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Most cryptographic systems, like block ciphers, depend heavily on vectorial Boolean functions. A function with good cryptological properties should have low differential uniformity which is invariant under some equivalence classes. The more general one of these is CCZ-equivalence which is introduced by Carlet, Charpin and Zinoviev in 1998. In cryptography, CCZ-equivalence gained an interest since it preserves many significant properties like differential uniformity. Looking for permutations within the CCZ-class of a function for the construction of S-boxes used in block ciphers is also intriguing. In this thesis, we presented a detailed description on the results of Kölsch's paper about nonexistence of permutation polynomials in the form $L_{m}\left(x^{-1}\right)+L_{m^{\prime}}(x)$ over binary finite field. This proves that every permutation CCZ-equivalent to the inverse function is also affine equivalent to it. We also gave a criterian to be a permutation polynomial which is verified by using Kloosterman sums.
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## ÖZ

# TERS FONKSİYONUN CCZ-DENKLİĞİ ÜZERİNE BAZI ÇALIŞMALAR 

Fidan, Mehtap<br>Yüksek Lisans, Kriptografi Bölümü<br>Tez Yöneticisi : Prof. Dr. Ferruh Özbudak

Eylül 2021,36sayfa

Vektörel Boolean fonksiyonlar, blok şifreleme gibi çoğu kriptografik sistemin önemli bileşenleridir. İyi kriptolojik özelliklere sahip bir fonksiyon, bazı denklik sınıflarında değişmez olan düşük diferansiyel tekdüzeliğe sahip olmalıdır. Bunlardan daha genel olanı, 1998 yılında Carlet, Charpin ve Zinoviev tarafından tanıtılan CCZ-eşdeğerliğidir. Kriptografide, CCZ-eşdeğerliği, diferansiyel tekdüzelik gibi birçok önemli özelliği koruduğu için ilgi görmeye başlamştır. Blok şifrelemede kullanılan S-kutularının tasarımı için, bir fonksiyonun CCZ-sınıfı içindeki permütasyonlarını aramak da ilgi çekici bir soru olmuştur. Bu tezde, Kölsch'ün $n \geq 5$ için ikili sonlu alanda incelenen $L_{m}\left(x^{-1}\right)+L_{m^{\prime}}(x)$ formundaki permütasyon polinomların varlığı ile ilgili makalesinin sonuçlarını ayrıntılı bir açıklama ile sunduk. Ayrıca, Kloosterman toplamını kullanarak, bir permütasyon polinomu olma kriteri verdik.

Anahtar Kelimeler: CCZ-denkliği, permütasyon polinomu, ters fonksiyon, kloosterman toplamı
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## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

In cryptography, vectorial Boolean functions are essential components of the most crytographic systems, especially, Symmetric Key Cryptography. As design choices of substitution boxes, or shortly S-boxes, they play a vital role in the construction of block ciphers. Against to main attacks on block ciphers which are differential and linear attacks, vectorial Boolean functions should have high resistance. This means that the conditions low differential uniformity [1] and high nonlinearity [16] on these functions are necessary to be secure to linear and differential cryptanalysis, respectively.

There are several equivalence concepts that are invariant under some operations and conditions on vectorial Boolean functions. Three of them which is widely used are affine equivalence, extended affine equivalence, or shortly EA-equivalence and CCZequivalence. The most generic one of these equivalence classes is CCZ-equivalence. [5] and since it preserves the differential uniformity it is powerful tool against differential attacks. It was introduced in [7] by Carlet, Charpin and Zinoviev in 1998 and named after [5].

It is important to look for permutatitons within the CCZ-class of a function since they are crucial to design block ciphers. In majority of the thesis, we study on results of the paper of Kölsch [13] about nonexistence permutation polynomials in form $L_{m}\left(x^{-1}\right)+L_{m^{\prime}}(x)$ over binary finite field for $n$ is greater than or equal to 5 , where $L_{m}$ and $L_{m^{\prime}}$ are linear mappings. It means that all functions CCZ-equivalent to the inverse function are also extended affine equivalent to it. Furthermore, every permutation that is CCZ-equivalent to the inverse function is also affine equivalent to that.

In this part of the thesis; basic concepts are introduced and a literature review is introduced.

Organization of the thesis is as in the following:

- In Chapter 2, literature review is given to make clear the concepts of equivalence classes.
- In Chapter 3, some definitions, lemmas, corollaries and theorems to be used later are given.
- In Chapter 4, the results of the paper of Kölsch about non-existence of permutation polynomails in the form $L_{m}\left(x^{-1}\right)+L_{m^{\prime}}(x)$ are studied inclusively.
- In Chapter 5, a criterian to be a permutation polynomial is verified by using Kloosterman sums.
- In Chapter 6, a conclusion is given.


## CHAPTER 2

## NOTION OF CCZ-EQUIVALENCE

In this part of the thesis; basic definitions are given and literature review is conducted in details.

### 2.1 Differential and Linear Properties

There are differential and linear properties of functions in $S$-boxes which measure resistance in the case of linear and differential attacks. For differential and linear cryptanalysis, two main tables that are difference distribution table and linear approximation table, respectively, are powerful tools.

The (DDT) Difference Distribution Table of a S-box is a crucial component to make an estimation about the probabilty of a differential characteristic. The differential uniformity of $F$ can be expressed as the maximum coefficient in the DDT.

Definition 1. Let $F: \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ be a vectorial Boolean function. The differential uniformity $\delta$ of $F$ is follows:

$$
\delta=\max _{c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}, d \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}}\left\{F(x)+F(x+c)=d \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}\right\}
$$

The function is defined APN (almost perfect nonlinear) if it has differential uniformity two.

A vectorial Boolean function which has good cryptological properties should have low differential uniformity to have best resistance to differential attacks. Almost perfect nonlinear functions has the optimal resistance to these attacks, since the differential uniformity has to be always even.

Similarly, Linear Approximation Table, shortly LAT, or Walsh transform of a S-box provide an estimation about its linearity/noninearity characteristics. The linearity of $F$ is defined as maximum coefficient in this table.

Definition 2. Let $F: \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ be a function. Then Walsh transform of $F, W_{\mathbb{F}}$ : $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is defined as

$$
W_{\mathbb{F}}(u, v)=\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}}(-1)^{T r(u F(x)+v x)}
$$

Then non-linearity of the function $F$ is the following:

$$
N L(F)=2^{n-1}-\frac{1}{2} \max _{u \in \mathbb{F}_{2 n}^{*}, v \in \mathbb{F}_{2 n}}\left|W_{\mathbb{F}}(u, v)\right|
$$

To have a better resistance to linear attacks, the function of S-box should have high nonlinearity.

For vectoral Boolean functions, some operations lead to several equivalence concepts which differential and linear properties invariant. In next section, the definitions of these equivalence classes are given. Before that, the graph of a function $F$ mapping from $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ is represented as the following.

$$
\left\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \mid(x, F(x))\right\}=G_{F} \subset \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}
$$

### 2.2 Concepts of CCZ- and EA-Equivalences

Definition 3. (EA-Equivalence) Let $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ be two functions mapping from $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$, If there exists affine permutations $A_{1}, A_{2}$ and an affine function $A_{3}: \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ such as the following

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{2}=A_{1} \circ F_{1} \circ A_{2}+A_{3} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ are extended affine equivalent, or shortly EA-equivalent, where $A_{3}$ is sum of a constant and a linear function.
If $A_{3}$ in Eq. (2.1) is zero, then the functions $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ are called as affine equivalent.

It can be observed that two functions which are affine equivalent are already EAequivalent.

CCZ-equivalence, of which EA-equivalence is a special case, is the most general kind of function equivalence known to retain differential uniformity. It can be defined as the fact that if an affine permutation exists that allows us to obtain from one graph of a function to the graph of another function, then these two functions are called CCZ-equivalent.

Definition 4. (CCZ-Equivalence) Let $F, G: \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ be two vectorial Boolean functions. If an affine, bijective mapping $A$ on $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ exists as in the following

$$
\left\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \mid(x, F(x))\right\}=A\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \mid(x, G(x))\right\}\right)
$$

then $F, G$ are CCZ-equivalent.

It can be seen that two vectorial Boolean functions which are EA-equivalent are already CCZ-equivalent.

Generally, the notions of EA-equivalence and CCZ-equivalence differs from each other at some points. For instance, while the compositional inverse of a one-to-one and onto mapping is CCZ-equivalent to itself, this can not be said for EA-equivalence. Note also that EA-equivalence preserves many of cryptologic properties of a function such as its nonlinearity, differential and degree of algebraic normal form (ANF). However, CCZ-equivalence may not invariant under degree of ANF, unlike to EAequivalence [5].

### 2.2.1 Coincidence of EA- and CCZ-equivalence

It was shown that in several cases, EA equivalence and CCZ-equivalence coincide [13]. Let the set of every functions which are CCZ-equivalent to $F$ be defined as CCZ-class of $F$ and the set of every functions which are EA-equivalent to $F$ be EAclass of $F$. EA-classes can be partition to CCZ-class, because EA-equivalence is a specific case of the more general notion CCZ-equivalence. According to experimental results, if $F$ is not permutation then EA- and CCZ-classes of $F$ coincides for most of the vectorial Boolean functions $F$. Furthermore, in case of being permutation $F$, CCZ-class of $F$ is composed of exactly two EA-classes of $F$ and its inverse. However, there are some cases which CCZ-class has more than two EA-classes in it, this is possible for Gold APN functions in Table 2.1 in odd dimension [5].

Carlet and Budaghyan [4] proved that CCZ-equivalence and EA-equivalence coincides for Boolean functions and bent functions. Also, another interesting case that was proved in paper [2] is the fact that two APN functions, which are quadratic, are CCZ-equivalent if and only if they are EA-equivalent. By applying Walsh transform of a function $F$, namely its differential distribution table, Canteaut and Perrin [6] found upper bound for the number of seperate EA-equivalence classes inside the CCZ-equivalence class.
It is not understandable yet how the CCZ-class of $F$ is defined exactly by the inverse
Table 2.1: Some APN exponents $d$ to CCZ-equivalence [13]

|  | Exponent $d$ | Condition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kasami | $2^{2 s}-2^{s}+1$ | $\operatorname{gcd}(s, n)=1$ |
| Gold | $2^{s}+1$ | $\operatorname{gcd}(s, n)=1$ |
| Niho | $2^{r}-2^{\frac{r}{2}}-1$ <br> $2^{r}-2^{\frac{3 r+1}{2}}-1$ | even, $n=2 r+1$ <br> $r$ odd, $n=2 r+1$ |
| Inverse | $2^{n}-2$ | $n$ odd |
| Welch | $2^{r}+3$ | $n=2 r+1$ |

transformation (in case of being invertible) and EA-equivalence. This is an open problem for most of the APN monomials in Table 2.1. Only for small values of $n$ by using a computer search; Budaghyan, Calderini and Villa [3] improved the conjecture below.

Conjecture 1. Assume $F: \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ and $F(x)=x^{d}$ is inverse function or nonGold APN function. Then all function CCZ-equivalent to $F$ is already EA-equivalent to it or its inverse in case of existing.

### 2.2.2 Searching Permutations in a CCZ-class

Here we have an interesting question which is how to analyze every permutations in an APN function's CCZ-class, however searching that problem is still difficult for the APN families. For this question, Güloğlu and Langevin [10] reached the following result by analysing of the Gold and Kasami functions' bent components. If $4 \mid n$, any permutation exists in the CCZ-class of APN Kasami functions in binary finite field.

Lucas Kölsh [13] classified every permutations inside inverse function’s CCZ-class
in both odd and even dimensions. The proof method differs from that used in [10], because there are no bent components for the case of inverse function. Let $L_{m}, L_{m^{\prime}}$ be nonzero linear mappings. The following proposition is used as the method for the special type of permutation polynomial which has form $L_{m}\left(x^{-1}\right)+L_{m^{\prime}}(x)$. For a proof see [9].

Proposition 1. 1. Let $F$ be a vectorial Boolean function over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ and $L$ be nonzero linear mapping. All permutation EA-equivalent to $F$ is also affine equivalent to $F$, if there are no permutatiton in the form $F(x)+L(x)$.
2. Let $F$ be a vectorial Boolean function over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ and $L_{m}, L_{m^{\prime}}$ be nonzero linear mappings. Then all functions CCZ-equivalent to the function are already affine equivalent to it or its inverse if there are no permutation in the form $L_{m}(F(x))+L_{m^{\prime}}(x)$. In addition, every permutation CCZ-equivalent to $F$ is affine equivalent to it and its inverse.

It was found that there exists permutation polynomial in the form $L_{m}\left(x^{-1}\right)+L_{m^{\prime}}(x)$ for $n \leq 5$, particularly, for $n=3$ and $n=4$ over finite field in characteristic 2 with $L_{m}(x)=x$ by Li and Wang [14].

By Lucas Kölsch [13], it was proven that if $n$ is greater or equal to 5 , then no permutation polynomial in the form $L_{m}\left(x^{-1}\right)+L_{m^{\prime}}(x)$ exists in binary finite field. It means that all functions CCZ-equivalent to the inverse function are affine equivalent to it, which verifies Conjecture 1. In Chapter 4, the result in below will be reached.

Theorem 1. Let $n \geq 5$, assume $F$ is a inverse function in binary finite field. Then $E A-$ class and CCZ-class of $F$ coincide. In addition, every permutation inside CCZ-class of $F$ is already affine equivalent to it.

## CHAPTER 3

## PRELIMINARIES TO THE SUBJECT

In this section, some basic concepts of the subject and notations are introduced.
Definition 5. Let $F$ be a function such that $F: \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^{m}}$. Then it is called vectiral Boolean function, where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. The function $F$ is just defined Boolean function for $m=1$.

Definition 6. If the function $f$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$ to itself defined as $x \rightarrow f(x)$ is a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, the polynomial f from $\mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$ is defined a permutation polynomial of $\mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$.

Definition 7. The trace map $\operatorname{Tr}: \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p}$ is defined as

$$
\operatorname{Tr}(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x^{p^{i}}=x+x^{p}+x^{p^{2}}+\ldots+x^{p^{n-1}}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$.

Throughout this chapter and Chapter 3, we will use trace mapping over binary Galois field.

Definition 8. With respect to the bilinear form, adjoint mapping is denoted by $L^{*}$ of a linear mapping $L$

$$
\operatorname{Tr}(x y)=\langle x, y\rangle
$$

namely, we have the following for each $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$

$$
\operatorname{Tr}(L(x) y)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(x L^{*}(y)\right)
$$

If we explicitly write linear mapping $L(x)==\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_{i} x^{2^{i}}$, the adjoint mapping of $L$ is described as $L^{*}(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_{i}^{2^{n-i}} x^{2^{n-i}}$

For the proof of the following lemma, see [15].
Lemma 1. For $s \neq 0$, let $r x^{2}+s x+t=0$ be the quadratic equation in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$. Then, $\operatorname{Tr}\left(r t / s^{2}\right)=0$ if and only if the equation has solutions over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$.

The proof of the following lemma can be seen from [9].
Lemma 2. $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{ker}(L))=\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(L^{*}\right)\right)$ and $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{im}(L))=\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{im}\left(L^{*}\right)\right)$, where $L$ is linear mapping over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ and $L^{*}$ is its adjoint.

Definition 9. For each $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$, quadratic form which is denoted by $\mathcal{Q}: \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2}$ is defined as follows:

$$
\mathcal{Q}(x)=\sum_{0 \leq s<t<n} x^{2^{s}+2^{t}}
$$

Definition 10. Bilinear form which is denoted by $B(x, y)$ associated to $\mathcal{Q}$ is defined as follows:

$$
B(x, y)=\mathcal{Q}(x+y)+\mathcal{Q}(x)+\mathcal{Q}(y)
$$

$B(x, y)$ can be expressed by using absolute trace.

$$
\begin{aligned}
B(x, y) & =\sum_{0 \leq s<t<n}(x+y)^{2^{s}+2^{t}}+\sum_{0 \leq s<t<n} x^{2^{s}+2^{t}}+\sum_{0 \leq s<t<n} y^{2^{s}+2^{t}} \\
& =\sum_{s \neq t} x^{2^{s}} y^{2^{t}}=\sum_{s} x^{2^{s}} \sum_{t \neq s} y^{2^{s}} \\
& =\sum_{s} x^{2^{s}}\left(y+y^{2}+y^{2^{2}}+\ldots+y^{2^{n-1}}+y^{2^{s}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{s} x^{2^{s}}\left(\operatorname{Tr}(y)+y^{2^{s}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{s}(x y)^{2^{s}}+\operatorname{Tr}(y) \sum_{s} x^{2^{s}} \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}(x y)+\operatorname{Tr}(y) \operatorname{Tr}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2. [17] Let $A$ be a finite subset of the Abelian group $(G, \cdot), g$ be an element in $G$ and $H$ be a subgroup of $G$. Then $A=g H$ if and only if $|A \cdot A|=|A|$.

Theorem 3. [14] Let $F: \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ and $L$ be a nonzero linear function. Define $F(x)=x^{-1}+L(x)$. Then the function $F$ is not a permutation if $n$ is greater than or equal to 5 .

Corollary 1. Let $F: \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ for $n \geq 5$. With non-zero linear mapping $L_{m}$ and $L_{m^{\prime}}$ define $F(x)=L_{m}\left(x^{-1}\right)+L_{m^{\prime}}(x)$. Then the function $F$ is not a permutation if $L_{m}$ or $L_{m^{\prime}}$ is bijective.

Proof. Let $F(x)=L_{m}\left(x^{-1}\right)+L_{m^{\prime}}(x)$ be a permutation. Firstly, let us choose $L_{m}$ as bijective. Then by taking the convolution of $F(x)$ from left by $L_{m}^{-1}$, we get the following which is also a permutation.

$$
L_{m}^{-1}(F(x))=x^{-1}+L_{m}^{-1}\left(L_{m^{\prime}}(x)\right)
$$

This is a contradiction to Corollary 1. The same proof for the case $L_{m^{\prime}}$ is bijective can be followed, because $F(x)=L_{m}\left(x^{-1}\right)+L_{m^{\prime}}(x)$ is a permutation if and only if $F\left(x^{-1}\right)=L_{m}(x)+L_{m^{\prime}}\left(x^{-1}\right)$ is a permutation.

Definition 11. Let $H$ be the set of finite subset of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$. Then all inverses of the set $H$ is denoted by $\frac{1}{H}$ i.e.

$$
\frac{1}{H}=\left\{\frac{1}{h}: h \in H \backslash\{0\}\right\}
$$

Moreover, the product set $H \cdot H=\left\{h_{1} h_{2} \mid \quad h_{1}, h_{2} \in H\right\}$ and $\sqrt{H}=\{\sqrt{h} \mid \quad h \in H\}$. We have $|\sqrt{H}|=|H|$, since we are in binary finite field the function $x \rightarrow x^{2}$ is bijective.

Definition 12. Let $\mathcal{H}_{c}$ is denoted as hyperplanes of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$. Then the set $\mathcal{H}_{c}$ is defined as in the following for $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{*}$.

$$
\mathcal{H}_{c}=\left\{\operatorname{Tr}(c x)=0 \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}\right\}
$$

## CHAPTER 4

## SEARCHING EXISTENCE OF PERMUTATIONS

### 4.1 Method to prove

In this chapter, the results of Kölsch's paper [13] which prove non-being of permutation polynomial which has the form $L_{m}\left(x^{-1}\right)+L_{m^{\prime}}(x)$ in binary finite field are studied in details, here $L_{m}, L_{m^{\prime}}$ are nonzero linear mapping and $n \geq 5$. To prove it, three stages below will be followed.

- Showing for nonzero $r$ and $k \mid n$, $\operatorname{ker} L_{1}$ and $\operatorname{ker} L_{2}$ are in the form $r \mathbb{F}_{2^{k}}$ if $F$ is a permutation
- Showing $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{ker} L_{1}\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{ker} L_{2}\right)=1$ for $k=1$, by using previous stage
- Showing nonexistence of the permutation with the former stage

Before moving on main proof, some concepts which is used in the proof will be constructed.

### 4.2 Kloosterman Sum over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ for Permutation Polynomials

Definition 13. Kloosterman sum of $c$ denoted by $K_{n}(c)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ for $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$.

$$
K_{n}(c)=\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}}(-1)^{T r\left(x^{-1}+c x\right)}
$$

Definition 14. For an element $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$, c is defined as Kloosterman zero if $K_{n}(c)=0$.

For the proof of the following proposition, see [9]
Proposition 2. Assume that $F: \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ and $L_{m} \& L_{m^{\prime}}$ are linear mappings such that $L_{m}, L_{m^{\prime}} \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$. For each $u \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{*}$, the polynomial $L_{m}(F(x))+L_{m^{\prime}}(x)$ is a permutation if and only if

$$
W_{\mathbb{F}}\left(L_{m}^{*}(u), L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(u)\right)=0
$$

Proof. The fact that a function's all components should be balanced to be a permutation is well-known [15]. In our case, namely, $L_{m}(F(x))+L_{m^{\prime}}(x)$ is a permutation if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}}(-1)^{\operatorname{Tr}\left(u\left(L_{m}(F(x))+L_{m}(x)\right)\right)}=0, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{*} \\
& \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{*}}(-1)^{\left.\operatorname{Tr}\left(L_{m}^{*}(u) F(x)+L_{m}^{*}(u) x\right)\right)}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

from the definiton of Walsh transform we get

$$
W_{\mathbb{F}}\left(L_{m}^{*}(u), L_{m}^{*}(u)\right)=0
$$

Here $\operatorname{Tr}(L(x) y)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(x L^{*}(y)\right)$ is used for the computation.

Notice that for $F(x)=x^{-1}, K_{n}(u)=W_{\mathbb{F}}(1, u)$.

Case 1: For $u \neq 0$ we obtain the following:

$$
W_{\mathbb{F}}(u, v)=\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}}(-1)^{T r\left(u x^{-1}+v x\right)}
$$

by substituting $x \rightarrow u x$

$$
=\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}}(-1)^{\operatorname{Tr}\left(x^{-1}+u v x\right)}
$$

which is equal to

$$
=K_{n}(u v)
$$

Case 2: For $u=0$ and $v \neq 0$ the following is obtained

$$
W_{\mathbb{F}}(u, v)=K_{n}(u v)=0
$$

Now, by using Kloosterman sums, above Proposition can be written as the following. Proof of this can be found in [9]. Later Proposition 3] will be used to give a criterian to be a permutation for $L_{m}\left(x^{-1}\right)+L_{m^{\prime}}(x)$.

Proposition 3. For two linear functions $L_{m}, L_{m^{\prime}}$ on binary finite field with $n$ dimension and each $u \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$; then $K_{n}\left(L_{m}^{*}(u) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(u)\right)=0$ and $\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}^{*}\right) \cap \operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}\right)=\{0\}$ if and only if $L_{m}\left(x^{-1}\right)+L_{m^{\prime}}(x)$ is a permutation polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$.

Theorem 4. [12] Assume $n$ is greater than or equal to 4 and $u$ is a nonzero element in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$. If $u$ is a Kloosterman zero of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ in modula 16, then its quadratic and trace functions are zero polynomials, i.e. $\mathcal{Q}(u)=0$ and $\operatorname{Tr}(u)=0$.

Vice versa of the theorem is also true. Now, by applying Theorem 4 to Proposition 3 , the following corollary is obtained.

Corollary 2. Let $n$ be greater than or equal to 4 and $u$ be any nonzero element in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$. Then $\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}^{*}\right) \cap \operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}\right)=\{0\}$ and $\mathcal{Q}\left(L_{m}^{*}(u) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(u)\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(L_{m}^{*}(u) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(u)\right)=0$, if $L_{m}\left(x^{-1}\right)+L_{m^{\prime}}(x)$ is a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$,

### 4.3 Proof for Non-existence of Permutation Polynomial

The following theorem is the essential step to obtain the main result.

Theorem 5. Let $L_{m}$ and $L_{m^{\prime}}$ be two linear functions on $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ such that $L_{m}, L_{m^{\prime}} \neq 0$ for $n \geq 5$. Define $F(x)=L_{m}\left(x^{-1}\right)+L_{m^{\prime}}(x)$. Then kernels of $L_{m}$ and $L_{m^{\prime}}$ are in the form $r \mathbb{F}_{2^{k}}$ for a non-zero $r$ and $k \mid n$, namely they are translates of a subfields $\mathbb{F}_{2^{k}}$ of binary finite field with $n$ dimension if $F$ is a permutation. In addition, $\operatorname{ker} L_{m}=$ $L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}^{*}\right)\right)$ and $\operatorname{ker} L_{m^{\prime}}=L_{m}^{*}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}\right)\right)$

Proof. Let $F(x)=L_{m}\left(x^{-1}\right)+L_{m^{\prime}}(x)$ be a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$. By Corollary 2. we have $\mathcal{Q}\left(L_{m}^{*}(u) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(u)\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(L_{m}^{*}(u) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(u)\right)=0$. Let $P(x)$ be equal to $L_{m}^{*}(x) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(x)$. Also, let us take $y$ in $\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}^{*}\right)$ and $x$ in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$.

By computing the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\mathcal{Q}(P(x+y)) \\
& =\mathcal{Q}\left(L_{m}^{*}(x+y) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(x+y)\right) \\
& =\mathcal{Q}\left(L_{m}^{*}(x) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(x)+L_{m}^{*}(x) L_{2}^{*}(y)+L_{m}^{*}(y) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(x)+L_{m}^{*}(y) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)\right) \\
& =\mathcal{Q}\left(P(x)+L_{m}^{*}(x) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)+L_{m}^{*}(y) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(x)+P(y)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $L_{m}^{*}(y)=0=P(y)$, we have

$$
0=\mathcal{Q}\left(P(x)+L_{m}^{*}(x) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)\right)
$$

By using bilinear form $B(x, y)=\mathcal{Q}(x+y)+\mathcal{Q}(x)+\mathcal{Q}(y)=\operatorname{Tr}(x y)+\operatorname{Tr}(x) \operatorname{Tr}(y)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$, in this case

$$
\begin{aligned}
B\left(P(x), L_{m}^{*}(x) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)\right) & =\mathcal{Q}\left(P(x)+L_{m}^{*}(x) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)\right)+\mathcal{Q}(P(x))+\mathcal{Q}\left(L_{m}^{*}(x) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left(P(x) L_{m}^{*}(x) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)\right)+\operatorname{Tr}(P(x)) \operatorname{Tr}\left(L_{m}^{*}(x) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

the following is obtained.

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\mathcal{Q}(P(x))+\mathcal{Q}\left(L_{m}^{*}(x) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)\right)+B\left(P(x)+L_{m}^{*}(x) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)\right) \\
& =\mathcal{Q}(P(x))+\mathcal{Q}\left(L_{m}^{*}(x) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)\right)+\operatorname{Tr}\left(P(x) L_{m}^{*}(x) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)\right)+\operatorname{Tr}(P(x)) \operatorname{Tr}\left(L_{m}^{*}(x) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By Corollary2, $\mathcal{Q}(P(x))=0$ and $\operatorname{Tr}(P(x))=0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\mathcal{Q}\left(L_{m}^{*}(x) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)\right)+\operatorname{Tr}\left(P(x) L_{m}^{*}(x) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $z$ in $\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}^{*}\right)$, the Equation 4.1 becomes

$$
0=\mathcal{Q}\left(L_{m}^{*}(x+z) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)\right)+\operatorname{Tr}\left(P(x+z) L_{m}^{*}(x+z) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)\right)
$$

Similarly, $L_{m}^{*}(z)=0$, linear and trace mapping properties are used the throghout the following computation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.=\mathcal{Q}\left(L_{m}^{*}(x)\right) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)\right)+\operatorname{Tr}\left(P(x+z) L_{m}^{*}(x) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)\right) \\
& \left.=\mathcal{Q}\left(L_{m}^{*}(x)\right) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)\right)+\operatorname{Tr}\left(L_{m}^{*}(x) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(x+z) L_{m}^{*}(x) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)\right) \\
& \left.=\mathcal{Q}\left(L_{m}^{*}(x)\right) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)\right)+\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(L_{m}^{*}(x) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(x)+L_{m}^{*}(x) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(z)\right) L_{m}^{*}(x) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)\right) \\
& \left.=\mathcal{Q}\left(L_{m}^{*}(x)\right) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)\right)+\operatorname{Tr}\left(P(x) L_{m}^{*}(x) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)+L_{m}^{*}(x) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(z) L_{m}^{*}(x) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The following is obtained.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.=\mathcal{Q}\left(L_{m}^{*}(x)\right) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)\right)+\operatorname{Tr}\left(P(x) L_{m}^{*}(x) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)\right)+\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(L_{m}^{*}(x)\right)^{2} L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(z) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us add Equation 4.1 to Equation 4.2, then for each $x$ in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ and $y, z$ in $\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}^{*}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(L_{m}^{*}(x)\right)^{2} L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(z) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)\right)=0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us take $z=y$ for Equation 4.3, then we have

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(L_{m}^{*}(x)\right)^{2}\left(L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)\right)^{2}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(L_{m}^{*}(x) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)\right)=0
$$

By Definition $8, \operatorname{Tr}(L(x) y)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(x L^{*}(y)\right)$, the equation becomes

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(L_{m}^{*}(x) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(x L_{m}^{*}\left(L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)\right)\right)=0
$$

for each $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$.
From this equation $L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y) \in \operatorname{ker} L_{m}$ and from the setting $y \in \operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}^{*}\right), L_{2}^{*}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}^{*}\right)\right) \subseteq$ $\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}\right)$. By using Lemma $2 \operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}\right)\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}^{*}\right)\right)$ and Corollary 2 $\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}^{*}\right) \cap \operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}\right)=\{0\}$, we have $L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}^{*}\right)\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}\right)$.

Using Definition 8 again,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(L_{m}^{*}(x)\right)^{2} L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(z) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)\right) & =\operatorname{Tr}\left(L_{m}^{*}(x) \sqrt{L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(z) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left(x L_{m}\left(\sqrt{L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(z) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, since $y, z \in \operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}^{*}\right)$ and $\sqrt{L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(z) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)}$ is in $\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}\right)$, we get the following $\left.\sqrt{L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}} \operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}^{*}\right)\right) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}^{*}\right)\right) \subseteq \operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}\right)$. By previous result $L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}^{*}\right)\right)=$ $\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}\right)$, we obtained $\sqrt{\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}\right) \operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}\right)}=\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}\right)$.

From here, we can obtain $\left|\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}\right) \backslash\{0\}\right) \cdot\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}\right) \backslash\{0\}\right)\right|=\left|\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}\right) \backslash\{0\}\right)\right|$. Then by Theorem 2, for subgroup $H$ of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{*}$ and $r \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$, we have the following $\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}\right) \backslash\{0\}=r H$, or equivalently, $\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}\right)=r H \cup\{0\}$. Since cardinality of $\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}\right)$ is $2^{k}$ for an element $k$ in $\mathbb{N}, 2^{k}-1$ is the cardinality of $H$. Also, since $H$ is the multiplicative subgroup of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{k}}$, for $k \mid n$ and $r \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{*}$, we have $\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}\right)=r \mathbb{F}_{2^{k}}$.
Similary, the same results can be obtained for $\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{2}\right)$ since $F\left(x^{-1}\right)=L_{m}(x)+$ $L_{m^{\prime}}\left(x^{-1}\right)$ is a permutation if and only if $F(x)=L_{m}\left(x^{-1}\right)+L_{m^{\prime}}(x)$ is a permutation.

Proposition 4. Let $L_{m}$ and $L_{m^{\prime}}$ be two linear functions on $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ such that $L_{m}, L_{m^{\prime}} \neq 0$. Define $F(x)=L_{m}\left(x^{-1}\right)+L_{m^{\prime}}(x)$. Then for each nonzero $u$ in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}, L_{m^{\prime}}(u)$ is not in $L_{m}\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{u}}}\right)$ and $F$ has one solution if and only if $F$ is a permutation.

Proof. For $u$ in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{*}$ and each $x$ in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}, F(x+u)+F(x) \neq 0$ if and only if $F$ is a permutation. Namely,

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{m}\left((x+u)^{-1}\right)+L_{m^{\prime}}(x+u)+L_{m}\left(x^{-1}\right)+L_{m^{\prime}}(x) \neq 0 \\
& L_{m}\left(x^{-1}+(x+u)^{-1}\right)+L_{m^{\prime}}(x+(x+u)) \neq 0  \tag{4.4}\\
& L_{m^{\prime}}(u) \neq L_{m}\left(x^{-1}+(x+u)^{-1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Let the set be $A_{u}=\left\{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}:(x+u)^{-1}+x^{-1}=\right.$ a for some $x$ in $\left.\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}\right\}$
Case 1: Let us choose $x=u$ and $x=0$ for the equation $(x+u)^{-1}+x^{-1}=a$. Then we get $u^{-1}=a$.

Case 2: If we choose $x$ other than $u$ and 0 , by multiplying the equation $(x+u)^{-1}+$ $x^{-1}=a$ by $x(x+u)$, we obtain the following equation

$$
a x^{2}+u a x+u=0
$$

The equation has solutions if and only if $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{u a}{u^{2} a^{2}}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{u a}\right)=0$ with $a \neq 0$, from Lemma 1

By combining the cases, we get

$$
(x+u)^{-1}+x^{-1}=a \Longleftrightarrow u^{-1}=a \quad \text { or } \quad \operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{u a}\right)=0
$$

## From Definition 12,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{u}} & =\left\{\operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{x}{u}\right)=0: x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}\right\} \\
\frac{1}{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{u}}} & =\left\{\operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{u x}\right)=0: x^{-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}\right\}, \forall u \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

We can say that $u^{-1} \in A_{u}$ and $\frac{1}{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{u}}} \in A_{u}$.
Equation 4.4 implies $L_{m^{\prime}}(u) \notin L_{m}^{u}\left(A_{u}\right)$, and so $L_{m^{\prime}}(u) \notin L_{m}\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{\bar{u}}}}\right)$.
If $u$ is a solution for $F$, i.e. $F(u)=0$, then we have $L_{m}\left(a^{-1}\right)=\stackrel{u}{L}_{m^{\prime}}(a)$.

Lemma 3. For three distinct nonzero elements $r, s, t$ from $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{*}, r+s=t$ if ad only if $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}=\mathcal{H}_{r} \cup \mathcal{H}_{s} \cup \mathcal{H}_{t}$. Particularly, nonzero three elements $r, s, t$ always can be found in $A \backslash\{0\}$ s.t. $\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{r}} \cup \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{s}} \cup \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{t}}=\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ if $A=a \mathbb{F}_{2^{k}}$ where $k>1, k \mid n$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{*}$.

Proof. Since a hyperplane's dimension is one less than that of its ambient space, in our binary finite field $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ case whose dimension is $n$, a hyperplane's dimension is $n-1$. Thus, a hyperpane has $2^{n-1}$ elements. Similarly, intersection of two distinct hyperplanes has one less dimension than one's dimension, and has $2^{n-2}$ elements. Based on these, we can write the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left|\mathcal{H}_{r} \cup \mathcal{H}_{s} \cup \mathcal{H}_{t}\right| \\
& =\left|\mathcal{H}_{r} \cap \mathcal{H}_{s} \cap \mathcal{H}_{t}\right|-\left|\mathcal{H}_{r} \cap \mathcal{H}_{s}\right|-\left|\mathcal{H}_{r} \cap \mathcal{H}_{t}\right|-\left|\mathcal{H}_{s} \cap \mathcal{H}_{t}\right|+\left|\mathcal{H}_{r}\right|+\left|\mathcal{H}_{s}\right|+\left|\mathcal{H}_{t}\right| \\
& =\left|\mathcal{H}_{r} \cap \mathcal{H}_{s} \cap \mathcal{H}_{t}\right|-3 \cdot 2^{n-2}+3 \cdot 2^{n-1} \\
& =\left|\mathcal{H}_{r} \cap \mathcal{H}_{s} \cap \mathcal{H}_{t}\right|+2^{n-2}+2^{n-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $2^{n-2}=\left|\mathcal{H}_{r} \cap \mathcal{H}_{s} \cap \mathcal{H}_{t}\right|$ if and only if $\mathcal{H}_{r} \cup \mathcal{H}_{s} \cup \mathcal{H}_{t}=\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$. Also, since having $2^{n-2}$ elements two hyperplanes which do not coincide, one of the hyperplanes contains the elements of intersection of the other two distinct hyperplanes, let $\mathcal{H}_{t}$ be the hyperplane. Namely, $\mathcal{H}_{r} \cap \mathcal{H}_{s} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{t}$, which implies that $r+s=t$.
Particularly, for two elements $r=a b_{1}, s=a b_{2}$ from $A=a \mathbb{F}_{2^{k}}, b_{1}, b_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{k}}^{*}$.

$$
\frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{s}=\frac{1}{a}\left(\frac{1}{b_{1}}+\frac{1}{b_{2}}\right)
$$

Also, $\frac{1}{b_{1}}+\frac{1}{b_{2}}=\frac{1}{b} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{k}}^{*}$. Now we have three distinct elements $r, s, a b$ such that $\frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{s}=\frac{1}{a b}$. By above part of the proof, we can conclude that $\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{r}} \cup \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{s}} \cup \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{a b}}$ is equal to binary finite field with n dimension.

Theorem 6. Let $n \geq$ 5. For $F: \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ and two linear functions $L_{m}$ and $L_{m^{\prime}}$ on $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ such that $L_{m}, L_{m^{\prime}} \neq 0$, define $F(x)=L_{m}\left(x^{-1}\right)+L_{m^{\prime}}(x)$, Then $\left|\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}\right)\right|=$ $\left|\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m^{\prime}}\right)\right|=2$, if $F$ is a permutation.

Proof. To prove $\left|\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m^{\prime}}\right)\right|=2$, we should show $k=1$ for $\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}\right)=r \mathbb{F}_{2^{k}}$ and $\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m^{\prime}}\right)=r \mathbb{F}_{2^{k}}$ which we get from Theorem 5 .

Let us assume that $k \geq 2$. Then by Lemma 3, three nonzero distinct elements $r, s, t \in$ $\operatorname{ker} L_{m^{\prime}}$ s.t $\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{r}} \cup \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{s}} \cup \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{t}}=\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ and $\frac{1}{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{r}}} \cup \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{s}}} \cup \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{t}}}=\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{*}$ can be found.


Since $\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m^{\prime}}\right)=r \mathbb{F}_{2^{k}}$ and $k \geq 2$, there exist an element in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{*}$ such that $L_{m^{\prime}}(x)=$ 0 , so we can write the following by using Proposition 4 for three elements $r, s, t \in$ $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{*}$.

$$
0 \notin L_{m}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{*}\right)=L_{m}\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{r}}}\right) \cup L_{m}\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{s}}}\right) \cup L_{m}\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{t}}}\right)
$$

We know that $\operatorname{ker} L_{1}$ has more than one element by Corollary 1 , so we have a contradiction to above equation. $\left|\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m^{\prime}}\right)\right|=2$, since $k=1$.
Similarly, we can prove it for $\operatorname{ker} L_{1}$ since $F\left(x^{-1}\right)=L_{m}(x)+L_{m^{\prime}}\left(x^{-1}\right)$ is a permutation if and only if $F(x)=L_{m}\left(x^{-1}\right)+L_{m^{\prime}}(x)$ is so.

By using Theorem 6, assume $L_{m}(x)=x^{2}+u x$ for an element $u \neq 0$ (after we will take $u=1$, so $\left|\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}\right)\right|=2$ by Theorem 6). Let us examine the following for $L_{m}\left(x^{-1}\right)+L_{m^{\prime}}(x)=a:$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=x^{-2}+u x^{-1}+L_{m^{\prime}}(x) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By multiplying this with $u^{-2}$

$$
u^{-2} a=c^{-2} x^{-2}+u^{-1} x^{-1}+u^{-2} L_{m^{\prime}}(x)
$$

and substituting $x \rightarrow \frac{x}{u}$, we have

$$
u^{-2} a=x^{-2}+x^{-1}+u^{-2} L_{m^{\prime}}\left(\frac{x}{u}\right)
$$

which has one solution if and only if for each $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ Equation 4.5 has only a zero. Since $u^{-2} L_{m^{\prime}}\left(\frac{x}{u}\right)$ is linear map, we can take $L_{m}(x)=x^{2}+x$, or equivalent case $L_{m}(x)=\left(x^{2}+x\right)^{2 n-1}=x^{2^{n}}+x^{2^{n-1}}=x+x^{2^{n-1}}$. Since adjoint mapping of $L$ is $L^{*}=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{j}^{2^{n-j}} x^{2^{n-j}}$, when we take $L_{m}(x)=x+x^{2^{n-1}}$, the adjoint mapping of $L$ is $L_{m}^{*}(x)=x^{2}+x$. Notice $\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}^{*}\right)=\{0,1\}$. From this and Theorem5 $\operatorname{ker} L_{m}=L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}\left(\operatorname{kerm}^{\prime}\left(L_{m}^{*}\right)\right.$, we can conclude $L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(1)=1$.

Theorem 7. For $F: \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ and two linear functions $L_{m}$ and $L_{m^{\prime}}$ on $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ s.t. $L_{m}, L_{m^{\prime}} \neq 0$, define $F(x)=L_{m}\left(x^{-1}\right)+L_{m^{\prime}}(x)$. For $n \geq 5$, permutation polynomial in the form of the function $F$ does not exist.

Proof. From above, we have $L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(1)=1, L_{m}^{*}(x)=x^{2}+x$, and $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{j} x^{2^{j}}=L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}$. Also, let $F$ permutes binary finite field with $n$. Then we should get contradiction to
conditions above which we obtain from Corollary 2 and Equation 4.1. Notice that for $y=1, L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)=1$ since $L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(1)=1$. For each $x$ in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\operatorname{Tr}\left(L_{m}^{*}(x) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(x)\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(x^{2}+x\right) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(x)\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\mathcal{Q}\left(L_{m}^{*}(x) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(x)\right)=Q\left(\left(x^{2}+x\right) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(x)\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
0=\mathcal{Q}\left(L_{m}^{*}(x) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)\right)+\operatorname{Tr}\left(P(x) L_{m}^{*}(x) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(y)\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\mathcal{Q}\left(x^{2}+x\right)+\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(x^{2}+x\right)\left(x^{2}+x\right) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(x)\right)=\mathcal{Q}\left(x^{2}+x\right)+\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(x^{4}+x^{2}\right) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(x)\right) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us look at condition (4.6).

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(x^{2}+x\right) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{j} x^{2^{j}}\right) & =\operatorname{Tr}\left(x^{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{j} x^{2^{j}}\right)+\operatorname{Tr}\left(x \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{j} x^{2^{j}}\right) \\
0 & =\sum_{s=0}^{n-1}\left(x^{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{j} x^{2^{j}}\right)^{2^{s}}+\sum_{s=0}^{n-1}\left(x \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{j} x^{2^{j}}\right)^{2^{s}} \\
0 & =\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{j}^{2^{s}} x^{2^{j+s}+2^{s+1}}+\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{j}^{2^{s}} x^{2^{j+s}+2^{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$

By substituting $j \rightarrow j-s$, we get

$$
0=\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{j-s}^{2^{s}} x^{2^{j}+2^{s+1}}+\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{j-s}^{2^{s}} x^{2^{j}+2^{s}}
$$

Again, by substituting $s \rightarrow s-1$ in the left sum, then the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{j-s+1}^{2^{s-1}} x^{2^{j}+2^{s}}+\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_{j-s}^{2^{s}} x^{2^{j}+2^{s}}=0 \\
& \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(c_{j-s+1}^{2^{s-1}}+c_{j-s}^{2^{s}}\right) x^{2^{j}+2^{s}}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

When we take $j=s=1$, the coefficient of $x^{4}$ becomes $c_{1}+c_{0}^{2}=0$.

For $i=0, s=r$, the coefficient of $x^{2^{r}+1}$ is $c_{-r+1}^{2^{r-1}}+c_{-r}^{2^{r}}=0$.
Similarly, for $i=r, s=0$, the coefficient of $x^{2^{r}+1}$ is $c_{r+1}^{2^{-1}}+c_{r}=0$. For each $1 \leq r \leq n-1$, we can write the following for the coefficients of $x^{2^{r}+1}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{-r+1}^{2^{r-1}}+c_{-r}^{2^{r}}+c_{r+1}^{2^{-1}}+c_{r}=0 \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let us look at the condition 4.8

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(x^{4}+x^{2}\right) L_{2}^{*}(x)\right)+\mathcal{Q}\left(x^{2}+x\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left(x^{3}\right)+\operatorname{Tr}(x)+\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(x^{4}+x^{2}\right) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_{j} x^{2^{j}}\right)+\mathcal{Q}\left(x^{2}\right)+\mathcal{Q}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mathcal{Q}(x)=\mathcal{Q}\left(x^{2}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\operatorname{Tr}\left(x^{3}\right)+\operatorname{Tr}(x)+\sum_{s=0}^{n-1}\left(x^{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{j} x^{2^{j}}\right)^{2^{s}}+\sum_{s=0}^{n-1}\left(x^{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{j} x^{2^{j}}\right)^{2^{s}} \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(x^{2+1}\right)^{2^{j}}+\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x^{2^{j}}+\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{j}^{2^{s}} x^{2^{j+s}+2^{s+2}}+\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{j}^{2^{s}} x^{2^{j+s}+2^{s+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Again by substituting $j \rightarrow j-s$ for right and left double sum, we have

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x^{2^{j+1}+2^{j}}+\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x^{2^{j}}+\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{j-s}^{2^{s}} x^{2^{j}+2^{s+2}}+\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{j-s}^{2^{s}} x^{2^{j}+2^{s+1}}=0
$$

By substituting $s \rightarrow s-2$ for left double summation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x^{2^{j+1}+2^{j}}+\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x^{2^{j}}+\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_{j-s+2}^{2^{s-2}} x^{2^{j}+2^{s}}+\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{j-s+1}^{2^{s-1}} x^{2^{j}+2^{s}}=0 \\
& \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x^{2^{j+1}+2^{j}}+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x^{2^{j}}+\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(c_{j-s+2}^{2^{s-2}}+c_{j-s+1}^{2^{s-1}}\right) x^{2^{j}+2^{s}}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

When we look at the coefficient of $x^{8}$ for $i=s=2$, we get $1+c_{2}+c_{1}^{2}=0$.
For $j=r, s=0$, the coefficient of $x^{2^{r}+1}$ is $c_{r+2}^{2^{-2}}+c_{r+1}^{2^{-1}}=0$.
Also, notice that when $r=1$ and $r=n-1$, we have coefficient 1 from $\operatorname{Tr}\left(x^{3}\right)$. Similarly, for $i=0, s=r$, the coefficient of $x^{2^{r}+1}$ is $c_{-r+2}^{2^{r-2}}+c_{-r+1}^{2^{r-1}}=0$. By writing these together, we get

$$
c_{r+2}^{2^{-2}}+c_{r+1}^{2^{-1}}+c_{-r+2}^{2^{r-2}}+c_{-r+1}^{2^{r-1}}=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
0, & r \in\{2, \ldots, n-2\}  \tag{4.10}\\
1, & r \in\{1, n-1\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let us substitute $r \rightarrow r-1$ and square it, then we have

$$
c_{r+1}^{2^{-1}}+c_{r}^{+} c_{-r+3}^{2^{r-2}}+c_{-r+2}^{2^{r-1}}=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
0, & r \in\{3, \ldots, n-1\} \\
1, & r \in\{0,2\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

When we add that to Equation 4.9, we get the following. Notice that $r \geq 1$ for Equation 4.9

$$
c_{-r+3}^{2^{r-2}}+c_{-r+2}^{2^{r-1}}+c_{-r+1}^{2^{r-1}}+c_{-r}^{2^{r}}=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
1, & r=2 \\
0, & r \in\{3, \ldots, n-1\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Again, substitute $r \rightarrow-r$

$$
c_{r+3}^{2^{-r-2}}+c_{r+2}^{2^{-r-1}}+c_{r+1}^{2^{-r-1}}+c_{r}^{2^{-r}}=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
0, & r \in\{3, \ldots, n-1\} \\
1, & r=2
\end{array}\right.
$$

and take the exponent $2^{r+2}$.

$$
c_{r+3}+c_{r+2}^{2}+c_{r+1}^{2}+c_{r}^{2^{2}}=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
0, & r \in\{1, \ldots, n-3\}  \tag{4.11}\\
1, & r=n-2
\end{array}\right.
$$

Before, it has found that the equations $c_{1}+c_{0}^{2}=0$ for coefficient of $x^{4}$ and $c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}+1=$ 0 for coefficient $x^{8}$. Based on these, we have a claim.

Claim: For all $i$, where $1 \leq i \leq n-1$

$$
c_{i}= \begin{cases}c_{0}^{2^{i}}, & \text { if } \mathrm{i} \text { is odd }  \tag{4.12}\\ c_{0}^{2^{i}}+1, & \text { if } \mathrm{i} \text { is even }\end{cases}
$$

## Proof of Claim: Claim is proved by induction.

The case $i=1$ is done, we know that $c_{1}=c_{0}^{2}$.
For the case $i=2$, we have $c_{2}=c_{1}^{2}+1=c_{0}^{2^{2}}+1$.
For the case $i=3$, we use Equation 4.10 for $r=1$, and we get.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{r+2}^{2^{-2}}+c_{r+1}^{2^{-1}}+c_{-r+2}^{2^{-2}}+c_{-r+1}^{2^{r-1}}=1 \\
& c_{3}^{2^{-2}}+c_{2}^{2^{-1}}+c_{1}^{2^{-1}}+c_{0}=1 \\
& c_{3}^{2^{-2}}+\left(c_{0}^{4}+1\right)^{2^{-1}}+\left(c_{0}^{2}\right)^{2^{-1}}+c_{0}=1 \\
& c_{3}^{2^{-2}}+c_{0}^{2}+1+c_{0}+c_{0}=1 \\
& c_{3}^{2^{-2}}=c_{0}^{2} \rightarrow c_{3}=c_{0}^{8}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now let us look at for the case $k \geq 3$ by using Equation 4.11 for $r$ is other than $n-2$.

$$
c_{k+1}+c_{k}^{2}+c_{k-1}^{2}+c_{k-2}^{4}=0
$$

By claim Equation 4.13, we get the following if $k$ is odd.

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{k+1} & =\left(c_{0}^{2^{k}}\right)^{2}+\left(c_{0}^{2^{k-1}}+1\right)^{2}+\left(c_{0}^{2^{k-2}}\right)^{4} \\
& =c_{0}^{2^{k+1}}+c_{0}^{2^{k}}+1+c_{0}^{2^{k}} \\
& =c_{0}^{2^{k+1}}+1
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, in case of $k$ is even, we have the following result.

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{k+1} & =\left(c_{0}^{2^{k}}+1\right)^{2}+\left(c_{0}^{2^{k-1}}\right)^{2}+\left(c_{0}^{2^{k-2}}+1\right)^{4} \\
& =c_{0}^{2^{k+1}}+1+c_{0}^{2^{k}}+c_{0}^{2^{k}}+1 \\
& =c_{0}^{2^{k+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the case $c_{n-1}$ we will use the Equation 4.9 for $r=1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{-r+1}^{2^{r-1}}+c_{-r}^{2^{r}}+c_{r+1}^{2^{-1}}+c_{r}=0 \\
& c_{0}+c_{-1}^{2}+c_{2}^{2^{-1}}+c_{1}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $c_{n}=c_{0}$, and so $c_{n-1}=c_{-1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{n-1}^{2}=c_{0}+\left(c_{0}^{2^{2}}+1\right)^{2^{-1}}+c_{0}^{2} \\
& c_{n-1}^{2}=c_{0}+c_{0}^{2}+1+c_{0}^{2} \\
& c_{n-1}^{2}=c_{0}+1 \\
& c_{n-1}=c_{0}^{2^{-1}}+1
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $c_{0}=c_{0}^{2^{n}}$, and so $c_{0}^{2^{-1}}=c_{0}^{2^{n-1}}$, we get $c_{n-1}=c_{0}^{2^{n-1}}+1$ which concludes that $n$ is odd. Therefore, the proof of the claim is done.

Still, we do not verify a contradiction to the coefficients from the conditions 4.6 and 4.8. Thus, we look at condition 4.7.

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\mathcal{Q}\left(\left(x^{2}+x\right) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(x)\right)=\mathcal{Q}\left(\left(x^{2}+x\right) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{j} x^{x^{j}}\right) \\
& =\mathcal{Q}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{j} x^{2^{j}+2}+\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{j} x^{2^{j}+1}\right) \\
& =\sum_{0 \leq t<s<n}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{j} x^{2^{j}+2}+\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{j} x^{2^{j}+1}\right)^{2^{r}}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_{i} x^{i^{i}+2}+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_{i} x^{2^{i}+1}\right)^{2^{s}} \\
& =\sum_{0 \leq t<s<n}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{j}^{2^{t}} x^{2^{j+t}+2^{t+1}}+\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{j}^{2^{t}} x^{2^{j+t}+2^{t}}\right)\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_{i}^{2^{s}} x^{2^{i+s}+2^{s}+1}+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_{j}^{2^{s}} x^{i^{i+s}+2^{s}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By substituting $j \rightarrow j-t$ and $i \rightarrow i-s$, we have

$$
=\sum_{0 \leq t<s<n}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{j-t}^{2^{t}} x^{2^{j}+2^{t+1}}+\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{j-t}^{2^{t}} x^{2^{j}+2^{t}}\right)\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_{i-s}^{2^{s}} x^{2^{i}+2^{s}+1}+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_{i-s}^{2^{s}} x^{2^{i}+2^{s}}\right)
$$

Again, by substituting $t \rightarrow t-1$ into left sum of left parentheses and $s \rightarrow s-1$ into left sum of right parentheses, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{0 \leq t<s<n}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{j-r+1}^{2^{t-1}} x^{2^{j}+2^{t}}+\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{j-t}^{2^{t}} x^{2^{j}+2^{t}}\right)\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_{i-s+1}^{2^{s-1}} x^{2^{i}+2^{s}}+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_{i-s}^{2^{s}} x^{2^{i}+2^{s}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{0 \leq t<s<n}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(c_{j-t+1}^{2_{j-1}^{t-1}}+c_{j-t}^{2^{t}}\right) x^{2^{j}+2^{t}}\right)\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(c_{i-s+1}^{2^{s-1}}+c_{i-s}^{s^{s}}\right) x^{2^{i}+2^{s}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{0 \leq t<s<n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(c_{j-t+1}^{2^{t-1}}+c_{j-t}^{2^{t}}\right)\left(c_{i-s+1}^{2^{s-1}}+c_{i-s}^{2^{s}}\right) x^{2^{j}+2^{r}+2^{i}+2^{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us check the coefficients of $x^{8}$ in this polynomial to get a contradiction to condition 4.8 which is a zero polynomial. The possible choices for $i, r, j, s$ are given in the following. Let $d_{j, t, i, s}=\left(c_{j-t+1}^{2 t-1}+c_{j-t}^{2^{t}}\right)\left(c_{i-s+1}^{2 s-1}+c_{i-s}^{2^{s}}\right)$.

Table 4.1: List of coefficients of $x^{8}$ in $\mathcal{Q}\left(\left(x^{2}+x\right) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(x)\right)$

| $i$ | $r$ | $j$ | $s$ | $d_{j, t, i, s}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Now, we can see the following from Table 4.1.

$$
d_{j, t, i, s}=\left\{\begin{array}{rr}
1, & \text { otherwise }  \tag{4.13}\\
0, & \text { if } j=t \text { or } i=s
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since sum of coefficients $d_{j, t, i, s}$ is $1, \mathcal{Q}\left(\left(x^{2}+x\right) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(x)\right)$ is not a zero polynomial, so a contradiction is obtained. Thus, Theorem 7 is proved.

By Theorem 7 and Proposition 1, we reached the main result which is mentioned in Chapter 1.

## CHAPTER 5

## CRITERIAN FOR THE EXISTENCE OF PERMUTATION IN

$$
\mathbb{F}_{P^{N}}
$$

In this part of the thesis, a proposition is verified on $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$, which gives a criterian to be a permutation polynomial in the special type $L_{m}\left(x^{-1}\right)+L_{m^{\prime}}(x)$ by using the general form of Kloosterman sum. Later, it is planned to be used for future work mentioned on next section. Recall the general form of Kloosterman sums:

$$
K_{p^{n}}(a)=\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}} \zeta^{T r\left(x^{p^{n}-2}+a x\right)}
$$

where $\zeta$ is the primitive $p-t h$ rooth of unity.

### 5.1 Kloosterman Sum over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ for Permutation Polynomials

Proposition 5. For two linear functions $L_{m}, L_{m^{\prime}}$ on $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ and each $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$; then $K_{p^{n}}\left(L_{m}^{*}(u) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(u)\right)=0$ and $\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}^{*}\right) \cap \operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}\right)=\{0\}$ if and only if $L_{m}\left(x^{-1}\right)+$ $L_{m^{\prime}}(x)$ is a permutation polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$.

Proof. A function's all components should be balanced to be a permutation [15].
Namely, $F(x)=L_{m}\left(x^{-1}\right)+L_{m^{\prime}}(x)$ is a permutation if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}} \zeta^{\operatorname{Tr}\left(u\left(L_{m}\left(x^{-1}\right)+L_{m^{\prime}}(x)\right)\right)}, \forall u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}^{*} \\
& =\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}} \zeta^{\left.\operatorname{Tr}\left(L_{m}^{*}(u) x^{-1}+L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(u) x\right)\right)} \\
& =W_{\mathbb{F}}\left(L_{m}^{*}(u), L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(u)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let's examine the cases of $L_{m}^{*}(b)$ and $L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(b)$.
Case 1: Let $L_{m}^{*}(u)=0$ and $L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(u) \neq 0$. Then we have the following

$$
\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}} \zeta^{\operatorname{Tr}\left(L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(u) x\right)}
$$

For simplicity, let's call $L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(u)$ as $\beta$.
Claim: If $\beta \neq 0$, then $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}} \zeta^{T r(\beta x)}=0$.
Proof of Claim: There exists an element $x_{0} \in \mathrm{~F}_{p^{n}}$ such that $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\beta x_{0}\right) \neq 0$. Since $\left\{x: \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}\right\}=\left\{x+x_{0}: \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}\right\}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}} \zeta^{\operatorname{Tr}(\beta x)}=\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}} \zeta^{\operatorname{Tr}\left(\beta\left(x+x_{0}\right)\right.} \\
& =\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}} \zeta^{\operatorname{Tr}(\beta x)} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}} \zeta^{\operatorname{Tr}\left(\beta\left(x_{0}\right)\right.}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $S=\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}} \zeta^{T r(\beta x)}$ and $u=\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}} \zeta^{T r\left(\beta x_{0}\right)}$, then we have the following

$$
\begin{gathered}
S=v S \\
S(1-v)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\beta x_{0}\right) \neq 0, v$ can not be equal to 1 , so S has to be 0 . Thus, our claim is hold. In addition, since we choose $L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(u)$ is different from 0 for all $u$ in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}^{*}, \operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}\right)=0$.
Then we get $\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}^{*}\right) \cap \operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}\right)=0$.
Case 2: Let $L_{m}^{*}(u)=0$ and $L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(u)=0$. Then we have the following

$$
\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}} \zeta^{\operatorname{Tr}\left(L_{m}^{*}(u) x^{-1}+L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(u) x\right)}=p^{n}
$$

Case 3: Let $L_{m}^{*}(u) \neq 0$ and $L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(u)=0$. Then we get the following

$$
\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}} \zeta^{\operatorname{Tr}\left(L_{m}^{*}(u) x^{-1}\right)}
$$

For simplicity, let's call $L_{m}^{*}(u)$ as $\beta$.

Claim: If $\beta \neq 0$, then $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}} T^{T r(\beta x)}=0$.

## Proof of Claim:

$$
\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}} \zeta^{\operatorname{Tr}\left(\beta \frac{1}{x}\right)}=\sum_{x \neq 0} \zeta^{\operatorname{Tr}\left(\beta \frac{1}{x}\right)}+\sum_{x=0} \zeta^{\operatorname{Tr}\left(\beta \frac{1}{x}\right)}
$$

Since $\left\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}^{*}: x\right\}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}: \frac{1}{x}\right\}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{x \neq 0} \zeta^{\operatorname{Tr}(\beta x)}+\sum_{x=0} \zeta^{\operatorname{Tr}(\beta .0)} \\
& =\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}} \zeta^{T r(\beta x)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly in Case 1, $\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}^{*}\right)=0$ since we choose $L_{m}^{*}(u)$ is different from 0 for all $u$ in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}^{*}, \operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}\right)=0$.Then, again we obtain $\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}^{*}\right) \cap \operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}\right)=0$.

Case 4: Let $L_{m}^{*}(u) \neq 0$ and $L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(u) \neq 0$. Then we have the following

$$
\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}} \zeta^{T r\left(L_{m}^{*}(u) x^{-1}+L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(u) x\right)}
$$

Let $y^{-1}=L_{m}^{*}(u) x^{-1}$, then $x=y L_{m}^{*}(u)$. Substituting these to the equation above yields

$$
\sum_{y} \zeta^{T r\left(y^{-1}+L_{m}^{*}(u) L_{2}^{*}(u) y\right)}
$$

which is equal to Kloosterman sum for $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ which we want to obtain $K_{p^{n}}\left(L_{m}^{*}(u) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(u)\right)$.
We get $u \notin \operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m}^{*}\right) \cap \operatorname{ker}\left(L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}\right)$, thus

$$
W_{\mathbb{F}}\left(L_{m}^{*}(u), L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(u)\right)=K_{p^{n}}\left(L_{m}^{*}(u) L_{m^{\prime}}^{*}(u)\right)
$$

### 5.2 Possible Future Works

As future work, our main aim is to examine the existence of permutation polynomial in specal type $L_{m}\left(x^{-1}\right)+L_{m^{\prime}}(x)$ in characteristic 3 finite field. In particular, as a first stage, we want to search the accuracy of the statement if $F(x)=L_{m}\left(x^{-1}\right)+L_{m^{\prime}}(x)$ is a permutation over $\mathbb{F}_{3^{n}}$ then for $r \neq 0$ kernels of $L_{m}$ and $L_{m^{\prime}}$ are in the form $r \mathbb{F}_{3^{k}}$, namely they are translates of $\mathbb{F}_{3^{k}}$. This statement is based on Theorem 5 .

To prove that, the steps below should be followed.

1. Defining an appropriate form $\mathcal{Q}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{3^{n}}$
2. Defining an appropriate bilinear form $B(x, y)$ associated to $Q$ over $\mathbb{F}_{3^{n}}$
3. Determining for which modula we can derive a condition on ternary Kloosterman zeros over $\mathbb{F}_{3^{n}}$ such that $K_{3^{n}}(a) \equiv 0$ by using trace mapping $\operatorname{Tr}$ and suitable form $Q$.

Step 3 is based on Theorem 4
Moreover, for step 3, there are some results to characterize ternary Kloosterman sums modula 9 and 27 which are conducted in [8].

The result for ternary Kloosterman sums in modula 9 using the trace mapping by using Stickelberger's theorem is the following:

Theorem 8. [8]

$$
K_{3^{n}}(a)=\left\{\begin{array}{llll}
0 & (\bmod 9), & \text { if } & \operatorname{Tr}(a)=0 \\
3 & (\bmod 9), & \text { if } & \operatorname{Tr}(a)=1 \\
6 & (\bmod 9), & \text { if } & \operatorname{Tr}(a)=2
\end{array}\right.
$$

for $u \in \mathbb{F}_{3^{n}}$.

The result for ternary Kloosterman sums in modula 27 using the trace mapping by using Gross-Koblitz formula is the following:

Theorem 9. [8] For $p=3$ and $n \geq 3$, the following:
,where the sets:

$$
\begin{gathered}
A:=\left\{k \in\left\{0, \ldots, 3^{n}-2\right\} \mid k=3^{s}+3^{t}\right\},(s, t \text { not necesserily distinct }) \\
B:=\left\{k \in\left\{0, \ldots, 3^{n}-2\right\} \mid k=3^{r}+3^{t}+3^{k}\right\},(r, \text { s } t \text { distinct })
\end{gathered}
$$

and $\tau_{S}: \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p}$ generalised trace is defined as

$$
\tau_{S}(a)=\sum_{i \in S} a^{i}
$$

where $S$ is any subset of $\left\{0, \ldots, p^{n}-2\right\}$ such that $S^{p}:=\left\{s^{p} \bmod \left(p^{n}-1\right) \mid s \in S\right\}=$ $S$.

In our case, we used generalised trace as $\tau_{Q}: \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2}$

$$
\tau_{Q}(a)=\sum_{i \in Q} a^{2^{i}+2^{j}}
$$

where $\mathcal{Q}:=\left\{k \in\left\{0, \ldots, 2^{n}-2\right\} \mid k=2^{s}+2^{t}\right\},(s, t$ distinct $)$.

## CHAPTER 6

## CONCLUSION AND OPEN PROBLEMS

S-boxes which vectorial Boolean functions are used in their design are one of the block buildings of symmetric key cryptography systems. It is important to have high resistance of these functions on some cryptographic attacks. Differential and linear attacks are the main attacks widely used in cryptography. These properties remains invariant under several equivalence classes such as EA-equivalence and CCZequivalence. Moreover, since permutations have a significant role in design of block ciphers, it is beneficial to search permutations inside CCZ-class of a function $F$ : $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$.

In the first and second chapter, we have given basic definitions and concepts in order to make the subject explicit. Also, to clarify the questioning process about coincidence of EA-equivalence \& CCZ-equivalence and permutations inside CCZequivalence, some literaure review is conducted.

In Chapter 3, we have presented on detailed description CCZ-equivalence of the inverse function. We have presented in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ non-existence of permutation polynomials of the form $L_{m}\left(x^{-1}\right)+L_{m^{\prime}}(x)$ for $n \geq 5$. Main result which is reached by Lucas Kölsch, is that the CCZ-class of $F: \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ coincides with the EA-class of $F$. In addition to that, all permutations in the CCZ-class of $F$ are affine equivalent to it. It is an open question for other functions which have desirable differetial uniformity and nonlinearity properties. Same question would also be researched for odd characteristic. Non-existence of permutation polynomials of the form $L_{m}\left(x^{-1}\right)+L_{m^{\prime}}(x)$ for characteristic $\geq 5$ is shown in [11] based on non-existence of Kloosterman zeros. However, it can be search for charactestic 3.

In Chapter 4, a criterian which is about being permutation polynomial of the form $L_{m}\left(x^{-1}\right)+L_{m^{\prime}}(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ is delivered by using Kloosterman sums and Walsh transforms. This criterian can be applied for all charactaristics $p$. Therefore, it can be used to search the problem mentioned above for characteristic 3 .
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