i=1}^3 -iS_i(\chi^{2n}) \right) \left( \prod_{n=0}^3 \sum_{i=0}^3 S_i(\chi^{2n+1}) \right).$$

If we compute  $S_i(\chi^m)$  we find that  $S_2(\chi^m) = S_3(\chi^m) = 0$  for any  $m$  such that  $1 \leq m \leq 7$ , and that

$$S_0(\chi^m) = \sum_{\substack{B \in \mathbb{Z}_3[T], \text{monic} \\ \deg B=0}} \chi^m([B])$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \chi^m([1]) + \chi^m([2]) \\
 &= \chi^m([1]) + \chi^m([T + 1]^4) \\
 &= 1 + \zeta^{4m} \\
 &= 1 + e^{m\pi i}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $S_0(\chi^m) = 0$  when  $m$  is odd.

Similarly we find that  $S_1(\chi^m) = \zeta^{6m} + \zeta^m + \zeta^{7m} = e^{3m\pi i/2} + e^{m\pi i/4} + e^{-m\pi i/4}$ . Substitution of these values in the class number formula gives that  $h(N) = 9$ .

GENERAL TREATMENT. Having treated very special cases in the examples above, one may wonder about the more general case when  $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $M(T)$  is any prime polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}_p[T]$ . Let  $k = \mathbb{Z}_p(T)$  and let  $M(T)$  be any prime polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}_p[T]$  of degree  $d$ . The extension  $k(\Lambda_M)/k$  is of degree  $\Phi(M) = p^d - 1$  and the Galois group  $G = \text{Gal}(k(\Lambda_M)/k)$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}_p[T]/M(T))^*$  which is cyclic. We identify a generator of  $G$  with a generator  $[A]$  of  $(\mathbb{Z}_p[T]/M(T))^*$ . The character group  $\widehat{G}$  is cyclic as well. Moreover, if  $\chi \neq \chi_0$  is a generator of  $\widehat{G}$  then  $\chi([A])$  is a primitive  $(p^d - 1)$ st root of unity, say  $\chi([A]) = \zeta = e^{2\pi i/(p^d - 1)}$ . Let  $H$  be the subgroup of  $\widehat{G}$  consisting of all real characters, that is  $H = \{ \psi \in \widehat{G} : \Psi([a]) = 1 \text{ for each } a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^* \}$ , then  $|H| = |\widehat{G}|/|\mathbb{Z}_p^*| = (p^d - 1)/(p - 1)$  and  $H$  is cyclic generated by  $\chi^{p-1}$ . Thus  $H = \{ \chi^{m(p-1)} : 0 \leq m \leq p^d/(p - 1) \}$ . If  $\mathfrak{h} = \{1, 2, \dots, p^d - 2\}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_d = \{m(p - 1) \mid 1 \leq m \leq (p^d - 1)/(p - 1) - 1\}$ , then a nontrivial character  $\psi$  is real if and only if  $\psi = \chi^n$  for some  $n \in \mathfrak{h}_d$ . The class number  $h(k(\Lambda_M))$  of the field  $k(\Lambda_M)$  is given by

$$h(k(\Lambda_M)) = \left( \prod_{\substack{\psi \neq \chi_0 \\ \psi \in H}} \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} -i S_i(\psi) \right) \left( \prod_{\psi \notin H} \sum_{i=0}^{d+1} S_i(\psi) \right),$$

where

$$S_i(\psi) = \sum_{\substack{B \in \mathbb{Z}_p[T], \text{monic} \\ \deg B = i}} \psi([B]).$$

Since  $G$  is cyclic, for any  $B \in \mathbb{Z}_p[T]$  of degree  $i$  with  $0 \leq i \leq d - 1$  there is a unique nonnegative integer  $n_{[B]}$  with  $0 \leq n_{[B]} \leq p^d - 1$  such that  $[B] = ([A])^{n_{[B]}}$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_i(\chi^m) &= \sum_{\substack{B \in \mathbb{Z}_p[T], \text{monic} \\ \deg B = i}} \chi^m([A]^{n_{[B]}}) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{B \in \mathbb{Z}_p[T], \text{monic} \\ \deg B = i}} \zeta^{mn_{[B]}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
h(k(\Lambda_M)) &= \left( \prod_{n=1}^{((p^d-1)/(p-1))-1} \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} -iS(\chi^{n(p-1)}) \right) \left( \prod_{n \notin \mathfrak{h}_d} \sum_{i=0}^{d+1} S_i(\chi^n) \right) \\
&= \left( \prod_{n=1}^{((p^d-1)/(p-1))-1} \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} -i \sum_{\substack{B \in \mathbb{Z}_p[T], \text{monic} \\ \deg B=i}} \zeta^{n(p-1)n_{[B]}} \right) \\
&\qquad \qquad \qquad \left( \prod_{n \notin \mathfrak{h}_d} \sum_{i=0}^{d+1} \sum_{\substack{B \in \mathbb{Z}_p[T], \text{monic} \\ \deg B=i}} \zeta^{nn_{[B]}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Replacing  $\zeta$  by  $e^{2\pi i/(p^d-1)}$ ,  $n_{[B]}$ 's by their values and evaluating the expression above gets us the sought class number.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Bilhan, 'Arithmetic progressions of polynomials over a finite field', in *Number theory and its applications (Ankara 1996)*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics 204 (Dekker, New York, 1999), pp. 1-21.
- [2] L. Carlitz, 'On certain functions connected with polynomials in a Galois field', *Duke Math J.* 1 (1935), 137-168.
- [3] S. Galovich and M. Rosen, 'The class number of cyclotomic function fields', *J. Number Theory* 13 (1981), 363-375.
- [4] D.R. Hayes, 'Explicit class field theory for rational functional fields', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 189 (1974), 77-91.
- [5] A. Weil, *Basic number theory* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1973).

Department of Mathematics  
College of Science  
University of Petra  
Amman  
Jordan

Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science and Arts  
Middle East Technical University  
Ankara  
Turkey